

Open Access Repository

www.ssoar.info

Editorial: Journalism, Activism, and Social Media: Exploring the Shifts in Journalistic Roles, Performance, and Interconnectedness

Maurer, Peter; Nuernbergk, Christian

Veröffentlichungsversion / Published Version Zeitschriftenartikel / journal article

Empfohlene Zitierung / Suggested Citation:

Maurer, P., & Nuernbergk, C. (2022). Editorial: Journalism, Activism, and Social Media: Exploring the Shifts in Journalistic Roles, Performance, and Interconnectedness. *Media and Communication*, *10*(3), 1-4. https://doi.org/10.17645/mac.v10i3.5984

Nutzungsbedingungen:

Dieser Text wird unter einer CC BY Lizenz (Namensnennung) zur Verfügung gestellt. Nähere Auskünfte zu den CC-Lizenzen finden Sie hier:

https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/deed.de

Terms of use:

This document is made available under a CC BY Licence (Attribution). For more Information see: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0







Media and Communication (ISSN: 2183–2439) 2022, Volume 10, Issue 3, Pages 1–4 https://doi.org/10.17645/mac.v10i3.5984

Editorial

Editorial: Journalism, Activism, and Social Media: Exploring the Shifts in Journalistic Roles, Performance, and Interconnectedness

Peter Maurer * and Christian Nuernbergk

Department of Media Studies, Trier University, Germany

* Corresponding author (maurer@uni-trier.de)

Submitted: 18 July 2022 | Published: 28 July 2022

Abstract

The emergence of the Hybrid Media System (Chadwick, 2017) has changed the actor constellations between political journalism, active members of the audience, and sources. How journalism responds to activism, pressure from politics, and emerging forms of connective action around news events is an important theme in journalism research. This thematic issue brings together seven articles that look at these developments from different angles in a rapidly changing communication ecosystem. The focus is on journalistic authority and legitimacy, journalism and interpretive communities, and changes concerning journalistic roles and practices.

Keywords

activism; journalism research; journalistic legitimacy; journalistic roles; political journalism; social media

Issue

This editorial is part of the issue "Journalism, Activism, and Social Media: Exploring the Shifts in Journalistic Roles, Performance, and Interconnectedness," edited by Peter Maurer (Trier University) and Christian Nuernbergk (Trier University).

© 2022 by the author(s); licensee Cogitatio (Lisbon, Portugal). This editorial is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY).

1. Introduction

Journalists perform their task in an increasingly networked and politically fragmented public sphere, populated by old and new types of political actors who struggle for discursive power (e.g., Chadwick, 2017; Jungherr et al., 2019). Political interest groups often use social media to shape public opinion and, not least, present their agenda to professional journalists. Some argue that the very institution of journalism is being redefined in this hybrid, networked context (Reese, 2022). The changes in the political media ecosystem are profound, and political communication cultures are under pressure (Esser & Pfetsch, 2020). Media and journalists are increasingly drawn into struggles fought out on social media over the meaning of events. As various contributors nowadays shape contemporary media systems, the discourse about journalism is also being re-rendered. Journalistic practices and norms are being questioned

and challenged from within and through external forces. As a profession, journalism has come under attack, especially in more polarized environments, where journalistic authority and legitimacy are increasingly contested.

Against this background, this thematic issue aims to shed greater light on the place and role of journalism in this emerging ecosystem of political communication. We put the focus specifically on shifting journalistic roles, working routines, and information networks in different national contexts. Nowadays, journalists can easily become publicly involved in the political debate as individuals (Bruns & Nuernbergk, 2019). On the one side, this may blur the boundaries between their journalistic role and advocacy, but on the other, they can more actively participate against competing or delegitimizing narratives. If journalists adopt a more politically engaged style via social media (Schumacher et al., 2021), the journalistic norms of objectivity and impartiality might easily clash with the promotion of narrow interests and



political views. Hence, negotiating political and emotional engagement with professionalism becomes a challenge in journalism. This tension looms particularly large for valence issues, which are "hijacked" by activist-NGOs pushing for more radical policy. The creation of new forms of journalism whose declared aim is to actively promote (political) solutions epitomizes the influence of political pressure on journalism. Furthermore, journalism becomes more sensitive to the audience's reactions to stories and topics, which are now measurable in realtime. This may induce a commercially driven uniformization of content that "sells" on social media platforms as well as new dynamics of agenda setting and framing in which journalists might become (all too) responsive to popular content among social media users.

In this thematic issue, we assemble contributions addressing the above-mentioned debates from different perspectives and cultural contexts.

2. Delegitimizing "Mainstream" Journalism and Journalists' Reactions

In their article, Schapals and Bruns (2022) examine how journalists perceive delegitimizing attempts and how they react to them. Based on interviews with journalists from mainstream and emerging digital-born outlets in Australia and the UK, they show that "fake news" accusations arouse "significant concerns" in the profession but also lead to concerted initiatives and counterstrategies to revive journalism as a trusted institution. These include self-reflection on how journalism itself might change through transparency efforts and how it explains the value of journalistic standards to audiences and provides them with insight into editorial operations. The interviewees consequently outline the importance of strengthening the audience's media literacy to cope with the "fake news" phenomenon. Since competing forces from both within and outside journalism influence the audience, research must also be alert to how partisan actors are infiltrating political journalism.

The problem of delegitimation is also at the core of Dowling et al.'s (2022) study about two conservative/ far-right podcasters in the polarized American political context who engage in critical metajournalistic discourse. Based on in-depth readings of a carefully drawn sample of the podcasts and other relevant texts, their analysis unravels the many references and continuities with ideologically close predecessors on talk radio. It also examines how popular podcasters attack and threaten the principles of journalism and even "advocate for the destruction of the institution of journalism" (p. 24).

Peres-Neto's (2022) piece about Brazilian journalists confronted with Jair Bolsonaro's administration shows that Twitter, in particular, can be an enabler for oppositional journalists. In essence, Peres-Neto digs out how journalists take advantage of different affordances of Twitter to influence political narratives. His interviewees observe that tweeting turns journalists partly into influ-

encers and allows them to rebut attempts at delegitimation of the media voiced on social media platforms. Using Twitter to comment on and contextualize news stories enhances journalists' voices in a politically polarized environment where parts of the audience show no trust and politicians aggressively attack the press. With their Twitter handles, individual journalists try to countervail the shrinking credibility of their outlets with their personal reputations. This echoes the Reuters Digital News Report, according to which Brazilian news users expect journalists to express personal opinions (Newman et al., 2022).

3. The Handling of Emotions and the Formation of Networks in Political Journalism

Responding to the "emotional turn" (Wahl-Jorgensen, 2020) in journalism and social media research, Medeiros and Makhashvili (2022) explore how Twitter communities establish an emotionally-charged counter-discourse to the tone of the TV coverage about a terrorist attack targeting people with a migration background in Hanau (Germany). They conceptualize emotion and affect as forms of public communication. Their study focuses on shared emotions in response to the event, which are performative, political, and discursively constructed. They compare how public broadcasters and Twitter users create distinct forms of shared emotions.

The thematic issue then shifts to networked communities emerging through the interaction with and the following of alternative media on Twitter. Nachman et al. (2022) study how key outlets covering Chinese politics exhibit differences in their framing and how these variations contribute to the formation of almost non-overlapping network audiences. Although the two media outlets under study both connect to left-wing issues and can be each considered as a part of left counterpublics, competing interpretive communities are likely to evolve around them. Nachman et al. found this by combining a qualitative frame analysis with a Twitter follower network analysis that included location and profile data. Their computational approach is an intriguing example for investigating the public forming around media discussing foreign policy on Twitter. It also sheds light on how Twitter can be used to shape political opinion on foreign authoritarian regimes through alternative media outlets in the diaspora.

4. Trends in the Professional Mindset and the Work Routines of Political Journalists

The digital transformation of journalism potentially creates new professional role orientations that deviate from that of a neutral observer. This is explored in Krüger et al.'s (2022) article about the role orientations of constructive journalists in Germany. Constructive journalism is a strand of journalism that emphasizes reporting on solutions to societal problems. Constructive journalists



are almost inevitably under some suspicion that they mix their journalistic activity with political advocacy. However, their cognitive orientations and professional values are so far unknown. Krüger et al. reveal a remarkably high agreement with interventionist goals in this community of journalists. Concerning their political orientation, they place themselves clearly left of center.

The final article by Ruffio and Hubé (2022) probes the assumption that the availability of audience metrics for news stories increases the commercial pressure in journalism and induces changes in work routines. Focusing on the coverage of criminal cases, the authors base their analysis on a comparative qualitative survey with editors in France and Germany. They find that metrics are a double-edged sword: On the one hand, metrics induce a "sheepish" behavior among journalists in the sense that they follow the crowd and produce uniform content that attracts clicks. On the other hand, publishing on social media platforms enhances the autonomy of reporters vis-à-vis their editors.

5. Conclusion

Several articles in this thematic issue illustrate how journalism adapts to a changing media ecology. The scholarly work assembled in this issue illuminates the wide range of possible influences. Particularly alternative media outlets, whose independence is partly unclear, and partisan actors from within and outside journalism who challenge the established journalistic culture and authority in their attempt to control political information flows to serve their interests. Via social media, those new actors find and co-create affective publics. In these publics, competing (emotional) narrations unfold through connective action and within interpretive communities. All this together challenges the institution of journalism. Among the response patterns of journalists, there seems to be a more interventionist understanding of their role and more proactive communication of journalistic standards as a strategy to counter the attacks on journalism's integrity. We also see an increased level of audience orientation within journalism. However, it seems that the broader socio-political contexts and media systems moderate the impact of these patterns.

Acknowledgments

We would like to express our gratitude to all the reviewers of the contributions for their invaluable input. Their comments have greatly benefitted this thematic issue.

References

Bruns, A., & Nuernbergk, C. (2019). Political journalists and their social media audiences: New power relations. *Media and Communication*, 7(1), 198–212.

https://doi.org/10.17645/mac.v7i1.1759

- Chadwick, A. (2017). *The hybrid media system: Politics and power* (2nd ed.). Oxford University Press.
- Dowling, D. O., Johnson, P. R., & Ekdale, B. (2022). Hijacking journalism: Legitimacy and metajournalistic discourse in right-wing podcasts. *Media and Communication*, 10(3), 17–27.
- Esser, F., & Pfetsch, B. (2020). Political communication. In D. Caramani (Ed.), *Comparative politics* (5th ed., pp. 336–358). Oxford University Press.
- Jungherr, A., Posegga, O., & An, J. (2019). Discursive power in contemporary media systems: A comparative framework. *The International Journal of Press/Politics*, 24(4), 404–425.
- Krüger, U., Beiler, M., Gläßgen, T., Kees, M., & Küstermann, M. (2022). Neutral observers or advocates for societal transformation? Role orientations of constructive journalists in Germany. *Media and Communication*, 10(3), 64–77.
- Medeiros, D., & Makhashvili, A. (2022). United in grief? Emotional communities around the far-right terrorist attack in Hanau. *Media and Communication*, 10(3), 39–49.
- Nachman, L., Rauchfleisch, A., & Hioe, B. (2022). How China divides the left: Competing transnational left-wing alternative media on Twitter. *Media and Communication*, 10(3), 50–63.
- Newman, N., Fletcher, R., Robertson, C., Eddy, K., & Nielsen, R. (2022). Reuters institute digital news report 2022. Reuters Institute for the Study of Journalism.
- Peres-Neto, L. (2022). Journalist-twitterers as political influencers in Brazil: Narratives and disputes towards a new intermediary model. *Media and Communication* 10(3), 28–38.
- Reese, S. D. (2022). The institution of journalism: Conceptualizing the press in a hybrid media system. *Digital Journalism*, 10(2), 253–266.
- Ruffio, C., & Hubé, N. (2022). Digitalization, standardization, and diversification: Crime news under online-first pressure in France and Germany. *Media and Communication*, 10(3), 78–88.
- Schapals, A. K., & Bruns, A. (2022). Responding to "fake news": Journalistic perceptions of and reactions to a delegitimising force. *Media and Communication*, 10(3), 5–16.
- Schumacher, N. F., Maurer, P., & Nuernbergk, C. (2021). Towards new standards? Interaction patterns of German political journalists in the twittersphere. *The International Journal of Press/Politics*. Advance online publication. https://doi.org/10.1177/194016 12211025502
- Wahl-Jorgensen, K. (2020). An emotional turn in journalism studies? *Digital Journalism*, 8(2), 175–194. https://doi.org/10.1080/21670811.2019.1697626



About the Authors



Peter Maurer (PhD, Freie Universität Berlin) is currently a postdoctoral researcher at the Department of Media Studies at Trier University (Germany). From September 2022, he will be a senior lecturer in Media and Communication at Karlstad University (Sweden). His research fields include political journalism and political communication from a comparative perspective. His current research focuses on political discourses on social media platforms, journalistic roles, and the effects of mediatization and digitalization on democratic politics. He has published, inter alia, in *European Journal of Communication, Journalism*, and *Journalism Studies*.



Christian Nuernbergk (PhD, University of Münster) is a professor of Media and Communication at Trier University (Germany). His research fields include digital communication, political communication, and journalism. Nuernbergk is interested in the societal changes connected to new media. In his current research, he focuses on the interactions between journalists, politicians, and citizens. His work has been published in journals such as *Computational Communication Research*, *Digital Journalism*, *Journalism Studies*, and *Social Media + Society*.