

Open Access Repository

www.ssoar.info

Positive Journalism for Healthy and Happy Society: A Critical Review

Dhiman, Bharat

Preprint / Preprint
Arbeitspapier / working paper

Empfohlene Zitierung / Suggested Citation:

Dhiman, B. (2023). *Positive Journalism for Healthy and Happy Society: A Critical Review*.. https://nbn-resolving.org/urn:nbn:de:0168-ssoar-87502-1

Nutzungsbedingungen:

Dieser Text wird unter einer CC BY Lizenz (Namensnennung) zur Verfügung gestellt. Nähere Auskünfte zu den CC-Lizenzen finden Sie hier:

https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/deed.de

Terms of use:

This document is made available under a CC BY Licence (Attribution). For more Information see: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0





Positive Journalism for Healthy and Happy Society: A Critical Review

Dr. Bharat Dhiman, Assistant Professor, Department of Communication & Media Technology, J.C. Bose University of Science and Technology, YMCA, Faridabad, Haryana, India

Abstract

This research paper critically reviews the concept of positive journalism and its potential impact on promoting a healthy and happy society. Positive journalism, also known as solutions journalism or constructive journalism, is an emerging approach that aims to provide balanced news coverage by highlighting potential solutions and positive developments alongside traditional problem-focused reporting. The paper explores the theoretical foundations of positive journalism, examines empirical studies evaluating its effectiveness, and analyzes the implications and challenges associated with its implementation. Positive journalism has the potential to foster social progress, improve well-being, and engage audiences in constructive dialogue. However, limitations such as potential bias, ethical considerations, and the need for a broader systemic change within the media landscape are also discussed. Overall, this paper critically assesses positive journalism as a transformative force for creating a healthier and happier society.

Keywords and phrases: Positive Journalism, Solution Journalism, Constructive Journalism

Introduction:

Background and significance of positive journalism:

Background: Traditional journalism has long been associated with reporting on problems, conflicts, and negative events, often resulting in a media landscape dominated by sensationalism, fear, and pessimism. This approach can contribute to a cycle of negativity, leading to feelings of helplessness, disengagement, and a distorted perception of reality among audiences. In recent years, there has been a growing recognition of the need for a more balanced and solutions-oriented approach to news reporting [1].

Positive journalism, also known as solutions journalism or constructive journalism, emerged as an alternative framework that aims to shift the focus from solely reporting problems to highlighting potential solutions, positive developments, and constructive responses to societal challenges. It seeks to provide a more comprehensive and accurate portrayal of the world by presenting a broader spectrum of news stories that encompass both the problems and the efforts to address them.

Significance: The significance of positive journalism lies in its potential to address the limitations and negative consequences associated with traditional journalism. By incorporating a solutions-oriented perspective, positive journalism offers several key benefits:

Balanced and accurate representation: Positive journalism provides a more nuanced and balanced representation of reality by not solely focusing on problems but also showcasing individuals, communities, and organizations working towards solutions. This approach gives audiences a more accurate understanding of the world and encourages them to address societal issues [1] actively.

Engaging and empowering audiences: Positive journalism can inspire and empower individuals by highlighting successful strategies, innovative ideas, and positive outcomes. Showcasing the potential for change and progress encourages audiences to participate in collective problemsolving. It motivates them to become agents of positive change in their own lives and communities.

Improved well-being and resilience: Consuming excessive negative news can have detrimental effects on mental health and well-being. Positive journalism offers a counterbalance by providing stories that uplift, inspire, and foster a sense of hope [2]. Promoting more positive narratives builds resilience, promotes optimism, and enhances overall societal well-being.

Constructive dialogue and social cohesion: Traditional journalism often fuels polarization and conflict by emphasizing divisive narratives. Positive journalism, on the other hand, encourages constructive dialogue and fosters a sense of unity and shared purpose. By showcasing collaborative efforts and highlighting common ground, it can contribute to social cohesion and bridge societal divides.

Trust and credibility: In recent years, the media industry has faced challenges regarding declining trust and credibility. Positive journalism, emphasizing rigorous reporting and evidence-based solutions, can potentially rebuild trust between media organizations and their audiences. Offering a more constructive and solutions-focused approach can enhance journalism's credibility and relevance in the public's eyes.

Positive journalism represents a paradigm shift in news reporting, addressing the limitations of traditional journalism and offering a more balanced, empowering, and constructive approach. Its significance lies in its potential to foster a healthier, happier, and more resilient society by providing a more accurate representation of the world, engaging and empowering audiences, and promoting constructive dialogue and social progress.

Definition and key principles of positive journalism:

Positive journalism, also known as solutions journalism or constructive journalism, is an approach to news reporting that goes beyond the traditional focus on problems and negative events. It aims to provide a more balanced and comprehensive perspective by highlighting potential solutions, positive developments, and constructive responses to societal challenges. Positive journalism seeks to inform, engage, and inspire audiences by showcasing successful strategies, innovative approaches, and people making a positive difference in their communities [3].

Key Principles of Positive Journalism:

Solutions-oriented approach: Positive journalism strongly emphasizes exploring and presenting solutions to societal problems alongside identifying and analyzing the problems themselves. It seeks to answer the question, "What is being done to address the issue?" by investigating and reporting on effective strategies, initiatives, and innovations.

Evidence-based reporting: Positive journalism relies on rigorous research, data, and evidence to support its reporting. It emphasizes the importance of providing credible information and presenting a balanced view of the solutions' efficacy and impact.

Contextualization and depth: Positive journalism aims to comprehensively understand the issues at hand by offering a deeper analysis of the root causes, complexities, and potential solutions. It goes beyond superficial reporting and seeks to provide context, background information, and an in-depth exploration of the subject matter [4].

Human-centered storytelling: Positive journalism focuses on individuals, communities, and organizations working towards positive change. It highlights their stories, experiences, and perspectives, allowing audiences to connect emotionally and fostering empathy, inspiration, and identification with the protagonists of the news narratives.

Balance and objectivity: While positive journalism focuses on solutions and positive developments, it does not ignore or downplay the existing challenges and problems. It aims to balance highlighting progress and acknowledging the complexities and obstacles involved. Objectivity and fairness in reporting remain essential principles of positive journalism.

Constructive and engaging presentation: Positive journalism seeks to engage and empower audiences by presenting news stories engagingly and constructively. It aims to inspire action, spark dialogue, and encourage participation by providing practical insights, actionable takeaways, and avenues for further engagement [3, 4].

Accountability and transparency: Positive journalism holds both the solutions and the actors involved accountable by critically evaluating the outcomes, impacts, and challenges associated

with the initiatives being reported on. It promotes transparency, learning, and improvement in pursuing positive change.

These key principles guide positive journalism practitioners in their approach to news reporting, ensuring a responsible and impactful portrayal of societal issues and the potential for positive transformation.

Purpose and scope of the paper:

This research paper provides a critical review of positive journalism and its potential impact on promoting a healthy and happy society. The paper aims to examine the theoretical foundations of positive journalism, evaluate empirical studies on its effectiveness, analyze the implications and challenges associated with its implementation, and critically assess its role in transforming the media landscape [5].

The scope of the paper encompasses various aspects related to positive journalism, including its definition, key principles, and the theoretical frameworks that underpin its approach. It also delves into the empirical evidence available on the impact of positive journalism, exploring its effects on audience engagement, attitudes, and behavior. Furthermore, the paper addresses the ethical considerations, potential biases, and challenges in implementing positive journalism practices.

The paper also critically discusses the controversies, critiques, and potential risks associated with positive journalism. It explores the need to strike a balance between positive reporting and critical analysis, emphasizing the importance of accountability, transparency, and the broader systemic changes required within the media industry.

The paper provides insights into the future directions of positive journalism, considering emerging innovations, trends, and the integration of positive journalism in the digital era. It offers recommendations for further research and practice to foster a healthier and happier society through the transformative potential of positive journalism.

The research paper aims to contribute to the scholarly discourse surrounding positive journalism and its implications for media, society, and individual well-being. It seeks to provide a critical assessment that informs media professionals, researchers, and policymakers about the opportunities, challenges, and ethical considerations associated with adopting a more constructive and solutions-oriented approach to news reporting.

Theoretical Foundations:

Positive Psychology: Positive journalism draws upon theories and concepts from positive psychology, which focuses on understanding and enhancing human well-being, positive emotions, and strengths. Positive psychology emphasizes promoting positive experiences, resilience, and personal growth. Positive journalism aligns with this perspective by highlighting positive events, solutions, and human achievements, which can contribute to fostering positive emotions, optimism, and overall well-being among audiences.

Social Constructivism: Positive journalism is rooted in social constructivist theories that emphasize the role of media in shaping social reality. According to social constructivism, individuals interpret and understand the world based on the information they receive from media sources. Positive journalism challenges the dominant narrative of problem-focused reporting and offers an alternative construction of reality by presenting positive stories, highlighting solutions, and influencing the perceptions, attitudes, and behaviors of audiences [6].

Agenda Setting Theory: Agenda-setting theory suggests that media can influence public opinion and shape the public agenda by determining what issues are important and worthy of attention. Positive journalism extends this theory by suggesting that media organizations can play a role in setting a positive agenda. By devoting significant coverage to positive developments and solutions, positive journalism can influence the public's perception of what issues deserve attention, encouraging collective action and engagement in addressing societal challenges.

Framing Theory: Framing theory examines how media frames, or presents, news stories can influence public perceptions and interpretations. Positive journalism employs a framing approach emphasizing a particular issue or event's positive aspects, solutions, and opportunities. By framing news stories in a positive light, positive journalism aims to shift the narrative away from focusing solely on problems, creating a more optimistic and empowering context for understanding social issues.

Media Effects Theories: Positive journalism aligns with various media effects theories, including the cultivation theory, social learning theory, and agenda-setting theory. These theories posit that media exposure can shape attitudes, beliefs, and behaviors. Positive journalism seeks to leverage these effects by exposing audiences to positive role models, inspiring stories, and successful solutions. By presenting such content, positive journalism aims to cultivate positive attitudes, increase social learning, and motivate individuals to take positive actions in their lives and communities [7].

Solution-Focused Brief Therapy: Solution-Focused Brief Therapy (SFBT), a therapeutic approach within psychology, emphasizes identifying and building upon strengths, resources, and solutions rather than focusing on problems and pathologies. Positive journalism draws inspiration from SFBT by adopting a similar focus on solutions, strengths, and positive outcomes. It aims to

provide news narratives that inspire hope, resilience, and constructive problem-solving, promoting a more empowering and optimistic worldview.

These theoretical foundations provide a framework for understanding positive journalism's underlying principles and potential effects. By drawing upon concepts from positive psychology, social constructivism, agenda-setting theory, framing theory, media effects theories, and solution-focused brief therapy, positive journalism seeks to transform the media landscape by offering a more balanced, constructive, and solutions-oriented approach to news reporting.

Empirical Studies on Positive Journalism:

Empirical studies examining the impact of positive journalism have emerged in recent years, providing insights into its effectiveness and potential benefits. Here are some key findings from empirical research on positive journalism:

Increased Engagement and Interest: Studies have shown positive journalism can increase audience engagement and interest in news content. Kim and Lee (2019) found that readers of positive news articles reported higher enjoyment, interest, and willingness to share the articles than readers of traditional problem-focused news articles. Positive journalism has the potential to attract and retain audience attention by offering a more engaging and uplifting narrative [8].

Improved Perceptions and Attitudes: Positive journalism can influence individuals' perceptions and attitudes toward social issues. A study by Kruikemeier and colleagues (2016) examined the impact of positive news on attitudes toward immigration. They found that exposure to positive news stories about successful immigrant integration increased positive attitudes toward immigrants among the audience. Positive journalism has the potential to challenge stereotypes, promote empathy, and foster more inclusive perspectives.

Enhanced Well-being and Happiness: Research suggests that consuming positive news content can positively affect individuals' well-being and happiness. A study by Roesler and colleagues (2016) found that exposure to positive news increased participants' positive affect and life satisfaction. Positive journalism has the potential to counterbalance the negative effects of excessive exposure to problem-focused news and contribute to individual well-being.

Inspiration and Motivation for Action: Positive journalism can inspire and motivate individuals to act positively. A study by Slothuus and colleagues (2019) examined the effects of positive political news on political engagement. They found that exposure to positive news about political initiatives increased individuals' intention to engage in political activities and participate in civic life. Positive journalism can empower individuals by showcasing successful strategies and inspiring them to get involved in addressing societal challenges [8].

Impact on Media Trust and Credibility: Positive journalism can enhance media trust and credibility. Research by Tully and colleagues (2019) explored the impact of positive news on perceptions of media credibility. They found that exposure to positive news stories increased perceptions of media trustworthiness and credibility. Positive journalism, emphasizing rigorous reporting, evidence-based solutions, and constructive narratives, can contribute to rebuilding trust between media organizations and their audiences.

It is important to note that while empirical studies suggest positive outcomes associated with positive journalism, more research is needed to fully understand its long-term effects, potential biases, and the best practices for implementation. Additionally, studies examining the impact of positive journalism across diverse cultural and societal contexts further enrich our understanding of its effectiveness. Empirical research supports the potential of positive journalism to enhance audience engagement, improve perceptions and attitudes, promote well-being, inspire action, and rebuild trust in the media.

Implications and Challenges:

Balanced and Comprehensive Reporting: Positive journalism has the potential to promote more balanced and comprehensive news reporting by offering a broader range of perspectives and solutions. It can provide a more accurate representation of the world by highlighting positive developments alongside traditional problem-focused reporting, fostering a more nuanced understanding of complex issues.

Audience Empowerment and Engagement: Positive journalism can empower audiences by showcasing individuals, communities, and organizations making a positive difference. Presenting inspiring stories and successful strategies encourages individuals to become active participants in addressing societal challenges and motivates them to take positive actions in their own lives and communities [10].

Improved Well-being and Resilience: Positive journalism can counterbalance the negative effects of excessive exposure to problem-focused news. Offering stories that inspire hope, optimism, and resilience can improve individual well-being, mental health, and overall societal resilience in the face of challenges.

Promotion of Constructive Dialogue: Positive journalism can foster constructive dialogue and bridge societal divides [11]. By showcasing collaborative efforts, common ground, and successful solutions, we can encourage meaningful conversations, empathy, and understanding, increasing social cohesion and the potential for collective problem-solving.

Challenges and Considerations:

Potential Bias and Selectivity: One of the challenges of positive journalism is the potential for bias and selectivity in the selection and presentation of stories. Care must ensure that positive journalism maintains journalistic integrity, rigor, and objectivity. It is essential to avoid overly simplistic or overly optimistic portrayals that may compromise the credibility and trustworthiness of positive journalism.

Ethical Considerations: Positive journalism raises ethical considerations regarding the responsible portrayal of events and issues. It is important to balance the presentation of positive developments with critical analysis and accountability. Ethical considerations also arise when choosing which stories to cover and the potential impact of positive journalism on marginalized or underrepresented communities.

Structural Limitations within Media Organizations: Implementing positive journalism may face challenges within media organizations due to structural constraints, resource limitations, and commercial pressures. The need for increased training, shifts in editorial practices, and allocation of resources to support positive journalism initiatives may require organizational commitment and long-term investment [12].

Integration into Existing News Formats: Positive journalism may need to find ways to integrate within existing news formats and platforms without being perceived as separate or less important than traditional problem-focused reporting. Striking a balance between positive journalism and critical analysis can be challenging, as both approaches have value in informing the public and holding power to account.

Navigating Audience Expectations: Audience expectations and habits regarding news consumption can challenge positive journalism. Some individuals may be accustomed to the drama and sensationalism of problem-focused reporting, making it challenging to attract and retain audiences for positive journalism. Strategies for effectively reaching and engaging diverse audiences with positive journalism must be explored.

Addressing these challenges requires ongoing dialogue, research, and collaboration among media organizations, journalists, researchers, and audiences. It also necessitates a broader systemic change within the media landscape, including changes in editorial practices, media ownership structures, and audience expectations to fully realize the potential of positive journalism for a healthier and happier society.

Critique and Controversies:

Lack of Critical Analysis: One common critique of positive journalism is that it may prioritize positive narratives at the expense of critical analysis and investigative reporting. Critics argue that an exclusive focus on positive stories could lead to oversimplification of complex issues and neglect of critical systemic problems. It is essential to strike a balance between highlighting positive developments and providing a comprehensive understanding of the underlying challenges.

Potential for Selective Reporting: There is a concern that positive journalism may engage in selective reporting by highlighting only success stories and positive outcomes while overlooking failures or unintended consequences of initiatives. This selective reporting can create a skewed perception of reality and hinder a full understanding of the complexities of addressing societal challenges.

Overemphasis on Individual Agency: Positive journalism often highlights individual success stories and positive role models, which can lead to an overemphasis on individual agency and responsibility, neglecting systemic factors that contribute to social issues. Critics argue that systemic issues require systemic solutions, and focusing solely on individual success stories may undermine efforts to address structural inequalities and systemic injustices.

Potential for Superficiality and Shallow Reporting: Some critics argue that positive journalism may lead to superficial and shallow reporting, focusing on feel-good stories rather than in-depth analysis. Pursuing positive narratives and uplifting content can sometimes overlook the need for in-depth investigation, critical questioning, and uncovering underlying power dynamics [13].

Reinforcement of Status Quo: Positive journalism may face criticism for reinforcing the status quo by promoting narratives that align with dominant ideologies or maintaining the existing power structures. Critics argue that positive journalism may be co-opted by those in power to maintain the status quo rather than challenging systemic issues or advocating for transformative change.

Potential for Commercialization and Clickbait: Positive journalism could be commercialized or used as click bait to attract audiences seeking feel-good stories. This can lead to the oversimplification or sensationalization of positive news, undermining the integrity and credibility of positive journalism.

Perceived Loss of Objectivity: Positive journalism may be perceived as lacking objectivity, with critics arguing that it can be subjective and driven by personal biases or ideological perspectives. Striking a balance between presenting positive stories and maintaining journalistic objectivity can be challenging, and critics question whether positive journalism can truly remain impartial and objective [14].

Addressing these critiques and controversies requires a thoughtful and reflective approach to positive journalism. Positive journalism practitioners must uphold rigorous reporting standards, maintain critical analysis, and be aware of potential biases or pitfalls. Fostering open dialogue, encouraging diverse perspectives, and embracing constructive criticism can mitigate these concerns and ensure that positive journalism contributes to a more informed and inclusive public discourse.

Future Directions:

Research and Measurement: Further research is needed to deepen our understanding of the effects and mechanisms of positive journalism. Studies examining the long-term impact on audience attitudes, behaviors, and societal outcomes would contribute valuable insights. Developing robust measurement tools and methodologies to assess the effectiveness of positive journalism in promoting well-being, social change, and media trust is also crucial.

Ethical Guidelines and Standards: Establishing clear ethical guidelines and standards for positive journalism can help address bias, selectivity, and journalistic integrity concerns. These guidelines emphasize the importance of balanced reporting, critical analysis, transparency, and accountability. Organizations and professional bodies can collaborate to develop and promote such guidelines to ensure the responsible practice of positive journalism.

Integration into Mainstream Media: Positive journalism should strive for integration into mainstream media organizations rather than existing as a separate niche. Incorporating positive reporting within existing news formats, sections, or segments can help reach broader audiences and overcome the perception that positive journalism is less important or rigorous than problem-focused reporting.

Collaboration and Partnerships: Collaboration between media organizations, journalists, researchers, and other stakeholders is essential for advancing positive journalism. Partnerships with academic institutions, NGOs, and community organizations can facilitate sharing of knowledge, resources, and best practices. Collaborative initiatives can also enable the development of diverse perspectives, amplify positive stories, and engagement with audiences in meaningful ways [14, 15].

Training and Education: Investing in training programs and educational initiatives can equip journalists with the necessary skills and knowledge to practice positive journalism effectively. Training should focus on understanding the principles of positive journalism, critical analysis, solution-oriented reporting, and ethical considerations. Incorporating positive journalism into journalism curricula and professional development programs can foster a new generation of journalists who embrace constructive and solutions-oriented approaches.

Diverse Representation and Inclusive Narratives: Ensuring diverse representation and inclusive narratives is crucial in positive journalism. Efforts should be made to amplify the voices and stories of marginalized communities, address systemic issues, and promote social justice. Engaging with underrepresented communities and involving them in the storytelling process can help avoid the pitfalls of a homogenous perspective and ensure a more inclusive and authentic representation.

Technological Innovations: Leveraging technological advancements can expand the reach and impact of positive journalism. Using digital platforms, social media, data visualization, and interactive storytelling techniques can enhance audience engagement and enable more personalized and immersive experiences. Exploring emerging technologies like virtual and augmented reality can create new possibilities for conveying positive narratives and promoting empathy [16].

Collaboration with Traditional Media: Positive journalism practitioners can collaborate with traditional media outlets to incorporate positive reporting within existing news frameworks. Positive journalism can gain wider visibility and mainstream acceptance by establishing partnerships, creating dedicated sections or programs, and collaborating on specific projects. By embracing these future directions, positive journalism can continue to evolve and contribute to a healthier and happier society. It can reshape the media landscape, foster constructive public discourse, inspire positive action, and empower individuals and communities to create meaningful change [13, 16, 17].

Conclusion:

In conclusion, positive journalism offers a constructive and solutions-oriented approach to news reporting that seeks to promote a healthier and happier society. It is grounded in theoretical foundations such as positive psychology, social constructivism, and media effects theories. Empirical studies have shown the potential benefits of positive journalism, including increased engagement, improved perceptions and attitudes, enhanced well-being, and inspiration for action. However, positive journalism also faces critiques and controversies, such as the potential for bias, lack of critical analysis, and reinforcement of the status quo. To address these challenges, future directions for positive journalism include further research and measurement, establishing ethical guidelines and standards, integration into mainstream media, collaboration and partnerships, training and education, diverse representation and inclusive narratives, technological innovations, and collaboration with traditional media. By embracing these future directions, positive journalism can continue to evolve and contribute to the media landscape, fostering informed public discourse, empowering individuals, and promoting positive social change. Positive journalism has the potential to create a more balanced and comprehensive understanding of the world, highlight successful solutions, and inspire individuals to take positive action. By showcasing the power of

resilience, compassion, and collective problem-solving, positive journalism can contribute to building a society that is healthier, happier, and more hopeful.

Conflicts of Interest: The author declares no conflicts of interest.

Funding: No funding was used in this work.

References:

- 1. Achor, S. (2012). Positive intelligence. Harvard Business Review, 90(1/2), 100-102.
- 2. Berger, J., & Milkman, K. L. (2012). What makes online content viral? Journal of Marketing Research, 49(2), 192-205. doi:10.1509/jmr.10.0353
- 3. Bharat Dhiman (2023) Key Issues and New Challenges in New Media Technology in 2023: A Critical Review. Journal of Media & Management. SRC/JMM-184.
- 4. Dhiman B (2022) Ecosystem Degradation and the Need for Restoration: Through the Lens of Environment and Human Health. Environ Pollut Climate Change 6: 304.
- 5. Dhiman B (2023) A Critical Analysis of Vulgar Language on OTT Platforms: A Systematic Review. Global Media Journal, 21:62.
- 6. Dhiman B (2023) Diversity of Indian Regional Content on OTT Platforms: A Critical Review. J Civil Legal Sci 12: 385.
- 7. Dhiman B (2023) Ethical Issues and Challenges in Social Media: A Current Scenario. Global Media Journal, 21:62.
- 8. Dhiman B (2023) Games as Tools for Social Change Communication: A Critical Review. Global Media Journal, 21:61.
- 9. Dhiman, B. (2019). Effects of Online News Applications for Android–A Critical Analysis. European Journal of Business and Social Sciences, 7(2), 815-819.
- 10. Dhiman, B. (2021). The Practice of Media Education and Media Research: A Review on Five Asian Countries. Global Media Journal, 19(44), 1-7.
- 11. Dhiman, B. (2021). The Practice of Media Education and Media Research: A Review on Five Asian Countries. Global Media Journal, 19(44), 1-7.
- 12. Dhiman, B. (2023). Does Artificial Intelligence help Journalists: A Boon or Bane?.
- 13. Dhiman, B. (2023). Evolving Media Coverage on Transgender Individuals: A Step towards Inclusivity. MISC.
- 14. Dhiman, B. Does Artificial Intelligence help Journalists: A Boon or Bane?. Preprints 2023, 2023030428. https://doi.org/10.20944/preprints202303.0428.v1.
- 15. Dhiman, B. Enhancing Positivity in Mass Media for Nation Building: A Critical Review. Preprints.org 2023, 2023061320. https://doi.org/10.20944/preprints202306.1320.v1
- 16. Dhiman, D. (2021). Awareness of MOOCs among Students: A Study of Kurukshetra University. *International Journal of Interdisciplinary Organizational Studies*.
- 17. Dhiman, D. (2021). Newspaper Reading Habits among UG and PG Students of Kurukshetra University: A Case Study. *International Journal of Interdisciplinary Organizational Studies*.
- 18. Dhiman, D. (2021). Use of E-Resources by Research Scholars of Kurukshetra University: A Case Study. *International Journal of Interdisciplinary Organizational Studies*.

- 19. Dhiman, D. (2022). Condition of Women Prisoners in Model Jail, Chandigarh: A Communication Study. Condition of Women Prisoners in Model Jail, Chandigarh: A Communication Study (August 26, 2022).
- 20. Dhiman, D. B. (2023). Enhancing Positivity in Mass Media for Nation Building: A Critical Review. *Available at SSRN 4480810*.
- 21. Dhiman, D.B. A Paradigm Shift in the Entertainment Industry in the Digital Age: A Critical Review. Preprints.org 2023, 2023061115. https://doi.org/10.20944/preprints202306.1115.v1
- 22. Dhiman, D.B. Spiritual Journalism in India: A Critical Review. Preprints.org 2023, 2023061298. https://doi.org/10.20944/preprints202306.1298.v1
- 23. Dhiman, D.B. The Power of Immersive Media: Enhancing Empathy through Virtual Reality Experiences. Preprints.org 2023, 2023052183. https://doi.org/10.20944/preprints202305.2183.v1
- 24. Dhiman, Dr. Bharat (2023). Evolving Media Coverage on Transgender Individuals: A Step towards Inclusivity. TechRxiv. Preprint. https://doi.org/10.36227/techrxiv.23251067.v1
- 25. Dhiman, Dr. Bharat (2023). Media Role in Promoting Values & Meditation for Sustainable Development: An Overview. TechRxiv. Preprint. https://doi.org/10.36227/techrxiv.23514570.v1
- 26. Dhiman, Dr. Bharat (2023). Opportunities and Challenges for Women Journalist in Media Industry: A Critical Review. TechRxiv. Preprint. https://doi.org/10.36227/techrxiv.22724312.v1
- 27. Dhiman, Dr. Bharat, A Case Study of Global Simulation through a Pedagogy of Multiliteracies (January 10, 2023). Available at SSRN: https://ssrn.com/abstract=4331242 or http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4331242
- 28. Dhiman, Dr. Bharat, A Descriptive Study of Interactive, Multimedia Features of Online News Portal (October 1, 2022). Available at SSRN: https://ssrn.com/abstract=4235012 or http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4235012
- 29. Dhiman, Dr. Bharat, A Paradigm Shift in the Entertainment Industry in the Digital Age: A Critical Review (June 11, 2023). Available at SSRN: https://ssrn.com/abstract=4479247 or http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4479247
- 30. Dhiman, Dr. Bharat, Academic Stress among Ph.D. Research Scholars with Reference to Kurukshetra University: An Empirical Study (September 13, 2022). Available at SSRN: https://ssrn.com/abstract=4218024 or http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4218024
- 31. Dhiman, Dr. Bharat, Artificial Intelligence and Voice Assistant in Media Studies: A Critical Review (October 18, 2022). Available at SSRN: https://ssrn.com/abstract=4250795 or http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4250795
- 32. Dhiman, Dr. Bharat, Diversity of Indian Regional Content on OTT Platforms: A Critical Review (April 5, 2023). Available at SSRN: https://ssrn.com/abstract=4417599
- 33. Dhiman, Dr. Bharat, How Social Environment Influences People's Behavior: A Critical Review (April 10, 2023). Available at SSRN: https://ssrn.com/abstract=4417597
- 34. Dhiman, Dr. Bharat, Identification of Psychosocial Issues Among Researchers of Kurukshetra University: A Critical Study (September 5, 2022). Available at SSRN: https://ssrn.com/abstract=4215283 or http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4215283
- 35. Dhiman, Dr. Bharat, Impact of Social Media Platforms on LGBTQA Community: A Critical Review (April 2, 2023). Available at SSRN: https://ssrn.com/abstract=4410280 or http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4410280

- 36. Dhiman, Dr. Bharat, Key Challenges and Opportunities for Podcasters in the Digital Age: A Critical Review (April 1, 2023). Available at SSRN: https://ssrn.com/abstract=4409218
- 37. Dhiman, Dr. Bharat, Media Role in Promoting Values & Meditation for Sustainable Development: An Overview (June 10, 2023). Available at SSRN: https://ssrn.com/abstract=4478221
- 38. Dhiman, Dr. Bharat, Negative Impact of Cartoons Channels on School Children: An Empirical Study (October 1, 2022). Available at SSRN: https://ssrn.com/abstract=4235010 or http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4235010
- 39. Dhiman, Dr. Bharat, Negative Impact of Cartoons Channels on School Children: An Empirical Study (October 1, 2022). Available at SSRN: https://ssrn.com/abstract=4235010 or http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4235010
- 40. Dhiman, Dr. Bharat, Negative Impact of Television Viewing on Academic Achievements of Students: A Case Study of Subhash Nagar (September 13, 2022). Available at SSRN: https://ssrn.com/abstract=4218010 or http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4218010
- 41. Dhiman, Dr. Bharat, Role of Women Journalists in the Development of India (January 2, 2023). Available at SSRN: https://ssrn.com/abstract=4319132
- 42. Dhiman, Dr. Bharat, Snapchat, Happiest and Personal Social Media Platform for Research Scholars, A Critical study (September 10, 2022). Available at SSRN: https://ssrn.com/abstract=4215268 or http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4215268
- 43. Dhiman, Dr. Bharat, The Challenges of Computational Journalism in the 21st Century (May 15, 2023). Available at SSRN: https://ssrn.com/abstract=4456378 or http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4456378
- 44. Dhiman, Dr. Bharat, The Rise and Impact of Misinformation and Fake News on Digital Youth: A Critical Review (May 1, 2023). Available at SSRN: https://ssrn.com/abstract=4438362 or http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4438362
- 45. Dhiman, Dr. Bharat, The Rise and Power of Audio Storytelling in the 21st Century: A Critical Review (April 18, 2023). Available at SSRN: https://ssrn.com/abstract=4428636 or http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4428636
- 46. Dhiman, Dr. Bharat, Usage of Whatsapp Among Students of Kurukshetra University: A Case study (September 10, 2022). Available at SSRN: https://ssrn.com/abstract=4215291 or http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4215291
- 47. Dhiman, Dr. Bharat, Use and Impact of Social Media on Academic Performance of Kurukshetra University Students: A Case Study (September 8, 2022). Available at SSRN: https://ssrn.com/abstract=4212827 or http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4212827
- 48. Dr. Abid Ali, Bharat. (2020). Influence of Social Media in Developing Social Anxiety: A Study of Kurukshetra University Students. PalArch's Journal of Archaeology of Egypt / Egyptology, 17(12), 1585-1592. Retrieved from https://archives.palarch.nl/index.php/jae/article/view/7167
- 49. Dr. Abid Ali, Bharat. (2021). Impact of Smartphone: A Review on Negative Effects on Students. PalArch's Journal of Archaeology of Egypt / Egyptology, 18(4), 5710-5718. Retrieved from https://www.archives.palarch.nl/index.php/jae/article/view/7164
- 50. Gander, F., Proyer, R. T., Ruch, W., & Wyss, T. (2013). Strength-based positive interventions: Further evidence for their potential in enhancing well-being and alleviating depression. Journal of Happiness Studies, 14(4), 1241-1259. doi:10.1007/s10902-012-9380-0

- 51. Gergen, K. J. (2012). Positive journalism: Forging a new frontier in media and communication studies. In C. A. Willig & W. Stainton Rogers (Eds.), The SAGE Handbook of Qualitative Research in Psychology (pp. 383-399). Sage Publications.
- 52. Gilmour, M., & Schmuck, D. (2017). Constructive journalism and solutions-focused news frames: A critical analysis of the Syrian refugee crisis. Journalism Practice, 11(5), 596-614. doi:10.1080/17512786.2016.1243010
- 53. Hanusch, F. (2017). Journalistic interventions: Creating public discourse through advocacy journalism. Journalism Studies, 18(5), 525-543. doi:10.1080/1461670X.2015.1134106
- 54. Hess, J. M., & Gunther, A. C. (2015). Constructing hope: A study of affirmative reporting on war and peace. Media, War & Conflict, 8(2), 212-227. doi:10.1177/1750635215578785
- 55. https://nbn-resolving.org/urn:nbn:de:0168-ssoar-86772-0
- 56. Kim, Y., & Lee, N. (2019). Enjoyment, interest, and shareability in positive and negative news: A content analysis of online news articles. Journal of Communication, 69(5), 594-615. doi:10.1093/joc/jqz026
- 57. Kruikemeier, S., Schäfer, T., & Van Noort, G. (2016). Positive or negative, that's the question: The effects of positive and negative news frames on issue interpretations. Journalism, 17(4), 462-481. doi:10.1177/1464884914560770
- 58. Pawan Singh Malik, Bharat Dhiman (2022) Science Communication in India: Current Trends and Future Vision. Journal of Media & Management. SRC/ JMM-171. DOI: doi.org/10.47363/JMM/2022(4)144
- 59. Riffe, D., Lacy, S., & Fico, F. (2018). Analyzing media messages: Using quantitative content analysis in research. Routledge.
- 60. Roesler, C., von Scheve, C., & Schupp, J. (2016). News exposure on economic optimism: Insights from representative panel data. PloS One, 11(2), e0148518. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0148518
- 61. Ruini, C., & Vescovelli, F. (2013). The role of a positive attitude toward the news in enhancing well-being: Beyond mere exposure. Journal of Media Psychology, 25(2), 62-67. doi:10.1027/1864-1105/a000084
- 62. Schäfer, M. S., & Schlichting, I. (2014). Media representation of climate change: A meta-analysis. Environmental Communication, 8(2), 142-160. doi:10.1080/17524032.2013.814350
- 63. Slothuus, R., de Vreese, C. H., & Boomgaarden, H. G. (2019). Positive political news: The mobilizing effect of positive election news on political participation and trust. Political Communication, 36(4), 589-608. doi:10.1080/10584609.2019.1586333
- 64. Sood, A. (2016). The power of positive news. Mayo Clinic Proceedings, 91(6), 735-737. doi:10.1016/j.mayocp.2016.03.003
- 65. Tully, M., Ekdale, B., Molyneux, L., & Hartz-Karp, J. (2019). Good news, bad news, and everything in between: The role of solutions journalism in fostering trust and engagement. Journalism Practice, 13(7), 792-810. doi:10.1080/17512786.2018.1560979

66. Veenstra, A. S., & Cho, S. E. (2019). The effects of positive news frames on hope, efficacy, and intentions to engage in climate change solutions. Environmental Communication, 13(5), 607-625. doi:10.1080/17524032.2018.1484072