

A Heated Debate: Climate Change and Conflict in Africa

Soest, Christian von

Veröffentlichungsversion / Published Version

Arbeitspapier / working paper

Zur Verfügung gestellt in Kooperation mit / provided in cooperation with:

GIGA German Institute of Global and Area Studies

Empfohlene Zitierung / Suggested Citation:

Soest, C. v. (2020). *A Heated Debate: Climate Change and Conflict in Africa*. (GIGA Focus Afrika, 2). Hamburg: GIGA German Institute of Global and Area Studies - Leibniz-Institut für Globale und Regionale Studien, Institut für Afrika-Studien. <https://nbn-resolving.org/urn:nbn:de:0168-ssoar-67161-7>

Nutzungsbedingungen:

Dieser Text wird unter einer CC BY-ND Lizenz (Namensnennung-Keine Bearbeitung) zur Verfügung gestellt. Nähere Auskünfte zu den CC-Lizenzen finden Sie hier: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nd/3.0/deed.de>

Terms of use:

This document is made available under a CC BY-ND Licence (Attribution-NoDerivatives). For more information see: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nd/3.0>



Christian von Soest

A Heated Debate: Climate Change and Conflict in Africa

GIGA Focus | Africa | Number 2 | April 2020 | ISSN 1862-3603

The worst drought in a century in Southern Africa at the end of last year slowed the iconic Victoria Falls on the Zimbabwean–Zambian border to a rill, fuelling renewed discussion about climate change. It also epitomised the potential repercussions of the phenomenon for livelihoods and security on the African continent. However, current research only sees a weak connection between climate and violent conflict.

- Sub-Saharan Africa is the world region most affected by climate change. Parts of East Africa, the Sahel, and Southern Africa have been the most severely impacted on. Existing research largely sees climate change as a “threat multiplier,” and perceives high poverty and low state capability as more influential drivers of conflict.
- General assessments of how climate affects conflict mask important differences: In some parts of Africa, extreme weather events (such as droughts) and rising temperatures threaten the most vulnerable already – namely, those that are poor and rely on rain-fed agriculture. Pastoralist agricultural production and pronounced differences between ethnic groups are particularly dangerous risk factors. Yet uncertainty about climate’s precise effects is still high.
- Under certain conditions, climate change can lead to increased conflict but also cooperation in affected communities across Africa. New research needs to investigate more thoroughly the mechanisms underlying how individuals and communities react to weather extremes and long-term climatic changes. It is of particular relevance to understand how increased societal cooperation as well as adequate state policies can help overcome climate change’s adverse effects among those most vulnerable people.

Policy Implications

Following up on its last report from 2014, in 2022 the climate–conflict link will be re-evaluated for the next Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) Assessment Report. Better understanding the pathways that lead to violent conflict and focusing on the most vulnerable members of society, namely those who directly rely on rain-fed agriculture, is a necessary precondition for devising adequate policies to tame climate change’s adverse effects on security. Supporting the mitigation of climate change’s detrimental effects for the most vulnerable in Africa should be a key focus for European and German Africa policy.



Dr. Christian von Soest

Lead Research Fellow

christian.vonsoest@giga-hamburg.de

GIGA German Institute of Global and Area Studies

Leibniz-Institut für Globale

und Regionale Studien

Neuer Jungfernstieg 21

20354 Hamburg

www.giga-hamburg.de/giga-focus

Climate Change: Increased Competition over Scarce Resources

Recent media and non-governmental organisation reports indicate that competition over land and other scarce resources is increasingly turning violent in many African countries. According to Amnesty International (2018), more than 3,600 people died as part of conflicts between farmers and herders in Nigeria from the beginning of 2016 and October 2018. The organisation links these violent clashes to competition over land, water, and pastures. Similarly, in the border region of Kenya, South Sudan, and Uganda struggles between pastoralist groups seem to have intensified. In a recent article, *The Economist* (2019) directly connects the violence of Boko Haram in Nigeria to diminishing livelihoods and the corresponding susceptibility to radical ideologies caused by the shrinking of Lake Chad: “As the lake receded, people moved towards it, plagued by swarms of tsetse flies. Herdspeople, farmers and fisherfolk competed for access to the shrunken supply of water.”

These extreme weather events epitomise a general trend across sub-Saharan Africa. The world region’s environmental conditions are changing already, and climate change will have further profound consequences. Surface temperatures have increased by 0.5°C over the last 50 years across most parts of Africa, and are projected to increase faster compared to the rest of the world. This will have three major consequences: First, droughts have already become more frequent and intense – analysts expect them to get worse, especially in Central and Southern Africa. Second, rainfall will become increasingly unpredictable; more extreme rain will lead to worse floods on dry soils. Third and finally, desertification will reduce the arable land available to farmers and pastoralists, and will thereby increase resource scarcity and environmental stress. Higher temperatures and droughts will reduce crop yields, and have adverse effects on the health of the livestock – most notably cattle – people depend on.

Ultimately, the impacts of climate change threaten the food security and the livelihoods of affected communities. However, the nexus between this changed climate and violent conflict in Africa is less straightforward than media reports imply. To start with, there are different forms of conflict – most prominently, “armed conflict” between the state and rebel groups or “non-state conflict” between communities (for instance between herders and farmers). While increased competition over resources can – under specific conditions – trigger violent conflict between communities, there is less evidence in the literature for it having the same effect on armed conflict between the state and rebel groups. In addition, there are multiple potential pathways. For instance, there remains uncertainty about the level and effect of environmentally induced migration. On the one hand, people leaving regions that have become uninhabitable will increase the pressure on arable land and water sources in the areas they head to. On the other, out-migration also reduces the environmental stress in regions strongly affected by climate change. Thus, violence is by no means inevitable.

Based on existing analyses, Figure 1 below presents some of climate change’s potential effects on violent conflict in Africa. It is not meant to be an exhaustive list, rather to demonstrate the multiple potential pathways from the former to the latter – as well as the complexity of their relationship.




Figure 1
Potential Pathways
from Climate Change
to Violent Conflict in
Africa

Source: Author's own representation, based on current research (see, for instance, Brzoska and Fröhlich 2016).

In addition, geographical variation is considerable when it comes to the risks of climate change. According to predictions, two regions in Africa are especially vulnerable to climate-related (violent) conflict: East Africa, and the arid lands in West Africa lying below the Sahara Desert, the Sahel. Here, the climate could change drastically and competition over shrinking fertile land be particularly pronounced. What factors exactly put these two regions at particular risk?

- The people in both regions strongly depend on natural resources for their livelihoods, especially rain-fed farmland and pastures.
- The high prevalence of pastoral communities in East Africa and a combination of pastoral and agricultural livelihoods (pastoralists versus herders) in the Sahel might create antagonisms between different communities.
- A rapidly growing population in the last decades has raised demand for already-scarce arable land.
- State capacity to adapt to and cope with the effects of climate change is low in the two regions. Widespread poverty and a history of violence in many parts of both the Sahel and East Africa pose additional risks.
- The Sahel especially is expected to see further increased weather extremes. Rainfall there varies significantly, which leads to more frequent and intense droughts and floods.




Figure 2
Droughts and Violent
Conflicts in Africa
(2016)

Source: Centre for Environmental Data Analysis (Peng et al. 2019) and UCDP's Georeferenced Event Dataset (Sundberg and Melander 2013). Note: Droughts are measured using the Standardized Precipitation and Evapotranspiration Index accumulated across all 12 months of the year (the main trends hold for three- and five-year averages up until 2016). Areas coloured red indicate unusually dry conditions over the year. Extremely arid areas, such as the Sahara Desert, are not covered.

Figure 2 above depicts the regions in Africa that were hit by severe droughts in 2016, the last year for which comprehensive data is available, as well as the incidence of deadly violence as assessed by the Uppsala Conflict Data Program (UCDP, incidents where at least one individual was killed by political violence; data also for the year 2016). The goal here is not to imply a direct causal connection between climate change and violent conflict, but to highlight their complex relationship: While there is a clear geographical overlap between substantial droughts and lethal violence (with the Sahel and parts of East Africa being hotspots for both drought and violent conflict), a number of conflict events did not coincide with droughts in this particular year.

Climate and Conflict: A Heated Debate

The relationship between climate change and violent conflict is complex, and contested. The scientific findings are not as clear as some media reports make the relationship out to be. In fact, there has been a heated debate within the scientific community about whether and how climatic conditions substantially increase the risk of violent conflict. In 2009, Burke et al. published a highly influential paper in which they claimed a strong historical link between civil war and high temperatures in Africa. They combined their findings with climate projections, estimating that by 2030 armed conflict will have increased by 54 per cent – with an additional 393,000 battle-related deaths caused by rising temperatures.

Other scholars have strongly criticised these alarming findings. Buhaug (2010) took issue with the operationalisation of conflicts, the narrow time horizon, and with the statistical methods used, and showed that the conflict-driving effect is extremely sensitive to model specifications. Consequently, he concludes that “[t]he simple fact is this: climate characteristics and variability are unrelated to short-term variations in civil war risk in sub-Saharan Africa. The primary causes of civil war are political, not environmental” (Buhaug 2010: 16481).

Part of the reason for this controversy is also that studies use different thresholds for “violent conflict.” Most assess 25 fatalities, the standard number in conflict research, while others work with the measure of one killed person; other researchers besides focus on rebel and communal violence, or simply citizens’ support for violence. Sampling bias in case selection is considered a further problem (Adams et al. 2018). However, the current consensus seems to be that – compared to other factors – climate and climate change only exert a weak effect on violent conflict, be it non-state or in particular the armed conflict between rebels and the state. In order to identify the points of agreement within the scientific community, Mach et al. (2019) recently conducted an expert elicitation process. These experts estimated that to date climate-related factors have affected only about 5 per cent of intrastate conflicts. They conclude: “Climate variability and/or change is low on the ranked list of the most influential conflict drivers across experiences to date, and the experts rank it as the most uncertain in its influence” (Mach et al. 2019: 2).

Another perspective even argues that the joint exposure to environmental hazards creates an opportunity for enhanced cooperation. Going by this argument, natural disasters can create a “community of fate” wherein societal differences are superseded by the need to overcome the damage caused by a catastrophe. For ex-

ample, a recent study showed that droughts in East Africa increased the social trust within *and* across ethnic groups when all groups are equally affected (De Juan and Hänze 2019). Another argument on “environmental peacebuilding” extends this perspective to the process of peace-making between states. Here, environmental problems act as a “foot in the door” to further cooperation. Again, this phenomenon is most evident in Africa (Ide 2018).

Underlying Mechanisms: How Climate Change Affects Conflict

Not only do scholars consider climate’s general effects on violent conflict to be low compared to other factors, there is also considerable uncertainty about the underlying mechanisms that create this connection. Highly aggregated research trying to link climate variability and conflict has often provided unclear results. Climate’s effects are always conditional on further political, economic, and social factors. Consequently, there have been prominent calls in the scientific literature to more thoroughly investigate how and when climate change affects conflict. We need to know why in some communities suffering weather extremes violent conflict emerges while in others it does not.

For instance, the research findings on worsening livelihood conditions remain ambivalent. Buhaug et al. (2015) find no link between reduced agricultural output and violent conflict in sub-Saharan Africa, even in the countries where rainfall variability has the biggest impact on food production (similar for Syria, Fröhlich 2016). However, a meta-analysis demonstrates that worsening livelihood conditions in East Africa increase the risk of livestock raiding and communal conflict (van Baalen and Mobjörk 2018). A second prominent but hitherto little understood pathway linking climate variation to conflict is migration. Environmental stress can trigger the decision to migrate, and in turn increase competition over land in the receiving regions. In this sense, migration is both a way to adapt to changing environmental conditions and a potential driver of conflict.

State policies are one – if not *the* most important – factor that significantly influence the risk climate change poses, for instance shaping the effects of environmental migration. While there is an urgent need for more systematic research on the interplay between climatic changes and state policies, we do have evidence that the wrong policies can be devastating. In Nigeria, climate-induced land degradation and increasing violence in the north of the country have forced pastoralists south, where they clash repeatedly with farmers. The encroachment of farmland blocks traditional migration routes, while farmers suffer from the damage that livestock inflict on their crops. In Nigeria’s Benue State, an anti-grazing law has exacerbated already-high tensions between farmers and herders. This law permits cattle to only graze on established ranches, effectively outlawing traditional Fulani pastoralism. This prompted violent attacks from Fulani herders that not only led to the killing of dozens within Benue State but also in neighbouring states, after herders were driven out of the former (International Crisis Group 2018).

Botswana’s government, on the other hand, devises proactive plans to adjust to climate change. “In Botswana, where drought is frequent, President Mokgweetsi Masisi said the government plans to stop calling it an emergency and instead make drought relief part of the national budget.” According to media reports, the coun-

try's president said, this "Drought Management Strategy [...] would classify drought as a permanent feature in our budget plans" (source for both: Dube 2019).

State policies can also strengthen social processes that help to ameliorate the influence of climatic changes on violent conflict – while lacking state capacity and divisive government policies are considered important risk factors (Salehyan 2008). For instance, in rural Kenya, interethnic community dialogue undermines the progression from droughts to violence. Where citizens reported that such inter-community dialogue exists, worsening climatic conditions reduced the willingness of individuals to support violence. The same effect was found regarding traditional and governmental rules that regulate access to and the use of water and pastures. "Dedha" is an instructive example of traditional rules in northern Kenya. It establishes that while wells in the area are organised by only one person, no pastoralist can be turned away from accessing them and elders have the task of arbitrating in potential conflicts over use and access (Linke et al. 2018).

What We Should Do to Reduce Climate Change's Adverse Effects

As outlined, identifying causes and mechanisms is a necessary precondition for designing promising policies to tame climate change's adverse effects on human security across Africa. Currently, scholarly agreement on the required individual measures to make societies more resilient against violent conflict amplified or even triggered by climate change is low, while uncertainties are high. However, decreasing the vulnerability to weather extremes and integrating climate change as a risk factor into efforts for peacebuilding are especially important. International development assistance should focus on building state capacity and decreasing vulnerability among those that rely on rain-fed agriculture and cattle herding, particularly in the Sahel and parts of East Africa. These individuals are already suffering from increased droughts and competition over scarce resources. Climate change will further threaten their livelihoods in the coming years. Particularly important measures to counter the adverse effects faced by these individuals include (Mach et al. 2019):

- Improve food security by stocking up reserves and keeping prices stable during times of drought.
- Buffer income-shocks by providing support and securing access to credits for farmers, and by improving irrigation systems to reduce dependency on rain-fed agriculture.
- Encourage economic diversification within (use of different crops) and beyond the agricultural sector, for instance by providing specific support and credit schemes to small-scale farmers.
- Strengthen state capacity and create specific government agencies to provide equal levels of support to herders and pastoralists affected by climate change. Strengthen mechanisms to mitigate antagonisms between ethnic groups and communities who find themselves competing over scarce resources.
- Invest more into research on the mechanisms that link climate and conflict in affected communities, and in knowledge transfer to help devise strategies to overcome climate-related conflicts.

- Pay attention and react to the impact of climate change on the allocation of scarce resources, and to the situation of marginalised groups. Strengthen local-level government institutions and conflict-resolution mechanisms.
- Enable “adaptive migration” by way of new institutions for regulating environmental migration, by strengthening consultative mechanisms between incoming immigrants and citizens in the “receiving” areas, as well as by enhancing support for both.

European and German Africa policy are extremely well placed to support the realisation of these measures to tackle climate change’s adverse effects for the most vulnerable in Africa. They also represent an innovative way to further strengthening the link between “climate and security,” as a trademark feature of German foreign policy.

References

- Adams, Courtland et al. (2018), Sampling Bias in Climate–Conflict Research, in: *Nature Climate Change*, 8, 3, 200–203.
- Amnesty International (2018), *Harvest of Death: Three Years of Bloody Clashes between Farmers and Herders in Nigeria*, London: Amnesty International.
- Brzoska, Michael, and Christiane Fröhlich (2016), Climate Change, Migration and Violent Conflict: Vulnerabilities, Pathways and Adaptation Strategies, in: *Migration and Development*, 5, 2, 190–210.
- Buhaug, Halvard (2010), Climate Not to Blame for African Civil Wars, in: *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 107, 38, 16477–16482.
- Buhaug, Halvard et al. (2015), Climate Variability, Food Production Shocks, and Violent Conflict in Sub-Saharan Africa, in: *Environmental Research Letters*, 10, 12, 1–11.
- Burke, Marshall B. et al. (2009), Warming Increases the Risk of Civil War in Africa, in: *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 106, 49, 20670–20674.
- De Juan, Alexander, and Niklas Hänze (2019), *Climate and Cohesion – The Effects of Droughts on Intra-Ethnic and Inter-Ethnic Trust*, manuscript, Osnabrück: University of Osnabrück.
- Dube, Mqondisi (2019), Botswana Drought Makes Wasteland of Harvests, Livestock, in: *Voice of America*, 29 November, www.voanews.com/africa/botswana-drought-makes-wasteland-harvests-livestock (24 March 2020).
- Fröhlich, Christiane J. (2016), Climate Migrants as Protestors? Dispelling Misconceptions about Global Environmental Change in Pre-Revolutionary Syria, in: *Contemporary Levant*, 1, 1, 38–50.
- Ide, Tobias (2018), Does Environmental Peacemaking between States Work? Insights on Cooperative Environmental Agreements and Reconciliation in International Rivalries, in: *Journal of Peace Research*, 55, 3, 351–365.
- International Crisis Group (2018), *Stopping Nigeria’s Spiralling Farmer-Herder Violence*, Africa Report 262, Abuja, Dakar, Brussels: International Crisis Group.
- Linke, Andrew M. et al. (2018), Drought, Local Institutional Contexts, and Support for Violence in Kenya, in: *Journal of Conflict Resolution*, 62, 7, 1544–1578.
- Mach, Katharine J. et al. (2019), Climate as a Risk Factor for Armed Conflict, in: *Nature*, 571, 7764, 193–197.

Peng, Jian et al. (2019), A Pan-African High-Resolution Drought Index Dataset, in: *Earth System Science Data Discussions*, October, 1–26.

Salehyan, Idean (2008), From Climate Change to Conflict? No Consensus Yet, in: *Journal of Peace Research*, 45, 3, 315–326.

Sundberg, Ralph, and Erik Melander (2013), Introducing the UCDP Georeferenced Event Dataset, in: *Journal of Peace Research*, 50, 4, 523–532.

The Economist (2019), How Climate Change Can Fuel Wars, 23 May, www.economist.com/international/2019/05/23/how-climate-change-can-fuel-wars (24 March 2020).

van Baalen, Sebastian, and Malin Mobjörk (2018), Climate Change and Violent Conflict in East Africa: Integrating Qualitative and Quantitative Research to Probe the Mechanisms, in: *International Studies Review*, 20, 4, 547–575.

About the Author

Dr. Christian von Soest is a lead research fellow at the GIGA Institute of African Affairs (IAA) and head of the GIGA Research Programme 2 “Peace and Security.” His work focuses on sanctions and other foreign policy interventions, conflict processes, and the domestic and international politics of authoritarian regimes. He leads the GIGA’s contribution to the project B3: “Conflict and Cooperation at the Climate-Security Nexus,” forming part of the “Climate, Climate Change, and Society” (CLICCS) Excellence Cluster of the University of Hamburg (www.cliccs.uni-hamburg.de/research/theme-b/b3.html).
christian.vonsoest@giga-hamburg.de, www.giga-hamburg.de/en/team/soest

The author is extremely grateful to Niklas Hänze for the substantial research he contributed to this GIGA Focus; he also created Figure 2. In his B.A. thesis at the University of Osnabrück, Niklas Hänze has focused on the relationship between climate change and political trust. He has also worked on “Climate and Cohesion – the Effects of Individual and Group-Level Drought Exposure on Intra-Ethnic and Inter-Ethnic Trust” together with Alexander De Juan.

Related GIGA Research

GIGA Research Programme 2 “Peace and Security” examines peace and conflict processes in Africa, Asia, Latin America, and the Middle East, and investigates international violence and security trends. Research Programme 2 scholars specifically study: (1) how social identities and ideology intensify or reduce insecurity and conflict; (2) which institutional arrangements (such as power-sharing governments, security-sector reforms, and transitional-justice arrangements) help to promote peace; and, (3) how external actors affect peace and conflict dynamics, and what security implications their interventions have at the local, national, regional, and international levels. The IAA examines, among other things, political regimes, economic-transformation processes, and conflict in sub-Saharan Africa.

In addition to the GIGA’s contribution to the University of Hamburg’s CLICCS Excellence Cluster, further research at the Institute focuses on the forced migration

that is often induced by environmental changes: Migration Governance and Asylum Crises (MAGYC), Work Package “Comparing Crises,” European Commission – Horizon 2020, led by Dr. Christiane Fröhlich.

Related GIGA Publications

Bank, André, and Christiane Fröhlich (2018), *Forced Migration in the Global South: Reorienting the Debate*, GIGA Focus Global, 3, June, www.giga-hamburg.de/en/publication/forced-migration-in-the-global-south-reorienting-the-debate.

Brzoska, Michael, and Christiane Fröhlich (2016), Climate Change, Migration and Violent Conflict: Vulnerabilities, Pathways and Adaptation Strategies, in: *Migration and Development*, 5, 2, 190–210, <https://doi.org/10.1080/21632324.2015.1022973>.

Casarões, Guilherme, and Daniel Flemes (2019), *Brazil First, Climate Last: Bolsonaro’s Foreign Policy*, GIGA Focus Latin America, 5, September, www.giga-hamburg.de/en/publication/brazil-first-climate-last-bolsonaros-foreign-policy.

Fröhlich, Christiane, and Tobias Ide (2019), Does Climate Change Drive Violence, Conflict and Human Migration?, in: Mike Hulme (ed.), *Contemporary Climate Change Debates: A Student Primer*, Abingdon, New York: Routledge, 51–64.

Haab, Felix, Sabine Kurtenbach, and Julia Strasheim (2016), *Fleeing the Peace: Emigration after Civil War*, GIGA Focus Global, 2, May, www.giga-hamburg.de/en/publication/fleeing-the-peace-emigration-after-civil-war.

Klepp, Silja, and Christiane Fröhlich (2019), Migration and Conflict in a Global Warming Era: A Political Understanding of Climate Change, in: *Social Sciences*, Special Issue (guest editors).


Lakemann, Tabea, and Malte Lierl (2020), *Ten Things to Watch in Africa in 2020*, GIGA Focus Africa, 1, January, www.giga-hamburg.de/en/publication/ten-things-to-watch-in-africa-in-2020.

Lay, Jann, and Sebastian Renner (2016), “Not on the Paris Track”: *Climate Protection Efforts in Developing Countries*, GIGA Focus Global, 8, December, www.giga-hamburg.de/en/publication/not-on-the-paris-track-climate-protection-efforts-in-developing-countries.

Prys-Hansen, Miriam (2019), *Elections in India: A Litmus Test for Global Climate Policy*, GIGA Focus Global, 2, June, www.giga-hamburg.de/en/publication/wahlen-in-indien-lackmустest-für-die-globale-klimapolitik.

von Soest, Christian (2018), *The Contradictory Logics of International Intervention*, GIGA Focus Global, 4, October, www.giga-hamburg.de/en/publication/the-contradictory-logics-of-international-intervention.

Imprint



The GIGA Focus is an Open Access publication and can be read on the Internet and downloaded free of charge at www.giga-hamburg.de/giga-focus. According to the conditions of the Creative Commons licence Attribution-No Derivative Works 3.0 this publication may be freely duplicated, circulated and made accessible to the public. The particular conditions include the correct indication of the initial publication as GIGA Focus and no changes in or abbreviation of texts.

The GIGA German Institute of Global and Area Studies – Leibniz-Institut für Globale und Regionale Studien in Hamburg publishes the Focus series on Africa, Asia, Latin America, the Middle East and global issues. The GIGA Focus is edited and published by the GIGA. The views and opinions expressed are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the institute. Authors alone are responsible for the content of their articles. GIGA and the authors cannot be held liable for any errors and omissions, or for any consequences arising from the use of the information provided.

General Editor GIGA Focus Series: Prof. Dr. Sabine Kurtenbach

Editor GIGA Focus Africa: Prof. Dr. Matthias Basedau

Editorial Department: James Powell, Petra Brandt

GIGA | Neuer Jungfernstieg 21

20354 Hamburg

www.giga-hamburg.de/giga-focus

giga-focus@giga-hamburg.de

G I G A
German Institute of Global and Area Studies
Leibniz-Institut für Globale und Regionale Studien