

Regional migration governance: contributions to a sustainable international migration architecture

Dick, Eva; Schraven, Benjamin; Koch, Anne; Etzold, Benjamin

Veröffentlichungsversion / Published Version

Stellungnahme / comment

Empfohlene Zitierung / Suggested Citation:

Dick, E., Schraven, B., Koch, A., & Etzold, B. (2018). *Regional migration governance: contributions to a sustainable international migration architecture*. (BICC Policy Brief, 1/2018). Bonn: Bonn International Center for Conversion (BICC). <https://nbn-resolving.org/urn:nbn:de:0168-ssoar-62327-4>

Nutzungsbedingungen:

Dieser Text wird unter einer CC BY-NC-ND Lizenz (Namensnennung-Nicht-kommerziell-Keine Bearbeitung) zur Verfügung gestellt. Nähere Auskünfte zu den CC-Lizenzen finden Sie hier:

<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/deed.de>

Terms of use:

This document is made available under a CC BY-NC-ND Licence (Attribution-Non Commercial-NoDerivatives). For more information see:

<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0>

Regional Migration Governance

Contributions to a sustainable international migration architecture

Eva Dick, Benjamin Schraven \ DIE
Anne Koch \ SWP
Benjamin Etzold \ BICC

Recommendations

\ Build up and expand capacities

Technical and financial capacities of regional organizations ought to be improved so that they can tackle regional migratory challenges effectively and in line with global regulatory requirements. This is not only true for security-relevant areas such as the protection of borders or migration control but also for the implementation of regional standards pertaining to the freedom of movement and, in particular, for the protection of refugees and vulnerable migrants. It also applies to promoting the positive effect migration has on human development.

\ Foster interaction between the national, regional and global level

Regional and inter-regional dialogue forums help to mediate conflicts between different national interests and can strengthen the overall coherence of international migration policy. Building up these forums ought to be promoted, the exchange between national, regional and global actors intensified, and the inclusion of civil society actors in regional cooperation formats improved.

\ Increase regional influence in global migration architecture

Regional organizations have developed groundbreaking norms and standards in very different areas of refugee and migration policy, for instance ECOWAS in West Africa or IGAD in East Africa. In the preparation of the global compacts for migration and on refugees, they have so far primarily been able to provide their input in the consultation phase. To give their positions more weight, they should be granted the right to contribute their own texts in the negotiation phase. It is also important to grant them an active role in the review and follow-up process of the two global compacts.

Regional migration governance

The global migration architecture is in crisis. Its core characteristic—the historical division into an international protection regime and a labour migration regime—no longer adequately responds to the realities of global mobility. First, the strict distinction between refugees and labour migrants in international law is problematic in light of the reality of ‘mixed movements’ across the world and the significant implications of such political categorizations (Angenendt & Koch, 2017). Second, a normative and institutional reference framework that protects the rights of migrants worldwide is still missing. While the United Nations have set the goal of an “orderly, safe, regular and responsible migration and mobility of people” in its Agenda 2030, it only slowly becomes clear what a global migration regime could look like that does justice to this claim (Angenendt, 2017).

At the UN Summit for Refugees and Migrants in September 2016, it was agreed to develop two new global agreements: The Global Compact for Safe, Orderly and Regular Migration and the Global Compact on Refugees, to be adopted in late 2018. It is expected that both agreements will significantly influence the future structure of and mandates in global migration governance. Regional consultations, intended to first and foremost provide country representatives with a platform for voicing their migration policy demands, are also part of the negotiation processes of the compacts. However, to date, the experiences and capacities of regional organizations in addressing and coping with refugee movements and in harnessing the potential of migration have not been sufficiently taken into account. Furthermore, a vision for an effective and productive future relationship between global and regional migration governance is still lacking at this stage.

Regional contributions to migration control

Displacement and migration are often regional phenomena that first and foremost take place and affect countries of the Global South. According to data from the United Nations High Commissioner

for Refugees (UNHCR, 2016), 87 per cent of all refugees do not find refuge in Europe, North America or Australia but in the immediate vicinity of their countries of origin—and hence mostly in developing or emerging countries. If other forms of migration are included, such as labour or educational mobility, the figure remains high. In Africa, for instance, 52 per cent of migratory movements take place within the continent (UN DESA 2016); in West Africa, this share even stands at 87 per cent (see Table 1).

Table 1:
Share of intra-regional migrants as share of all international migrants in selected African regions

Region	%
<i>Economic Community of West African States (ECOWAS)</i>	87
<i>Intergovernmental Authority on Development (IGAD)*</i>	65
<i>Economic Community of Central African States (ECCAS)</i>	65
<i>South African Development Community (SADC)</i>	55
<i>East African Community (EAC)</i>	34
<i>Arab Maghreb Union (AMU)**</i>	5
<i>All Africa</i>	52

* * without Eritrea

UN DESA 2015 (own calculations)

** without Western Sahara

Migration policy cooperation at the regional level takes place within three different formats, each fulfilling a different function in the “patchwork” of international migration governance.

\ *Migration-related activities of regional organizations:*

Regional organizations have often established norms and standards for the freedom of movement and labour migration. In so doing, they work towards the abolition of internal border controls and other obstacles to migration within their territory. In Africa, the Economic Community of West African States (ECOWAS) can be considered a forerunner in the introduction of norms for the free movement of persons, even if the component on free establishment envisaged more than three decades ago has not been fully implemented at the time of writing. Another field of activity for regional

organizations is refugee law. The Kampala Convention, adopted in 2012 by the African Union, is the first legally-binding instrument in international law for the protection of internally displaced people. Although it is groundbreaking in terms of established legal norms, it has deficiencies in implementation. Regional organizations differ in their abilities to define and implement migration-related standards and policies. The reasons for this can not only be found in the varying institutional make-up of regional organizations such as a dissimilar strength of their migration policy mandate or different levels of legal autonomy from their member states. They also reflect the scope of concrete migration-related challenges in the respective region.

\ *Consultative processes in migration policy:*

So-called regional consultative processes (RCPs), which are often steered by the International Organisation for Migration (IOM), bring together representatives from countries of migrants' origin, transit and destination within one region. In contrast to the efforts of regional organizations as regards freedom of movement and smooth labour mobility, these processes tend to focus on questions of migration control and security-related cooperation. Their informal character and the fact that these meetings are closed to the public allows the participating representatives of states to also discuss politically sensitive issues. Civil society and human rights organizations criticize the resulting opaque nature of these meetings. Nonetheless, the RCPs have proven to be important formats of regional interaction. In the African context, in particular, one can notice a positive development towards more regionally anchored and networked RCPs that also develop a broader thematic orientation. In four of five existing African RCPs, regional organizations hold the secretariat—an indication of their growing commitment to migration policy.

\ *Inter-regional cooperation processes:* These processes bring together countries or regional organizations that are connected by inter-regional migration movements. They are often characterized by an imbalance of power in favour of Western donor countries. Many of these inter-regional cooperation processes are controversial. The 2014 EU-AU Migration Route Initiative (Khartoum Process), which focusses on mobility from and through the Horn of Africa to Europe, is regarded by many as a European attempt to superimpose development-policy goals with a security policy agenda. A similar view is taken with regard to the 2006 Rabat Process, which brought together representatives of North, West and Central African countries and the European Commission, and which centers on issues of migration control, border management and the repatriation of migrants in irregular situations.

Strength and weaknesses of regional migration governance

There are three broad areas of activity in migration policy that require international cooperation and norm-setting: Mobility and freedom of movement, migration control, and the protection of migrants' and refugees' rights. Regional and global actors are involved in all of these three areas. Advantages and limitations of regional migration governance and inter-regional formats become clear in this context.

Regional governance structures show various practical advantages. Usually, the political interests of neighbouring countries are not that different—unlike in the global sphere. On the contrary, a coherent migration policy that fosters development can be implemented more easily when migration policy goals are linked with overarching regional concerns (Martin-Shields et al., 2017). Indeed, in many regions, agreements on the freedom of movement were adopted as part of economic integration processes. The Intergovernmental Authority on Development (IGAD) founded by

North-East African countries, for instance, built its migration-related cooperation on an already functioning security-policy dialogue. A small number of negotiation partners in regional contexts is also a favourable precondition for formulating a joint migration policy. This is also confirmed by the current consultation process for the Global Compact for Migration, in which regional organizations have presented their own position papers. Furthermore, regional peculiarities that may be overlooked in the global discourse can be addressed much better at the regional level. As of March 2017, the Nairobi Plan of Action for Durable Solutions for Somali Refugees, for instance, constitutes a regional application of the Comprehensive Refugee Response Framework. Current concept papers for the Global Compact on Refugees also stress the important role of regional organizations as mediators in such processes. Yet another example is the consideration of pastoralism, the practice of mobile livestock holding, in the planned-for IGAD Protocol on Free Movement of Persons and Transhumance. Last but not least, regional organizations are potentially more accessible for civil society organizations than institutions on the global level are. They also allow migrants' associations, for instance, to give direct inputs on relevant policy decision processes and enable some of them to become included in the monitoring of policy implementation.

However, regional debates, in which countries, regional organizations and civil society are involved, do not include the much-needed dialogue between countries of origin and destination countries that are connected through supra-regional mixed flows. This dialogue is covered by the interregional formats discussed above. These, however, are often biased and geared towards the security policy interests of the economically more powerful destination countries (Castillejo, 2017). Moreover, the influence of regional organizations in these processes is limited as measures are mostly agreed upon and implemented directly with the countries of origin and transit countries respectively. African participants for instance criticize that the inter-regional Rabat and Khartoum Processes

initiated by the European Union contribute to intra-regional initiatives being undermined and regional organizations weakened. When negotiating global agreements on displacement and migration, there is, therefore, the danger that the primary focus on security and migration control within inter-regional cooperation formats is transferred onto the global level. Also, there is a risk that the protection of the rights of refugees and migrants as well as other affected population groups are sidelined.

Summary and recommendations: Strengthening regional organizations

The negotiation of the planned global compacts on refugees and for migration offer the chance to close existing normative, regulatory and institutional gaps in international migration governance. In this context, also a conscious reconsideration of the future division of labour between regional and global migration governance is needed.

To better address migration-related challenges and to effectively work towards harnessing the development potential of migration as aspired to in the Agenda 2030, it is necessary to first agree on legally-binding rights and protection standards for refugees and migrants in international law. These may be complemented at the regional level, but should by no means be limited or undermined by security interests. Concurrently, regional organizations ought to be strengthened. For they have the potential to initiate the expansion of protection standards and the implementation of safer and more orderly migration conditions. To embed best practices in migration policy at the global level and to achieve a sustainable international migration architecture, the role of regional organizations of the Global South, in particular, ought to be strengthened in interregional exchange formats. German and European development policy can support this by contributing to the following:

Building up and expanding capacities

To effectively tackle regional migratory challenges in line with the regulatory requirements at the global level, technical and financial capacities of regional organizations ought to be improved. This is not only true for security-relevant areas such as the protection of borders or migration control but also for the implementation of regional standards for the freedom of movement and, in particular, for the protection of refugees and vulnerable migrants. It also applies to promoting the positive effect migration has on human development.

Fostering interaction between the national, regional and global level

Regional and inter-regional dialogue forums help to mediate conflicts between different national interests and can strengthen the overall coherence of international migration policies. Building up these forums ought to be promoted, the exchange between national, regional and global actors intensified and the inclusion of civil society actors in regional cooperation formats improved.

Increasing regional influence in global migration architecture

Regional organizations have developed groundbreaking norms and standards in very different refugee and migration policy areas. In the preparation of the global compacts for migration and on refugees, they have so far primarily been able to provide their inputs in the consultation phase. To give their positions more weight, they should be granted the right to propose their own texts in the negotiation phase. It is also important to grant them an active role in the review and follow-up process of the two global compacts.

BIBLIOGRAPHY AND FURTHER READING

- Angenendt, S. (2017). *The Global Compact for Safe, Orderly and Regular Migration: Addressing unresolved issues, looking ahead* (Global Governance Spotlight No. 3/2017). Bonn: Stiftung Entwicklung und Frieden (sef).
- Angenendt, S., & Koch, A. (2017). *Global Migration Governance and Mixed Flows: Implications for Development-centred Policies* (SWP Research Paper No. 08). Berlin: Stiftung Wissenschaft und Politik (SWP).
- Castillejo, C. (2017). *The EU Migration Partnership Framework: Time for a rethink?* (DIE Discussion Paper No. 28/2017). Bonn: Deutsches Institut für Entwicklungspolitik (DIE).
- Martin-Shields, C., Schraven, B., & Angenendt, S. (2017). *More development-more migration? The "migration hump" and its significance for development policy co-operation with sub-Saharan Africa* (DIE Briefing Paper No. 20/2017). Bonn: Deutsches Institut für Entwicklungspolitik (DIE).
- UN-DESA. (2016). *International Migration Report 2015: Highlights* (No. ST/ESA/SER.A/375). New York: United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs.
- UN DESA 2015: Trends in international migrant stocks. UN database, http://www.un.org/en/development/desa/population/migration/data/estimates2/data/UN_MigrantStockTotal_2015.xlsx
- UNHCR. (2017). *Global Trends: Forced Displacement in 2016*. Geneva: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees..

bicc \
Internationales Konversionszentrum Bonn
Bonn International Center for Conversion GmbH

Pfarrer-Byns-Straße 1, 53121 Bonn, Germany
+49 (0)228 911 96-0, Fax -22, bicc@bicc.de

www.bicc.de
www.facebook.com/bicc.de



Director for Research
Professor Dr Conrad Schetter

Director for Administration
Michael Dedek

AUTHORS

Dr Eva Dick

Senior Researcher at the German Development Institute, Bonn

Dr Benjamin Schraven

Researcher at the German Development Institute, Bonn

Dr Anne Koch

Associate, German Institute for International and Security Affairs, Berlin

Dr Benjamin Etzold

Senior Researcher at BICC

COPYEDITOR

Heike Webb

EDITORIAL DESIGN

Diesseits - Kommunikationsdesign, Düsseldorf

DATE OF PUBLICATION

22 January 2018

ISSN (Print) 2522-2031

ISSN (Online) 2521-7801



Except where otherwise noted, this work is licensed under:
cf. creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/