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RESEARCH

Hand hygiene: a review of understanding and attitudes of healthcare professionals

Higienização das mãos: uma análise do entendimento e atitudes dos profissionais de saúde

Higiene de mano: la revision del entendimiento de las atitudes del profesionales de la salud

Jéssica Raunne Moreira de Sousa, Luiz Felipe Duarte dos Santos, Ana Amélia de Carvalho Melo Cavalcante, Tereza Maria Alcântara Neves, Maria do Carmo Mascarenhas, Tatiana Vieira Souza Chaves

ABSTRACT

Objective: Evaluate hand hygiene performed by health professionals in a public hospital and identify non-adherence factors for the correct technique. Methods: The professionals were submitted to a questionnaire, testing their knowledge of hand hygiene compliance for health professionals and were observed while hand washing. Results: 62.5% received training either during undergraduate course, or by the hospital, while 37.5% did not know how to do the technique. Regarding the reason for the noncompliance of proper hygiene techniques, 61.1% of professionals said that excessive professional activity, and insufficient time are the main causes. 44.4% mentioned the lack of priority of the institution as to the procedure and 16.6% lack the time to perform the technique. Conclusion: It appears that most of the professionals interviewed did not obey the rules recommended hand hygiene and the main reason is no time due to excessive workload. Descriptors: Infection, Hand hygiene, health professionals.

RESUMO

Objetivo: Verificar a higienização das mãos feita por profissionais de saúde em um hospital público e identificar os fatores para a não adesão à técnica correta. Método: Foi aplicado o questionário de teste de conhecimento a respeito da higienização das mãos para profissionais de saúde e feita a observação da lavagem das mãos. Resultados: 62,5% receberam treinamento ou na graduação, ou por parte do hospital, enquanto 37,5% desconheciam como fazer a técnica. Em relação ao motivo da não adesão correta das técnicas de higienização, 61,1% dos profissionais responderam que o excesso de atividade profissional, e o tempo insuficiente são as principais causas. 44,4% mencionou a falta de prioridade da instituição quanto ao procedimento e 16,6% esqueceu naquele momento de realizar a técnica. Conclusão: Parece que a maioria dos profissionais entrevistados não obedecem às regras preconizadas de higienização das mãos e o principal motivo é o excesso de atividade profissional. Descritores: Infecções, Higienização das mãos, Profissionais de saúde.

RESUMEN

Objetivo: Evaluar la higiene de manos realizado por profesionales de la salud en un hospital público e identificar los factores de la falta de adherencia a la técnica correcta. Métodos: Se administró el cuestionario para poner a prueba los conocimientos de la mano de cumplimiento de higiene para profesionales de la salud e hizo la observación de lavado de manos. Resultados: El 62,5% recibieron capacitación o graduación, o por el hospital, mientras que el 37,5% no sabe cómo hacer la técnica. En cuanto a la razón de la falta de cumplimiento de las técnicas adecuadas de higiene, el 61,1% de los profesionales dijo que la actividad profesional excesivo y la falta de tiempo son las principales causas. 44,4% mencionó la falta de prioridad de la institución en cuanto al procedimiento y el 16,6% se perdió en el momento de realizar de la técnica. Conclusión: Parece que la mayoría de los profesionales entrevistados no obedecen las reglas de higiene recomendadas y la razón principal es el exceso de actividad profesional. Descriptores: Infección, Higiene de las manos, Profesionales de la salud.
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Hand hygiene despite being a simple procedure that should be performed regularly by all health professionals, often it is overlooked or performed incorrectly. Nosocomial infection (NI) is becoming a serious public health problem, due to the increase in patient with a longer time of hospitalization, with a higher risk of mortality generating more socioeconomic cost. Approximately 70% of hospital infections occurs by an imbalance between the microbiota and the natural human host defense mechanisms, thus making hand washing essential.\textsuperscript{1,2}

The hands, in addition to serving as a shelter, these are the main vehicle of transmission of microorganisms that are deposited on the skin surface, mostly coming from external sources. Thus, the adoption of measures such as the Hand Washing (HH) with water and liquid soap or by the use of alcohol at 70% has proven highly effective in the prevention and control of infections.\textsuperscript{3}

The technique of HH is being recognized with a compulsory practical for health professionals since 1846. Thus the high rate of infection generates concern on the part of many researchers, leading to the realization of studies aimed at monitoring the adherence of professionals, which has as a challenge to the proposition of strategies that encourage greater adherence and maintenance of optimal levels of this recommendation.\textsuperscript{4}

HH should occur before and after contact with the patient, before putting on gloves and after removing them, between one patient and another, between one and another procedure, or on occasions where there may be transfer of pathogens to patients and / or environments.

**METHODOLOGY**

Quantitative descriptive Study, developed in the public hospital of Parnaiba-PI, Brazil. Participated in the survey 24 health professionals, several categories that exerted their activities in morning and afternoon shifts, during the period of data collection, and voluntarily agreed to participate in the study. They were submitted to a questionnaire and were observed during their work routine. Both the data collection questionnaire, as well as the observation form were developed by the National Health Surveillance Agency (ANVISA), and can be found on on there website, and are in Annex A and B.

After the authorization of the hospital and the approval of the ethics Committee in Research of the Federal University of Ceará- COMEPE, accredited by CONEP- National Health Council / MS, under protocol number 317/11, authorized on November 17, 2011. The data were obtained by means of a questionnaire and observation by the researchers. The search occurred from April 2013.
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Professionals in the healthcare area, which were randomly chosen, depending on the availability of their and who had signed the Informed Consent and agreed to participate in the study. The health professionals were; doctors, nurses, technicians and nursing assistants, physical therapists, X-ray technicians. All staff members of the hospital, acting in the various areas, Clinical Medicine, Obstetrics, Pediatrics, Surgical Clinic, ICU- Intensive Care Unit, Nursing wards.

As an instrument of data collection, a questionnaire with closed questions was used, being composed of a session identification of subjects and followed by questions directed to the topic, and an observation form, where this phase of the research was developed by the researchers themselves, where they checked whether health care professionals from the hospital practiced in their day-to-day the hand hygiene (HH) correct procedure.

The research was conducted in two phases; the first was applying a questionnaire to 24 health professionals who worked within the framework of the hospital in order to verify their knowledge on Hand Hygiene (HH). The second was the direct observation by the researchers for a period of 3 consecutive days of the same professionals observing the frequency that they were performing the HH.

The professionals are in the age group of 30 years or over 31 years, with an average age of 34.3 years (standard deviation 5.7 years), 25% were male and 75% were female. The professions exercised 12.5% were nurses, 54.2% technical / nursing assistant, 16.7% were doctors and 8.3% medical.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

With applied questionnaires about 62.5% received some technical training or graduation or by the hospital on correct hand hygiene, while 37.5% did not know how to do the technique. Of the professionals interviewed 95.8% reported that the institution has alcoholic preparation available to perform the hygiene. About the time that is needed to use the correct technique, the World Health Organization (WHO) (2007) claims to be 15 seconds, 20.8% answered 10 seconds, 20 seconds 41.7% and 37.5% 1 minute, which causes concern to the agencies in relation to cross-infection (Table 2).
In the study where the practice of hand hygiene by healthcare professionals in recreational and educational activities was observed, it was observed that over 80% of the professionals who participated in the study used higher than recommended (15 seconds) it was certainly in accordance with the researchers this longer time is due to the fact that the procedure has not been carried out spontaneously, but in terms of being targeted by study. In a study which evaluated the washing of hands made by professionals from a neonatal intensive care unit, it was noted that the time spent for the sanitization of the hands was of 11 and 20 seconds, where the technicians and nursing assistants were seen, with 22.9% of them using a time that ranged from 11 to 20 seconds. However, 21.1% of nursing assistants and technicians uses a time from 0 to 10 seconds in the execution of hand washing, considered inadequate for the elimination of dirt and transient microbiota, this perhaps by accumulation of tasks to carry out, and the low number of staff. In this study the results showed that, great part of the interviewees stated that the time of 1 minute is correct, which in accordance with the existing legislation is incorrect, but the World Health Organization (WHO) and the National Health Surveillance Agency (ANVISA) recommends a time from 15 to 20 seconds.

When asked if the alcoholic preparation should cover all surfaces of the hands, 87.5% said that the statement is true and 12.5% said it is false (Table 3). The alcoholic preparation should be used whenever the hands are visibly dirty, and that the same should cover all surfaces of the hands, in order to have a better applicability. 25% of the respondents reported that could dry their hands after the use of the preparation alcohol and 75% said they did not.

By observing Table 3, we note that 100% of professionals reported that the use of jewelry associated with colonization of the hands, 91.7% responded that this colonization may be because of damaged skin by 100% artificial nails, 33 3% by the regular use of hand creams.

The work carried out in an intensive care unit with 60 nurses, a multivariate analysis of risk factors showed that the rings were the only factor to wash gam-negative bacilli and S. aureus and that the concentration of microorganisms is related to the quantity of rings used.
Antiseptics are associated with detergents and these are intended to antiseptic hand hygiene and skin antiseptic. It is indicated in cases of contact precautions recommended for patients with multiresistant microorganisms in cases of outbreaks, preoperatively, before any surgical procedure and prior to invasive procedures. In a study on the quality of hand hygiene by active professionals in primary healthcare units, demonstrated that the observed professionals who performed the hand antisepsis used the following products: 70% alcohol, chlorhexidine or povinil pyrrolidone iodine (PVP-1), a soap with antiseptic or soap or other products. What justifies the results, where 79.2% answered that the true use of alcoholic preparation of soap and water for hand washing. Moreover, 66.7% reported that the alcoholic preparation is more effective against microorganisms.

The World Health Organization (WHO) and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention recommend that the washing of hands (HH) occur: before the contact with the patient, before invasive procedures, after contact with body fluids, after contact with inanimate surfaces next to the patient, after removing gloves, when hands are visibly dirty, after exposure to spores or pathogens, as well as when there is no change of a contaminated site of a patient to another site on the same patient.

It is recommended the use of soap and water when hands are visibly dirty or contaminated with blood and other body fluids, to start the work shift, after going to the toilet, before and after meals, before food preparation, before preparation and handling of medicines. And that the alcoholic preparations along with soap and water are indicated before the contact with the patient, after the counted with the patient, before performing invasive procedures, before handling devices.
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After the researchers finished observing the professionals they were approached and questioned on why they did not perform the correct hand hygiene (HH) technique. 61.1% Responded that the excess of activity, and the insufficient time is the main cause. 44.4% mentioned the lack of priority of the institution as to the procedure and 16.6% forgot at that time to perform the technique (Figure 1).

In a study where it was seen the differences between the practice and the idealized on hand hygiene, where a bibliographic review was analyzed that the lack of time, insufficient resources, physical structure and the lack of priority of the institution, are not factors by adherence of hand sanitization. It is believed that the low adherence to this practice is the result of several factors such as, lack of materials, lack of time (related to the sector and work overload), tolerance to repeated use of soap or alcohol solution, lack of information, use of sleeve then washing the hands, increasing the risk of dermatitis. Whereas hands with some skin irritation increases the risk of colonization by various microorganisms.

It is believed that the promotion of permanent education, aiming at the control of infections in health establishments should be assumed by the CCIH/SCIH in search of means to promote efficient change. More under the professional point of view, the adherence is a voluntary act and depends on the decision of each professional, and is influenced by careful that each professional has.

In a study to better understand the practice of nosocomial infection control, a questionnaire was given to 261 health professionals from two hospitals. It was concluded that train health professionals about the importance and practice of appropriate hand hygiene, along with an improvement in options of hand sanitizers may improve the safety of patients. In addition, an improved infrastructure is needed to improve the control of practices of infection control against tuberculosis.

In order to be is to be efficient practices for decontamination of the hands of health professionals, efforts to improve compliance to the hand-washing hands should be multifaceted. Alcohol gel (with emollients) need to be made available next to the bed of each patient and issues relating to skin irritation of workers should be forwarded for urgent discussion.

When investigating the compliance of health professionals with the manual for hand hygiene in four hospitals and to examine the factors that contributed to non-compliance, the results indicate that variations in organizational
Hand hygiene: a review of understanding... knowledge on the subject, but when performing the technique they did not follow the steps recommended by the protocol, the main reason given was excess of professional activity. Decrease in excess of the work load of professionals and stimulus for correct practice of hand washing should be priority measures in the control of nosocomial infections.
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