

ISSP study monitoring 2003: report to the ISSP General Assembly on monitoring work undertaken for the ISSP by ZUMA, Germany

Scholz, Evi; Harkness, Janet; Faaß, Timo

Veröffentlichungsversion / Published Version

Verzeichnis, Liste, Dokumentation / list

Zur Verfügung gestellt in Kooperation mit / provided in cooperation with:

GESIS - Leibniz-Institut für Sozialwissenschaften

Empfohlene Zitierung / Suggested Citation:

Scholz, E., Harkness, J., & Faaß, T. (2005). *ISSP study monitoring 2003: report to the ISSP General Assembly on monitoring work undertaken for the ISSP by ZUMA, Germany.* (ZUMA-Methodenbericht, 2005/08). Mannheim: Zentrum für Umfragen, Methoden und Analysen -ZUMA-. <https://nbn-resolving.org/urn:nbn:de:0168-ssoar-48498-5>

Nutzungsbedingungen:

Dieser Text wird unter einer Deposit-Lizenz (Keine Weiterverbreitung - keine Bearbeitung) zur Verfügung gestellt. Gewährt wird ein nicht exklusives, nicht übertragbares, persönliches und beschränktes Recht auf Nutzung dieses Dokuments. Dieses Dokument ist ausschließlich für den persönlichen, nicht-kommerziellen Gebrauch bestimmt. Auf sämtlichen Kopien dieses Dokuments müssen alle Urheberrechtshinweise und sonstigen Hinweise auf gesetzlichen Schutz beibehalten werden. Sie dürfen dieses Dokument nicht in irgendeiner Weise abändern, noch dürfen Sie dieses Dokument für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, aufführen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Mit der Verwendung dieses Dokuments erkennen Sie die Nutzungsbedingungen an.

Terms of use:

This document is made available under Deposit Licence (No Redistribution - no modifications). We grant a non-exclusive, non-transferable, individual and limited right to using this document. This document is solely intended for your personal, non-commercial use. All of the copies of this documents must retain all copyright information and other information regarding legal protection. You are not allowed to alter this document in any way, to copy it for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the document in public, to perform, distribute or otherwise use the document in public.

By using this particular document, you accept the above-stated conditions of use.

ZUMA Methodenbericht 2005/08
ISSP Study Monitoring 2003
Report to the ISSP General Assembly
on monitoring work undertaken for the ISSP
by ZUMA, Germany
Evi Scholz, Janet Harkness and Timo Faaß

November 2005

ISSN 1610-9953

ZUMA
Quadrat B2, 1
Postfach 12 21 55
D- 68072 Mannheim

Telephone: Int+ 49+ (0) 621 1246-284,-272
Telefax: Int+ 49+ (0) 621 1246-100
E-mail: scholz@zuma-mannheim.de

Introduction

This report is based on the study monitoring survey conducted by ZUMA for the ISSP on the 2003 National Identity module.

Thirty-three member countries archived the 2003 National Identity module, all of them have completed the monitoring questionnaire. Details of the individual answers members provided are presented in the summary chart which follows here. We have done our best to summarise the answers we received and to check the information with members. Members were given the opportunity to make corrections before the report is made available on the Archive web site as a supplement to the 2003 Codebook.

The Study Monitoring Questionnaire (SMQ) has been modified from year to year. Questions on fieldwork, translation, and sampling have, for example, changed and questions on documentation been added. The latest version of the study monitoring questionnaire is appended. Some members used old versions of the SMQ they had kept. This means that some information for these countries is missing in the report. In order to avoid this in future, members are requested to contact ZUMA for the latest questionnaire in either online or word file format.

Summary of the findings

Language(s) and translation (see pages 1–6 of the Findings Chart)

From 1999 on, the SMQ asks whether members checked or evaluated their translations. All of the twenty-seven countries that produced translations checked or evaluated them (the Swiss Italian translation is an exception). Eighteen countries did not pre-test the translated questionnaire (Canada, Czech Republic, Denmark, France, Finland, Hungary, Israel, Japan, Latvia, Poland, Norway, Russia, Slovakia, Slovenia, South Africa, Uruguay, Spain, and Sweden). The Philippines and South Africa fielded in five languages, Switzerland and Israel in three languages and Canada, Finland, Latvia, and Slovakia in two languages. All the other member countries fielded in one language.

Some countries reported translation problems (Chile, Germany, Norway, Sweden and Venezuela).

Survey question coverage and context (see pages 7–8 of the Findings Chart)

Seven countries (Chile, Latvia, Poland, New Zealand, Slovenia, Taiwan, and South Africa) did not include all of the core items. Five members omitted background variables, usually by mistake.

In 2003, twenty-six countries fielded the ISSP module as part of a larger survey. A new question in the SMQ asking for information about accompanying studies (topic, study title, etc.) is included in the report (see appendix).

Sampling (see pages 9–12 of the Findings Chart)

The sampling procedures and details reported for the 2003 module are for the most part similar to those reported in earlier years. One country, Venezuela, seems to be using quota procedures at the stage of respondents' selection. Six reported using substitution of different kinds; Chile, Hungary, Latvia, the Philippines, Russia, and Spain.

Finland and France had a lower age cut-off at 15 years, Japan, Russia, and South Africa had a cut-off at 16 years; Sweden and Slovakia had a lower age cut-off at 17 years; all other members had a lower age cut-off at 18 years of age. Four countries reported an upper age cut-off (Finland at 74, Latvia at 75, Norway and Sweden at 79).

Data collection (see pages 13–18 of the Findings Chart)

MODES

Essentially the ISSP questionnaires are administered as face-to-face interviews or in a self-completion format. Five countries combined several modes in fielding, usually as a result of fielding the ISSP module together with another study and administering the background variables for both studies face-to-face and the ISSP as self-completion (Denmark, Germany, Great Britain, Poland, and South Korea).

Three countries using an interviewer-administered mode had two advance contacts, letter and telephone call (Portugal, Switzerland and Taiwan). Six countries had advance letters (Germany, Great Britain, Hungary, Japan, Poland, and Slovenia), South Korea and the USA had a telephone pre-contact. Eight countries conducted their survey by mail (see table on page 13). Of these, Australia, New Zealand and Norway had four, Canada, Finland and Sweden had three, Denmark and France had two mailings. The number of mailings is usually seen as relevant for enhancing response (Dillman 2000). Sweden and Denmark had a telephone reminder. In Denmark, about 12% (158 of 1317) of the interviews were collected by telephone; the mode variable identifies these. Telephone interviews are not permitted in the

ISSP.

INCENTIVES

Eight countries reported they had used incentives (Great Britain, Japan, Norway, Russia, South Korea, Sweden, and Taiwan, USA). This information was not collected in the SMQ until the 2001 module.

FIELDING DATES

Dates of fielding for the 2003 module range from 2003 to 2005:

| | |
|-----------|--------------|
| 2003 | 22 countries |
| 2003-2004 | 2 countries |
| 2004 | 8 countries |
| 2005 | 1 country |

Spain had the shortest fielding period, with eight days, Portugal had the longest, with about 24 weeks.

In twenty of twenty-five countries using interviewer-administered modes, interviewers approached addresses or households at different times of day and at different days of the week; in three countries at different times of day only (Latvia, South Africa and South Korea), and in one country at different days in the week only (Slovak Republic). One country reported that none of these approaches was applied.

Countries differ considerably in the number of required contact attempts. The minimum required number of calls at an address or a household ranges from none (Switzerland, USA) to five (Austria, Ireland, Slovenia, and South Korea). Twelve countries supervised interviews (proportions ranging between 1%-38%). With one exception (Japan), countries using interviewer-administered modes back-checked interviews (proportions ranging between 2%-100%).

Information on response and outcome figures (see pages 19–20 of the Findings Chart)

Quota procedures, substitution, and, in some cases, a lack of sufficient detail are the three main obstacles to calculating response rates for some of the ISSP 2003 studies (cf. reasons mentioned in the Park and Jowell report (1997) and expanded in the overview of the 1996–1998 monitoring studies, Harkness, Langfeldt, and Scholz, 2001). Members also differ in their definitions of outcome codes – of what counts as “eligible”, “ineligible”, or “partially completed interviews”, and so forth.

The raw figures for eligible samples and final outcomes indicate, nevertheless, that the range in the ISSP is considerable – from under 20% to over 80% for the module.

Data (see pages 21-22 of the Findings Chart)

The great majority of members employed various measures of coding reliability, for the most part logic or consistency checks and range checks, followed by either individual or automatic corrections or both.

Eighteen of thirty-three countries applied subsequent weights or post-stratification to correct for errors of selection or response bias.

Documentation (see page 23 of the Findings Chart)

Nineteen countries reported they had a national methods report available (Australia, Austria, Bulgaria, Canada, Chile, Germany, Great Britain, Hungary, Israel, Norway, Russia, Taiwan, the Philippines, Slovakia, Slovenia, South Korea, Sweden, Switzerland, and USA). This information was not collected in the SMQ until the 2001 module.

References

Dillman, D.A. (2000): Mail and Internet Surveys. The Tailored Design Method. 2. Edition. New York: Wiley.

Harkness, J., Langfeldt, B. and Scholz, E. (2001): ISSP Study Monitoring 1996-1998, Reports to the ISSP General Assembly on monitoring work undertaken for the ISSP by ZUMA, Germany, Mai 2001. (available online with the 1996-1998 codebooks)

Park, A. and Jowell, R. (1997): Consistencies and differences in a cross-national survey. The International Social Survey Programme (1995). (available online with the 1995 codebook)

Chart of Archive and Report Delivery 1996–2003
 (based on Central Archive and ZUMA documentation, March, 2005: Australia to Denmark)

| Country (member since) | Module | Archived | Study Report | Country (member since) | Module | Archived | Study Report |
|-------------------------------------|--------|----------|-----------------|------------------------------|--------|----------|-----------------|
| Australia (1984) | 1996 | ✓ | No | Canada (1991) | 1996 | ✓ | ✓ |
| | 1997 | No | | | 1997 | ✓ | ✓ |
| | 1998 | ✓ | ✓ | | 1998 | ✓ | ✓ |
| | 1999 | ✓ | ✓ | | 1999 | ✓ | ✓ |
| | 2000 | No | | | 2000 | ✓ | ✓ |
| | 2001 | ✓ | ✓ | | 2001 | ✓ | ✓ |
| | 2002 | ✓ | ✓ | | 2002 | No | |
| | 2003 | ✓ | ✓ | | 2003 | ✓ | ✓ |
| Austria (1985) | 1996 | No | | Chile (1997) | 1996 | | |
| | 1997 | ✓ | No | | 1997 | | |
| | 1998 | ✓ | ✓ | | 1998 | ✓ | ✓ |
| | 1999 | ✓ | ✓ | | 1999 | ✓ | ✓ |
| | 2000 | ✓ | ✓ | | 2000 | ✓ | ✓ |
| | 2001 | ✓ | ✓ | | 2001 | ✓ | ✓ |
| | 2002 | ✓ | ✓ | | 2002 | ✓ | ✓ |
| | 2003 | ✓ | ✓ | | 2003 | ✓ | ✓ |
| Bangladesh (1997) - (2003) | 1996 | | | Cyprus (1995) | 1996 | ✓ | ✓ |
| | 1997 | ✓ | | | 1997 | ✓ | ✓ |
| | 1998 | No | | | 1998 | ✓ | No |
| | 1999 | No | | | 1999 | ✓ | ✓ |
| | 2000 | (TP) | | | 2000 | No | |
| | 2001 | No | | | 2001 | ✓ | ✓ |
| | 2002 | No | | | 2002 | ✓ | ✓ |
| | | | | | 2003 | No | |
| Brazil (1999) | 1996 | | | Czech Republic (1991) | 1996 | ✓ | ✓ |
| | 1997 | | | | 1997 | ✓ | ✓ |
| | 1998 | (TP) | (✓) | | 1998 | ✓ | ✓ |
| | 1999 | (TP) | (✓) | | 1999 | ✓ | ✓ |
| | 2000 | No | | | 2000 | ✓ | ✓ |
| | 2001 | ✓ | ✓ | | 2001 | ✓ | ✓ |
| | 2002 | ✓ | ✓ | | 2002 | ✓ | ✓ |
| | 2003 | No | | | 2003 | ✓ | ✓ |
| Bulgaria (1991) | 1996 | ✓ | ✓ | Denmark (1998) | 1996 | | |
| | 1997 | ✓ | ✓ | | 1997 | ✓ | ✓ |
| | 1998 | ✓ | ✓ | | 1998 | ✓ | ✓ |
| | 1999 | ✓ | ✓ | | 1999 | (TP) | (✓) |
| | 2000 | ✓ | ✓ | | 2000 | ✓ | ✓ |
| | 2001 | No | | | 2001 | ✓ | ✓ |
| | 2002 | ✓ | ✓ | | 2002 | ✓ | ✓ |
| | 2003 | ✓ | ✓ | | 2003 | ✓ | ✓ |

TP: Data not archived as part of merged ISSP data set because of technical problems with sampling, fielding, or late archiving.

Chart of Archive and Report Delivery 1996–2003
 (based on Central Archive and ZUMA documentation, March, 2005: Finland to Japan)

| Country (member since) | Module | Archived | Study Report | Country (member since) | Module | Archived | Study Report |
|------------------------------------------------------|--------|----------|-----------------|------------------------------|--------|----------|-----------------|
| Finland (2000) | 1996 | | | Hungary (1986) | 1996 | ✓ | ✓ |
| | 1997 | | | | 1997 | ✓ | ✓ |
| | 1998 | | | | 1998 | ✓ | ✓ |
| | 1999 | | | | 1999 | ✓ | ✓ |
| | 2000 | ✓ | ✓ | | 2000 | No | |
| | 2001 | ✓ | ✓ | | 2001 | ✓ | ✓ |
| | 2002 | ✓ | ✓ | | 2002 | ✓ | ✓ |
| | 2003 | ✓ | ✓ | | 2003 | ✓ | ✓ |
| Flanders (2000) | 1996 | | | Ireland (1986) | 1996 | ✓ | ✓ |
| | 1997 | | | | 1997 | (TP) | (✓) |
| | 1998 | | | | 1998 | ✓ | ✓ |
| | 1999 | | | | 1999 | (TP) | (✓) |
| | 2000 | | | | 2000 | ✓ | ✓ |
| | 2001 | | | | 2001 | No | |
| | 2002 | ✓ | ✓ | | 2002 | ✓ | ✓ |
| | 2003 | No | | | 2003 | ✓ | ✓ |
| France (1995) | 1996 | ✓ | ✓ | Israel (1988) | 1996 | ✓ | ✓ |
| | 1997 | ✓ | ✓ | | 1997 | ✓ | ✓ |
| | 1998 | ✓ | ✓ | | 1998 | ✓ | ✓ |
| | 1999 | ✓ | ✓ | | 1999 | ✓ | ✓ |
| | 2000 | No | | | 2000 | ✓ | ✓ |
| | 2001 | ✓ | ✓ | | 2001 | ✓ | ✓ |
| | 2002 | ✓ | ✓ | | 2002 | ✓ | ✓ |
| | 2003 | ✓ | ✓ | | 2003 | ✓ | ✓ |
| Germany (1984) | 1996 | ✓ | ✓ | Italy (2001-2004) | 1996 | ✓ | ✓ |
| | 1997 | ✓ | ✓ | | 1997 | ✓ | ✓ |
| | 1998 | ✓ | ✓ | | 1998 | ✓ | ✓ |
| | 1999 | ✓ | ✓ | | 1999 | (No) | |
| | 2000 | ✓ | ✓ | | 2000 | (No) | |
| | 2001 | ✓ | ✓ | | 2001 | ✓ | ✓ |
| | 2002 | ✓ | ✓ | | 2002 | No | |
| | 2003 | ✓ | ✓ | | 2003 | No | |
| Great Britain & Northern Ireland* (1984) | 1996 | ✓ | ✓ | Japan (1991) | 1996 | ✓ | ✓ |
| | 1997 | ✓ | ✓ | | 1997 | ✓ | ✓ |
| | 1998 | ✓ | ✓ | | 1998 | ✓ | ✓ |
| | 1999 | ✓ | ✓ | | 1999 | ✓ | ✓ |
| | 2000 | ✓ | ✓ | | 2000 | ✓ | ✓ |
| | 2001 | ✓ | ✓ | | 2001 | ✓ | ✓ |
| | 2002 | ✓ | ✓ | | 2002 | ✓ | ✓ |
| | 2003 | ✓ | ✓ | | 2003 | ✓ | ✓ |

TP: Data not archived as part of merged ISSP data set because of technical problems with sampling, fielding, or late archiving.

* 2003 without Northern Ireland

Chart of Archive and Report Delivery 1996–2003
 (based on Central Archive and ZUMA documentation, March, 2005: Latvia to Slovak Republic)

| Country (member since) | Module | Archived | Study Report | Country (member since) | Module | Archived | Study Report |
|------------------------------|--------|----------|-----------------|-----------------------------------------------|--------|----------|-----------------|
| Latvia (1997) | 1996 | ✓ | ✓ | Philippines (1989) | 1996 | ✓ | ✓ |
| | 1997 | No | | | 1997 | ✓ | ✓ |
| | 1998 | ✓ | ✓ | | 1998 | ✓ | ✓ |
| | 1999 | ✓ | ✓ | | 1999 | ✓ | ✓ |
| | 2000 | ✓ | ✓ | | 2000 | ✓ | ✓ |
| | 2001 | ✓ | ✓ | | 2001 | ✓ | ✓ |
| | 2002 | ✓ | ✓ | | 2002 | ✓ | ✓ |
| | 2003 | ✓ | ✓ | | 2003 | ✓ | ✓ |
| Mexico (2000) | 1996 | | | Poland (1992) | 1996 | ✓ | ✓ |
| | 1997 | | | | 1997 | ✓ | ✓ |
| | 1998 | | | | 1998 | ✓ | ✓ |
| | 1999 | | | | 1999 | ✓ | ✓ |
| | 2000 | ✓ | ✓ | | 2000 | No | |
| | 2001 | No | | | 2001 | ✓ | ✓ |
| | 2002 | ✓ | ✓ | | 2002 | ✓ | ✓ |
| | 2003 | No | | | 2003 | ✓ | ✓ |
| Netherlands (1985) | 1996 | No | | Portugal (1995) | 1996 | No | |
| | 1997 | ✓ | ✓ | | 1997 | ✓ | ✓ |
| | 1998 | ✓ | ✓ | | 1998 | ✓ | ✓ |
| | 1999 | (TP) | (✓) | | 1999 | ✓ | ✓ |
| | 2000 | ✓ | ✓ | | 2000 | ✓ | ✓ |
| | 2001 | ✓ | ✓ | | 2001 | No | |
| | 2002 | ✓ | ✓ | | 2002 | ✓ | ✓ |
| | 2003 | No | | | 2003 | ✓ | ✓ |
| New Zealand (1990) | 1996 | ✓ | ✓ | Russia (1990) | 1996 | ✓ | ✓ |
| | 1997 | ✓ | ✓ | | 1997 | ✓ | ✓ |
| | 1998 | ✓ | ✓ | | 1998 | ✓ | ✓ |
| | 1999 | ✓ | ✓ | | 1999 | ✓ | ✓ |
| | 2000 | ✓ | ✓ | | 2000 | ✓ | ✓ |
| | 2001 | ✓ | ✓ | | 2001 | ✓ | ✓ |
| | 2002 | ✓ | ✓ | | 2002 | ✓ | ✓ |
| | 2003 | ✓ | ✓ | | 2003 | ✓ | ✓ |
| Norway (1988) | 1996 | ✓ | ✓ | Slovak Republic (1996, re- instated) | 1996 | No | |
| | 1997 | ✓ | ✓ | | 1997 | No | |
| | 1998 | ✓ | ✓ | | 1998 | ✓ | ✓ |
| | 1999 | ✓ | ✓ | | 1999 | ✓ | ✓ |
| | 2000 | ✓ | ✓ | | 2000 | No | |
| | 2001 | ✓ | ✓ | | 2001 | No | |
| | 2002 | ✓ | ✓ | | 2002 | ✓ | ✓ |
| | 2003 | ✓ | ✓ | | 2003 | ✓ | ✓ |

TP: Data not archived as part of merged ISSP data set because of technical problems with sampling, fielding, or late archiving.

Chart of Archive and Report Delivery 1996–2003
 (based on Central Archive and ZUMA documentation, March, 2005: Slovenia to Venezuela)

| Country (member since) | Module | Archived | Study Report | Country (member since) | Module | Archived | Study Report |
|-----------------------------------------|--------|----------|-----------------|------------------------------|--------|----------|-----------------|
| Slovenia (1992) | 1996 | ✓ | ✓ | Switzerland (1999) | 1996 | ✓ | ✓ |
| | 1997 | ✓ | ✓ | | 1997 | ✓ | No (✓) |
| | 1998 | ✓ | ✓ | | 1998 | ✓ | ✓ |
| | 1999 | ✓ | ✓ | | 1999 | (TP) | ✓ |
| | 2000 | ✓ | ✓ | | 2000 | ✓ | ✓ |
| | 2001 | ✓ | ✓ | | 2001 | ✓ | ✓ |
| | 2002 | ✓ | ✓ | | 2002 | ✓ | ✓ |
| | 2003 | ✓ | ✓ | | 2003 | ✓ | ✓ |
| South Africa (2001, re- instated) | 1996 | | | Taiwan (2001) | 1996 | | |
| | 1997 | | | | 1997 | | |
| | 1998 | | | | 1998 | | |
| | 1999 | | | | 1999 | | |
| | 2000 | | | | 2000 | | |
| | 2001 | ✓ | ✓ | | 2001 | ✓ | ✓ |
| | 2002 | ✓ | ✓ | | 2002 | ✓ | ✓ |
| | 2003 | ✓ | ✓ | | 2003 | ✓ | ✓ |
| South Korea (2003) | 1996 | | | Uruguay (2003) | 1996 | | |
| | 1997 | | | | 1997 | | |
| | 1998 | | | | 1998 | | |
| | 1999 | | | | 1999 | | |
| | 2000 | | | | 2000 | | |
| | 2001 | | | | 2001 | | |
| | 2002 | | | | 2002 | | |
| | 2003 | ✓ | ✓ | | 2003 | ✓ | ✓ |
| Spain (1993) | 1996 | ✓ | ✓ | USA (1984) | 1996 | ✓ | ✓ |
| | 1997 | ✓ | ✓ | | 1997 | ✓ | ✓ |
| | 1998 | ✓ | ✓ | | 1998 | ✓ | ✓ |
| | 1999 | ✓ | ✓ | | 1999 | ✓ | ✓ |
| | 2000 | ✓ | ✓ | | 2000 | ✓ | ✓ |
| | 2001 | ✓ | ✓ | | 2001 | ✓ | ✓ |
| | 2002 | ✓ | ✓ | | 2002 | ✓ | ✓ |
| | 2003 | ✓ | ✓ | | 2003 | ✓ | ✓ |
| Sweden (1992) | 1996 | ✓ | ✓ | Venezuela (1999) | 1996 | | |
| | 1997 | ✓ | ✓ | | 1997 | | |
| | 1998 | ✓ | ✓ | | 1998 | | |
| | 1999 | ✓ | ✓ | | 1999 | No | |
| | 2000 | ✓ | ✓ | | 2000 | No | |
| | 2001 | No | | | 2001 | No | |
| | 2002 | ✓ | ✓ | | 2002 | No | |
| | 2003 | ✓ | ✓ | | 2003 | ✓ | ✓ |

TP: Data not archived as part of merged ISSP data set because of technical problems with sampling, fielding, or late archiving.

Monitoring Findings Chart

2003

for

Austria (A)
Australia (AUS)
Bulgaria (BG)
Canada (CDN)
Switzerland (CH)
Chile (CL)
Czech Republic (CZ)
Germany (D)
Denmark (DK)
Spain (E)
France (F)
Finland (FIN)
Great Britain (GB)
Hungary (H)
Israel (IL)
Ireland (IRL)
Japan (J)
Latvia (LV)
Norway (N)
New Zealand (NZ)
Portugal (P)
Poland (PL)
South Korea (ROK)
Uruguay (ROU)
The Philippines (RP)
Russia (RUS)
Sweden (S)
Slovak Republic (SK)
Slovenia (SLO)
Taiwan (TW)
United States of America (USA)
Venezuela (YV)
South Africa (ZA)

Language(s) and translation

| | A | AUS | BG | CDN | CH | CL | CZ | D | DK | E | F | FIN | GB | H | IL | IRL |
|------------------------------------------|----------------|---------|-----------|---------|--------|---------|-------|--------|--------|---------|--------|---------|-----------|---------|--------|---------|
| <i>Language(s) of the fielded module</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Language 1 (L1) | German | English | Bulgarian | English | German | Spanish | Czech | German | Danish | Spanish | French | Finnish | Hungarian | English | Hebrew | English |
| Language 2 (L2) | | | | French | French | | | | | | | Swedish | | | Arab | |
| Language 3 (L3) | | | | Italian | | | | | | | | | | Russian | | |
| <i>Was the questionnaire translated?</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Yes, translated: | X ^A | | | | L1, L2 | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| - by member(s) of research team | | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| - by translation bureau | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| - by specially trained translator(s) | | | X | L2 | L3 | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| No, not translated | | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

^A Austria used the German (ZUMA) translation.

Translation (continued)

| | J | LV | N | NZ | P | PL | ROK | ROU | RP | RUS | S | SK | SLO | TW | USA | YV | ZA |
|------------------------------------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------|-----------|------------|------------|-----------|------------|----------|-----------|------------|-----------|------------|------------|-----------|
| <i>Language(s) of the fielded module</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Language 1 (L1) | Japanese | Latvian | Norwegian | English | Portuguese | Polish | Korean | Spanish | Tagalog | Russian | Swedish | Slovenian | Chinese | English | Spanish | English | |
| Language 2 (L2) | | Russian | | | | | | | Ilocano | | | | | | | Afrikaans | |
| Language 3 (L3) | | | | | | | | | Bicolano | | | | | | | Zulu | |
| Language 4 (L4) | | | | | | | | | Cebuano | | | | | | | Venda | |
| Language 5 (L5) | | | | | | | | | Ilonggo | | | | | | | Tswana | |
| <i>Was the questionnaire translated?</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Yes, translated: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| - by member(s) of research team | X | X | X | | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | L3, L4, L5 | |
| - by translation bureau | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| - by specially trained translator(s) | | | | | | | | | X | | | | | | | | |
| - other | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| No, not translated | | | | | | X | | | | | | | | | X | | L2 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | L1 |

Translation (continued)

| | A | AUS | BG | CDN | CH | CL | CZ | D | DK | E | F | FIN | GB | H | I | IRL |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|----------------|-----------|---------|--------|---------|-------|--------|--------|---------|--------|---------|---------|-----------|---------|---------|
| <i>Language(s) of the fielded module</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Language 1 (L1) | German | English | Bulgarian | English | German | Spanish | Czech | German | Danish | Spanish | French | Finnish | English | Hungarian | Hebrew | English |
| Language 2 (L2) | | | | French | French | | | | | | | Swedish | | | | Arab |
| Language 3 (L3) | | | | Italian | | | | | | | | | | | Russian | |
| <i>Was the translated questionnaire assessed/checked or evaluated?</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Yes: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| - group discussion | | X | | | | | | X | | X | | | X | | X | |
| - expert checked it | | | X | | | | | | X | | X | | | | | |
| - back translation | | | | | L2 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| - other | | | | | | | | | | X | | | | | | |
| No | | | | | | | | | L3 | | | | | | | |
| Not applicable | | X ^A | X | | | | | | | | | | X | | | |

^A Austria used the German (ZUMA) translation.

Translation (continued)

| | J | LV | N | NZ | P | PL | ROK | ROU | RP | RUS | S | SK | SLO | TW | USA | YV | ZA |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|---------|-----------|---------|------------|--------|--------|---------|----------|---------|---------|-----------|-----------|---------|---------|-----------|---------|
| <i>Language(s) of the fielded module</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Language 1 (L1) | Japanese | Latvian | Norwegian | English | Portuguese | Polish | Korean | Spanish | Tagalog | Russian | Swedish | Slovak | Slovenian | Chinese | English | Spanish | English |
| Language 2 (L2) | | Russian | | | | | | | Ilocano | | | Hungarian | | | | Afrikaans | |
| Language 3 (L3) | | | | | | | | | Bicolano | | | | | | | Zulu | |
| Language 4 (L4) | | | | | | | | | Cebuano | | | | | | | Venda | |
| Language 5 (L5) | | | | | | | | | Ilonggo | | | | | | | Tswana | |
| <i>Was the translated questionnaire assessed/checked or evaluated?</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Yes: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| - group discussion | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| - expert checked it | | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| - back translation | | | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| - other | | | | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Not applicable | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | L1 | | | |

Translation (continued)

| | A | AUS | BG | CDN | CH | CL | CZ | D | DK | E | F | FIN | GB | H | I _L | I _{RL} |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|---------|-----------|---------|--------|-------|--------|--------|---------|--------|---------|---------|-----------|--------|----------------|-----------------|
| <i>Language(s) of the fielded module</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Language 1 (L1) | German | English | Bulgarian | English | German | Czech | German | Danish | Spanish | French | Finnish | English | Hungarian | Hebrew | Arab | English |
| Language 2 (L2) | | | | French | French | | | | | | Swedish | | | | Russian | |
| Language 3 (L3) | | | | Italian | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Was the questionnaire pre-tested?</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Yes | | X | | | X | X | X | X | X | X | | | X | X | | |
| No | | | X | | L2 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Not applicable | X ^A | | | | | | | | | | | X | X | X | X | X |
| <i>Were there any questions... which caused problems when translating?</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Yes | | | | | | | | | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | |
| No | | | X | | X | L2 | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | |
| Not applicable | X ^A | | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

^A Austria used the German (ZUMA) translation.

Translation (continued)

| | J | LV | N | NZ | P | PL | ROK | ROU | RP | RUS | S | SK | SLO | TW | USA | YV | ZA |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|---------|-----------|---------|------------|--------|--------|---------|----------|---------|---------|-----------|---------|---------|---------|-----------|----|
| <i>Language(s) of the fielded module</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Language 1 (L1) | Japanese | Latvian | Norwegian | English | Portuguese | Polish | Korean | Spanish | Tagalog | Russian | Swedish | Slovenian | Chinese | English | Spanish | English | |
| Language 2 (L2) | | Russian | | | | | | | Ilocano | | | | | | | Afrikaans | |
| Language 3 (L3) | | | | | | | | | Bicolano | | | | | | | Zulu | |
| Language 4 (L4) | | | | | | | | | Cebuano | | | | | | | Venda | |
| Language 5 (L5) | | | | | | | | | Illongg | | | | | | | Tswana | |
| <i>Was the questionnaire pre-tested?</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Yes | | | | | X | | X | | | L 1-5 | | | | X | | | |
| No | X | X | X | X | | | X | X | | | X | X | X | X | X | L 2-5 | |
| Not applicable | | | | | X | | | | | | | | | X | | | L1 |
| <i>Were there any questions... which caused problems when translating?</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Yes | | | | | X | | | | | | | X | | | X | | |
| No | X | X | X | X | | | X | X | | | X | X | X | X | X | L 2-5 | |
| Not applicable | | | | | X | | | | | | | | | X | | | L1 |

Survey context

| | A | AUS | BG | CDN | CH | CL | CZ | D | DK | E | F | FIN | GB | H | IL | IRL | J | LV | N | NZ | P | PL | ROK | ROU | RP | RUS | S | SK | SLO | TW | USA | VV | ZA |
|-----------------------------------------|---|-----|----|-----|----|----|----|---|----|---|---|-----|----|---|----|-----|---|----|---|----|---|----|-----|-----|----|-----|---|----|-----|----|-----|----|----|
| <i>How was the ISSP module fielded?</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Individual survey: | | | | | | | | | | X | X | X | X | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Larger survey: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| - with ISSP at start | X | | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| - with ISSP in middle | | | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| - with ISSP at end | | | | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Question coverage and order

| | A | AUS | BG | CDN | CH | CL | CZ | D | DK | E | F | FIN | GB | H | IL | IRL | J | LV | N | NZ | P | PL | ROK | ROU | RP | RUS | S | SK | SLO | TW | USA | YV | ZA |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|---|-----|----|-----|----|----|----|---|----|---|---|-----|----|---|----|-----|---|----|---|----|---|----|-----|-----|----|-----|---|----|-----|----|-----|----|----|
| <i>Were the ISSP questions asked in prescribed order?</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Yes | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | | | |
| No | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Were all the core ISSP items included?</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Yes, all included | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | | | |
| No, not all included: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| - from module background items | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | A | AUS | BG | CDN | CH | CL | CZ | D | DK | E | F | FIN | GB | H | IL | IRL | J | LV | N | NZ | P | PL | ROK | ROU | RP | RUS | S | SK | SLO | TW | USA | YV | ZA |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|---|-----|----|-----|----|----|----|---|----|---|---|-----|----------------|---|----|-----|---|----|---|----|---|----|-----|-----|----|-----|---|----|-----|----|-----|----|----|
| <i>The sample was designed to be representative of...</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ...only adult citizens of country | X | X | X | | | | | | | | | | X ^E | X | X | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ...adults of any nationality | | | | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | | | |
| <i>Was your sample designed to be representative of adults living in...</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ...private accommodation only | X | | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | | | |
| ...private & institutional accommodation | | | | | | | | | | | | X | | | | | | | | | X | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Question not asked | | | | | | | | | | | | | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Lower age cut-off</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 18 | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 17 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 16 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 15 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

^E Spain used an older version of study monitoring questionnaire which does not distinguish between citizens and population

Sampling (continued)

| | A | AUS | BG | CDN | CH | CL | CZ | D | DK | E | F | FIN | GB | H | IL | IRL | J | LV | N | NZ | P | PL | ROK | ROU | RP | RUS | S | SK | SLO | TW | USA | YV | ZA |
|--------------------------------------------------------|---|-----|----|-----|----|----|----|---|----|---|---|-----|----|---|----|-----|---|----|---|----|---|----|-----|-----|----|-----|---|----|-----|----|-----|----|----|
| <i>Was there an upper age cut-off?</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Yes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Age | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| No | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | | | | |
| <i>Did you use any variables for stratification?</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Yes | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | | | | |
| No | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | | | | |
| <i>How many stages does your sampling design have?</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| One stage | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | | | | |
| Two stages | | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | | | | |
| Three stages | | | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | | | | |
| Four or more stages | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Question not asked | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

^{RP} The Philippines used two different sampling methods; for the capital region, the sampling design has three stages, for the rest of the Philippines five stages; for more information see Study Description Sheet.

Sampling (continued)

| | A | AUS | BG | CDN | CH | CL | CZ | D | DK | E | F | FIN | GB | H | IL | IRL | J | LV | N | NZ | P | PL | ROK | ROU | RP | RUS | S | SK | SLO | TW | USA | YV | ZA |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|-----|----|-----|-----------------|------------------|----|---|----|---|---|-----|----|---|----|-----|---|----|---|----|---|----|-----|-----|----|-----|---|----|-----|----|-----|----|----|
| <i>Does your sampling frame consist of...</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Addresses | | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Households | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Named individuals | | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Named individuals (target persons) | | | | | X ^{CH} | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Named individuals (not the target persons) | | | | | | X ^{CDN} | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Areas | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Something else | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>What selection method was used to identify a respondent?</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Kish grid | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Birthday method | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Quota | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Other | X ^A | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Not applicable | | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

CH Telephone directory extended with mobile phone but excluding prepaid numbers.
 CDN Telephone book.
 E Census section.
 ^ Random sampling.

Sampling (continued)

| | A | AUS | BG | CDN | CH | CL | CZ | D | DK | E | F | FIN | GB | H | IL | IRL | J | LV | N | NZ | P | PL | ROK | ROU | RP | RUS | S | SK | SLO | TW | USA | YV | ZA |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---|-----|----|-----|----|----|----|---|----|---|---|-----|----|---|----|-----|---|----|---|----|---|----|-----|-----|----|-----|---|----|-----|----|-----|----|----|
| Was substitution of individuals permitted at any stage of selection process or during fieldwork? | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Yes | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | | | |
| No | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

¹ Substitution of refusals

² Substitution of non-contacts, people away during survey period, etc.

³ Substitution of sample points

Data collection

| | A | AUS | BG | CDN | CH | CL | CZ | D | DK | E | F | FIN | GB | H | IL | IRL | J | LV | N | NZ | P | PL | ROK | ROU | RP | RUS | S | SK | SLO | TW | USA | YV | ZA |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------|---|-----|----|-----|----|----|----|---|----------------|---|---|-----|----------------|---|----|-----|---|----|---|----------------|----------------|----------------|-----|-----|----|-----|---|----|-----|----|-----|----|----|
| <i>Data collection methods used (substantive & background)?</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Face-to-face | X | | | | X | X | X | X | X ^b | | X | | X ^b | X | X | X | X | X | X | X ^b | X ^s | X ^b | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | | | |
| Self-Completion (with interviewer involvement) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Self-completion by mail | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Telephone | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Length of fieldwork</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 weeks or less | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Over 2 wks < 1 month | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 month < 2 mths | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 months < 3 mths | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 mths or more | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Year of fieldwork</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2003 | X | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2004 | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2005 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

^b background variables
^s substantive variables
 ROK 1150 interviews face-to-face; 165 self-completion

Data collection: face-to-face and self-administered with some interviewer involvement

| | A | BG | CH | CL | CZ | D | E | GB | H | IL | IRL | J | LV | P | PL | ROK | ROU | RP | RUS | SLO | SK | TW | USA | YV | ZA |
|------------------------------------------------------------|---|----|----|----|----|---|---|----|---|----|-----|---|----|---|----|-----|-----|----|-----|-----|----|----|-----|----|----|
| <i>Were postal or telephone components used?</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Yes - postal components: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| - advance letter | X | | X | | | X | | X | | X | | X | | X | | X | | X | | X | | X | | X | |
| - reminder & thank you letters | | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Yes - telephone components | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| No | X | X | X | X | X | | | X | X | X | | X | | X | | X | | X | | X | | X | | X | |
| <i>Were incentives offered?</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Yes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| No | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | |
| Question not asked | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Were interviewers paid according to realized cases?</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Yes | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | |
| No | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

^D Interviewers are paid per interview plus expenses
^E Interviewers are paid per day, per completed interview plus payment for non-completed interviews

Data collection: face-to-face and self-administered with some interviewer involvement (continued)

| | A | BG | CH | CL | CZ | D | E | GB | H | IL | IRL | J | LV | P | PL | ROK | ROU | RP | RUS | SK | SLO | TW | USA | YV | ZA | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---|-----|----|-----------------|----|---|---|----|---|----|-----|---|----|---|----|-----|-----|----|-----|----|-----|----|-----|----|----|----|----|
| Which of these rules governed how an interviewer approached an address or house-hold? | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Call at different time of day | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | | | |
| Call on different days in week | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | | | |
| None of these | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Were a minimum number of calls required? | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Yes: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Minimum number of required calls | 5 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | | | |
| No | | | | | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Were any interviews supervised? | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Yes: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Approximate proportion (%) | 7 | 7.5 | 30 | 28 ^E | 14 | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | 8 | 38 | 10 | X | X | X | 1 | 5 | 15 | 10 |
| No | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

^E 28% of interviews jointly supervised and partially back-checked

Data collection: face-to-face and self-administered with some interviewer involvement (continued)

| | A | BG | CH | CL | CZ | D | E | GB | H | IL | IRL | J | LV | P | PL | ROK | ROU | RP | RUS | SLO | SK | TW | USA | YV | ZA |
|------------------------------------------|----|----|----|----|----|-----|-----------------|----|----|----|-----|----|----|---|------|-----|-----|----|-----|-----|----|----|-----|----|----|
| <i>Were any interviews back-checked?</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Yes: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Approximate proportion (%) | 15 | 7 | 20 | 40 | 2 | 100 | 28 ^E | 10 | 10 | 30 | 10 | 10 | 30 | 7 | 57.5 | 100 | 30 | 15 | 7 | 60 | 40 | 20 | 20 | 10 | |
| No | | | | | | | | | | | | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

^E 28% of interviews jointly supervised and partially back-checked

Data collection: mail

| | AUS | CDN | DK | F | FIN | N | NZ | S |
|------------------------------------------------------------|-----|-----------------|----|---|-----|---|----|----------------|
| <i>Were any contacts made by telephone or interviewer?</i> | | | | | | | | |
| Yes: | | | | | | | | |
| - reminders by telephone | | X ^{DK} | | | | | | X |
| No | X | X | | X | X | X | X | |
| <i>What was sent out in the first mailing?</i> | | | | | | | | |
| Questionnaire | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | |
| Data protection information | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| Explanatory letter | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| Incentive | | | | | X | | | |
| Other material | X | | | | X | | X | X ^s |
| <i>What was sent out in the second mailing?</i> | | | | | | | | |
| Thank you and reminder combined | | | X | X | X | X | X | |
| Reminder sent only to non-respondents | X | | X | | | X | X | |
| Questionnaire | | | X | | X | | X | |
| Data protection information | | | | | X | | X | |
| Explanatory letter | | | | | X | | X | |
| Other material | X | | | | | X | X | X ^s |

^{DK} Denmark used a telephone reminder after last mailing.
^s Sweden sent out incentive in the second mailing.

Data collection: mail (continued)

| | AUS | CDN | DK | F | FIN | N | NZ | S |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|-----|-----|----|---|----------------|---|----|---|
| <i>What was sent out in the third mailing?</i> | | | | | | | | |
| Questionnaire | X | X | | X | X | X | X | X |
| Data protection information | X | | | X | X | | | X |
| Explanatory letter | X | X | | X | X | X | X | |
| Other material | | | | | X ^N | X | | |
| No third mailing | | X | X | | | | | |
| <i>What was sent out in the fourth (or last) mailing?</i> | | | | | | | | |
| Questionnaire | | | | | | X | | |
| Data protection information | | | | | | X | | |
| Explanatory letter | | | | | | X | X | |
| Reminder only to non-respondents | X | | | | | | | |
| No fourth mailing | | X | X | X | X | | | X |

^N Norway also sent out incentive in third and fourth mailing.

Information on response and outcome figures *

| | A | AUS | BG | CDN | CH | CL | CZ | D ^D | DK | E | F | FIN | GB | H | II | IRL |
|---------------------------------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|-------------------------|--------|----------------|--------------------|------|-------|------|------|------|------|------|
| <i>Response figures based on reported figures</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Issued sample (n) | 2200 | 5684 | 1200 | 3000 | 3640 | 1505 | 2441 | 2450/1130 | 2000 | 1230 | 10000 | 2500 | 2062 | 1684 | 1850 | 1702 |
| Ineligible (n) | 531 | 38 | 17 | 126 | 201 | 5 | 52 | 264/127 | 8 | 128 | 12 | 182 | 205 | 77 | 77 | 88 |
| Eligible (n) | 1669 | 5646 | 1183 | 2874 | 3439 | 1500 | 2389 | 2186/1003 | 1992 | 1230 | 9872 | 2488 | 1880 | 1479 | 1773 | 1614 |
| - refusal (n) | 278 | 343 | 35 | 40 | 1735 | 91 | 617 | 924/415 | 430 | 9 | 8 | 569 | 282 | 431 | 431 | 252 |
| - non-contact (n) | 385 | 2374 | | 1596 | 319 | 77 | 297 | 153/63 | 40 | 7 | 8148 | 1095 | 79 | 72 | 72 | 220 |
| - other unproductive (n) | 758 | 79 | | 348 | 24 | 199 | 132/40 | 200 | 2 | | 6 | 359 | 104 | 69 | 69 | 52 |
| - completed cases (n) | 1006 | 2165 | 1069 | 1228 | 1037 | 1505/1308 ^{CL} | 1276 | 850/437 | 1317 ^{DK} | 1212 | 1669 | 1379 | 873 | 1021 | 1066 | 1065 |
| - partially completed (n) | | 6 | | 10 | | | 127/48 | 5 | | 55 | | | | 1 | 1 | 25 |

* for calculation of response figures, see appendix.

^D Western federal states followed by eastern federal states

^{CL} First count includes substituted interviews

^{DK} 158 telephone interviews included (12% of interviews completed)

Information on response and outcome figures (continued)*

| | J | LV | N | NZ | P | PL | ROK | ROU | RP | RUS | S | SK | SLO | TW | USA | YV | ZA |
|---------------------------------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| <i>Response figures based on reported figures</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Issued sample (n) | 1800 | 1805 | 2500 | 2200 | 2907 | 2106 | 2000 | 1389 | | 5902 | 2000 | 1475 | 1612 | 4391 | 2587 | 1337 | 3500 |
| Ineligible (n) | 99 | 96 | 50 | 224 | 99 | 204 | 30 | | | 182 | 35 | 16 | 102 | 174 | 792 | 43 | 250 |
| Eligible (n) | 1701 | 1709 | 2450 | 1976 | 2808 | 1902 | 1970 | 1389 | | 5720 | 1965 | 1459 | 1510 | 4217 | 1795 | 1294 | 3250 |
| - refusal (n) | 272 | 285 | 82 | 70 | 955 | 218 | 591 | 176 | 409 | 1632 | 172 | 307 | 204 | 607 | 258 | 54 | 362 |
| - non-contact (n) | 182 | 377 | 862 | 779 | 200 | 113 | 64 | 72 | | 995 | 1103 | 451 | 101 | 1197 | 49 | 29 | 333 |
| - other unproductive (n) | 145 | 47 | 37 | 89 | 46 | 294 | 33 | 242 | | 556 | 156 | 112 | 397 | 272 | 12 | 72 | |
| - completed cases (n) | 1102 | 994 | 1469 | 1038 | 1602 | 1277 | 1315 | 1108 | 1200 | 2408 | 1186 | 1152 | 1092 | 2016 | 1216 | 1199 | 2464 |
| - partially completed (n) | | 6 | | | 5 | | | | 21 | | | | | 1 | | 19 | |

* for calculation of response figures, see appendix.

Data

| | A | AUS | BG | CDN | CH | CL | CZ | D | DK | E | F | FIN | GB | H | IL | IRL | J | LV | N | NZ | P | PL | ROK | ROU | RP | RUS | S | SK | SLO | TW | USA | YV | ZA |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|---|-----|----|-----|----|----|----|---|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|----|
| <i>Were any measures of coding reliability employed?</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Yes | X | X | X | | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | | | |
| No | | | | X | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Was the keying of the data verified?</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Yes: | | | | | | | | | | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Approximate proportion (%) | | | | | | | | | | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | | |
| No | | | | | | | | | | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Not answered | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Were any reliability checks made on derived variables?</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Yes | X | | | | | | | | | | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | | |
| No | X | | | | | | | | | | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | | |
| Not applicable | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Data (continued)

| A | AUS | BG | CDN | CH | CL | CZ | D | DK | E | F | FIN | GB | H | IL | IRL | J | LV | N | NZ | P | PL | ROK | ROU | RP | RUS | S | SK | SLO | TW | USA | YV | ZA |
|---------------------------------------------------|-----|----|-----|----|----|----|---|----|---|---|-----|----|---|----|-----|---|----|---|----|---|----|-----|-----|----|-----|---|----|-----|----|-----|----|----|
| <i>Data checks/edition:</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| - filters | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | | | |
| - logic or consistency | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | | | |
| - ranges | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | | | |
| <i>Were data errors corrected?</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Yes: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| - individually | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | | | |
| - automatically | X | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| No | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Not answered | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Were the data weighted or post-stratified?</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Yes | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | | | |
| No | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | | | |

Documentation

| | A | AUS | BG | CDN | CH | CL | CZ | D | DK | E | F | FIN | GB | H | IL | IRL | J | LV | N | NZ | P | PL | ROK | ROU | RP | RUS | S | SK | SLO | TW | USA | YV | ZA |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|---|-----|----|-----|----|----|----|---|----|---|---|-----|----|---|----|-----|---|----|---|----|---|----|-----|-----|----|-----|---|----|-----|----|-----|----|----|
| <i>Is a national methods report available for your study?</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Yes | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | | | |
| No | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Question not asked | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Appendix

| Please provide information about the other study(ies) the ISSP was fielded with (e.g., topic, survey name). | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1 | Austria | Fielded together with ISSP 2004 (Citizenship) with additional EU-questions and identity questions (big 5, etc.). |
| 2 | Australia | The Australian Survey of Social Attitudes (AuSSA) 2003: a biennial general social survey of Australian attitudes on subjects including work, education, families, crime, community, and taxes and government spending. |
| 3 | Bulgaria | Roma issues in Bulgaria. |
| 4 | Canada | Fielded together with ISSP 2004 (Citizenship). |
| 5 | Chile | ISSP 2003 (National Identity) module was carried out in conjunction with questions relating to Chilean political, economic and social attitudes. |
| 6 | Germany | ISSP 2003 was fielded together with ISSP 2004 (Citizenship) and with ALLBUS (German General Social Survey). The ALLBUS 2004 deals with various topics, such as health, social inequality or digital divide. |
| 7 | Great Britain | Fielded as part of the British Social Attitudes Survey. |
| 8 | Hungary | The module was part of TARKI's 2003/3 Omnibus survey. The ISSP questions were placed in the second half of questionnaire. The first 200 questions were devoted to some other issues mostly on telecommunication (use of mobiles, internet, etc.). Then, the questionnaire continued with background variables. The exact ISSP part started with Q239 and ended with Q255. After the ISSP section the questionnaire contained further background information. |
| 9 | Ireland | Fielded together with ISSP 2004 (Citizenship). |
| 10 | Latvia | ISSP 2003 (part B) was fielded together with the module 2002 (part A) and a study including questions on medical care (part C). |
| 11 | Norway | Omnibus for Norwegian researchers (30 items) on: national symbols political cleavages, political trust, political efficacy, political activity, state church as national institution. |
| 12 | New Zealand | New Zealand specific questions on National Identity: race relations, the Treaty of Waitangi, immigration, the Maori language. |
| 13 | Portugal | A module on attitudes towards immigration was added to the questionnaire. |
| 14 | Poland | Fielded together with the Polish General Social Survey (PGSS). |

| Please provide information about the other study(ies) the ISSP was fielded with (e.g., topic, survey name). | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 15 | The Philippines | ISSP 2003 is part of an omnibus survey. Other topics are quality of life, voter preferences for May 2004 elections, performance rating of the president and the national administration as a whole and on specific issues, such as corruption in government, right to information. The ISSP module run from question nos. 84 to 143. |
| 16 | Russia | Regular omnibus. |
| 17 | Slovak Republic | Religious identity, regional identity, gender identity. |
| 18 | Slovenia | ISSP 2003 fielded together with ISSP 2002 (Family and Changing Gender Roles) and national survey on attitudes on local democracy |
| 19 | South Africa | The module was fielded as part of the South African Social Attitudes Survey (SASAS). Topics included: democracy and governance, national identity (not ISSP), public service, health status, HIV/Aids, moral issues and communications. |
| 20 | South Korea | ISSP 2003 module (National Identity) was fielded as part of the KGSS (Korean General Social Survey). |
| 21 | Spain | Question not asked in older version of SMQ. |
| 22 | Switzerland | The ISSP was fielded together with the “Eurobarometer in Switzerland (EBCH)”. The topic of the EBCH of 2003 was “Family” which corresponds to the EB59.0 of the European Union. |
| 23 | Taiwan | National identity, political attitudes, democracy, etc. |
| 24 | Uruguay | Fielded together with ISSP 2004 (Citizenship). |
| 25 | USA | Fielded as a part of the General Social Survey. |
| 26 | Venezuela | Other study on violence and criminal justice system. |

Calculation of Response Figures Based on Reported Figures

| Report Category | Face-to-Face Questionnaire Category | Mail Questionnaire Category |
|-------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Issued sample (n) | Total number of starting or issued names/addresses (gross sample size) | Total number of starting or issued names/addresses (gross sample size) |
| Ineligible (n) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Addresses which could not be traced at all/ who could not be traced - Addresses established as empty, demolished or containing no private dwellings | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Addresses which could not be traced - Addresses established as empty, demolished or containing no private dwellings - Details of address wrong (street numbers, post codes, etc.) - Addresses with no letter boxes - Selected respondent unknown at address - Selected respondent moved, no forwarding address - Selected respondent deceased |
| Eligible (n) | Issued sample minus Ineligible | Issued sample minus Ineligible |
| Refusal (n) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Personal refusal at selected address - Proxy refusal (on behalf of selected respondent) - Other refusal at selected address | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Refusal by selected respondent - Refusal by another person - Implicit refusals (empty envelopes, empty questionnaires returned) |
| Non-contact (n) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - No contact at selected address - No contact with selected person | No contact |
| Other unproductive (n) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Selected respondent too sick / incapacitated to participate of survey - Selected respondent had inadequate understanding of language of survey - Selected respondent away during survey period - Other type of unproductive reaction | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Selected respondent too sick / incapacitated to participate of survey - Selected respondent had inadequate understanding of language of survey - Selected respondent away during survey period - Other type of unproductive reaction |
| Completed cases (n) | Full productive interview | Completed returned questionnaires (net sample size) |
| Partially completed (n) | Partial productive interview | Partially completed returned questionnaires |