ABSTRACT. This study investigated correlates of five time perspectives (TPs) and the Balanced Time Perspective (BTP) construct proposed by Zimbardo and colleagues. Two hundred and sixty Scottish participants completed the Zimbardo Time Perspective Inventory (ZTPI: Zimbardo and Boyd, 1999), Subjective Happiness Scale (Lyubomirsky and Lepper, 1999) and Mindful Attention Awareness Scale (Brown and Ryan, 2003). The most prevalent TP profile was moderate to high scores on all five TPs of the ZTPI. BTP participants were significantly happier and more mindful. Happiness and mindfulness were positively correlated but a future TP did not correlate with subjective happiness. KEY WORDS • Balanced Time Perspective • happiness • mindfulness • temporal frames • time perspective

Introduction

Time is not just a physical phenomenon but open to psychological interpretation (James, 1890/1950). Indeed, Suddendorf and Corballis (1997) noted that the monitoring of time underpinned cognitive functioning. Thus learning to deal with time, at both a subjective and objective level, is vital. Since time does not exist independently of the person and is in fact a psychological construct, then it is one that the person continually identifies with and refines (Block, 1990). Gonzalez and Zimbardo (1985) believed that the way in which we seek to
separate and apportion, or encircle chunks of time is a powerful and pervasive characteristic of the human experience.

As the interest in studying time has increased so too has the terminology researchers use to describe its various facets. This hasn’t necessarily led to confusion but some blurring of concepts and constructs related to the study of time do exist (Wallace and Rabin, 1960; Hoornaert, 1973; Hulbert and Lens, 1988; Nuttin, 1985). Time attitude, time perspective, temporal orientation, and time perception are often synonymous with each other and interchangeable (Hulbert and Lens, 1988). Other terms such as sense of time, subjective experience and temporal experience all point to the notion that individuals are aware of the passing of time and may crudely divide time into frames or periods. While time attitude is the affective response (positive or negative) towards past, present or future time frames (Nuttin, 1985) time orientation is concerned with determining which of these time frames an individual tends to favour (De Volder, 1979). Nuttin (1985) defines time perception as judging the passage of time itself but sees time perspective as a complex construct of four sub-factors, namely extension, structuralization, and realism that can be applied to both past and future perspectives (see Nuttin, 1985 for more detail on factors).

The present article does not disagree with these definitions but chooses to focus on how Zimbardo and colleagues define and operationalize TP. That is that TP is ‘the manner in which individuals, and cultures, partition the flow of human experience into distinct temporal categories of past, present and future’ (Zimbardo et al., 1997: 1008). Zimbardo and colleagues also sought to investigate these past, present and future time frames more thoroughly by developing a scale to measure TP, namely the Zimbardo Time Perspective Inventory (ZTPI). Here the past, present and future temporal frames are subdivided into five subscales. These are, firstly, the Past Negative (PN) temporal frame. This reflects a pessimistic attitude towards the past and possibly the experience of traumatic life events. Secondly, the Past Positive (PP), which is marked by a more sentimental and positive view of one’s past. Thirdly, Present Hedonistic (PH), associated with the desire for spontaneous pleasure with slight regard to risk or concern for future consequences. Then there is the Present Fatalistic (PF) frame which is defined as a lack of hope for the future and belief that uncontrollable forces determine one’s fate. The fifth and final dimension, the Future (F), is characterized by reward dependence that occurs as a result of achieving specific long-term goals.

**Time Perspective and Wellbeing Research**

Research thus far suggests that particular temporal frames have implications for various aspects of wellbeing. For example, PN has been correlated with
depression, anxiety and low self-esteem, and it has been demonstrated that PN individuals have fewer close friends (Zimbardo and Boyd, 1999), gamble more (Wassarman, 2002) and are more likely to be in alcohol and drug programmes (Klingeman, 2001) than those in other TP groups. Findings from Lyubomirsky and Nolen-Hoeksema (1995) and Nolen-Hoeksema and Morrow (1993) confirm this. In contrast, high PP scores are related to high levels of self-esteem and happiness (Zimbardo and Boyd, 1999), agreeableness and energy (Goldberg and Maslach, 1996) and indicative of the use of social support networks (Holman and Zimbardo, 1999, cited in Zimbardo and Boyd, 1999). Present oriented (PH and PF) individuals are less influenced by safe sex practices (Rothspan and Read, 1996) and tend to engage in risky driving (Zimbardo et al., 1997), alcohol and drug misuse (Strathman et al., 1994; Keough et al., 1999) and suffer chronic homelessness (Epel et al., 1999). However, moderate scores for the PH factor, which have been positively correlated with novelty and sensation seeking, can be beneficial for the individual provided they have some concept of future consequences (Zimbardo and Boyd, 1999).

The Future sub-scale has been associated with less psychopathy (Wallace, 1956, cited in Zimbardo and Boyd, 1999), and positively correlated with participation in a cancer-screening programme (Guarino et al., 1999). It is therefore regarded as the more constructive time perspective in terms of engaging in positive health behaviours. According to Kazakina (1999, cited in Zimbardo and Boyd, 1999), individuals with a more future-oriented outlook are more optimistic and anticipate positive outcomes. This can, in itself, perpetuate more positive functioning and can lead to higher levels of academic achievement (Zimbardo and Boyd, 1999), elevated levels of participation in research studies (Harber et al., 2003), success in prison training programmes (Chubick et al., 1999) and more adaptive coping strategies for obtaining shelter when homeless (Epel et al., 1999). It has, however, been suggested that an overemphasis on future goals compromises spontaneity resulting in poor ability to ‘switch off’ and enjoy the present (Boniwell and Zimbardo, 2003). Similarly an over-emphasis, or a temporal bias, towards a present orientation is associated with negative emotions, such as anger, anxiety and depression (Wills et al., 2001), alcohol use, smoking and illegal drug use (Keough et al., 1999; Wills et al., 2001) and engaging in risky sexual practices (Rothspan and Read, 1996). Despite some findings suggesting that a focus on the present is associated with subjective wellbeing and general happiness (Csikszentmihalyi, 1992; Keough, et al., 1999) it seems that seeking immediate gratification, while disregarding the consequences of actions, is typical of a predisposition to a present temporal bias.

To facilitate wellbeing Boniwell and Zimbardo (2004) propose that one should strive to achieve what they call a Balanced Time Perspective (BTP). This is characterized, statistically, as moderate to high scores for the PP, PH, and F
factors and relatively low scores for the PN and PF factors. It is operationally
defined as the ability to hold past present and future time perspectives concur-
rently but importantly to be able to move between each perspective and to use
the most appropriate one in a given situation. Zimbardo and Boyd (1999) go as
far as to suggest that a BTP is central to optimal functioning. By that they mean
that the individual will reap psychological benefits if they are able to ‘work hard
when there is a mission to be accomplished, but play hard when the work is
done’ (Zimbardo, 2002: 62). By achieving a BTP an individual’s actions are
shaped by a consideration of all three temporal zones (Zimbardo and Boyd,
1999; Boniwell and Zimbardo, 2003, 2004) as opposed to the limiting ability of
a dispositional bias towards one particular time frame. Accordingly, Boniwell
and Zimbardo (2004) propose that individuals with a BTP will be happier than
those who do not have a BTP. However, most TP research has yet to establish
this. Most of the research has focused on specific temporal frames using varied
TP measurements rather than focusing on the BTP construct. For example,
Kazakina (1999, cited in Zimbardo and Boyd, 1999) found positive correlations
between a present orientation and positive affect and between a past positive ori-
entation and life satisfaction. There is also evidence of positive relationships
between present orientation and general happiness (Kammann and Flett, 1983),
life satisfaction (Diener et al., 1985) and optimism (Lennings, 2000). Similarly,
Zaleski et al. (2001) showed that a future perspective was positively associated
with subjective wellbeing. However, a future orientation is positively correlated
with higher socio-economic status, which is only weakly related to wellbeing
(Diener, 2000). Assessing subjective wellbeing has been done in many ways
(e.g. Diener, 1997; Peterson, 2000) and there are numerous constructs that relate
to subjective wellbeing. For the purposes of the present study we chose to focus
on a global, subjective assessment of happiness. Thus the present study used the
Subjective Happiness Scale (Lyubomirsky & Lepper, 1999). This simply asks
people to evaluate how happy or unhappy they are and does not involve cogni-
tive evaluations of the quality of, or satisfaction with, life (Lyubomirsky, 2001).

The notion that a BTP allows the individual to be more fully engaged in any
given situation corresponds with an aspect of consciousness called mindfulness.
Mindfulness, according to Hanh (1976) facilitates conscious awareness of the
reality of the present. Brown and Ryan (2003) investigated mindfulness as an
individual differences attribute by adopting the Mindfulness Attention
Awareness Scale (MAAS). The study demonstrated significant positive correla-
tions between mindfulness and self-esteem, optimism, life satisfaction, and
wellbeing, and negative correlations with neuroticism, anxiety and depression.
Aims of the Present Study

Thus the aims of the present study were first, to operationalize the five TPs of the ZTPI and establish what relationship there is between these five temporal frames and subjective happiness. It is important that the measurement of happiness chosen for this study is asking for a simple judgement of how happy or unhappy participants feel which is in contrast to other studies that have used more global judgements of life satisfaction. Second, the BTP construct will also be operationalized to establish what relationship, if any, having a BTP has with subjective happiness scores. Third, to investigate to what extent mindfulness relates to each of the TPs and the BTP construct. Since mindfulness is synonymous with conscious absorption in the present then positive correlations between particular TPs and the BTP should be evident. Thus the study will seek to validate assumptions of the TP theory and the BTP construct as viewed by Zimbardo and colleagues.

On the basis of findings from previous research several hypotheses were proposed. First, that those participants with a BTP profile would be more mindful and happier than those not achieving a BTP profile (scores spread across the other five temporal factors of the ZTPI). Second, that PP and F would be positively correlated with mindfulness, while PN and PF orientations would be negatively correlated with mindfulness. Further, given that high scores on the PH scale are associated with risky activities and thrill seeking (Boniwell and Zimbardo, 2004), which would be considered unmindful behaviour, it was predicted that there would be a negative correlation between PH and mindfulness. It was also hypothesized that PP, PH and F would be positively related to subjective happiness, but that PN and PF would be negatively related to happiness. Finally, based on Brown and Ryan’s (2003) findings it was predicted that mindfulness and subjective happiness would be positively correlated.

Method

Design

A correlational questionnaire-based design was used. The dependent variables were participants’ scores on the ZTPI, comprising five sub-scales; past negative (PN), present hedonistic (PH), future (F), past positive (PP) and present fatalistic (PF), the MAAS and subjective happiness scores.

Participants

Two hundred and sixty participants, 170 male and 90 female, ranging in age from 16 to 83, completed the study. Participants were recruited via the adoption
of opportunity and snowball sampling methodology and consisted of students at the Glasgow Caledonian University (UK), family, friends and associates of the research team. The sample was thus drawn from a wider age range and living circumstances than an exclusively university-based sample.

Materials

The questionnaire consisted of demographic items ascertaining age and gender and the following inventories.

Zimbardo Time Perspective Inventory (ZTPI: Zimbardo and Boyd, 1999): this is a 56-item self-report instrument, with five sub-scales as described previously, which measures participants’ time-related attitudes and behaviour by asking them to rate, on a Likert scale, how true each statement is of them (1 being ‘very untrue’ and 5 being ‘very true). The scale was developed from case studies, surveys and factor analysis and has shown reliability, validity and ease of administration (Zimbardo and Boyd, 1999).

Subjective Happiness Scale (SHS: Lyubomirsky and Lepper, 1999): this is a four-item self-report scale used to measure global subjective happiness. Two items require participants to produce absolute measures of happiness with regard to their own rating and that relative to peers. A further two questions ask participants to rate to what extent descriptions of unhappy and happy people are typical of them. All items are measured on a 7-point Likert scale and an average score is taken across the four scores, with the fourth item being reverse-coded. Higher scores reflect higher levels of subjective happiness.

Mindfulness Attention Awareness Scale (MAAS: Brown and Ryan, 2003): this is a 15-item scale that assesses individual differences in the frequency of mindfulness states by asking participants to rate how frequently or infrequently each experience happens to them. It also utilizes a Likert scale where 1 represents ‘almost always’ through to 6 which represents ‘almost never’.

Procedure

A pilot study ensured that the questionnaires were feasible and easy to follow. The battery of questionnaires were then distributed using opportunity sampling and snowballing techniques. Written instructions informed participants that it would take approximately 10–15 minutes to complete. Participants were given a 14-day period within which to complete and return the battery of questionnaires to a secure central point. Confidentiality was guaranteed. No payment or any other incentive was offered.
Results

Results pertaining to the number of participants holding a BTP profile will be given first. These individuals were then tested against those with non-BTP profiles (the other five temporal frames) in terms of subjective happiness and mindfulness. A further analysis will concentrate on correlations between subjective happiness, mindfulness and the five temporal frames themselves.

How many participants achieved a BTP?

To calculate this, low, moderate and high TP scores were obtained by dividing TP scores for each of the five factors as close as possible to the 33rd and 66th percentiles, resulting in three groups. Applying Zimbardo’s theory that low scores on PN and PF, moderate to high on PP, F and PH constitute a BTP, we could calculate that only 13 participants (10 females and 3 males) achieved a BTP.

BTP and subjective happiness

Table 1 shows means and standard deviations for SHS scores across two levels of TP profile. Group 1 \((n = 247)\) represents those participants who did not have a BTP profile. Group 2 \((n = 13)\) represents those who did. As can be seen from Table 1 the group with a BTP profile scored significantly higher on the SHS than those with other TP profiles \((t = 5.04, df = 12, p = .0001)\). Thus the hypothesis that happiness scores would differ significantly between those with a BTP profile and those without was supported.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group 1*</th>
<th>Group 2**</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Happiness</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.03</td>
<td>4.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>.772</td>
<td>.521</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group 1</th>
<th>Group 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mindfulness</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.91</td>
<td>5.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Participants who did not have BTP.
** Participants with a BTP.

BTP and mindfulness

Table 1 also shows the means and standard deviations for MAAS scores across the same two levels of TP profile as above. As can be seen from Table 1 the
The present study operationalized the five temporal frames of the ZTPI and established what relationship there was between these and self-reported subjective happiness. Moreover, the relationship between a BTP and subjective happiness scores was investigated and compared to the five non-BTP temporal frames or time perspectives. In addition, the extent to which mindfulness related to each of the temporal frames and the BTP construct was clarified. It was proposed that individuals with a BTP would be happier and more mindful than those with other profiles. The results did indeed show that 13 individuals matched the
criteria for a BTP. Analysis of the BTP group compared to those with other profiles showed that those with a BTP had significantly higher mean scores for subjective happiness and mindfulness than individuals with other TP profiles. However, this study found that the most prevalent temporal frame profile constituted individuals with moderate to high scores in all five of the frames \((n = 43)\). These individuals set themselves apart from the BTP group by having moderate to high scores in the risk factors of past negative and present fatalistic.

An analysis of the five temporal frames in relation to happiness and mindfulness was also carried out. It was hypothesized that the PH- and PP-subjective happiness relationship would be positive and that the PN-subjective happiness correlation be negative. These hypotheses were indeed confirmed and allow us to state that the happier participants were those more likely to hold positive as opposed to negative attitudes towards their past, be more spontaneous and live more in the moment.

Rather surprisingly the F factor was not significantly correlated with subjective happiness. A future orientation is apparently linked to positive functioning (Kazakina, 1999), participation in health screening (Guarino et al., 1999) and less psychopathy (Wallace, 1956). It follows then that this would link to higher levels of subjective happiness. However, it has to be acknowledged that there is some degree of ambiguity in research findings between time perspectives and wellbeing. Despite the links with higher optimism (Zimbardo and Ryan, 1999), self-efficacy, and more adaptive coping strategies (Epel et al., 1999) one should temper these findings by bearing in mind that Boniwell and Zimbardo (2003) have suggested that an emphasis on achieving future goals may compromise the level of enjoyment in present activities and the importance of family values at the root of our own past. The present authors propose that an

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mindfulness</th>
<th>Happiness</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PN</td>
<td>-0.492***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PH</td>
<td>-0.116*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>0.027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP</td>
<td>0.125*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PF</td>
<td>-0.230***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mindfulness</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (1-tailed).
** Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (1-tailed).
*** Correlation is significant at the 0.0001 level (1-tailed).
emphasis on future goals not only pulls people away from absorption in present reality but could also create more anxiety and hurriedness. It is also the case that one should take into account how future-oriented people would feel if goals and plans are thwarted by current obstacles. Further investigations of the phenomenology of the future orientation is warranted.

It was expected that the PF sub-scale would be negatively correlated with subjective happiness. It is not surprising that this aspect has been supported since PF is said to be the most destructive factor as it has been associated with higher levels of aggression, anxiety and depression (Zimbardo and Boyd, 1999). A fatalistic frame of the present does not however preclude concern for future consequences. For example, in relation to health-promoting behaviour Hamilton et al. (2003) found that a present orientation was not negatively correlated to such behaviour.

It is our belief that this is the first study to test mindfulness in relation to time perspective. It was hypothesized that if mindfulness represents awareness and the keeping of conscious thoughts in the present then one would expect that those high on mindfulness are first, happier and second, possess particular time perspectives relevant to the mindfulness state. It may be that these people, thanks to the mindfulness state, possess more ability to balance work, leisure and family demands and may be more likely to possess a BTP profile. Thus it was hypothesized that mindfulness scores would differ significantly across those with a BTP profile and those without. Indeed the results showed that the BTP group participants did score significantly higher on mindfulness than those with other TP profiles. As predicted, certain temporal frames were related to MAAS scores. As predicted the PP-MAAS correlation was positive, while the PN-, PH- and PF-MAAS relationships were negative. However, the association between the future temporal frame and MAAS scores though positive was not significant. Overall we can say that those who were more mindful tended to have a more favourable perception of the past and towards life in general. Examining relationships between the ZTPI and MAAS and finding that PP-MAAS correlations were in the predicted direction offers some validation for PP factor of the ZTPI itself.

**Limitations and Future Considerations**

It has to be acknowledged that the correlational nature of the research design precludes even the slightest speculation on causal connections or underlying mechanisms between a BTP, the other temporal frames, and wellbeing. However, the fact that those with a BTP showed higher levels of aspects of well-being supports basic tenets of the BTP construct. This study, we believe, is the first to attempt a BTP profile analysis and as such presents evidence in support
of the Zimbardo and Boyd’s (1999) BTP theory. However, these findings should be regarded as preliminary. A replication study seeking to capture more individuals with a BTP profile would be a prudent next step. Since ethics stipulated that anonymity be afforded each participant in the present study, in-depth interviews with those with a BTP were not possible. We therefore suggest that future studies consider case study analyses of those found to have a BTP. This would allow extension of the theory and operationalization of it within a practical setting.

The PP–subjective happiness correlation was the highest positive result of all the time perspectives and subjective happiness scores. These findings are in line with previous research on the happiness and time perspective (Kammann and Flett, 1983; Lennings, 2000; Boniwell and Zimbardo, 2004). That a positive relationship was found is accepted but it is only a relationship and in no way constitutes a causal explanation nor indicates underlying mechanisms. In addition the present study did not take into account participants’ past positive and/or negative life events. Thus we have no understanding of context that may have contributed to decisions made by participants when they were completing the questionnaires. Future research should attempt to ascertain exposure to past negative and positive life events as mediators. Better still would be an appreciation of coping mechanisms in those with a PP profile alongside a personality measure. Individual difference data such as this can inform theory and possibly interventions aimed at altering temporal frames to maximise positive functioning and wellbeing.

It is important to point out that results here could be influenced by the measurement of happiness chosen. Equivocal results may be produced because different surveys adopt different interpretations of the subjective wellbeing construct. The adoption of the SHS scale in this study was a deliberate attempt to use a global measure that measured simply how happy or unhappy people perceived themselves to be. Since measures of wellbeing assess either cognitive or the emotional components of the construct, the present authors argue that simplification was needed here. Notwithstanding this, it would be interesting to probe the emotional components with respect to time perspective more purposefully. For example, studies could perhaps use such measures as the Positive and Negative Affect Scale (PANAS: Watson et al., 1988) and/or adopt the Emotion Diary (Oatley and Duncan, 1994; Duncan and Grazzani-Gavazzi, 2004) or Experience Sampling Methodologies. Such strategies would place scores on time perspective scales in context. These would be most appropriate in a study that aimed to investigate possible changes in the five factors across time.

The extent to which these results are generalizable must be treated with caution even though steps were taken to widen recruitment beyond the student population and to use a wide age range. We did not feel that there were sufficient numbers in particular age categories to be able to take a look at developmental
changes in time perspectives. This would be an important next step for future research. Some consideration should also be given to the cultural context within which this investigation took place. In this Scottish sample it was found that a substantial number presented scores that indicated the predominance of past negative and present fatalistic outlooks. The intriguing question is whether this is serendipitous or a part of the character of Scottish culture? Indeed, to what extent other cultures either share this profile or have their own unique profile is worthy of investigation. Since some research has indicated cultural variation with regards to time and time perspective (Spangler and Petrovich, 1978; Sagie et al., 1996; Brislan and Kim, 2003) we may have to accept that results here may not be generalizable. However, research on time perspective itself is not yet extensive enough to begin to suggest that certain individuals and/or cultures ‘own’ a particular global temporal bias.

This research offers preliminary data on the BTP profile and the five temporal frames of the ZTPI. There is much yet that can be done to elucidate the BTP profile itself and whether a temporal bias that compromises wellbeing would be amenable to change, given appropriate interventions. Perhaps interventions that facilitate the reflective, self-awareness skills inherent in mindfulness are a first step in this process.

Note

Thanks go to Lisa Drake, Fi Sutherland, Claire Abernethy and Collette Henry who collated data as part of a larger project to fulfil degree requirements. Thanks again go to Lisa Drake and Fi Sutherland for revisions of this manuscript. Thanks must also go to Dr Alan Tuohy for help in the statistical analysis.
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