

## Impacts of agglomeration on call centre operations: evidence from North West England

Peck, Frank; Cabras, Ignazio

Postprint / Postprint

Zeitschriftenartikel / journal article

Zur Verfügung gestellt in Kooperation mit / provided in cooperation with:

[www.peerproject.eu](http://www.peerproject.eu)

### Empfohlene Zitierung / Suggested Citation:

Peck, F., & Cabras, I. (2009). Impacts of agglomeration on call centre operations: evidence from North West England. *Regional Studies*, 43(7), 923-934. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00343400801968387>

### Nutzungsbedingungen:

Dieser Text wird unter dem "PEER Licence Agreement zur Verfügung" gestellt. Nähere Auskünfte zum PEER-Projekt finden Sie hier: <http://www.peerproject.eu> Gewährt wird ein nicht exklusives, nicht übertragbares, persönliches und beschränktes Recht auf Nutzung dieses Dokuments. Dieses Dokument ist ausschließlich für den persönlichen, nicht-kommerziellen Gebrauch bestimmt. Auf sämtlichen Kopien dieses Dokuments müssen alle Urheberrechtshinweise und sonstigen Hinweise auf gesetzlichen Schutz beibehalten werden. Sie dürfen dieses Dokument nicht in irgendeiner Weise abändern, noch dürfen Sie dieses Dokument für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, aufführen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Mit der Verwendung dieses Dokuments erkennen Sie die Nutzungsbedingungen an.

### Terms of use:

This document is made available under the "PEER Licence Agreement". For more information regarding the PEER-project see: <http://www.peerproject.eu> This document is solely intended for your personal, non-commercial use. All of the copies of this documents must retain all copyright information and other information regarding legal protection. You are not allowed to alter this document in any way, to copy it for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the document in public, to perform, distribute or otherwise use the document in public.

By using this particular document, you accept the above-stated conditions of use.



**Impacts of agglomeration on call centre operations:  
evidence from North West England**

Journal:	<i>Regional Studies</i>
Manuscript ID:	CRES-2006-0196.R2
Manuscript Type:	Main Section
JEL codes:	R11 - Regional Economic Activity: Growth, Development, and Changes < R1 - General Regional Economics < R - Urban, Rural, and Regional Economics, R12 - Size and Spatial Distributions of Regional Economic Activity < R1 - General Regional Economics < R - Urban, Rural, and Regional Economics
Keywords:	Call centres, Agglomeration, Location factors, North-West England, Local labour markets, Service industries

SCHOLARONE™  
Manuscripts

1  
2  
3  
4 **IMPACTS OF AGGLOMERATION ON CALL CENTRE OPERATIONS: EVIDENCE FROM**  
5 **NORTH WEST ENGLAND<sup>1</sup>.**  
6

7 Frank Peck and Ignazio Cabras

8  
9 University of Central Lancashire  
10 Paternoster Row Carlisle Carlisle CA3 8TB  
11 United Kingdom  
12 T: 01772 895241  
13

14 [frank.peck@cumbria.ac.uk](mailto:frank.peck@cumbria.ac.uk)

15  
16 ignazio.cabras@cumbria.ac.uk

17  
18 First received: August 2006

19  
20 Accepted: October 2007  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

26 **ABSTRACT**

27  
28  
29 Call centres have until fairly recently provided a significant source of employment growth in  
30 the peripheral regions of the UK. Despite the potential for locational dispersal throughout the  
31 urban hierarchy, however, call centres tend to be highly concentrated in larger urban centres  
32 and variations in wage costs between local labour markets appear to have little influence over  
33 location patterns. This paper explores the consequences of high levels of agglomeration for  
34 recruitment and retention of labour within call centres in the North West Region of England.  
35 Using survey data, various measures of labour market stress are shown to be positively  
36 correlated with urban size. The results tend to confirm that businesses are prepared to  
37 absorb the costs of concentration in order to avoid the perceived risks of labour shortages in  
38 smaller dispersed urban centres.  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50

51 **Key words:**

52  
53 **Contact Centres, Agglomeration, Location factors, Local Labour Market,**  
54 **Service industries, North West England,**  
55

56  
57 R11 - Regional Economic Activity: Growth, Development, and Changes < R1 - General Regional  
58 Economics < R - Urban, Rural, and Regional Economics, R12 - Size and Spatial Distributions of  
59 Regional Economic Activity < R1 - General Regional Economics < R - Urban, Rural, and Regional  
60 Economics

1  
2  
3 L'impact de l'agglomération sur les activités des centres d'appel  
4 dans le nord-ouest de l'Angleterre.  
5  
6  
7

8 Peck & Cabras  
9

10  
11 Jusqu'ici, les centres d'appel ont constitué un bassin d'emploi non-négligeable à la  
12 périphérie du Royaume-Uni. Cependant, malgré le potentiel de redistribution  
13 géographique à tous les niveaux de la hiérarchie urbaine, les centres d'appel ont  
14 tendance à s'agglomérer dans les plus grandes zones urbaines, et il semble que la  
15 variation de la masse salariale des marchés du travail locaux influe très peu sur la  
16 distribution des emplacements. Cet article cherche à étudier les conséquences des taux  
17 d'agglomération élevés quant à l'embauche et au maintien de l'emploi dans les  
18 centres d'appel situés dans la région Nord-Ouest, en Angleterre. A partir des données  
19 provenant des enquêtes, on montre que les mesures différentes de l'effort subi par le  
20 marché du travail sont en corrélation étroite avec la taille urbaine. Les résultats ont  
21 tendance à confirmer que les entreprises sont prêtes à absorber les frais  
22 d'agglomération pour éviter les risques perçues par pénurie d'emploi dans les plus  
23 petits centres urbains dispersés.  
24  
25  
26  
27

28  
29 Centres de contact / Agglomération / Facteurs de localisation / Marché du travail local  
30 / Industries des services / Nord-ouest de l'Angleterre  
31  
32

33  
34 Classement JEL: R11; R12  
35

36 **Auswirkungen der Agglomeration auf den Betrieb von Call Centern:**  
37 **Belege aus Nordwestengland**  
38

39  
40 Frank Peck and Ignazio Cabras  
41  
42

43  
44 **ABSTRACT**  
45  
46

47  
48 In den peripheren Regionen Großbritanniens trugen Call Center bis vor  
49 relativ kurzer Zeit signifikant zum wachsenden Beschäftigungsniveau  
50 bei. Trotz des Potenzials für eine Standortstreuung innerhalb der  
51 gesamten urbanen Hierarchie neigen die Call Center jedoch dazu, sich  
52 in größeren urbanen Zentren hochgradig zu konzentrieren; die  
53 unterschiedlichen Gehaltskosten der verschiedenen lokalen  
54 Arbeitsmärkte scheinen die Standortmuster nur geringfügig zu  
55 beeinflussen. In diesem Beitrag werden die Konsequenzen einer  
56 hochgradigen Agglomeration für die Anwerbung und Beibehaltung von  
57 Arbeitskräften in Call Centern im Nordwesten Englands untersucht.  
58 Anhand von Umfragedaten wird gezeigt, dass verschiedene Faktoren  
59 der Arbeitsmarktbelastung positiv mit der Stadtgröße korrelieren. Die  
60

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

Ergebnisse scheinen zu bestätigen, dass Unternehmen bereit sind, die Kosten einer Konzentration zu absorbieren, um die vermeintlichen Risiken eines Arbeitskräftemangels in kleineren, verstreuten Stadtzentren zu vermeiden.

**Key words:**

Call Center  
Agglomeration  
Standortfaktoren  
Lokaler Arbeitsmarkt  
Dienstleistungsbranchen  
Nordwestengland

R11, R12

Efectos de la aglomeración en las operaciones de los centros de llamadas: ejemplo del noroeste de Inglaterra

Frank Peck and Ignazio Cabras

**ABSTRACT**

Los centros de llamadas han aportado hasta hace poco una fuente importante de crecimiento de empleo en las regiones periféricas del Reino Unido. Pese a la posible dispersión de ubicación en toda la jerarquía urbana, los centros de llamadas tienden a concentrarse en gran medida en grandes centros urbanos; asimismo las variaciones en los costes de salarios entre los mercados laborales locales parecen tener poca influencia en los modelos de ubicación. En este artículo analizamos las consecuencias de los altos niveles de aglomeración para la captación y retención de mano de obra en los centros de llamadas en la región noroeste de Inglaterra. Con ayuda de datos recabados en estudios, mostramos de qué modo los diferentes factores de la presión para el mercado laboral están positivamente relacionados con el tamaño urbano. Los resultados tienden a confirmar que los negocios están dispuestos a absorber los costes de concentración a fin de evitar los riesgos percibidos de la falta de personal en los centros urbanos más pequeños y dispersos.

**Key words:**

Centros de contacto  
Aglomeración  
Factores de ubicación  
Mercado laboral local  
Industrias de servicios  
Noroeste de Inglaterra

R11, R12

1  
2  
3  
4  
5 **IMPACTS OF AGGLOMERATION ON CALL CENTRE OPERATIONS: EVIDENCE FROM**  
6  
7 **NORTH WEST ENGLAND.**  
8  
9

10  
11 **INTRODUCTION**  
12

13  
14  
15 The increased use of call centre technologies and forms of organisation has been one of the  
16 more significant aspects of change affecting the service sector within regional economies  
17 over the past ten years. In the UK, the impacts of these changes on the development of cities  
18 and regions has been widely researched including studies of locational determinants and their  
19 impacts on employment (BRISTOW, MUNDAY and GRIPAIOU 2000; BISHOP, GRIPAIOU  
20 and BRISTOW 2003, RICHARDSON, BELT and MARSHALL, 2000; RICHARDSON and  
21 BELT, 2001). Early expansion of call centres focussed primarily on finance and insurance  
22 industries (MARSHALL and RICHARDSON, 1996), but the approach was soon adopted  
23 widely across many sectors including prominently in travel and transport, computer services,  
24 distribution, hotels, telecommunications retailing and utilities. These previous studies have  
25 also noted that the key motivation for these changes has been to improve efficiency and  
26 reduce the costs of interaction with customers and clients by displacing traditional face-to-  
27 face interactions. While accepting that call centres have created jobs in peripheral regions,  
28 previous research has also observed some of the negative effects on the quality of  
29 employment and raised concerns about the sustainability of call centre employment in  
30 competition with low labour cost offshore locations.  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48

49 Research on UK peripheral regions confirms that call centres have until fairly recently  
50 provided a significant source of employment growth at least over the past ten years  
51 (BRISTOW, MUNDAY and GRIPAIOU 2000; BISHOP GRIPAIOU and BRISTOW 2003,  
52 DEPARTMENT OF TRADE AND INDUSTRY 2004). Despite the potential for locational  
53 dispersal throughout the urban hierarchy, however, studies have shown that call centres tend  
54 to be highly concentrated in larger urban centres. Furthermore, analyses of location have  
55 shown that despite the significance of labour costs for these activities, wage costs appear to  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 have little influence over location patterns at the scale of the UK regions (BISHOP, GRIPAIS  
4 and BRISTOW 2003). This lack of association between location and labour costs is explored  
5 further in this paper which examines the labour market difficulties experienced by call centre  
6 managers operating in different urban environments across the North West Region of  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11 England.

## 12 13 14 15 **LOCATIONAL DETERMINANTS OF CONTACT CENTRES**

16  
17  
18  
19 Discussion of the location patterns of call centres has previously been placed within the  
20 context of the impacts of information and communication technologies (ICTs) on processes of  
21 uneven development. Studies of call centres conducted during the 1990s in particular were  
22 stimulated in part by the possibility of increased levels of decentralisation and the evolution of  
23 a new spatial division of labour within the service sector (RICHARDSON and MARSHALL  
24 1996, 1999). It is suggested that once appropriate telecommunications infrastructure is  
25 available, call centres become to some extent spatially mobile and businesses are able to  
26 exploit spatial variations in other factors of production more effectively. Reduced cost of  
27 communications over long distances has enabled businesses to locate call centre functions at  
28 considerable distances from consumers and remote from other corporate functions which has  
29 led to greater flexibility in locational decision-making. The adoption of call centre organisation  
30 is commonly motivated by a desire to increase efficiency and reduce the costs associated  
31 with the customer interface in service organisations. Contact centres therefore offer  
32 opportunities to reduce overall costs through, for instance, the closure of local branch  
33 networks or the relocation of customer contact activities away from high cost locations leading  
34 to new forms of inter-regional and increasingly international divisions of labour  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51 (RICHARDSON, BELT and MARSHALL, 2000).

52  
53  
54  
55 The findings of these earlier studies tend to suggest that while these activities have the  
56 potential to operate from smaller centres of population and more remote areas, issues related  
57 to labour supply have tended to reassert the importance of agglomeration at sub-national  
58 scale within the UK. While technology can make contact centres potentially mobile, location  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 is heavily constrained by other factors associated with the pre-existing spatial division of  
4 labour leading to perpetuation of existing concentrations of service activities (BRISTOW,  
5 MUNDAY and GRIPAIOU, 2000). This conclusion has been confirmed more recent by  
6  
7 BISHOP et al (2003) who examined the key spatial variables that influence location patterns  
8  
9 *within* the UK. This investigation included size of population, population density, economic  
10 activity rates, levels of earnings and the percentage of employment in finance, business  
11 services and telecommunications (as a measure of possible constraints on location imposed  
12 by linkage effects). Their findings show strong associations between location patterns and  
13 measures of urban size including population density. However, levels of earnings appear to  
14 have no significant relationship with location patterns.  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24

25 BISHOP et al (2003) consider two arguments that might explain the lack of association  
26 between measures of labour cost and the spatial distribution of contact centres. First, they  
27 suggest that labour cost differentials at the intra-regional scale in the UK are insufficient to  
28 induce locational change, particularly in comparison to the cost benefits of relocation at the  
29 international scale. Secondly, they suggest that other factors in the study have a more  
30 powerful influence on location *at this spatial scale* compared to labour costs. These include  
31 the influence of the inherited spatial division of labour within corporations and the economies  
32 that may be obtained from close location with linked activities within the same business or  
33 related businesses. There may also be benefits that arise from shared sites and properties  
34 within existing facilities and in some instances the knowledge embedded in a workforce or in  
35 a local labour market that may not easily be reproduced elsewhere.  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49

50 These findings are supported by earlier studies which showed that labour cost was not the  
51 only consideration in decisions to establish contact centres in peripheral regions. In North-  
52 East England, for instance, interviews conducted with contact centre managers suggested  
53 that cost of labour was not the only consideration, but rather the availability of a 'sufficient  
54 pool of quality labour at a lower cost than other regions' (RICHARDSON et al 2000, p.362). A  
55 recent report on UK contact centres by OMIS (2005) also indicates that staff accessibility is a  
56 key consideration in current contact centre location decisions. This suggests that the size of  
57  
58  
59  
60



1  
2  
3 the labour pool is important particularly for larger contact centres, which will tend to draw  
4 them towards larger agglomerations in spite of the risks that this may entail for high labour  
5 turnover and retention problems. The reference to 'pool of quality labour' also implies that  
6 some contact centre operators are prepared to forgo any labour costs advantages in small  
7 centres of population because of a belief that smaller labour pools will not guarantee a  
8 continued flow of suitable applicants; they are prepared to pay the cost of concentration (in  
9 terms of higher wages and labour turnover) in order to reduce the risk of labour shortage.  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17

18 While the influence of labour availability may lead to agglomeration, there is also the  
19 possibility that linkage effects influence this outcome. There is, however, less conclusive  
20 evidence concerning the influence of linkage effects on the location of contact centres. It can  
21 be noted however, that while many contact centres are freestanding and located at a distance  
22 from other parts of the same firm, there are also cases where customer contact functions are  
23 co-located with other functions. The importance of these functional linkages may well vary  
24 depending on the nature of products and services. In a recent analysis of service-based  
25 growth in the North East of France, for instance, SCHULZ et al (2004) argue that even service  
26 industries that specialise in distance selling can benefit from spatial proximity on the supply  
27 side and that proximity to a pool of workers with relevant accumulated knowledge and  
28 providers of linked services can generate competitive advantages to offset against relatively  
29 high wage levels.  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44

45 If one assumes that labour availability and linkage effects are powerful influences on  
46 locational behaviour, it may not be so surprising that firms operating contact centres do not  
47 immediately respond to spatial wage differentials. While relocation at an international scale  
48 may be considered as an option in some situations, in the short to medium term the key issue  
49 for contact centres may not be relocation to reduce wage costs, but minimising labour market  
50 problems *at their present location* through the development of appropriate management  
51 strategies and ongoing innovation in technology. This question provides the focus for the  
52 empirical work in this article. Rather than seeking to identify spatial variables that might  
53 correlate with location patterns, our approach treats 'characteristics of location' as one of  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 several sets of factors that might contribute towards the experience of contact centres in  
4 meeting their labour requirements. In other words, our aim is to show how recruitment and  
5 retention difficulties vary between contact centres and to examine the relative importance of  
6 *business* and *location* characteristics in influencing these difficulties using a case study of the  
7 North West Region of England.  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14

### 15 **THE CONTACT CENTRE 'INDUSTRY' IN NORTHWEST ENGLAND**

16  
17  
18

19 The North West Region of England provides highly relevant illustration of the significance of  
20 agglomeration on the contact centre industry. Various sources of data tend to confirm the  
21 high level of concentration of contact centre activities within the two major centres of  
22 economic activity in Merseyside and Greater Manchester. One source is provided by the  
23 study by BISHOP *et al* (2003) who developed a database of over 1,800 contact centres  
24 across the UK. The spatial pattern shows concentrations in the South-East of England but  
25 there are significant outliers in the North West, Scotland and the North East (p.2758). The  
26 striking feature of the distribution of contact centre employment, however, concerns high  
27 levels of concentration in the major conurbations. The main centres measured by levels of  
28 employment are in Tyneside, Greater Glasgow, West Yorkshire, London, Greater Manchester  
29 and the West Midlands.  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42

43 Early studies of call centres were hampered by a lack of reliable data on this activity across  
44 the UK. This situation has improved slightly in that the Standard Industrial Classification (SIC)  
45 has recently been modified to include reflect the significance of call centres. However, even  
46 this category fails to detect contact centre activities that are embedded within large  
47 organisations and often co-located with other functions. In an attempt to overcome this  
48 problem, the Department for Trade and Industry (2004) commissioned the development of a  
49 database building on information supplied by the UK Call and Contact Centre Association  
50 supplemented by systematic sample telephone enquiries and cross checking of information  
51 from other available publicly-available sources. This data (compiled by ContactBabel)  
52 generally confirms the significance of contact centres for UK employment and estimates the  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 number of 'seats' at around half a million and provided employment for over 800,000 workers  
4  
5 across the UK during 2003-4 (Table 1). Significant growth in number of contact centres and  
6  
7 levels of employment were also confirmed particularly during the 1990s (annual growth over  
8  
9 ten percent), although this data shows continued growth since 2000 though at lower levels.  
10  
11 This analysis also suggests that there may be continued growth at least up to 2007.  
12  
13

14  
15 TABLE 1  
16  
17

18  
19 Significantly for the present study, this data shows that the NW Region is host to at least 540  
20  
21 contact centres operating with over 80,000 agent seats and providing over 132,000 jobs in  
22  
23 2003-4. The data also confirms the concentration of these contact centres within the major  
24  
25 conurbations of Greater Manchester, Merseyside and Central Lancashire where more than  
26  
27 fourth fifths of these businesses are located. ContactBabel data also appears to show *strong*  
28  
29 *growth* in employment in contact businesses during 2003-2004 (PECK and CABRAS, 2005).  
30  
31 Growth has occurred in both agent 'seats' and also in levels of employment even in the larger  
32  
33 conurbations in which one might anticipate higher levels of labour cost (Table 2).  
34  
35 Interestingly, the highest growth occurred in Cheshire, where contact centres and agent  
36  
37 positions both register a growth of over ten percent. Detailed examination of the location  
38  
39 patterns shows that these contact centres are predominantly located in urban settlements in  
40  
41 the north of the county where there is likely to be good access to labour from both  
42  
43 Manchester and Merseyside.  
44  
45

46  
47 TABLE 2  
48  
49

50  
51 One of the useful attributes of the ContactBabel dataset is that it enables disaggregation of  
52  
53 data across different product-markets. Previous research has indicated that expansion of  
54  
55 contact centres focused initially on the finance and insurance sector (MARSHALL AND  
56  
57 RICHARDSON, 1996; DATAMONITOR 1996, 2002; HENLEY CENTRE 1996) but these  
58  
59 technologies and forms of management were subsequently adopted much more widely  
60  
(RICHARDSON et al 2000). ContactBabel data (reported in Department for Trade and

1  
2  
3 Industry 2004) suggests that across the UK as a whole 15 percent of all contact centre  
4 employees are associated with financial services, 14 percent with retailing and distribution,  
5 and 12 percent with motoring, transport and travel.  
6  
7  
8  
9

10  
11 In order to investigate variations in the labour market experiences of contact centres in the  
12 region, a questionnaire was designed to gather quantitative information on various measures  
13 of 'labour market stress' experienced by managers. Four related measures of stress were  
14 identified as follows; (a) the level of attrition in new recruits (percentage of new recruits who  
15 leave within six months), (b) the levels of absenteeism, (c) the expressed degree of difficulty  
16 in finding new recruits and (d) managers' assessment of the quality of new recruits.  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22

23 Questionnaires were posted or distributed via CallNorthWest networks to 361 businesses and  
24 65 responses were obtained, a response rate of 18 percent<sup>2</sup>. As well as the various  
25 measures of 'labour market stress', the questionnaire also gathered data on employment size,  
26 market structure and labour characteristics of businesses and also managers' evaluation of  
27 their present location. Finally, the dataset was completed by adding a number of variables  
28 designed to enable analysis of location. These included prominently various measures of  
29 urban size (population, population density, total employment at the scale of unitary or district  
30 authority). In the analysis, interest focused on the relative significance of business attributes  
31 and locational characteristics as predictors of the level of labour market stress experienced by  
32 contact centres.  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42

#### 43 44 45 **CHARACTERISTIC OF SURVEYED CONTACT CENTRE BUSINESSES**

46  
47  
48 The sectoral composition of the respondent establishments shown in Table 3 broadly reflects  
49 the product-market specialisms that have been noted in previous studies of contact centres  
50 (CONTACTBABEL, 2005). The three largest categories are the public sector (15), specialist  
51 outsourcing businesses (12) and finance (10). The remainder are diverse covering different  
52 service industries as well as manufacturing. Nearly a half of all respondents employ less than  
53 50 workers but the larger contact centres tend to be over-represented in the finance sector  
54 and in motoring organisations. The spatial distribution of respondent call centres illustrates  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 the tendency for concentration in urban cores, but there is some dispersion in all five sub-  
4 regions (Figure 1).  
5  
6

7 **(TABLE 3; FIGURE 1)**  
8  
9

10  
11 The questionnaire provided data on the employment structure in surveyed contact centres.  
12  
13 Figure 2a indicates that around three quarters of contact centres have more female than male  
14 employees. These data are consistent with patterns reported by RICHARDSON and  
15 MARSHALL (1996) and by RICHARDSON et al (2000) in their study of the North East of  
16 England, although they also suggest that this dependence on female workers 'would decline  
17 as more young men entered in the industry' (p. 361). Even so, the evidence shows that  
18 female workers are still predominant in many businesses and that 'women's labour power is  
19 clearly central to the call centre industry' (BELT et al, 2002).  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

28  
29 Again Richardson, commenting a report from MITIAL (1998), suggested that contact centre  
30 businesses were moving away from recruiting a predominantly part-time workforce to save  
31 cost and promote flexibility" (p.362). Although we have no time series to measure change,  
32 our survey findings show that a substantial number of contact centres employ very few part-  
33 time workers, while others occupy different positions on the scale including a small minority  
34 that operate almost entirely on part-time labour (figure 2b).  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42

43 **(FIGURE 2a; FIGURE 2b)**  
44  
45

46  
47 Managers were also asked to indicate the most important skills required from new recruits to  
48 their operations. These skills were grouped into two broad categories that attempted to  
49 distinguish between 'routine' skills (identified as communication skills, customer service skills,  
50 telephone handling, and call control) and 'higher level' skills associated with handling  
51 customer enquiries (questioning skills, listening skills, multilingual skills, management skills,  
52 IT skills, and numeracy). The results appear to show that the majority of surveyed employers  
53 are less concerned for these higher level skills; less than 20 percent of respondents  
54 highlighted these as important to their recruitment operations. These findings appear to  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 contradict those reported in a recent study conducted by the Learning Skills Council (LSC,  
4 2003) which suggested that a skills mismatch exists in the contact centre industry. The  
5 authors argue that a high percentage of employers experience a significant shortage of 'high-  
6 calibre' applicants and that there is a need for improved induction and training inputs provided  
7 directly by the businesses. Much depends, however, on detailed definitions of terms such as  
8 'high level' and 'high calibre'. Also, a recent report for CallNorthWest (CABRAS, 2006) has  
9 stressed the fact that the definition of 'high' and 'low' skill and the training inputs associated  
10 with these will vary considerably for contact centres in different product-markets.  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20

### 21 **LABOUR MARKET CONDITIONS IN CONTACT CENTRE BUSINESSES**

22  
23  
24  
25 The data analysis focuses in particular on various measures of 'labour market difficulty' and  
26 the factors that might cause this to vary between contact centres. Three key variables were  
27 identified to try to capture different aspects of the labour market problems faced by  
28 companies. Businesses tend to vary in the ways in which they customarily measure 'rates of  
29 attrition' which can often lead to false comparisons. In order to standardise this, respondents  
30 were asked to indicate in the past 12 months, what percentage of *new* employees have  
31 resigned their post within six months of their appointment. Managers were also asked to  
32 indicate (using likart scales) the level of difficulty experienced in recruiting new agents, the  
33 degree of difficulty experienced due to absenteeism and their level of satisfaction with the  
34 quality of new recruits. The distributions of responses to these questions are shown in figure  
35 3. Most contact centres (65%) lose less than 10 percent of their new recruits within 6 months  
36 but a significant minority have rates of attrition above this, including seven businesses that  
37 currently lose over 20 percent of their new recruits (Fig 3a). Many managers also claim to be  
38 experiencing high levels of absenteeism that impacts on performance (52%; Fig 3b).  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65  
66  
67  
68  
69  
70  
71  
72  
73  
74  
75  
76  
77  
78  
79  
80  
81  
82  
83  
84  
85  
86  
87  
88  
89  
90  
91  
92  
93  
94  
95  
96  
97  
98  
99  
100  
101  
102  
103  
104  
105  
106  
107  
108  
109  
110  
111  
112  
113  
114  
115  
116  
117  
118  
119  
120  
121  
122  
123  
124  
125  
126  
127  
128  
129  
130  
131  
132  
133  
134  
135  
136  
137  
138  
139  
140  
141  
142  
143  
144  
145  
146  
147  
148  
149  
150  
151  
152  
153  
154  
155  
156  
157  
158  
159  
160  
161  
162  
163  
164  
165  
166  
167  
168  
169  
170  
171  
172  
173  
174  
175  
176  
177  
178  
179  
180  
181  
182  
183  
184  
185  
186  
187  
188  
189  
190  
191  
192  
193  
194  
195  
196  
197  
198  
199  
200  
201  
202  
203  
204  
205  
206  
207  
208  
209  
210  
211  
212  
213  
214  
215  
216  
217  
218  
219  
220  
221  
222  
223  
224  
225  
226  
227  
228  
229  
230  
231  
232  
233  
234  
235  
236  
237  
238  
239  
240  
241  
242  
243  
244  
245  
246  
247  
248  
249  
250  
251  
252  
253  
254  
255  
256  
257  
258  
259  
260  
261  
262  
263  
264  
265  
266  
267  
268  
269  
270  
271  
272  
273  
274  
275  
276  
277  
278  
279  
280  
281  
282  
283  
284  
285  
286  
287  
288  
289  
290  
291  
292  
293  
294  
295  
296  
297  
298  
299  
300  
301  
302  
303  
304  
305  
306  
307  
308  
309  
310  
311  
312  
313  
314  
315  
316  
317  
318  
319  
320  
321  
322  
323  
324  
325  
326  
327  
328  
329  
330  
331  
332  
333  
334  
335  
336  
337  
338  
339  
340  
341  
342  
343  
344  
345  
346  
347  
348  
349  
350  
351  
352  
353  
354  
355  
356  
357  
358  
359  
360  
361  
362  
363  
364  
365  
366  
367  
368  
369  
370  
371  
372  
373  
374  
375  
376  
377  
378  
379  
380  
381  
382  
383  
384  
385  
386  
387  
388  
389  
390  
391  
392  
393  
394  
395  
396  
397  
398  
399  
400  
401  
402  
403  
404  
405  
406  
407  
408  
409  
410  
411  
412  
413  
414  
415  
416  
417  
418  
419  
420  
421  
422  
423  
424  
425  
426  
427  
428  
429  
430  
431  
432  
433  
434  
435  
436  
437  
438  
439  
440  
441  
442  
443  
444  
445  
446  
447  
448  
449  
450  
451  
452  
453  
454  
455  
456  
457  
458  
459  
460  
461  
462  
463  
464  
465  
466  
467  
468  
469  
470  
471  
472  
473  
474  
475  
476  
477  
478  
479  
480  
481  
482  
483  
484  
485  
486  
487  
488  
489  
490  
491  
492  
493  
494  
495  
496  
497  
498  
499  
500  
501  
502  
503  
504  
505  
506  
507  
508  
509  
510  
511  
512  
513  
514  
515  
516  
517  
518  
519  
520  
521  
522  
523  
524  
525  
526  
527  
528  
529  
530  
531  
532  
533  
534  
535  
536  
537  
538  
539  
540  
541  
542  
543  
544  
545  
546  
547  
548  
549  
550  
551  
552  
553  
554  
555  
556  
557  
558  
559  
560  
561  
562  
563  
564  
565  
566  
567  
568  
569  
570  
571  
572  
573  
574  
575  
576  
577  
578  
579  
580  
581  
582  
583  
584  
585  
586  
587  
588  
589  
590  
591  
592  
593  
594  
595  
596  
597  
598  
599  
600  
601  
602  
603  
604  
605  
606  
607  
608  
609  
610  
611  
612  
613  
614  
615  
616  
617  
618  
619  
620  
621  
622  
623  
624  
625  
626  
627  
628  
629  
630  
631  
632  
633  
634  
635  
636  
637  
638  
639  
640  
641  
642  
643  
644  
645  
646  
647  
648  
649  
650  
651  
652  
653  
654  
655  
656  
657  
658  
659  
660  
661  
662  
663  
664  
665  
666  
667  
668  
669  
670  
671  
672  
673  
674  
675  
676  
677  
678  
679  
680  
681  
682  
683  
684  
685  
686  
687  
688  
689  
690  
691  
692  
693  
694  
695  
696  
697  
698  
699  
700  
701  
702  
703  
704  
705  
706  
707  
708  
709  
710  
711  
712  
713  
714  
715  
716  
717  
718  
719  
720  
721  
722  
723  
724  
725  
726  
727  
728  
729  
730  
731  
732  
733  
734  
735  
736  
737  
738  
739  
740  
741  
742  
743  
744  
745  
746  
747  
748  
749  
750  
751  
752  
753  
754  
755  
756  
757  
758  
759  
760  
761  
762  
763  
764  
765  
766  
767  
768  
769  
770  
771  
772  
773  
774  
775  
776  
777  
778  
779  
780  
781  
782  
783  
784  
785  
786  
787  
788  
789  
790  
791  
792  
793  
794  
795  
796  
797  
798  
799  
800  
801  
802  
803  
804  
805  
806  
807  
808  
809  
810  
811  
812  
813  
814  
815  
816  
817  
818  
819  
820  
821  
822  
823  
824  
825  
826  
827  
828  
829  
830  
831  
832  
833  
834  
835  
836  
837  
838  
839  
840  
841  
842  
843  
844  
845  
846  
847  
848  
849  
850  
851  
852  
853  
854  
855  
856  
857  
858  
859  
860  
861  
862  
863  
864  
865  
866  
867  
868  
869  
870  
871  
872  
873  
874  
875  
876  
877  
878  
879  
880  
881  
882  
883  
884  
885  
886  
887  
888  
889  
890  
891  
892  
893  
894  
895  
896  
897  
898  
899  
900  
901  
902  
903  
904  
905  
906  
907  
908  
909  
910  
911  
912  
913  
914  
915  
916  
917  
918  
919  
920  
921  
922  
923  
924  
925  
926  
927  
928  
929  
930  
931  
932  
933  
934  
935  
936  
937  
938  
939  
940  
941  
942  
943  
944  
945  
946  
947  
948  
949  
950  
951  
952  
953  
954  
955  
956  
957  
958  
959  
960  
961  
962  
963  
964  
965  
966  
967  
968  
969  
970  
971  
972  
973  
974  
975  
976  
977  
978  
979  
980  
981  
982  
983  
984  
985  
986  
987  
988  
989  
990  
991  
992  
993  
994  
995  
996  
997  
998  
999  
1000

1  
2  
3  
4  
5 **(FIGURE 3; TABLE 4)**  
6  
7  
8

9 **DETERMINANTS OF LABOUR MARKET STRESS - BUSINESS CHARACTERISTICS**

10  
11  
12  
13 The close correlation between measures of labour market difficulty suggests that there may  
14 be common characteristics of contact centres that experience greater problems in  
15 recruitment. One may hypothesise that labour market difficulties may be correlated with a  
16 number of variables. Larger contact centres, for instance, will have proportionally greater  
17 demand for labour which may heighten recruitment difficulties compared to smaller contact  
18 centres in similar sized labour markets. More tentatively, larger contact centres may also  
19 appear more impersonal and less likely to generate staff loyalty, though it is also possible that  
20 larger employers may develop more effective management processes to counteract this.

21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29 Contact centres that are in expanding markets may experience greater difficulties in recruiting  
30 and retaining staff. Problems in recruitment and retention may also be linked to variations in  
31 contractual terms for workers (part time as opposed to full time; use of fixed contracts), the  
32 degree of knowledge and sophistication required in handling calls in different sectors and the  
33 mix of in-bound to out-bound calls. These characteristics may influence retention in  
34 particular, due to their influence on job interest and possibly on the level of autonomy given to  
35 operators in handling enquiries.  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44

45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
The results demonstrate quite clearly that the size of contact centre is by far the best predictor  
of attrition rates. Table 5 shows that the statistical relationship between rates of attrition and  
size measured by numbers of agent positions is highly significant. Of the remaining variables,  
none even approaches being linked to variation in attrition. This result seemed so clear cut  
that tests were made on the sensitivity of these finding by repeating the analysis with different  
size bands. Even when the largest contact centres are excluded from the analysis, this result  
is still confirmed. The remaining negative findings are also, in themselves, quite interesting.  
There is no association, for instance, between patterns of growth/decline and rates of attrition  
as one might have expected due to the presumed impact of growth on demand for labour.

1  
2  
3 Rates of attrition also seem to be uncorrelated with variations in part-time work, use of fixed  
4 term contracts and the mix of inbound to outbound calls.  
5  
6

7 **(TABLE 5)**  
8  
9

10  
11 Of the remaining measures of labour market stress, there were significant correlations  
12 between levels of business growth and absenteeism, though the result shows a *negative*  
13 correlation which suggests that, in general, absenteeism tends to be relatively low in contact  
14 centres experiencing higher growth. One explanation for this result might be that high growth  
15 increases levels of job security and may even create promotion opportunities for some staff,  
16 thereby influencing staff morale and a more positive attitude to work. A slightly weaker  
17 correlation also suggests that there are higher absentee rates in contact centres with high  
18 proportions of part-time work. Results also indicate that managers' evaluation of the level of  
19 recruitment difficulty was significantly higher in contact centres experiencing rapid growth as  
20 expected. Interestingly, however, the result for employment type suggests that managers in  
21 contact centres with high proportions of part time posts have less recruitment difficulty  
22 (though as noted above, more difficulty with absenteeism). Lastly, managers' views on the  
23 quality of new recruits do not seem to vary systematically with any of the observed  
24 characteristics of contact centres.  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40

41 Contact centres are also analysed by market sector. In order to carry out statistical  
42 comparison, respondents were grouped into four categories as shown in Table 6. While there  
43 are no statistically significant differences between these groups overall, the results do show  
44 that contact centres in financial services within the survey have proportionally more  
45 businesses with high rates of attrition (61 percent with rates above 20%). The counts are  
46 small in these categories, but contact centres in finance tend to be the larger ones and this is  
47 consistent with the strong correlation between attrition and size of contact centre noted  
48 above.  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55

56 **(TABLE 6)**  
57  
58  
59  
60



**DETERMINANTS OF LABOUR MARKET STRESS: LOCATIONAL CHARACTERISTICS**

While the analysis appears to show that levels of labour market difficulty are correlated with size and growth characteristics of businesses, the methodology also involves examination of the association with location. Using sub-regions as categories, Table 7 shows that there are differences in the responses of managers in different areas. In particular, levels of difficulty are generally higher in the larger urban cores (Manchester and Liverpool) compared to other areas. In order to refine this analysis, measures of labour market difficulty are tested against urban size as measured by total employment and population by local authority district/ unitary authority. The results confirm that managers' recruitment difficulties as well as rates of pay for agents and supervisors are positively correlated with employment size (Table 8). These findings are consistent with the hypothesis that agglomeration tends to induce greater difficulty in recruitment and wage pressures. Admittedly, the correlations between total jobs in local authority areas and rates of attrition and levels of absenteeism are much weaker, but the coefficients are positive in both cases. Also, the association between urban size and managers' assessments of the quality of recruits generates a negative correlation. Viewing this evidence as a whole, there does appear to be a fairly consistent difference in these various measures of labour market experience and urban size.

*(TABLE 7; TABLE 8)*

These results raise the question whether these systematic variations between large and small labour markets simply reflect the fact that large contact centres tend to be in the larger labour markets. To explore this further, the analysis in table eight was repeated on subsets of the data in different size bands. The results were broadly unchanged. In particular, there were still positive associations between urban size and recruitment difficulties as well as agent pay within different size categories. This would seem to suggest that there is a systematic difference in labour market experience by urban size and that these statistical differences are not simply a consequence of variations in business size structure across the urban hierarchy.

1  
2  
3 Given these findings, it is relevant to ask whether these apparent agglomeration  
4  
5 diseconomies have generated pressures for relocation either within the region towards the  
6  
7 lower cost / low attrition locations in the north-west region or elsewhere. Only thirteen  
8  
9 respondents (20%) indicated that some consideration had been given to relocation within the  
10  
11 past 12 months. Of these, the majority (8) involved possible moves within the NW region;  
12  
13 another two had examined locations in the Midlands and only three overseas. The replies  
14  
15 concerning moves within the region also did not appear to involve any systematic  
16  
17 decentralisation away from the urban cores. Businesses that had considered relocation can  
18  
19 be found in all sub-regions and not just in the large urban centres. At least four businesses  
20  
21 had considered new premises *within* Greater Manchester while only two had looked at  
22  
23 movement into Lancashire and none had considered sites in Cumbria. The fact that the  
24  
25 majority of potential movers seem to be considering locations in close proximity to their  
26  
27 present location seems to suggest that it is not labour market push factors that are inducing  
28  
29 this, but rather may have more to do with growth and premises considerations. Figure 4,  
30  
31 indeed, shows that most potential movers are currently occupying premises that have been  
32  
33 converted to their use.

34  
35 **(FIGURE 4)**

## 36 37 38 **CONCLUSIONS**

39  
40  
41  
42 The evidence presented in this paper tends to confirm findings from other recent research  
43  
44 concerning the locational preferences of businesses that operate contact centres within the  
45  
46 UK. Location patterns within NW England show a strong preference for larger metropolitan  
47  
48 areas "either within city centres or at the near peripheries of cities" (BRISTOW et al 2000,  
49  
50 p.530). Confirmation of this pattern suggests that, at least at sub-national scale, the  
51  
52 availability of ICT infrastructure has not led to the spread of contact centres throughout the  
53  
54 urban hierarchy and that labour availability, in particular, continues to constrain location to a  
55  
56 choice between locations within (or accessible to) the most densely populated areas  
57  
58 (BISHOP et al 2003).  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 The more significant finding from this research, however, concerns the impact of these  
4 locational preferences on the operations of contact centres. Our results show that the  
5 continued preference for metropolitan areas within the NW Region is marked by high levels of  
6 labour market difficulty as measured in particular by labour turnover and recruitment  
7 problems. Measures of urban size in general have been shown to be much more strongly  
8 correlated with recruitment difficulties compared to most business characteristics. The  
9 exception relates to size of business which is strongly correlated with rate of attrition.  
10 However, many of the larger contact centres are more dependent on large labour catchments  
11 and may have less flexibility in terms of location at the sub-regional scale in the UK in order to  
12 ensure continued supply of labour. These results provide evidence to suggest that  
13 businesses that operate contact centres are prepared to absorb the costs of concentration (in  
14 terms of higher wages and high labour turnover) in order to reduce the risk of labour shortage.  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

29 In spite of high levels of attrition in many cases, relatively few contact centre managers  
30 reported plans to relocate on the basis of labour availability or cost. There is further  
31 investigation required into these location patterns and the agglomerative forces that appear to  
32 be holding customer contact activities in relatively high labour cost locations. The significance  
33 of customised premises may be a subject of useful enquiry in investigating intra-urban  
34 relocation patterns and the development of contact centres within urban peripheries.  
35 However, interest should also surround the linkage effects upon contact centres that might tie  
36 them to particular agglomerations in order to access workers with knowledge in particular  
37 market segments, as implied in the work of SCHULZ et al (2004) and LIEFOOGHE (2005).  
38 Contact centre operations will obviously vary in levels of skill requirements and the extent of  
39 product knowledge. In some operations, prior knowledge may be of little significance and in  
40 these circumstances training inputs may be minimal and locational choice may be fairly  
41 broad, including options for offshoring to low cost labour locations. In contrast, other contact  
42 centre operations may require greater levels of product knowledge and the types of enquiries  
43 may place greater demands on operators to respond flexibly to information and implement  
44 decisions.  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 Relatively little attention has been given to the possible variations in locational requirements  
4  
5 between contact centres involving different types of product-markets and the institutional and  
6  
7 functional linkages that might exist between contact centres and other operations within the  
8  
9 same firm and also linked firms. This raises the possibility of future research on the process  
10  
11 by which outsourcing of business services occurs and the role of dedicated contact centres  
12  
13 within local service clusters (BENNEWORTH 2002; DANIELS 2004; KARLSSON 2004).

14  
15 There is the question whether companies with a long-standing presence in a region may be  
16  
17 less inclined to relocate call centre functions abroad. These institutional differences may also  
18  
19 affect the potential for alternative employment and redeployment in instances where  
20  
21 offshoring does occur. The growth of contact centres in the public sector is also a relatively  
22  
23 new aspect of contact centre development. It is likely, for instance, that the processes  
24  
25 involved in setting up contact centres for public services may be influenced to a greater extent  
26  
27 by regional development benefits in providing employment in relatively remote industrial  
28  
29 towns and cities within peripheral economies.  
30  
31  
32  
33

## 34 REFERENCES

35  
36  
37  
38 BELT V., RICHARDSON R., and WEBSTER J. (2002) Women, social skills and interactive  
39  
40 service work in telephone call centres, *New Technology, Work and Employment* 17 (1), 20-34  
41  
42

43  
44  
45 BENNEWORTH P. (2002) Creating new industries and service clusters on Tyneside *Local*  
46  
47 *Economy* 17(4), 313-327  
48  
49

50  
51 BISHOP P., GRIPAIO P., and BRISTOW G. (2003) Determinants of call centre location:  
52  
53 some evidence for UK urban areas *Urban Studies* 40(13), 2751-2768  
54  
55

56  
57 BRISTOW G., MUNDAY M., AND GRIPAIO P. (2000) Call centre growth and location:  
58  
59 corporate strategy and the spatial division of labour *Environmental and Planning A* 32(3), 519-  
60  
538

1  
2  
3  
4  
5 CABRAS I. (2006) *Contact centres and Incapacity Benefit Claimants: new possibilities for*  
6 *occupational development*, Research Report to CallNorthWest, University of Central  
7 Lancashire, Preston  
8  
9

10  
11  
12  
13 CONTACTBABEL (2005) *Call Centre in the North West- Baseline Research Report to*  
14 *CallNorthWest*, University of Central Lancashire, Preston  
15  
16

17  
18  
19 DANIELS P. W. (2004) *Reflections on the Old Economy, New Economy and Services,*  
20 *Growth and Change*, 35(2), 115-138  
21  
22

23  
24  
25 DATAMONITOR (1996), *Call Centres in Europe 1996-2001*, *Datamonitor*, London  
26  
27

28  
29 DATAMONITOR (2002), *Call Centres Industry Profile: United Kingdom*, *Datamonitor*, London  
30  
31

32  
33 DEPARTMENT OF TRADE AND INDUSTRY (2004) *The UK Contact Centre Industry: A*  
34 *Study*. Report by CM Insight, ContactBabel, and The Call and Contact Centre Association  
35 (CCA) on behalf of the UK Department of Trade and Industry, London.  
36  
37

38  
39  
40 HENLEY CENTRE (1996), *Teleculture Futures: Harnessing Telecommunications to meet*  
41 *Customer Needs for the 21<sup>st</sup> Century*, Henley Centre, London  
42  
43

44  
45  
46 KARLSSON C (1999) *Spatial industrial dynamics in Sweden: urban growth industries*, *Growth*  
47 *and Change*, 30(2), 184-212  
48  
49

50  
51  
52 LEARNING AND SKILLS COUNCIL (2003) *National Employers Skills Survey 2003*, London.  
53  
54

55  
56 LIEFOOGHE C. (2005) *Services: the future of industry. From coalmining and textile industries*  
57 *to environmental services and distant selling in the Nord-Pas-de-Calais region –France,*  
58 *Regions* **259**, 13-16  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4  
5 MARSHALL J.N. and RICHARDSON R. (1996) The impact of 'telemediated' services on  
6 corporate structures: the example of 'branchless' retail banking in Britain *Environment and*  
7 *Planning A* **28**, 1843-1858.  
8  
9

10  
11  
12  
13 MITIAL (1998) Call Centre Location Study. *Mitial Industrial Market Research*, Wrexham.  
14

15  
16  
17 NATIONAL STATISTICS (2005) *Annual Population Survey*, Official Labour Market Statistics,  
18 London  
19

20  
21  
22  
23 OMIS (2005) Best Locations for Contact Centres 2004-2005 - 3<sup>rd</sup> Edition UK Survey. *OMIS*  
24 *Research*, Banbury.  
25  
26

27  
28  
29 PECK F. and CABRAS I. (2005) 'Regional Economic Policy and the Contact Centre Industry  
30 in the North West Region'. 28th National Conference of the Institute for Small Business &  
31 Entrepreneurship, Blackpool, 1-3 November 2005  
32  
33

34  
35  
36 RICHARDSON R. and BELT V. (2001) Saved by the bell? Call centres and economic  
37 development in less favoured regions, *Economics and Industrial Democracy* **22**, 67-98.  
38  
39

40  
41  
42 RICHARDSON R., BELT. V. and MARSHALL N. (2000) Taking calls to Newcastle: the  
43 regional implications of the growth in call centres, *Regional Studies*, **34**(4), 357-369  
44  
45

46  
47  
48 RICHARDSON R. and MARSHALL J. N. (1996) The growth of telephone call centres in  
49 peripheral areas of Britain: evidence from Tyne and Wear, *Area* **28**(3), 308-17.  
50  
51

52  
53  
54 RICHARDSON R. and MARSHALL J. N. (1999) Teleservices, Call Centre and Urban and  
55 Regional Development, *The Service Industries Journal*, **19**(1), 96-116.  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 SCHULZ C., DÖRRENBÄCHER P., LIEFOOGHE C. (2004) Far away, so close? Regional  
4  
5 clustering of mail order firms and related business services in the Lille metropolitan Area of  
6  
7 France, University of Birmingham, School of Geography, Earth and Environmental Sciences,  
8  
9 Working Papers in Services, Space, Society, No. 14  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

For Peer Review Only

Table 1. Contact centres and agent positions in UK

	Contact Centres in UK		Agent Positions	
1995	2,515	n/a	143.9	n/a
1996	2,740	9%	169.8	18%
1997	3,070	12%	203.8	20%
1998	3,470	13%	264.9	30%
1999	3,990	15%	331.2	25%
2000	4,470	12%	387.5	17%
2001	4,825	8%	430.1	11%
2002	5,065	5%	460.2	7%
2003	5,320	5%	494.3	7%
2004	<b>5,535</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>538.7</b>	<b>9%</b>
2005	<b>5,700</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>581.8</b>	<b>8%</b>
2006	<b>5,845</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>616.7</b>	<b>6%</b>
2007	<b>5,980</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>647.6</b>	<b>5%</b>

Source: collation of analysis and data from Gartner Dataquest, Datamonitor and ContactBabel and reported by DTI (2004)

Table 2: Contact centres industry in the NW between 2003 and 2005

	2003			2004			2005		
	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c
Cheshire	81	9,799	15,678	90	10,850	17,360	110	12,225	19,682
Cumbria	24	3,147	5,035	25	3,380	5,408	25	2,500	4,025
Lancashire	88	13,652	21,844	85	14,900	23,840	90	16,530	26,614
Greater Manchester	229	32,080	51,328	240	34,985	55,976	255	39,000	62,790
Greater Merseyside	99	17,245	27,592	100	18,455	29,528	125	22,400	36,064
NW England	521	75,923	121,477	540	82,570	132,112	605	92,655	149,175

Source: ContactBabel 2004, 2005; CallNorthWest 2006.

a: Number of contact centres

b: Agent positions

c: Total number of staff employed in contact centres.



Table 3. Sectoral and size distribution of respondent contact centres

Sector	10-50	51-150	151-250	251-500	>500	a	b	Total
Finance	3	4	1	1	1	16%	15%	10
Public Sector	6	6	2	0	1	7%	23%	15
Outsourcing Services	7	4	1	0	0	15%	18%	12
Printing/Publishing	2	2	0	1	0	3%	8%	5
IT	1	1	2	0	0	8%	6%	4
Motoring/Finance	0	0	1	1	0	2%	3%	2
Other services <sup>1</sup>	5	1	2	0	0	17%	13%	8
Manufacturing	5	0	0	0	0	12%	8%	5
Entertainment	2	0	0	0	0	4%	3%	2
Retail/Distribution	1	1	0	0	0	15%	3%	2
Total	31	19	9	3	2			65

Source: Survey data, ContactBabel 2005

a: Percentage in NW Region.

b: Percentage of survey respondents.

<sup>1</sup> Including Transport and travel, food and drink, education

Peer Review Only

**Table 4: Relationships between measures of labour market difficulty**

		Absenteeism	Recruitment difficulties	Quality of new recruits
Six month attrition	Pearson Correlation	.354(**)	.357(**)	-.320 (*)
	Spearman's Rho	.394(**)	.396(**)	-.328(**)
	n. of obs.	65	64	63
Absenteeism	Pearson Correlation		.140	-.288(*)
	Spearman's Rho		.139	-.280(*)
	n. of obs.		64	63
Recruitment difficulties	Pearson Correlation			-.613 (**)
	Spearman's Rho			-.588(**)
	n. of obs.			62

\*\* Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

\* Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).

Source: Survey Data

**Table 5: Association between labour market stress and contact centre characteristics**

		Business Expect.	Part-time Contract	Fixed-term Contract	Inbound Calls	CC Size	Age
Six months Attrition	Pearson Correlation	-.089	.036	-.038	.030	.502(**)	-.045
	Spearman's Rho	-.002	.108	-0.66	.020	.494(**)	.062
	n. of obs.	65	63	59	64	64	65
Absenteeism	Pearson Correlation	-.246(*)	.211	.001	.034	.113	.221
	Spearman's Rho	-.243(*)	.264(*)	.022	.065	.152	.172
	n. of obs.	65	63	59	64	64	65
Recruitment Difficulties	Pearson Correlation	.257(*)	-.285(*)	.189	-.168	.173	.094
	Spearman's Rho	.287(*)	-.247(*)	.096	-.171	.217	.059
	n. of obs.	64	62	58	63	64	64
Quality of new recruits	Pearson Correlation	-.032	.066	-.038	.215	-.190	-.114
	Spearman's Rho	-.083	.057	-0.42	.302	-.194	-.060
	n. of obs.	63	63	59	63	62	63

\*\* Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

\* Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).

Source: Survey Data

Table 6. Six months attrition levels in contact centres by economic sector

	Six months attrition		
	<20%	>20%	Total
<b>Public Sector</b>	11	4	15
	73.3%	26.7%	100%
<b>Finance</b>	5	8	13
	38.5%	61.5%	100%
<b>Telemarketing/Outsourcing Services</b>	12	5	17
	70.6%	29.4	100%
<b>Other Services</b>	14	6	20
	70.0%	30.0%	100%
<b>Total</b>	42	23	65
	68.3%	21.7%	100%

Table 7: Variations in levels of recruitment difficulty by sub-region

	Recruitment difficulties			
	No Difficulties	Medium Difficulties	High Difficulties	Total
<b>Greater Manchester</b>	1	9	7	17
	5.9%	52.9%	41.2%	100.0%
<b>Cheshire and Warrington</b>	8	9	1	18
	44.4%	50.0%	5.6%	100.0%
<b>Liverpool</b>	3	5	3	11
	27.3%	45.5%	27.3%	100.0%
<b>Lancashire</b>	7	5	1	13
	53.8%	38.5%	7.7%	100.0%
<b>Cumbria</b>	5	0	0	5
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
<b>Total</b>	24	28	12	6
	37.5%	43.8%	18.8%	100.0%

Source: Survey data

**Table 8. Association between labour market characteristics and urban size**

		Total Jobs	Population
Six month attrition	Pearson Correlation	.231	.121
	Spearman's Rho	.227	.081
	n. obs.	65	65
Recruitment difficulties	Pearson Correlation	.333(**)	.312(*)
	Spearman's Rho	.364(**)	.301(*)
	n. obs.	64	64
Absenteeism	Pearson Correlation	.180	.244(*)
	Spearman's Rho	.243	.293(*)
	n. obs.	65	65
Quality of new recruits	Pearson Correlation	-.078	-.107
	Spearman's Rho	-.163	-.194
	n. obs.	63	63
Agent salary level	Pearson Correlation	.313(*)	.164
	Spearman's Rho	.311(*)	.092
	n. obs.	65	65
Supervisor salary level	Pearson Correlation	.266(*)	.182
	Spearman's Rho	.388(**)	.124
	n. obs.	63	63


\*\* Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

\* Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).

Source: Survey Data


1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

Figure 1: Distribution of call centre survey respondents



Only

Figure 2. Employment structure of respondent call centres




Review Only

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60




1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

Figure 3: Measures of labour market stress for call centre respondents



View Only

Figure 4: Relocation considerations by type of premises



Review Only

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

---

<sup>1</sup> Research reported in this paper was supported financially by CallNorthWest (CNW), an initiative funded by the North-West Development Agency. CNW is hosted by the University of Central Lancashire in Preston and provides support for contact centre managers through provision of education and training, recruitment and retention services and general business support. The authors are grateful for support, advice and access to information on the contact centre industry in the NW region. An earlier version of the paper was presented to the Regional Studies Association International Conference "Shaping EU Regional Policy: Economic, social and political pressures" Leuven, Belgium, 8<sup>th</sup>-9<sup>th</sup> June 2006. Valuable feedback was received from three anonymous referees and the Regional Studies editorial team.

<sup>2</sup> The method for survey work depended on just one mailing due to conditions imposed by CallNorthWest on confidentiality of company data. This restricted the ability to chase non-respondents to boost the rate of return. The response rate of 18 percent reflects these constraints.