

## Measuring corporate internationalisation: a review of measurement concepts and their use

Dörrenbächer, Christoph

Veröffentlichungsversion / Published Version

Arbeitspapier / working paper

Zur Verfügung gestellt in Kooperation mit / provided in cooperation with:

SSG Sozialwissenschaften, USB Köln

### Empfohlene Zitierung / Suggested Citation:

Dörrenbächer, C. (2000). *Measuring corporate internationalisation: a review of measurement concepts and their use*. (Discussion Papers / Wissenschaftszentrum Berlin für Sozialforschung, Forschungsschwerpunkt Arbeitsmarkt und Beschäftigung, Abteilung Organisation und Beschäftigung, 00-101). Berlin: Wissenschaftszentrum Berlin für Sozialforschung gGmbH. <https://nbn-resolving.org/urn:nbn:de:0168-ssoar-115913>

### Nutzungsbedingungen:

Dieser Text wird unter einer Deposit-Lizenz (Keine Weiterverbreitung - keine Bearbeitung) zur Verfügung gestellt. Gewährt wird ein nicht exklusives, nicht übertragbares, persönliches und beschränktes Recht auf Nutzung dieses Dokuments. Dieses Dokument ist ausschließlich für den persönlichen, nicht-kommerziellen Gebrauch bestimmt. Auf sämtlichen Kopien dieses Dokuments müssen alle Urheberrechtshinweise und sonstigen Hinweise auf gesetzlichen Schutz beibehalten werden. Sie dürfen dieses Dokument nicht in irgendeiner Weise abändern, noch dürfen Sie dieses Dokument für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, aufführen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Mit der Verwendung dieses Dokuments erkennen Sie die Nutzungsbedingungen an.

### Terms of use:

This document is made available under Deposit Licence (No Redistribution - no modifications). We grant a non-exclusive, non-transferable, individual and limited right to using this document. This document is solely intended for your personal, non-commercial use. All of the copies of this documents must retain all copyright information and other information regarding legal protection. You are not allowed to alter this document in any way, to copy it for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the document in public, to perform, distribute or otherwise use the document in public.

By using this particular document, you accept the above-stated conditions of use.

## **discussion paper**

FS I 00 - 101

### **Measuring Corporate Internationalisation**

A review of measurement concepts  
and their use

Christoph Dörrenbächer

März 2000  
ISSN Nr. 1011-9523

e-mail: [doerrenbaecher@medea.wz-berlin.de](mailto:doerrenbaecher@medea.wz-berlin.de)

## **ZITIERWEISE / CITATION**

Christoph Dörrenbächer

### **Measuring Corporate Internationalisation**

A review of measurement concepts  
and their use

Discussion Paper FS I 00 - 101  
Wissenschaftszentrum Berlin für Sozialforschung

#### **Forschungsschwerpunkt:**

Arbeitsmarkt und  
Beschäftigung

#### **Research Area:**

Labour Market and  
Employment

#### **Abteilung:**

Organisation und  
Beschäftigung

#### **Research Unit:**

Organization and  
Employment

**Wissenschaftszentrum Berlin für Sozialforschung**

**Reichpietschufer 50**

**D-10785 Berlin**

**e-mail: [wzb@wz-berlin.de](mailto:wzb@wz-berlin.de)**

**Internet: <http://www.wz-berlin.de>**

## **Abstract**

This paper discusses various ways of measuring corporate internationalisation. The concepts considered here became of crucial importance in the recent debate on globalisation, since many scholars link globalisation to a quantitative increase in the international activities of firms. However, this paper shows that opinions about the extent of this increase differ widely depending on what measurement concept is used. The paper further highlights that there is no unique and comprehensive measurement concept. Thus viable results depend on the difficult task of bringing research questions, measurement concepts and data availability into line.

## **Zusammenfassung**

Dieses Papier befasst sich mit den in der Literatur vorgefundenen Konzepten zur Erfassung der Internationalisierung von Unternehmen. Diese Konzepte haben im Zuge der Globalisierungsdebatte eine besondere Bedeutung erlangt, da vermeintliche und tatsächliche Globalisierungsfolgen vielfach auf eine quantitative Zunahme der Internationalisierung von Unternehmen zurückgeführt werden. Diese Zunahme kann jedoch je nach Meßmethode erheblich variieren. Gezeigt wird, daß es weder ein einheitliches noch ein umfassendes Meßkonzept gibt und daß valide Aussagen nur durch eine gelungene Integration von Fragestellung, Meßkonzept und Datenverfügbarkeit zu erzielen sind.



## Contents

	Page
<b>1 Introduction</b>	1
<b>2 Individual internationalisation indicators</b>	2
2.1 Structural indicators	2
2.2 Performance indicators	3
2.3 Attitudinal indicators	3
<b>3 Internationalisation as regional diversification</b>	5
<b>4 Internationalisation Indices</b>	7
<b>5 The reliability, exactness and validity of indicators and indices</b>	10
<b>6 The magic triangle: research questions, indicators and data availability</b>	13
<b>Literature</b>	15



# 1 Introduction<sup>1</sup>

The recent debate on globalisation has generated a wider interest in the transborder activities of corporations. While some authors are convinced that Multinational Corporations (MNCs) are best symbolised by an octopus whose tentacles try to grasp the whole world (Barnet/Cavanagh 1994, Korten 1995), other authors paint a completely different picture. Here the MNC is seen more or less as an lethargic animal, whose presence abroad is rather limited (Hirst/Thomson 1996). Both metaphors can be justified when considering individual companies, at least if one takes the following definition as a basis: according to Dunning (1992: 3) “A multinational or transnational enterprise is an enterprise that engages in foreign direct investment and owns and controls value adding activities in more than one country”.

It is probably not wrong to state that today most large corporations fulfill this definition, which is widely accepted in academia, business, national governments and international organisations. For instance, a recent compilation of data on the internationalisation of the 100 largest German companies has found that it is difficult to find a strictly national company. Even some of the utility companies are to a small degree active in foreign markets (FAST 1999 reprinted in Hassel et al. 2000a). Thus, thinking about larger corporations, the question is not which companies are internationalised, but rather how companies differ in regard to their internationalisation. This is especially true if we do not restrict internationalisation to the definition that value adding activities have to take place in more than one country, but also recognise that ownership structure or management composition can be internationalised too.

Given these differences in definition, this paper tries to give an overview of different approaches to measuring and comparing corporate internationalisation. Based on the assumption that there is more than one way to ‘correctly’ measure internationalisation, this paper concentrates on the meaningfulness of different criteria and indices. The first section deals with individual internationalisation indicators. This is followed by a discussion on how regional diversification in internationalisation can be measured and expressed. Next we look at different composite indicators (or indices) and discuss issues of reliability, exactness and validity of indicators and indices. The final section deals with the difficulties of bringing research questions, indicators and data availability into line.

---

1 For useful comments I thank Douglas van den Berghe (University of Rotterdam), Dieter Plehwe and Stefano Vescovi (both Wissenschaftszentrum Berlin) as well as Michael Wortmann (Forschungsgemeinschaft für Außenwirtschaft, Struktur- und Technologiepolitik [FAST] e.V., Berlin).



## 2 Individual internationalisation indicators

As mentioned above, the term 'internationalisation' has different connotations - even if restricted to corporate internationalisation. Some might think of internationalisation as a process, others see it more as a certain level of international intertwining reached by a company. While this is only a question of different perspectives, there are strong differences of opinion as to what indicators are suitable for measuring corporate internationalisation. Following a well established framework in international business literature (Perlmutter/Heenan: 1979: 16; Sullivan 1994: 331; Bäurle 1996: 9), we can distinguish structural indicators, performance indicators and attitudinal indicators.

### 2.1 Structural indicators

Structural indicators try to give a picture of the international entanglement of a corporation at a certain time. Here we find several indicators that are related to the foreign *activities* of MNCs such as:

- the number of countries a company is active in
- the number/proportion of foreign affiliates
- the number/proportion of non-capital involvements abroad (e.g. strategic alliances, franchised operations etc.)
- the amount/proportion of foreign assets
- the amount/proportion of value added abroad<sup>2</sup>
- the amount/proportion of sourcing abroad
- the number/proportion of foreign employees

A second group of indicators describes the internationalisation of the *governance structures* of a corporation. Relevant indicators here are:

- the number of stock markets on which a company is listed
- the amount/proportion of shares owned by foreigners
- the number/proportion of non-nationals on the board of directors

---

2 Following Schmidt (1991: 57) and Dunning (1992: 7) the value added abroad is the best measure to indicate the economic significance of the foreign activities of an MNC. The amount of value added abroad is calculated as the revenues made by foreign affiliates minus all inputs.

## 2.2 Performance indicators

Performance indicators measure the degree to which the success or failure of corporate activity during a certain period of time (usually one year) is connected to foreign countries. The two main indicators are turnover and operating income. Two issues have to be addressed when considering *turnover*:

- The first issue is demand. The main question here is, to what extent turnover is generated in foreign countries. The relevant indicator - amount of foreign sales by customer location - is calculated as exports from the home country plus revenues of the foreign affiliates (except those revenues that come from exports to the home country of the parent company).
- The second issue is supply. The main question here is, to what extent business activity is transacted by subsidiaries located in foreign countries. The relevant indicator - amount of sales of foreign subsidiaries - is calculated as the sum of turnover of foreign subsidiaries as a proportion of the sum of turnover of the parent company and its national subsidiaries.<sup>3</sup>

A second important performance indicator is the amount of *operating income* earned abroad. This indicator is usually calculated as the sum of operating income generated by all foreign affiliates.

## 2.3 Attitudinal indicators

Attitudinal indicators try to give a picture of how MNCs view foreign countries and treat their subsidiaries in foreign countries.<sup>4</sup> They usually imply an actor centred view, focusing on the management or parts thereof. Although there are doubts as to whether attitudinal characteristics can be measured with sufficient reliability (Sullivan 1994: 325), there is also strong support for the use of attitudinal indicators, as expressed in the following quotation: "...external and quantifiable measures such as the percentage of investment overseas or the distribution of equity, are useful but not enough ... The more one penetrates the living reality of how decisions are made in an MNC, the more weight must be given to how executives think about doing business around the world." (Perlmutter/Heenan 1979: 17)

---

3 Since there is no consolidation of intrafirm transfers, this indicator does not necessarily express the home/foreign split of production!

4 Of course, in reverse this indicator also gives a picture of the role of the entities in the home country.

Table 1: Individual Internationalisation Indicators

Structural Indicators	Performance Indicators	Attitudinal Indicators
<p><b><u>1. Relating to foreign activities</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◆ Number of countries a company is active in</li> <li>◆ Number or proportion of foreign affiliates</li> <li>◆ Number or proportion of non-capital involvements abroad (e.g. strategic alliances, franchised operations)</li> <li>◆ Amount or proportion of foreign assets</li> <li>◆ Amount or proportion of value added abroad</li> <li>◆ Amount or proportion of sourcing abroad</li> <li>◆ Number or proportion of foreign employees</li> </ul> <p><b><u>2. Relating to governance structures</u></b></p> <p>Number of stock markets on which a company is listed</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◆ Amount or proportion of shares owned by foreigners</li> <li>◆ Number or proportion of non-nationals in the board of directors</li> </ul>	<p><b><u>1. Foreign sales</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◆ <i>Demand</i>: Amount of foreign sales by customer location <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Exports from the home country</li> <li>+ Revenues of foreign affiliates</li> <li>– their revenues from exports to the home country</li> </ul> </li> <li>◆ <i>Supply</i>: Amount of sales of foreign affiliates <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Sum of revenues of foreign affiliates</li> </ul> </li> </ul> <p><b><u>2. Operating income abroad</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Sum of operating income of foreign affiliates</li> </ul>	<p><b><u>1. 'Soft' indicators</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◆ Ethno-, poly-, regio- or geocentric management style according to: organisational complexity, authority, decision making, communication flows, recruiting, staffing, control</li> </ul> <p><b><u>2. 'Hard' indicators</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◆ International experience of top managers <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>cumulative duration of the years top managers spent working abroad</li> <li>weighted by the total years of their working experience</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

Quelle: Dörrenbächer 2000.

These different positions are reflected by the fact that several attitudinal indicators, differing in how statistically measurable they are, are in use. A rather 'soft' qualitative indicator was developed by Perlmutter. This indicator, which underlies or is explicitly applied in many studies (eg Hedlund 1986, Bartlett/Ghoshal 1989, Marginson/Sisson 1994, Dörrenbächer 1999) distinguishes four types of headquarter management's orientation towards their foreign subsidiaries (Perlmutter 1969, Perlmutter/Heenan 1974). To decide whether the central management of an MNC follows an ethnocentric (ie home-country oriented), a polycentric (ie host-country oriented), a regiocentric (ie regionally oriented) or a geocentric (ie globally oriented) approach, Perlmutter/Heenan (1979: 18f.) proposed a multi-item indicator, addressing *inter alia* the following aspects: complexity of organisation, authority/decision making, evaluation/control, rewards/punishment, communication/information, recruiting/staffing. However, due to their premise that recruiting/staffing is of central importance in the internationalisation of an MNC, Perlmutter/Heenan (1979: 183f.) concentrated their own empirical study on personnel function (manpower planning, manpower administration and manpower control). According to them, the degree of internationalisation of a company increases from ethno-, through poly- and regiocentrism to geocentrism.

An attitudinal indicator which can be better measured statistically was developed by Sullivan (1994: 332). According to him, the international mindset of a company increases with the international experience of top managers, measured as the cumulative duration of the years top managers spend working abroad weighted by the total years of their working experience.

### **3 Internationalisation as regional diversification**

In addition to the dichotomy of home vs. foreign, different geographical variation indices can be calculated for many of the indicators given above. For instance Schmidt (1981) uses the Herfindahl-index to place companies on a continuum between a completely homogeneous and a completely heterogeneous distribution of their foreign activities to different countries. Perriard (1995) uses the Gini-index to measure the extent to which the regional distribution of a certain indicator at a given company complies with the total distribution of this indicator in the world. Take the example of car production: following Perriard's methods, one could look how far the spatial distribution of the car production of a specific company tends to have the same spatial distribution as total world car production.

Table 2: The Measurement of Regional Diversification

Regional Concentration	Network Extension	Geographical and Cultural Distance
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◆ Homogeneous vs. heterogeneous distribution of foreign activities (Schmidt 1981)</li> <li>◆ Extent to which the regional distribution of a certain indicator at a given company complies with the total distribution of this indicator in the world (Perriard 1995)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◆ Network spread index: (Ietto-Gillies 1998)  Number of foreign countries in which a company owns affiliates as a proportion of total number of countries in which foreign direct investment has occurred – One country (=home country of the company)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◆ Countries are weighted according to their geographic and cultural distance to the home country (Kutschker 1993)</li> <li>◆ Psychic dispersion index: (Sullivan 1994) Number of zones with different cognitive maps relating to management principles in which a company is active (out of a total of 10 zones worldwide)</li> </ul>

Quelle: Dörrenbächer 2000.

A second way of tackling geographical variation of corporate internationalisation is to focus on the number of countries a company is active in. Developed by Letto-Gillies (1998), the so called “network spread index” is calculated, *ceteris paribus*, by taking the number of foreign countries in which a company has affiliates as a proportion of all countries where foreign direct investment has occurred, minus one country, ie the home country of the company.

Finally, based on the assumption that there are striking differences between countries, which influence the internationalisation behaviour of companies, (Johanson/Vahlne 1977), Kutschker (1993) proposed that foreign countries should be weighted according to their geographical and cultural distance to the home country of the company. Similarly, Sullivan (1994) introduced an indicator he calls “psychic dispersion”. According to this indicator, the world is divided into ten zones with different cognitive maps relating to management principles. The more zones a company is active in, the larger the psychic dispersion of its internationalisation.

## 4 Internationalisation Indices

Indices, or composite indicators, are formed by combining individual indicators. Despite the fact that numerous studies are based on individual indicators, there is a broad consensus that composite indicators are more suitable to measure corporate internationalisation. Firstly, given the multidimensionality of internationalisation,<sup>5</sup> restricting the measurement to one single item inevitably means that only a part of the whole phenomenon of corporate internationalisation is represented. Secondly, the multidimensionality of the phenomenon means that, depending on what indicator is used, single transactions could lead to contradictory results concerning the degree of internationalisation (German et al. 1999: 7). For instance, modernising a plant abroad might lead to an increase in the proportion of foreign assets, but due to the labour saving effects of modernisation, the proportion of foreign employees might at the same time decrease. Thirdly, using individual indicators does not allow systematic control of measurement errors, contingent influences and transfer pricing manipulations (see below).

Many scholars agree that the development of sophisticated indices to measure corporate internationalisation is still in its infancy (Sullivan 1994, Perriard 1995,

---

5 This multidimensionality is also reflected in the wealth of different single indicators on corporate internationalisation as described above.

Ramaswamy et al. 1996). Only three different composite indicators can be identified in the literature on the subject:<sup>6</sup>

1. The “*transnationality index*” used by UNCTAD. This composite indicator first appeared in the World Investment Report 1995 (UNCTAD 1995). The aim of the indicator is “... to capture fully the extent of involvement of TNCs [Transnational Corporations, CD] in the world economy.” (UNCTAD 1995: 24). The index draws on three different ratios: Foreign sales to total sales, foreign assets to total assets, and foreign employment to total employment. In order not to favour companies from one specific type of industry<sup>7</sup> in the ranking of companies,<sup>8</sup> the “transnationality” index is calculated as the average of the three above mentioned ratios.
2. The “*transnational activity spread index*”. This index, proposed by Letto-Gillies (1998) is a combination of two indices. According to Letto-Gillies the index used by UNCTAD ignores the spread of foreign activities. But her own network spread index, which indicates regional diversification (see above), does not take into account the amount or the share of activities abroad. As a result she proposes to combine the two indices so that the UNCTAD index is weighted by the “network spread index”. In detail, the resulting new index called the “transnational activity spread index” is calculated as follows: The average of the following ratios: foreign sales to total sales, foreign assets to total assets, and foreign employment to total employment, is multiplied by the number of foreign countries a company is active in as a proportion of the total number of countries where foreign direct investment has occurred, minus one (the home country). In addition to this comprehensive index Letto-Gillies indicates that every individual indicator of the UNCTAD index might also be weighted by the network spread index, thus forming three sub-indices: the “sales spread index”, the “asset spread index” and the “employment spread index”.

---

6 In addition to these indices, Perriard (1995) proposes a set of 13 quantitative and qualitative indicators to determine the degree of the internationalisation of a corporation. However since there is no integration of the indicators, the use of this instrument is very restricted.

7 Labour intensive, capital intensive or export intensive industry.

8 This index is annually calculated for the world's top 100 non-financial MNCs, which are selected on the basis of their foreign assets. The collection and the calculation of the data is undertaken by the Studies and Competence Centre for Organisational and Policy Research in European Business (SCOPE) at the Erasmus University of Rotterdam. For a recent interpretation of the data cf. van den Berghe (1999).

Table 3: Composite Indicators Used to Measure Corporate Internationalisation

<p><b>Transnationality Index (UNCTAD 1995)</b></p>	<p><b>Transnational Activities Spread Index (Ietto-Gillies 1998)</b></p>	<p><b>Degree of Internationalization Scale (Sullivan 1994)</b></p>
<p>Ratio of foreign sales to total sales + Ratio of foreign assets to total assets <u>+ Ratio of foreign employment to total employment</u> divided by 3</p>	<p>Ratio of foreign sales to total sales + Ratio of foreign assets to total assets <u>+ Ratio of foreign employment to total employment</u> divided by 3</p> <p>multiplied by</p> <p>Number of foreign countries in which a company owns affiliates as a proportion of total number of countries where foreign direct investment has occurred – One country (=home country of the company)</p>	<p>Ratio of foreign sales to total sales + Ratio of foreign assets to total assets + Ratio of foreign affiliates to total affiliates + „International experience of top management,, (see above) + „Psychic dispersion,, of international operations</p>

Quelle: Dörrenbächer 2000.



3. The “*degree of internationalization scale*”. This index proposed by Sullivan (1994, 1996) was developed in a bottom up-process, using publicly available data. Unlike UNCTAD, which selected its three individual indicators based on preliminary assumptions about their usefulness in expressing the phenomenon of internationalisation, Sullivan started with a total of nine indicators encompassing structural, performance and attitudinal items. Using item-total analysis<sup>9</sup> on a representative sample of 74 MNCs, five ‘good’ indicators have been identified. These indicators, all weighted by the factor one, form the degree of internationalization scale. In detail the index is composed of the following indicators: The ratio of foreign sales to total sales, foreign assets to total assets and foreign subsidiaries to total subsidiaries, the international experience of top managers (measured as the cumulative duration of the years top managers spend working abroad weighted by the total years of their working experience) and the psychic dispersion of international operations.

## **5 The reliability, exactness and validity of indicators and indices**

If one recognises that scientific progress is not possible without adequate measurement (Korman 1974 and Schwab 1980 cited in Sullivan 1996: 338) then it is important to discuss the issues of reliability, exactness and validity of the indicators described so far.

As mentioned above the use of *individual indicators* to measure corporate internationalisation is in general not very reliable since it does not include a systematic control of measurement errors, contingent influences and transfer-pricing manipulations (beyond obvious implausibility).

- Measurement errors might occur with ‘soft’ attitudinal indicators, such as the Perlmutter typology. Here, biased views and cognitive dissonances, both of the interviewer and the interviewee, may lead to flawed results. Another source of measurement error is the fact that the definition of a certain indicator must be clear, detailed and viable. Take the example of the indicator of the ratio of foreign employment to total employment. In the first place, an exact definition of what an employee is is necessary: for example, should there be a headcount, or are part time employees converted into full time equivalents according to their working

---

9 Item-total analysis correlates each potential indicator at a given sample with the indicator-corrected scale of the total score. The aim is to get a collection of indicators which has a high average correlation with the total score.

hours<sup>10</sup>; do employment figures represent the average of the year or is the figure taken at a common qualifying date etc. Secondly, is it viable to compare employment on a one to one basis, taking into account the large sectoral and national differences in the costs of creating a workplace?

- Contingent influences are influences that change indicators beyond real material changes. The most obvious contingent influence is exchange rate fluctuations. Exchange rate problems occur particularly when comparing the internationalisation of companies on a historical or on a national basis. Another contingent influence which occurs from time to time is the relocation of an MNC headquarter to another country.<sup>11</sup> Depending on the degree of internationalisation at the time of the headquarter relocation, there might be dramatic changes in some figures indicating the internationalisation of the company. Some further contingent influences are industry specific. They occur for instance in engineering, when large projects, covering many years, are invoiced in one year, resulting in a tremendous jump in performance indicators such as turnover or operating income.
- Transfer-pricing manipulations systematically distort the geographic distribution of performance indicators such as turnover and especially income. Transfer pricing manipulations occur when internalised transactions between related units of an MNC are not calculated on the “arm’s length principle”. Aims of transfer-pricing manipulations are manifold: for example, tax avoidance, lowering customs duties, repatriation of capital. However, very little is yet known about the significance of transfer-pricing manipulations. In a recent overview of different studies, Plasschaert (1996: 406f.) states that “... all in all, the only plausible, although trivial, conclusion .... is that transfer-price manoeuvres are probably practised much more frequently than TNCs [Transnational Corporations, CD] are willing to admit, but much less than is alleged in some circles.”

Although *composite indicators* are generally more reliable, their use is not without problems. We have discussed three different composite indicators, each of which claim to adequately measure corporate internationalisation. However, taking the multidimensionality of the phenomenon as a criteria, the three indices have different orientations. The UNCTAD index combines two structural and one performance indicator. The “transnational activity spread index”, proposed by Letto-Gillies is even more concentrated on structural aspects of internationalisation; she uses the UNCTAD index as a base but weights it with another structural indicator. The only index covering all three dimensions of internationalisation is the one developed by Sullivan. This index combines structural (two indicators), performance (one indicator) and attitudinal (two indicators) aspects. However, it is questionable whether even this comprehensive instrument adequately covers the internationalisation phenomenon:

---

10 This point is crucial in industries which mainly use part time workers, such as the fast food industry or the transport industry.

11 One example is the relocation of the Ikea headquarter from Sweden to Denmark in 1975 (Dörrenbächer/ Meissner 1991: 23).

- Firstly, the viability of both attitudinal indicators used by Sullivan is heavily disputed. Ramaswamy et al. (1997: 173) maintain that length of international experience is not a good measuring stick for the international mindset of the management, since there are several other factors with a stronger influence, such as the geographic spread of the company, its policy and its administrative heritage. In addition, Ramaswamy et al. criticise the plausibility of the “psychic dispersion of internationalisation” concept. Using this concept would mean, for instance, that a company operating in France and the United Kingdom, has a higher psychic dispersion of its internationalisation than a company operating in Japan, India, Israel and Brazil.
- Secondly, there are strong concerns as to whether Sullivan’s indicator can really claim to be all-encompassing, because the way he chooses the indicators for the index (ie selecting indicators which have the highest average correlation with one another) systematically excludes indicators that might be important to express the phenomenon. Following Ramaswamy et al. (1997: 174) The index used by Sullivan “....seems to exclude several common strategic options for internationalisation such as indirect exporting, licensing, joint ventures...”

A second problem is the question of how much weight different individual indicators should have in an index. Up to now there is no information on how to determine the importance a specific indicator should have in the construction of an index. Because of this lack of information, two of the indices mentioned here treat all indicators equally. In contrast, the Letto-Gillies index allots more weight to the network spread component than to the three other single components.

To sum up the discussion, there is neither a single indicator nor an index that satisfactorily measures the overall degree of the internationalisation of a firm. Furthermore, there are differing views as to whether or not the construction of such a general index is feasible in the foreseeable future (Sullivan 1997: 190, (Ramaswamy et al. 1997: 176). Hassel et al recently considered this question; they have constructed a new index, which concentrates on the previously neglected financial dimension of internationalisation, and reflects the impracticality of the general approach (Hassel et al. 2000a, 2000b).<sup>12</sup>

---

12 Hassel et al. (2000a, 2000b) combine an index that measures the real dimension of corporate internationalisation (using a somewhat reworked “transnational activity spread index”) with different indicators which measure the financial dimension of corporate internationalisation (i.e. foreign owners as percentage of total ownership, number of listings in foreign stock exchanges and the use of international accounting standards).

## **6 The magic triangle: research questions, indicators and data availability**

The lack of a general indicator encompassing the whole phenomenon of corporate internationalisation is a severe problem if the research purpose is to rank companies according to their overall degree of internationalisation. However, if corporate internationalisation is part of a more specific research question, then the aim of the research might give some guidance on how to construct a viable index (what indicators, how much weight). For instance, if one is interested in ranking companies according to their production abroad, the best indicator would probably be value added abroad. The proportion of foreign employees and foreign assets might be good measuring sticks. In contrast, the number of stock markets on which a company is listed does not seem to be a very plausible indicator.

It might also be easier to find indices for intra-industry comparisons than for cross-industry studies, since in this case indices can be optimised according to industry specifics. For instance the “transnational spread index” might be appropriate for industries in which the extent of the geographical reach is of special importance, such as in the transport industry.

But even if a viable index to rank companies from different countries and/or industries is found, two problems remain. The first problem is data availability. Apart from mail surveys, there are only a few sources from which data expressing the international entanglement of a company is available: these are specialised company listings, company handbooks, databases and annual reports. In general these sources are very fragmented and incomplete (for example they lack interesting information, such as the amount of shares owned by foreigners or the proportion of value added abroad etc). In addition, data availability has a high sectoral and national variance, and is the result of different accounting rules and publishing habits. For instance, in contrast to the US General accounting principles, the German accounting standard does not require a home/foreign split of the assets. Different practices exist in the publication of employment data, with many German companies publishing a home/foreign split, something that is very unfamiliar for Japanese companies. Finally, due to different modes of compilation, data is often incompatible especially for companies from different countries. While in the long run some of these problems will alleviate due to the ongoing standardisation of accounting principles, other problems will persist.

The second and more general problem is the use of indicators and indices in wider economic and socio-political research questions. The actual use of quantitative data on corporate internationalisation concentrates on three purposes.

1. In management science, many empirical studies look at the correlation between the internationalisation of a company and its financial performance.

2. In macroeconomics, the use of data on individual MNCs is not very widespread.<sup>13</sup> However there are some exceptions, where the use of company specific data is necessary, such as concentration issues. For instance the list of the top 100 MNCs of the world, annually published by the UNCTAD, is used to find out whether the share of those companies in world gross domestic product is increasing or not.
3. In political science (especially in the sub-fields of international political economy and industrial relations) the internationalisation of MNCs has served different purposes. In the past, the extent of foreign manoeuvres of MNCs was frequently used to explain underdevelopment in third world countries (e.g. Fröbel et al. 1977). More recently the internationalisation of MNCs has been used either to explain problems of state sovereignty and declining trade union power (Giddens 1996, Beck, 1996, Strange 1998) or to contest these developments by arguing that there is no, or only a modest growth, in the international entanglement of MNCs (Hirst/Thompson 1996).

Regardless of the field of study or the research purpose, there are some strong arguments against a positivistic interpretation of quantitative data on the internationalisation of MNCs. Take the case of management science and its attempt to explain the financial performance of an MNC by its internationalisation. It is a commonplace that in this kind of study the measurement concept strongly influences the result. However, even using the same indicator does not give a consistent picture (Sullivan: 1994: 330). Furthermore, macroeconomic data, for example on the (probably growing) contribution of large MNCs to the world's gross domestic product, has to be interpreted carefully. Collecting information on the quantitative share of a few large MNCs in a certain market is only a first step towards finding out something about the power of MNCs. In addition, there has to be at least a look at the development of competition and its influence on the level of prices and the structure of supply in this market (Dörrenbächer 1999: 56). Finally, the debate on the influence that corporate internationalisation has on state sovereignty and trade union power is a striking warning against a positivistic interpretation of quantitative data on corporate internationalisation. Many empirical studies have found that in the long run there is no big rupture in the quantitative (and qualitative) dimension of corporate internationalisation of MNCs (e.g. Hirst/Thompson 1996, Doremus et al. 1998, Wortmann 2000 forthcoming). However this does not mean that all problems concerning state sovereignty or declining trade union power are imagined. The answer is simply that other things like general economic conditions (Wortmann 1999) or the state's need for tax income (Scharpf 1996, 1997) have changed dramatically over time, bringing MNCs into better bargaining positions, whether their degree of internationalisation has significantly increased or not.

---

13 Scholars usually use aggregate data, such as the flow or the stock of foreign direct investment. For a discussion of the problems associated with these indicators cf. Wortmann/Dörrenbächer (1997).

## Literature:

- Barnet, R.J. / Cavanagh, J (1994): *Global Dreams. Imperial Corporations and the new world order.* New York: Simon & Schuster
- Bartlett, C. / Ghoshal, S. (1989): *Managing across Borders. The transnational Solution.* Boston: Harvard Business School Press
- Bäurle, I. (1996): *Internationalisierung als Prozessphänomen. Konzepte - Besonderheiten - Handhabung,* Wiesbaden: Gabler
- Beck, U. (1996): *Die Subpolitik der Globalisierung. Die neue Macht der Multinationalen Unternehmen.* In: *Gewerkschaftliche Monatshefte, No. 12, p 673-680*
- Dörrenbächer, C. (1999): *Vom Hoflieferanten zum Global Player, Unternehmensreorganisation und nationale Politik in der Welttelekommunikationsindustrie,* Berlin: Edition Sigma
- Dörrenbächer, C. / Meißner, H.R. (1991): *IKEA - The Hollow Elk Corporation.* In: *Informationen über multinationale Konzerne, No.2, p. 21-27*
- Doremus, P / Keller, W. / Pauly, L. / Reich, S. (1998): *The Myth of the Global Corporation.* Princeton: Princeton University press
- Dunning, J. H. (1992): *Multinational Enterprises and the Global Economy.* Wokingham: Addison-Wesley
- FAST (1999): *Die Internationalisierung der 100 größten deutschen Unternehmen. Datenbank erstellt im Auftrag des Max-Planck-Institut für Gesellschaftsforschung,* Berlin: mimeo
- Fröbel, F. / Heinrichs, J. / Kreye, O. (1977): *Die neue Internationale Arbeitsteilung,* Reinbeck: RoRoRo
- German, H. / Raab, S. / Setzer, M. (1999): *Messung der Globalisierung: Ein Paradoxon.* In: Steger, U. (ed.): *Facetten der Globalisierung. Ökonomische, soziale und politische Aspekte,* p. 1-25, Heidelberg: Springer
- Giddens, A. (1996): *Jenseits von Links und Rechts. Die Zukunft radikaler Demokratie.* Frankfurt a.M.: Suhrkamp
- Hassel, A. / Höpner, M. / Kurdelbusch, A. / Rehder, B. / Zugehör, R. (2000a): *Dimensionen der Internationalisierung: Ergebnisse der Unternehmensdatenbank, Internationalisierung der 100 größten Unternehmen in Deutschland, Working Paper 1/2000, Max-Planck-Institut für Gesellschaftsforschung, Köln*
- Hassel, A/ Höpner, M. / Kurdelbusch, A. / Rehder, B. / Zugehör, R. (2000b): *Two Dimensions of the Internationalization of Firms.* Köln: mimeo
- Hedlund, G. (1986): *The Hypermodern MNC: A Heterarchy?* In: *Human Resource Management, Vol 25, Spring p. 9-25*
- Hirst, P. / Thompson, G. (1996): *Globalization in Question. The International Economy and the Possibilities of Governance.* Cambridge: Polity Press.
- Ietto-Gillies, G. (1998): *Different Conceptual Frameworks for the Assessment of the Degree of Internationalization: an Empirical Analysis of Various Indices for the Top 100 Transnational Corporations.* In: *Transnational Corporations, Vol. 7, 1, p. 17-39*

- Johanson, J. / Vahlne, J. (1977): The Internationalization Process of the Firm - A Model of Knowledge Development and Increasing Foreign Market Commitments. In: Journal of International Business Studies, Vol. 8, p. 23-32
- Korten, D. C. (1995): When Corporations Rule the World. West Hartford: Kumarian Press
- Kutschker (1993): Dynamische Internationalisierungsstrategie, Diskussionsbeitrag Nr. 41, Wirtschaftswissenschaftliche Fakultät Ingolstadt, Katolische Universität Eichstätt, Ingolstadt
- Marginson, P./Sisson, K. (1994): The Structure of Transnational Capital in Europe: The Emerging Euro-Company. In: Hyman, R./Ferner, A. (eds.): New Frontiers in European Industrial Relations. p. 15-51, Oxford: Blackwell
- Perlmutter, H.V. (1969): The Tortuous Evolution of the Multinational Corporation. In: Columbia Journal of World Business, Vol 4 . January-February, p. 9-18
- Perlmutter, H.V./Heenan, D.A. (1974): How Multinational should your Top Managers be? In: Harvard Business Review, November-December, p. 121-132
- Perlmutter, H.V./Heenan, D.A. (1979): Multinational Organization Development. Reading: Addison-Wesley
- Perriard, M. (1995): Towards a Measure of Globalization. Institute of Economic and Social Sciences University Fribourg Working paper No. 250, Fribourg
- Ramaswamy, K. / Kroeck, K.G. / Renforth, W. (1996): Measuring the Degree of Internationalization, A Comment. In: Journal of International Business Studies, Vol. 27, 1, p. 167-177
- Scharpf, F.W. (1996): Demokratie in der transnationalen Politik. In: Internationale Politik. No. 12, p. 11-20
- Scharpf, F.W. (1997): Konsequenzen der Globalisierung für die nationale Politik. In: Internationale Politik und Gesellschaft. No. 2, p. 184-192
- Schmidt, R. (1981): Zur Messung des Internationalisierungsgrades von Unternehmen. In: Wacker, W. /Haussmann, H./ Kumar, B. (eds.): Internationale Unternehmensführung. Managementprobleme international tätiger Unternehmen, p. 57-70, Berlin: Erich Schmidt Verlag
- Strange, S. (1998): Globaloney? In: Review of International Political Economy, Vol 5, 4, p.704-711
- Sullivan, D. (1994): Measuring the Degree of Internationalization of a Firm. In: Journal of International Business Studies, Vol. 25, 2, p. 325-342
- Sullivan, D. (1996): Measuring the Degree of Internationalization, A Reply. In: Journal of International Business Studies, Vol. 27, 1, p. 179-192
- UNCTAD (1995): World Investment Report 1995, New York: UN
- Van den Berghe, D. (1999): Internationalisation Strategies, Relocation Processes and Employment: Multinational Enterprises in the Age of Globalisation. Paper Presented at the 14<sup>th</sup> Annual Employment Research Unit Conference: Globalisation, Employment and the Workplace, Cardiff Business School, 8/9. September 1999, Cardiff: mimeo
- Wortmann, M. (1999): Globalisierung, Gewerkschaften und Europäische Betriebsräte. Lehren aus der Havanna Charta von 1947/48. In: Kurswechsel No.1. p. 68-78
- Wortmann, M. (2000). Zur Logik von Wachstum und Restrukturierung multinationaler Konzerne. In: Dörrenbächer, C. / Plehwe, D. (eds.): Grenzenlose Kontrolle? Organisatorischer Wandel und politische Macht multinationaler Unternehmen, Berlin: Edition Sigma, forthcoming

Wortmann, M. / Dörrenbächer, C. (1997): Multinationale Konzerne und der Standort Deutschland. In:  
Fricke, W. (ed.): Jahrbuch Arbeit und Technik 1997, p. 28-42





**BÜCHER**  
**DES FORSCHUNGSSCHWERPUNKTS**  
**ARBEITSMARKT UND BESCHÄFTIGUNG**  
(nur im Buchhandel erhältlich)

Friedrich Buttler, Wolfgang Franz, Ronald Schettkat, and David Soskice  
**Institutional Frameworks and Labor Market Performance. Comparative Views on the U.S. and German Economies**  
1995, London/New York, Routledge,  
352 Seiten

Christoph Dörrenbächer  
**Vom Hoflieferanten zum Global Player. Unternehmensorganisation und nationale Politik in der Welttelekommunikationsindustrie**  
1999, Berlin, edition sigma, 226 Seiten

European Academy of the Urban Environment  
**New institutional arrangements in the labour market. Transitional labour markets as a new full employment concept**  
1998, Berlin, EA.UE series „The Urban Environment in Europe“, 135 Seiten

Gernot Grabher / David Stark (Eds.)  
**Restructuring Networks in Post-Socialism. Legacies, Linkages and Localities**  
1997, Oxford, Oxford University Press, 360 Seiten

Max Kaase / Günther Schmid  
**Eine lernende Demokratie - 50 Jahre Bundesrepublik Deutschland**  
**WZB-Jahrbuch 1999**  
Berlin, edition sigma, 586 Seiten

Traute Meyer  
**Ungleich besser? Die ökonomische Unabhängigkeit von Frauen im Zeichen der Expansion sozialer Dienstleistungen**  
1997, Berlin, edition sigma, 216 Seiten

Frieder Naschold / David Soskice / Bob Hancké / Ulrich Jürgens (Hg.)  
**Ökonomische Leistungsfähigkeit und Institutionelle Innovation**  
**WZB-Jahrbuch 1997**  
1997, Berlin, edition sigma, 366 Seiten

Jacqueline O'Reilly / Colette Fagan (Eds.)  
**Part-Time Prospects. An International Comparison**  
1998, London/New York, Routledge, 304 Seiten

Hedwig Rudolph (Hg.)  
unter Mitarbeit von Dagmar Simon  
**Geplanter Wandel, ungeplante Wirkungen. Handlungslogiken und -ressourcen im Prozeß der Transformation**  
**WZB-Jahrbuch 1995**  
1995, Berlin, edition sigma, 348 Seiten

Hedwig Rudolph / Anne Schüttpelz  
**Commitment statt Kommando. Organisationslernen in Versicherungsunternehmen**  
1999, Berlin, edition sigma, 146 Seiten

Ronald Schettkat (Ed.)  
**The Flow Analysis of Labour Markets**  
1996, London/New York, Routledge, 294 Seiten

Günther Schmid  
**Är full sysselsättning fortfarande möjlig? Övergångsarbetsmarknader som en ny strategi för arbetsmarknadspolitiken.**  
(Übersetzung: Birger Viklund)  
1995, Södertäje, PM Bäckström Förlag, 53 Seiten

Günther Schmid / Jacqueline O'Reilly / Klaus Schömann (Eds.)  
**International Handbook of Labour Market Policy and Evaluation**  
1996, Cheltenham, UK, Edward Elgar, 954 Seiten

Klaus Schömann / Ralf Rogowski / Tomas Kruppe  
**Labour Market Efficiency in the European Union. Employment Protection and Fixed-Term Contracts**  
1998, London/New York, Routledge, 214 Seiten

Hildegard Theobald  
**Geschlecht, Qualifikation und Wohlfahrtsstaat. Deutschland und Schweden im Vergleich**  
1999, Berlin, edition sigma, 200 Seiten

Sylvia Zühlke  
**Beschäftigungschancen durch berufliche Mobilität? Arbeitslosigkeit, Weiterbildung und Berufswechsel in Ostdeutschland**  
2000, Berlin, edition sigma, 206 Seiten



## DISCUSSION PAPERS 1997

Einige der nachfolgenden discussion papers sind im Internet zu finden: <http://www.wz-berlin.de>

Some of the following discussion papers are available on our internet home page: <http://www.wz-berlin.de>

*Abteilung:*

*Organisation und Beschäftigung*

Felicitas Hillmann / Hedwig Rudolph  
**Redistributing the Cake? Ethnicisation Processes in the Berlin Food Sector**  
Bestell Nr.: FS I 97 - 101

Dorothee Bohle  
**Zwischen lokaler Anarchie und globalen Netzen: Transformationsprozesse im polnischen Straßengüterverkehr**  
Bestell Nr.: FS I 97 -102

Felicitas Hillmann  
**This is a migrant's world: Städtische ethnische Arbeitsmärkte am Beispiel New York City**  
Bestell Nr.: FS I 97 - 103

Sigrid Quack  
**Karrieren im Glaspalast. Weibliche Führungskräfte in europäischen Banken**  
Bestell Nr.: FS I 97 - 104

Enzo Mingione  
**The Current Crisis of Intensive Work Regimes and the Question of Social Exclusion in Industrialized Countries**  
Bestell Nr.: FS I 97 - 105

*Abteilung:*

*Arbeitsmarktpolitik und Beschäftigung*

Dirk Finger  
**Dienstleistungsschecks in Europa - ein Modell für Deutschland? Beschäftigungseffekte und Kosten für die Volkswirtschaft: fünf Szenarien**  
Bestell Nr.: FS I 97 - 201

Dirk Finger  
**Service cheques in Europe - a model for Germany? Employment effects and macro-economic costs: five scenarios**  
Bestell Nr.: FS I 97 - 201a

Günther Schmid  
in collaboration with Maja Helmer  
**The Dutch Employment Miracle? A comparison of employment systems in the Netherlands and Germany**  
Bestell Nr.: FS I 97 - 202

Günther Schmid, Peter Auer, Hugh Mosley, Klaus Schömann (Eds.)

**Progress in Evaluation Research: Documentation of Two Transfer-Workshops on the „International Handbook of Labour Market Policy and Evaluation“**  
Bestell Nr.: FS I 97 - 203

Günther Schmid, Klaus Schömann und Holger Schütz  
**Evaluierung der Arbeitsmarktpolitik. Ein analytischer Bezugsrahmen am Beispiel des Arbeitsmarktpolitischen Rahmenprogramms in Berlin**  
Bestell Nr.: FS I 97 - 204

Silke Bothfeld  
**Teilzeitarbeit für alle? Eine Untersuchung von Teilzeitpräferenzen in Deutschland und Großbritannien unter beschäftigungspolitischen Gesichtspunkten**  
Bestell Nr.: FS I 97 - 205

Ralf Rogowski und Günther Schmid  
**Reflexive Deregulierung. Ein Ansatz zur Dynamisierung des Arbeitsmarkts**  
Bestell Nr.: FS I 97 - 206

Ralf Rogowski and Günther Schmid  
**Reflexive Deregulation. International experiences and proposals for labour market reform**  
Bestell Nr.: FS I 97 - 206a

Jacqueline O'Reilly, Claudia Spee  
**Regulating work and welfare of the future: Towards a new social contract or a new gender contract?**  
Bestell Nr.: FS I 97 - 207

Hugh Mosley and Stefan Speckesser  
**Market Share and Market Segment of Public Employment Services**  
Bestell Nr.: FS I 97 - 208

*Abteilung:*

*Wirtschaftswandel und Beschäftigung*

Mark Lehrer, Owen Darbishire  
**The Performance of Economic Institutions in a Dynamic Environment: Air Transport and Telecommunications in Germany and Britain**  
Bestell Nr.: FS I 97 - 301

Stewart Wood  
**Weakening Codetermination? Works Council Reform in West Germany in the 1980s**  
Bestell Nr.: FS I 97 - 302

Thomas R. Cusack  
**On the Road to Weimar? The Political Economy of Popular Satisfaction with Government and Regime Performance in Germany**  
Bestell Nr.: FS I 97 - 303

Bob Hancké  
**Modernisation Without Flexible Specialisation. How large firm restructuring and government regional policies became the step-parents of autarchic regional production systems in France**  
Bestell Nr.: FS I 97 - 304

Mark Tilton  
**Regulatory Reform and Market Opening in Japan**  
Bestell Nr.: FS I 97 - 305

Thomas R. Cusack  
**Partisan Politics and Fiscal Policy**  
Bestell Nr.: FS I 97 - 306

Peter A. Hall /  
Robert J. Franzese, Jr.  
**Mixed Signals: Central Bank Independence, Coordinated Wage Bargaining, and European Monetary Union**  
Bestell Nr.: FS I 97 - 307

David Soskice and Torben Iversen  
**Central Bank - Trade Union Interactions and the Equilibrium Rate of Employment**  
Bestell Nr.: FS I 97 - 308

#### DISCUSSION PAPERS 1998

Einige der nachfolgenden discussion papers sind im Internet zu finden; <http://www.wz-berlin.de>

Some of the following discussion papers are available on our internet home page: <http://www.wz-berlin.de>

*Abteilung:*  
*Organisation und Beschäftigung*

Hildegard Theobald  
**Frauen in leitenden Positionen in der Privatwirtschaft. Eine Untersuchung des schwedischen und deutschen Geschlechtervertrages**  
Bestell Nr.: FS I 98 - 101

Isabel Georges  
**Heterogeneity versus homogeneity? Transformation of wage relations of the French and the German public telephone operators: the case of directory inquiry services**  
Bestell Nr.: FS I 98 - 102

Dieter Plehwe (Hg.)  
**Transformation der Logistik**  
Bestell Nr.: FS I 98 - 103

Sigrid Quack  
**Reorganisation im Bankensektor. Neue Chancen für Frauen im Management?**  
Bestell Nr.: FS I 98 - 104

Janne Tienari, Sigrid Quack  
and Hildegard Theobald  
**Organizational Reforms and Gender: Feminization of Middle Management in Finnish and German Banking**  
Bestell Nr.: FS I 98 - 105

Hedwig Rudolf, Felicitas Hillmann  
**Via Baltica. Die Rolle westlicher Fach- und Führungskräfte im Transformationsprozeß Lettlands**  
Bestell Nr.: FS I 98 - 106

Felicitas Hillmann  
**Türkische Unternehmerinnen und Beschäftigte im Berliner ethnischen Gewerbe.**  
Bestell Nr.: FS I 98 - 107

Nancy Fraser  
**Social Justice in the Age of Identity Politics: Redistribution, Recognition, Participation**  
Bestell Nr.: FS I 98 - 108

*Abteilung:*  
*Arbeitsmarktpolitik und Beschäftigung*

Dietmar Dathe  
**Wechselwirkungen zwischen Arbeitszeitpolitik und Arbeitsangebotsverhalten. Eine Untersuchung zur Bedeutung von Arbeitspräferenzen für eine Politik der Arbeitsumverteilung**  
Bestell Nr.: FS I 98 - 201

Ton Wilthagen  
**Flexicurity: A New Paradigm for Labour Market Policy Reform**  
Bestell Nr.: FS I 98 - 202

Klaus Schömann, Thomas Kruppe und  
Heidi Oschmiansky  
**Beschäftigungsdynamik und Arbeitslosigkeit in der Europäischen Union**  
Bestell Nr.: FS I 98 - 203

Jacqueline O'Reilly, Ralf Rogowski (Hg./Eds.)  
**Dokumentation des Round-Table Gesprächs „Die neue Labour-Regierung in Großbritannien: Zwischenbilanz der ersten hundert Tage“**  
**„The New Labour Government in Great Britain: Assessment of the first 100 days“**  
Bestell Nr.: FS I 98 - 204

Holger Schütz, Stefan Speckesser, Günther Schmid  
**Benchmarking Labour Market Performance and Labour Market Policies: Theoretical Foundations and Applications**  
Bestell Nr.: FS I 98 - 205

Günther Schmid  
**Transitional Labour Markets:  
A New European Employment Strategy**  
Bestell Nr.: FS I 98 - 206

Klaus Schömann, Ralf Mytzek, Silke Gülker  
**Institutional and Financial Framework for Job  
Rotation in Nine European Countries**  
Bestell Nr.: FS I 98 - 207

Dietmar Dathe  
**Der Familienzyklus als Bestimmungsfaktor für  
das Familieneinkommen und das Arbeitsangebot.  
Eine Untersuchung für West- und Ostdeutschland  
auf der Grundlage des Mikrozensus 1995**  
Bestell Nr.: FS I 98 - 208

*Abteilung:  
Wirtschaftswandel und Beschäftigung*

Karin Wagner  
**The German Apprenticeship System after  
Unification**  
Bestell Nr.: FS I 98 - 301

Donatella Gatti  
**The Equilibrium Rate of Unemployment in Varying  
Micro-Institutional Settings**  
Bestell Nr.: FS I 98 - 302

Steven Casper  
**The Legal Framework for Corporate Governance:  
Explaining the Development of Contract Law in  
Germany and the United States**  
Bestell Nr.: FS I 98 - 303

Torben Iversen and Thomas R. Cusack  
**The Causes of Welfare State Expansion:  
Deindustrialization or Globalization?**  
Bestell Nr.: FS I 98 - 304

Bob Hancké  
**Industrial Restructuring and Industrial Relations  
in the European Car Industry. Instruments and  
Strategies for Employment**  
Bestell Nr.: FS I 98 - 305

Donatella Gatti  
**Unemployment and Innovation Patterns. The role  
of business coordination and market competition**  
Bestell Nr.: FS I 98 - 306

#### **DISCUSSION PAPERS 1999**

Die nachfolgenden discussion papers sind im Internet  
zu finden: <http://www.wz-berlin.de>

The following discussion papers are available on our  
internet home page: <http://www.wz-berlin.de>

*Abteilung:  
Organisation und Beschäftigung*

Sven Hildebrandt  
**Lean Banking als Reorganisationsmuster für  
deutsche und französische Kreditinstitute?  
Anmerkungen zur Tragfähigkeit eines leitbild-  
prägenden Managementkonzepts**  
Bestell Nr.: FS I 99 - 101

Dieter Plehwe  
**Why and How Do National Monopolies Go  
"Global"?**  
Bestell Nr.: FS I 99 - 102

Dorothee Bohle  
**Der Pfad in die Abhängigkeit? Eine kritische Be-  
wertung institutionalistischer Beiträge in der  
Transformationsdebatte**  
Bestell Nr.: FS I 99 - 103

*Abteilung:  
Arbeitsmarktpolitik und Beschäftigung*

Günther Schmid / Klaus Schömann (Hg./Eds.)  
**Von Dänemark lernen  
Learning from Denmark**  
Bestell Nr.: FS I 99 - 201

Hugh Mosley and Antje Mayer  
**Benchmarking National Labour Market Per-  
formance: A Radar Chart Approach**  
Bestell Nr.: FS I 99 - 202

Eunice Rodriguez  
**Marginal Employment and Health in Germany and  
the United Kingdom: Does Unstable Employment  
Predict Health?**  
Bestell Nr.: FS I 99 - 203

*Erschienen in der Veröffentlichungsreihe der  
Querschnittsgruppe Arbeit & Ökologie:*

Carroll Haak, Günther Schmid  
**Arbeitsmärkte für Künstler und Publizisten -  
Modelle einer zukünftigen Arbeitswelt?**  
Bestell Nr. P99-506

*Abteilung:  
Wirtschaftswandel und Beschäftigung*

Bob Hancké  
**Revisiting the French Model. Coordination and  
restructuring in French industry in the 1980s**  
Bestell Nr.: FS I 99 - 301

David Soskice  
**The Political Economy of EMU. Rethinking the  
effects of monetary integration on Europe**  
Bestell Nr.: FS I 99 - 302

Gabriele Kasten / David Soskice  
**Möglichkeiten und Grenzen der Beschäftigungspolitik in der Europäischen Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion**  
Bestell Nr.: FS I 99 - 303

Julie Pellegrin  
**German Production Networks in Central/Eastern Europe. Between Dependency and Globalisation**  
Bestell Nr.: FS I 99 - 304

Donatella Gatti / Christa van Wijnbergen  
**The Case for a Symmetric Reaction Function of the European Central Bank**  
Bestell Nr.: FS I 99 - 305

Steven Casper  
**National Institutional Frameworks and High-Technology Innovation in Germany. The Case of Biotechnology**  
Bestell Nr.: FS I 99 - 306

Steven Casper  
**High Technology Governance and Institutional Adaptiveness. Do technology policies usefully promote commercial innovation within the German biotechnology industry?**  
Bestell Nr.: FS I 99 - 307

André Mach  
**"Small European states in world markets" revisited: The questioning of compensation policies in the light of the Swiss case**  
Bestell Nr.: FS I 98 - 308

Bruno Amable  
**Institutional Complementarity and Diversity of Social Systems of Innovation and Production**  
Bestell Nr.: FS I 99 - 309

## DISCUSSION PAPERS 2000

Die nachfolgenden discussion papers sind im Internet zu finden: <http://www.wz-berlin.de>

The following discussion papers are available on our internet home page: <http://www.wz-berlin.de>

*Abteilung:*  
*Organisation und Beschäftigung*

Christoph Dörrenbächer  
**Measuring Corporate Internationalisation. A review of measurement concepts and their use**  
Bestell Nr.: FS I 00 - 101

*Abteilung:*  
*Arbeitsmarktpolitik und Beschäftigung*

Klaus Schömann / Stefanie Flechtner / Ralf Mytze / Isabelle Schömann  
**Moving towards Employment Insurance - Unemployment Insurance and Employment Protection in the OECD**  
Bestell Nr.: FS I 00 - 201

Dietmar Dathe / Günther Schmid  
**Determinants of Business and Personal Services: Evidence from West-German Regions**  
Bestell Nr.: FS I 00 - 202

Günther Schmid  
**Beyond Conventional Service Economics: Utility Services, Service-Product Chains, and Job Services**  
Bestell Nr.: FS I 00 - 203

Heidi Oschmiansky / Günther Schmid  
**Wandel der Erwerbsformen. Berlin und die Bundesrepublik im Vergleich**  
Bestell Nr.: FS I 00 - 204

*Abteilung:*  
*Wirtschaftswandel und Beschäftigung*

Delphine Corteel / Judith Hayem  
**"Loyalty" and "middle class" at stake in the General Motors strikes, Flint (Michigan), Summer 1998**  
Bestell Nr.: FS I 00 - 301

Donatella Gatti  
**Competence, Knowledge, and the Labour Market. The role of complementarities**  
Bestell Nr.: FS I 00 - 302

Absender/From:

Versandstelle - WZB

Reichpietschufer 50

D-10785 Berlin

**BESTELLSCHEIN**

**ORDER FORM**

Bitte schicken Sie mir aus Ihrer  
Publikationsliste folgende Diskussions-  
Papiere zu.

Bitte schicken Sie bei Ihren Bestellungen von WZB-Papers  
**unbedingt** eine **1 DM-Briefmarke pro paper** und einen  
an Sie adressierten **Aufkleber** mit. Danke.

For each paper you order please send a "**Coupon-  
Réponse International**" (international money order)  
plus a **self-addressed adhesive label**. Thank You.

Please send me the following discussion papers from your Publication List:

Paper No.            Author

---



