

Post-Soviet 'Uncivil Society' and the Rise of Aleksandr Dugin: A Case Study of the Extraparlimentary Radical Right in Contemporary Russia

Umland, Andreas

Veröffentlichungsversion / Published Version
Dissertation / phd thesis

Empfohlene Zitierung / Suggested Citation:

Umland, A. (2007). *Post-Soviet 'Uncivil Society' and the Rise of Aleksandr Dugin: A Case Study of the Extraparlimentary Radical Right in Contemporary Russia*. Cambridge. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2892325>

Nutzungsbedingungen:

Dieser Text wird unter der CC0 1.0 Universell Lizenz (Public Domain Dedication) zur Verfügung gestellt. Nähere Auskunft zu dieser CC-Lizenz finden Sie hier: <https://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/deed.de>

Terms of use:

This document is made available under the CC0 1.0 Universal Licence (Public Domain Dedication). For more Information see: <https://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/deed.en>

Andreas Umland

Trinity College Cambridge

Post-Soviet “Uncivil Society” and the Rise of Aleksandr Dugin

**A Case Study of the Extraparliamentary
Radical Right in Contemporary Russia**

Dissertation submitted to the Faculty of Social and Political Sciences of
the University of Cambridge for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

This dissertation is the result of my own work and includes nothing which
is the outcome of work done in collaboration except where specifically
indicated in the text. No parts of the text have been submitted for another
qualification.

*Word number: **79,605***

(including footnotes and the Appendix, but excluding the Bibliography)

Kyiv, 23 January 2007

Summary

This dissertation argues that, in Russia, the extreme right, in spite of its relative failure to become a notable force in parliament throughout the 1990s, remains a significant social and political factor in the new century.

The thesis uses the generic concepts of uncivil society, groupuscule, and right-wing Gramscianism developed in comparative fascist studies to substantiate the claim that the political potential of ultra-nationalism in a given country might be assessed incompletely by sole measurement of the electoral performance of right-radical parties. It refers to the transformation of anti-Semitism in late Imperial Germany from a party ideology into a component of national political culture as an example illustrating that stagnating or downfailing ultra-nationalist parties, sometimes, indicate not a decline of the popularity of their aims, but an infiltration of their ideas into society. The thesis reviews recent comparative research on the role of third sector actors in regime change and post-War politics in Western Europe to illustrate that a consideration of the organizational capacities, ties with the elite, and social rootedness of extremely right-wing extraparlimentary groupings might constitute an important addition for an adequate assessment of the prospects of the ultra-nationalist movement of a given country.

In its second, empirical part (Chapter III), the study develops a case study in the emergence of post-Soviet uncivil society detailing the context and course of the rise, in 1988-2006, of Aleksandr Dugin's circle of "neo-Eurasianists" from a lunatic fringe group into an influential think-tank with a notable presence in the Russian book market, intellectual discourse and mass media. Apart from showing Dugin's increasing reach into Moscow high politics, the study, in particular, focuses on his purposeful attempts to enter Russia's academic life and mainstream political publicism, and some successes he had in doing so.

The conclusions relate the findings from the case study to recent trends within Russian extremely right-wing party politics, and make some tentative suggestions on how the Russian extreme right, as a whole, as well as its scholarly study, as a collective enterprise, might develop in the future.

"One of the most important and dangerous spiritual events of the post-communist period is the 'sudden' resurgence of conservative-nationalistic fundamentalism and its messianic pretensions."

Assen Ignatow, "Das postkommunistische Vakuum und die neuen Ideologien:
Zur gegenwärtigen geistigen Situation in Rußland,"
Osteuropa 43, no. 4 (1993): 311-327, here 313.

"The prospects of the extreme Right in the former Soviet Union and Soviet bloc seem better than in most other parts of the world."

Walter Laqueur, *Fascism: Past, Present, Future*
(New York: Oxford University Press, 1996), 178.

"In post-totalitarian Russia, the ideological climate has been gravitating more and more towards right-wing conservative values colored by Russian nationalism."

Thomas Parland, *The Extreme Nationalist Threat in Russia:
The Growing Influence of Western Rightist Ideas*
(London: RoutledgeCurzon, 2005), 1.

"I would like to stress again that the widespread rise in xenophobia is most alarming. Excessive nationalism and the ideologies transmitted by parties and organizations attached to the extreme right are the main causes of this state of affairs."

*Report by Mr Alvaro Gil-Robles, Commissioner for Human Rights, on his Visit
to the Russian Federation 15 to 30 July 2004, 19 to 29 September 2004*
(Strasbourg: Council of Europe, 2005), 67.

"Xenophobia exists in many countries, but in Russia it has become a norm, a commonplace for the majority of the country."

Aleksandra Radkovskaya, a psychologist at Moscow State University,
quoted in *Financial Times*, 30th December 2005.

Contents

List of Tables	7
List of Abbreviations	8
Acknowledgements	10
Preface	12
I Introduction	22
I.1 The Purpose and Structure of this Study	22
I.2 The Arguments, Foci and Limits of this Study	28
A Note on the State of Russian Right-Wing Extremism Studies	29
Dugin's Competitors	30
The Major Concepts of this Study	34
Conceptual Borders of Generic Civil Society and Nationalism	37
A Methodological Rationale of this Investigation's Approach	39
A Final Introductory Note	40
II Civil Society's Relevance for Right-Wing Extremism Studies	42
II.1 Some Peculiar Dilemmas of Russian Ultra-Nationalist Politics in the 1990s	42
II.2 Some Recent Developments in Russian Ultra-Nationalist Party Politics	53

II.3	Evaluating Declining Ultra-Nationalist Parties: Some Lessons from German History	57
II.4	Civil Society’s Role in Democratic Transition, Consolidation, and Breakdown	60
II.4.1	German <i>Vereinswesen</i> in the Weimar Republic	60
II.4.2	Antiliberal Associationism	62
II.5	Electoral vs. Non-Electoral Activities of the Western Extreme Right Today	66
II.5.1	The Relevance of Post-War Extraparliamentary Ultra-Nationalism	66
II.5.2	The Groupuscule	68
II.5.3	The European “New Right”	72
III	Ultra-Nationalist Intellectual Centres in Contemporary Russia	76
III.1	Other Manifestations of Uncivil Society in Contemporary Russia	76
III.2	Post-Soviet Right-Wing Think Tanks	81
III.3	Sergey Kurginyan’s <i>ETTs</i>	84
III.4	The Role of Aleksandr Prokhanov	88
III.5	The Dugin Phenomenon	92
III.5.1	Dugin’s World View	93
III.5.2	The Rise of Dugin	97
	Dugin’s Origins	97
	Dugin’s Emergence as a Publicist	101
	In the Wilderness	107
	Theoretician of the Post-Soviet Russian Right	111
	From the Margins to the Mainstream	118
	Dugin in Moscow High Politics	127
	Dugin’s “Academic Career”	133
III.5.3	The Role of “Neo-Eurasianism” in Dugin’s Political Mimicry	141
	Dugin’s Intellectual Biography	146

Similarities between Classical Eurasianism and “Neo-Eurasianism”	152
Discrepancies between Classical Eurasianism and “Neo-Eurasianism”	154
Dugin’s Late Embrace of Russian Eurasianism	156
III.5.4 Excursus I: Gumilëv’s “Eurasianism”	159
The Gumilëvian World View	159
Gumilëv and Classical Eurasianism	162
III.5.5 Dugin’s Re-Interpretation of “Eurasia”	163
Eurasia and Europe	163
Eurasia and the US	166
Geopolitics, Conservative Revolution, and Obfuscation	167
The Eurasianist Label and Interpretation of Dugin	169
III.5.6 Excursus II: Conceptualizing “Duginism”	172
“Revolutionary Expansionism,” “Geopolitical Anti-Semitism” “National Bolshevism,” “Geopolitics,” “Traditionalism”	173
The Connection to the European “New Right”	178
III.5.7 Dugin’s Relevance in Context	182
IV Conclusions: Anti-Democratic Politics and Uncivil Society in Post-Soviet Russia	189
Appendix: Some of Dugin’s Sources and Allies	203
Bibliography	211
Primary Sources	211
Secondary Sources	216

List of Tables

1	The major extremely right-wing parties in the RF in the 1990s	25
2	The major books authored, and journals edited by Dugin, if not otherwise indicated, under the imprint of <i>Arktogeya</i>	103
3	Some WWW-sites within Dugin's webring	116
4	Seats won by electoral blocs with a manifestly anti-Western outlook in the State Duma elections of December 2003 and December 1999	193
5	Numerical strength of factions with a manifestly anti-Western outlook in the 4 th and 3 rd (post-Soviet) State Dumas of 2003-2007 and 1999-2003	194

List of Abbreviations

<i>APO</i>	<i>Ausserparlamentarische Opposition</i> (extra-parliamentary opposition)
CDI	Center for Défense Information
CPSU	Communist Party of the Soviet Union
ENR	European New Right
<i>ETTs</i>	<i>Eksperimental'nyi tvorcheskii tsentr</i> (Experimental Creative Center)
<i>EWE</i>	<i>Erwägen Wissen Ethik</i> (Deliberation Knowledge Ethics)
GDR	German Democratic Republic
GOP	Grand Old Party (the US Republican party)
<i>GRU</i>	<i>Glavnoe razvedovatel'noe upravlenie</i> (Main Intelligence Directorate, the secret service of the USSR General Chief of Staff)
JRL	Johnson's Russia List
<i>KGB</i>	<i>Komitet gosudarstvennoi bezopasnosti</i> (Committee for State Security)
<i>KPRF</i>	<i>Kommunisticheskaya partiya Rossiiskoi Federatsii</i> (Communist Party of the Russian Federation)
<i>LDPR</i>	<i>Liberal'no-demokraticeskaya partiya Rossii</i> (Liberal-Democratic Party of Russia)
<i>MGU</i>	<i>Moskovskii gosudarstvennyi universitet</i> (Moscow State University)
<i>NBP</i>	<i>Natsional-bol'shevistskaya partiya</i> (National-Bolshevik Party)
<i>natsboly</i>	natsional-bol'sheviki (National Bolsheviks)
<i>NTV</i>	<i>Nezavisimoe televidenie</i> (Independent Television)
<i>NSDAP</i>	<i>National-Sozialistische Deutsche Arbeiterpartei</i> (National-Socialist German Workers Party)
<i>OPOD</i>	<i>Obshcherossiiskoe politicheskoe obshchestvennoe dvizhenie</i> (All-Russian Political Social Movement)

<i>ORT</i>	<i>Obshchestvennoe rossiiskoe televidenie</i> (Public Russian Television)
<i>PIR</i>	<i>Perspektivnye issledovaniya i razrabotki</i> (Future-oriented Research and Projects)
<i>RAN</i>	<i>Rossiiskaya akademiya nauk</i> (Russian Academy of Sciences)
<i>RAU</i>	<i>Rossiisko-amerikanskii universitet</i> (Russian-American University)
RF	Russian Federation
RFE	Radio Free Europe
RL	Radio Liberty
<i>RNE</i>	<i>Russkoe Natsional'noe Edinstvo</i> (Russian National Unity)
<i>RTR</i>	<i>Rossiiskoe teleradiokompaniya</i> (Russian TV and Radio Company)
RSFSR	Russian Soviet Federal Socialist Republic
SS	<i>Schutzstaffel</i> (Protection Squad)
<i>SVR</i>	<i>Sluzhba vneshnei razvedki</i> (Foreign Intelligence Service)
US	United States [of America]
USSR	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics
<i>VAK</i>	<i>Vyshshaya attestatsionnaya kommissiya</i> (Highest Attestation Commission)

Acknowledgements

My first thanks go to Dr. John D. Barber who was my supportive university supervisor in 1995-96 when I embarked on a dissertation project on the Russian extreme right. I am also very grateful to Dr. Harald Wydra who, kindly, agreed in 2006 to be my thesis supervisor, and gave me some important advice.

A further scholar I wish to express my special gratitude to is Professor Roger D. Griffin who has given me useful feedback on the various subject matters of the below survey. I use Professor Griffin's taxonomic and conceptual framework concerning the ideological and organizational permutations of contemporary ultra-nationalism in this study. A closer look on the development of post-war comparative studies of the extreme right, in general, and of international fascism, in particular, should lead one, I would think, to recognize in Griffin the most important taxonomist and interpreter of contemporary Western ultra-nationalism. It is less the neatness of his constructs or playfulness of his language, than, simply, the usefulness of his terminology and definitions for my particular concerns that has led me to embrace most of Griffin's conceptual framework.

Concerning the practical side of this study, I am especially grateful to Dr. Michael Hagemeister and Aleksandr Verkhovskii whom I would call "professional friends" and who—though being busy with their own research—have spared considerable time to give me extensive feedback on earlier versions of this study. I am also grateful to Dr. Cas Mudde and Dr. Robert L. Paarlberg for reading through, and making valuable remarks on, the working paper out of which this study developed, as well as to Prof. Dr. Michael Minkenberg for some useful feedback. Prof. John B. Dunlop and Dr. Robert Otto helped me in many ways to collect the material for this study.

I had the opportunity to present papers on various aspects of this study at the following seminar series or conferences: on 15th May 2002, at the Post-Communist Politics Workshop at the Davis Center for Russian Studies, Harvard University (<http://www.fas.harvard.edu/~postcomm/papers/2001-02/umland.pdf>); on 5th November 2002, at the "Rechtsextremismus in Europa" Lecture Series organized by the Thüringer Forum für Bildung und Wissenschaft e.V. at the *Haus auf der Mauer* in Jena; and, on 25th March 2003, at the International Conference „Russia and Europe”

organized by the Robert Bosch Lecturer Program at the Yaroslavl' State Pedagogical University, 25th March 2003, (<http://www.boschlektoren.de/a-sites/projektterasse/fertigeprojekte/csteck/andreas.doc>). In connection with these presentations, I thank these venues' organizers' Dr. Lucan Way, Dr. Maria Popova, Dr. Vera Haney and Christiane Steck for giving me the opportunity to get some useful critical remarks and suggestions on my argument.

During the time in which I prepared this study, I have benefited in one way or another from the support of the following institutions: the Fritz Thyssen Foundation at Cologne; the Weatherhead Center for International Affairs and Davis Center for Russian Studies at Harvard University, Cambridge, MA; the Lecturer Program of the Robert Bosch Foundation at the University of Hohenheim, Stuttgart; the Institute of Central and East European Studies of the University of Glasgow; St. Antony's College Oxford; the Russian and Eurasian Studies Center, Nissan Institute for Japanese Studies, and Department of Politics and International Relations of the University of Oxford; the Saxony Office of the Konrad Adenauer Foundation at Dresden; the National Taras Shevchenko University of Kyiv; the Goethe-Institute at Kyiv; and the German Academic Exchange Service (*DAAD*) at Bonn. I am very grateful to these institutions for their support.

Of course, none of the above-mentioned individuals or institutions bears any responsibility for the mistakes, omissions and misjudgements this study may contain.

Among the friends I would like to thank for their warmth and kindness towards me during the last years are Eugene, Ingmar, Desiree, Gergana, Markus, Kriszta, Thomas, Michael, Uschi, and, of course especially, Lena.

But it is to my parents to whom I dedicate this study.

A.U., Kyiv, January 2007

Preface

“Liberalism is a disgusting, human-hating, mean doctrine.
It is loathsome in theory and practice.”

*Aleksandr Dugin*¹

The bodies of literature on both Soviet Russian nationalism and the post-Soviet Russian extreme right have, as documented in the footnotes below, grown during the last years.² Why would an extensive treatment of one particular aspect of the Russian extreme right dealt with in one way or another in other studies by various scholars be

¹ As quoted in Dmitrii Oreshkin, “Spor Slavyan,” *Moskovskie novosti*, 15th April 2003, URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.mn.ru/issue.php?2003-14-29>, URL (last accessed October 2006): http://iicas.org/libr_rus/enbz/17_04_libr_rus_bz.htm.

² On Russian nationalism in the post-war Soviet Union, see the surveys by John B. Dunlop, *The New Russian Revolutionaries* (Belmont, MA: Nordland Press, 1976); *idem*, *The Faces of Contemporary Russian Nationalism* (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1983); *idem*, *The New Russian Nationalism* (New York: Praeger, 1985; Alexander Yanov, *The Russian New Right: Right-Wing Ideologies in the Contemporary USSR* (Berkeley, CA: Institute of International Studies, 1978); *idem*, *The Russian Challenge and the Year 2000* (New York: Blackwell, 1987); Dina Rome Spechler, *Russian Nationalism and Political Stability in the USSR* (Cambridge, MA: Center for International Studies, MIT, and Center for International Affairs, Harvard University, 1983); Yitzhak M. Brudny, *Reinventing Russia: Russian Nationalism and the Soviet State, 1953-1991* (Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 1998); and, especially, Nikolai Mitrokhin, *Russkaya partiya: Dvizhenie russkikh natsionalistov v SSSR, 1953-1985* (Moskva: Novoe literaturnoe obozrenie, 2003). For useful introductory overviews on the late Soviet and early post-Soviet ideologies of the Russian extreme right, see, in chronological order, Thomas Parland, *The Rejection in Russia of Totalitarian Socialism and Liberal Democracy: A Study of the Russian New Right* (Helsinki: Finish Society of Science and Letters, 1993); Walter Laqueur, *Black Hundred: The Rise of the Extreme Right in Russia* (New York: Harper-Collins, 1993); Wendy Slater, “Imagining Russia: The Ideology of Russia’s National Patriotic Opposition,” unpublished Ph.D. dissertation, University of Cambridge 1998; Nikolai Mitrokhin, “Ot ‘Pamyati’ k skinkhedam Luzhkova: Ideologiya russkogo natsionalizma v 1987-2003 godakh,” *Neprikosnovennyi zapas*, no. 5(31) (2003): 37-46 as well as numerous further works cited below. Most of the relevant secondary literature published on the subject until 1996 is reviewed in Andreas Umland, “Pravyi ekstremizm v postsovetsoi Rossii,” *Obshchestvennye nauki i sovremennost’*, no. 4 (2001): 71-84; for a shorter English version, see *idem*, “The Post-Soviet Russian Extreme Right,” *Problems of Post-Communism* 44, no. 4 (1997): 53-61. For large data bases on the subject (with further links), see the sites of Panorama Agency at URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.panorama.ru:8101/works/patr/>, Memorial Society at URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.memo.ru/library/Faszisty/fasz1.htm>, Sova Research Center at URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.xeno.sova-center.ru>, anti-racism project Moscow Bureau for Human Rights at URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://antirasizm.ru/english.php>, and *Antifashist* Public Foundation at URL (last accessed October 2006): www.aha.ru/~ofa/Oglav_1.htm. Primary sources links on the web are listed at URL (last accessed October 2006): www.patriotica.ru.

worth adding?

First, while the post-Soviet Russian political system—whether one regards it as democratic or not—has been consolidating and the socio-economic situation stabilizing since the turn of the century, the relevance of extremely right-wing ideas in Russia has, as I shall illustrate below, not diminished. The, if compared to the State Duma elections of 1999, resurgence of nationalist parties other than the Communist Party in the parliamentary poll of December 2003 and the widely reported numerous xenophobic incidents in various Russian cities over the last years are only the most obvious manifestation of this tendency. A number of other developments pointing in the same direction will be mentioned or analyzed below.

Second, while Russian and foreign public attention to some permutations of Russia's "uncivil society" (a concept extensively introduced below), such as for instance the skinhead movement, has risen during the last years, similar developments in the realm of Russia's intellectual life, academia and mass media have received less attention. They constitute topics located at the margins of Western investigations into Russian politics. This is in spite of them being politically more relevant than the widely reported tendencies in youth culture.

Parts of post-Sovietology have returned to "Kremlinology" and become a kind of "Putinology" that, sometimes, tends to downplay the significance of developments outside the inner circle of the Russian president's entourage. Other tendencies in Western post-Soviet studies remain focused on such organs as the State Duma, Federation Council or regional governments—institutions that have lost significance since Vladimir Putin's rise. A third direction has developed an economic focus, expressing itself, above all, through the high interest for the so-called "oligarchs," and their machinations. While all of these fields of study are important, they seem to receive an altogether disproportionate share of consideration within political science. Other, equally important actors, institutions and developments in the realms of civil society and culture, in contrast, suffer from relative inattention by political researchers. Russian uncivil society is one of them.

Third, Russia's uncivil society's main protagonist in my first article on this subject in 2002 was a man called Aleksandr Dugin.³ Back then, he may have not yet been a

³ Andreas Umland, "Toward an Uncivil Society? Contextualizing the Recent Decline of Parties of the Extreme Right Wing in Russia," *Demokratizatsiya: The Journal of Post-Soviet Democratization* 10, no. 3 (2002): 362-391, available (without references) on the WWW at URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.24hourscholar.com/>

figure known to every Western observer of Russian politics. But he is now probably somebody whom many informed Western and most Russian analysts would recognize as a political commentator with a certain profile. Although failing to enter the State Duma, as he apparently desired in December 2003, Dugin has, since the publication of my 2002 paper, advanced further in gaining influence on the political thinking of mainstream elites, especially in politics and academia—a development that will be outlined in detail. Having been earlier the subject of only few Western major newspapers reports, including an account in the *Financial Times* (London), he has by now been introduced in various major newspapers like, for instance, the *Wall Street Journal* (New York) or *Neue Zürcher Zeitung* (Zurich).⁴ Recently, Dugin managed to place an article in *Russia in Global Affairs*, the Moscow partner journal of the influential US monthly *Foreign Affairs*.⁵ He has become an irregular contributor to the foremost Western source of daily political information on Russia, the Washington, DC

p/articles/mi_qa3996/is_200207/ai_n9119090, URL (last accessed October 2006): http://www.findarticles.com/p/articles/mi_qa3996/is_200207/ai_n9119090. A draft for this article appeared as a working paper as Andreas Umland, „Toward an Uncivil Society?: Contextualizing the Recent Decline of Extremely Right-Wing Parties in Russia,” *Weatherhead Center for International Affairs Working Paper Series*, no. 3 (2002), and is available on the World Wide Web at: URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.wcfia.harvard.edu/rsrchpapsum.asp?ID=555>; URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.fsmonitor.com/stories/072802Russia.shtml>; URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.ciaonet.org/wps/uma01/>. It was kindly reviewed by Stephen Shenfield, „The Extreme Right: Decline or Transmutation?” *JRL Research and Analytical Supplement*, no. 9 (June 2002): sec. 3, at: URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.cdi.org/russia/johnson/6309.htm>.

See also Andreas Umland, „Formirovanie fashistskogo ‘neoevraziiskogo’ intelektual’nogo dvizheniya v Rossii: Put’ Aleksandra Dugina ot marginal’nogo ekstremista do ideologa postsovetskoi akademicheskoi i politicheskoi elity, 1989-2001 gg.,” *Ab Imperio*, no. 3 (2003): 289-304, reprinted in: V.I. Polishchuk, ed., *Deyatel’nostnoe ponimanie kul’tury kak vida chelovecheskogo byta* (Nizhnevartovsk: Nizhnevartovskii gosudarstvennyi pedagogicheskii institut, 2004), 160-171, and: *Rossiiskii nauchnyi forum “Rossiya. Kul’tura. Budushchnost’.*” 2nd vol. (Chelyabinsk: Chelyabinskaya gosudarstvennaya akademiya kul’tury i isskustv, 2005), 42-50; Andreas Umland, „Die rechtsextremistische APO im heutigen Russland: Ultrationalistische Denkfabriken als Bestandteil der post-sowjetischen ‘unzivilen Gesellschaft’,“ in: Vera Haney, Michael Wegner and Andrea Jahn, eds., *Russland: ein starker Staat?* Europäisches Denken 3 (Jena: Thüringer Forum für Bildung und Wissenschaft e.V., 2003), 123-143; Andreas Umland, „Kulturhegemoniale Strategien der russischen extremen Rechten: Die Verbindung von faschistischer Ideologie und gramscistischer Taktik im ‚Neoeurasismus‘ des Aleksandr Dugin,” *Österreichische Zeitschrift für Politikwissenschaft* 33, no. 4 (2004): 437-454.

⁴ Charles Clover, „Will the Russian bear roar again?” *Financial Times*, 2nd December 2000, URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.cdi.org/russia/johnson/4665.html##11>; Ilan Berman, „Ideologue of Empire,” *Wall Street Journal*, 3rd November 2005; Felix Philipp Ingold, „Patriotisches Krisenmanagement: Thesen und Debatten um den russischen Sonderweg,” *Neue Zürcher Zeitung*, 24th February 2006, URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.nzz.ch/2006/02/24/fe/articleDKT4H.html>.

⁵ Aleksandr Dugin, „Kondopoga: A Warning Bell,” *Russia in Global Affairs*, no. 4 (2006), URL (last accessed January 2007): <http://eng.globalaffairs.ru/numbers/17/1061.html>.

mailing group *Johnson's Russia List*.⁶

Already in 1993, Victor Yasmann claimed that "[i]n today's 'Weimar Russia' there is no political concept, nor political force, which can intellectually challenge the new Russian-Eurasian fundamentalism [principally represented by Dugin—A.U.]."⁷ Anastasia Mitrofanova confirmed eleven years later: "Without any doubt, among the existing ideologies of political Orthodoxy [i.e. of Russian radical nationalism—A.U.], Eurasianism [principally developed by Dugin—A.U.] is the one with the brightest prospects."⁸ In fact, one could argue, as I try to substantiate below, that Dugin now belongs to the political mainstream. Analyzing Dugin more thoroughly, contextualizing his rise, as well as listing recent activities by, and introducing the latest scholarly and other literature on, him should thus be no waste of paper.

A fourth, different motivation for extending here in detail my 2002 paper's argument on Dugin's position in Russian uncivil society is that its subject matter may serve as an illustration for the continuing relevance of studying the extreme right not only in Russia, but in general. Why would such a demonstration be of interest?

There seems to be, in Western political analysis today, a tendency to regard the intensive study of contemporary ultra-nationalist ideologies as a not entirely salient endeavour, and, in some cases, as not even quite a serious political science matter—but, perhaps, rather a subject for cultural studies, psychology, or sociology.⁹ This is in contrast to the approach to the current extreme right within the discipline of contemporary history where the general interest to the causes of World War II and the Holo-

⁶ URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://russia.cdi.org.master.com/texis/master/search/?q=Dugin¬q=>.

⁷ Victor Yasmann, "Red Religion: An Ideology of Neo-Messianic Russian Fundamentalism," *Demokratizatsiya* 1, no. 2 (1993): 20-40, here 36.

⁸ Anastasiya V. Mitrofanova, *Politizatsiya "pravoslavnogo mira"* (Moskva: Nauka, 2004), 135.

⁹ One of the few exceptions, i.e. a contemporary influential political scientist taking up the subject of the extreme right, has been Herbert Kitschelt in collaboration with A.J. McGann, *The Radical Right in Western Europe: A Comparative Analysis* (Ann Arbor: The University of Michigan Press, 1995). However, Kitschelt's study can be also seen as illustrating the point made here: While he deals extensively with the issue of locating various radically right wing parties within their countries' peculiar political spectra, he has less interest in in-depth research into, and adequate classification of, the mythic cores of the ideologies of these organizations. Among noteworthy monographs on the post-war extreme right by political scientists interested, in contrast, as much in ideology as in party politics are Michael Minkenberg, *Die neue radikale Rechte im Vergleich: USA, Frankreich, Deutschland* (Wiesbaden: Westdeutscher Verlag, 1998) and Cas Mudde, *The Ideology of the Extreme Right* (Manchester: Manchester University Press, 2000). Especially important for the argument below is the collected volume Cas Mudde and Petr Kopecký, eds., *Uncivil Society? Contentious Politics in Eastern Europe* (London: Routledge, 2003).

caust is easily extended to the post-war period—as illustrated in the writings of such eminent historians as Walter Laqueur,¹⁰ Aleksandr Galkin,¹¹ Wolfgang Wippermann¹² and Roger Griffin,¹³ or macrohistorically oriented political analysts like Roger Eatwell¹⁴ or A. James Gregor.¹⁵ To be sure, there exists, in contrast to US political sci-

-
- ¹⁰ Walter Laqueur, ed., *Fascism: A Readers Guide* (Harmondsworth, Middlesex: Penguin 1979); *idem*, *Fascism: Past, Present, Future* (New York: Oxford University Press 1997); *idem*, *Faschismus: Gestern, Heute, Morgen* (München: Propyläen, 1997).
- ¹¹ Alexander Galkin, „Capitalist Society and Fascism,“ *Social Sciences: USSR Academy of Sciences*, no. 2 (1970): 80-85; Aleksandr A. Galkin, *Sotsiologiya neofashizma* (Moskva: Nauka, 1971); *idem*, „O fashizme—vser'ez,“ *Svobodnaya mysl'*, no. 5 (1992): 13-23. *idem*, „Ekonomicheskie predposylki gitlerizma,“ *Svobodnaya mysl'*, no. 4 (1993): 21-24; *idem*, „Fashizm: korni, priznaki, formy proyavleniya,“ *Politicheskie issledovaniya*, no. 2(26) (1995): 6-15; *idem*, „Rossiiskii fashizm?“ *Sotsiologicheskii zhurnal*, no. 2 (1994): 17-27; Alexander Galkin and Yuri Krasin, „Russische Wahlen in den Ruinen sowjetischer Modernisierung: Informationen zum Nachdenken,“ *Das Argument* 36, no. 2(204) (1994): 199-209; Aleksandr Galkin and Iurii Krasin, „O pravom radikalizme v rossiiskom obshchestve,“ *Obozrevatel'*, no. 12 (1995): 52-58; V. Tolstych, A. Galkin, V. Loginov, A. Buzgalin, „Der russische Faschismus im Widerstreit,“ *Utopie kreativ: Diskussion sozialistischer Alternativen*, no. 52 (1995): 65-72; Aleksandr A. Galkin, *Zapadnya: rasskaz o tom, chto prinës nemetskim rabochim natsional-sotsializm* (Moskva: Russko-amerikanskii fond profsoyuznykh issledovaniy i obucheniya, 1995).
- ¹² Wolfgang Wippermann, *Faschismustheorien: Zum Stand der gegenwärtigen Diskussion* (Darmstadt: Wissenschaftliche Buchgesellschaft, 1972); *idem* and Hans-Ulrich Thamer, *Faschistische und neofaschistische Bewegungen: Probleme empirischer Faschismusforschung* (Darmstadt: Wissenschaftliche Buchgesellschaft, 1977); Wolfgang Wippermann, *Europäischer Faschismus im Vergleich (1922-1982)* (Frankfurt am Main: Suhrkamp, 1983); *idem*, *Faschismustheorien: Die Entwicklung der Diskussion von den Anfängen bis heute*. 7th edn (Darmstadt: Primus, 1997); *idem* and Werner Loh, eds., „Faschismus“—kontrovers (Stuttgart: Lucius & Lucius, 2002).
- ¹³ Roger Griffin, *The Nature of Fascism* (London: Pinter, 1991); *idem*, *The Nature of Fascism*. 2nd edn (London: Routledge, 1993); *idem*, ed., *Fascism* (Oxford: Oxford University Press 1995); *idem*, ed., *International Fascism: Theories, Causes and the New Consensus* (London: Arnold, 1998); *idem*, „The Primacy of Culture: The Current Growth (or Manufacture) of Consensus within Fascist Studies,“ *Journal of Contemporary History* 37, no. 1 (2002): 21-43; *idem* in collaboration with Matthew Feldmann, eds., *Critical Concepts in Political Science: Fascism*. 5 Vols. (London: Routledge 2004).
- ¹⁴ Roger Eatwell, „Toward a New Model of Generic Fascism,“ *Journal of Theoretical Politics* 4, no. 2 (1992): 161-194; *idem*, „Fascism,“ in: A. Wright and R. Eatwell, eds., *Contemporary Political Ideologies* (London: Pinter, 1993), 169-192; *idem*, *Fascism: A History* (London: Chatto & Windus 1995); *idem*, „On Defining the 'Fascist Minimum': The Centrality of Ideology,“ *Journal of Political Ideologies* 1, no. 3 (1996): 303-319; *idem*, „Towards a New Model of the Rise of Right-Wing Extremism,“ *German Politics* 6 (1997): 161-184; *idem* und Anthony Wright, eds., *Contemporary Political Ideologies*. 2nd edn (London: Pinter, 1999); Roger Eatwell, „The Rebirth of Right-Wing Charisma? The Cases of Jean-Marie Le Pen and Vladimir Zhirinovskiy,“ *Totalitarian Movements and Political Religion* 3 (2002): 1-23; *idem*, „Zur Natur des ‚generischen Faschismus‘—Das ‚faschistische Minimum‘ und die ‚faschistische Matrix‘,“ in: Uwe Backes, ed., *Rechtsextreme Ideologien in Geschichte und Gegenwart* (Köln: Böhlau, 2003), 93-122.
- ¹⁵ A. James Gregor, *Contemporary Radical Ideologies: Totalitarian Thought in the Twentieth Century* (New York: Random House, 1968); *idem*, *The Ideology of Fascism: The Rationale for Totalitarianism* (New York: Free Press, 1969); *idem*, *The Fascist Persuasion in Radical Politics* (Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 1974); *idem*, *Italian Fascism and Developmental Dictatorship* (Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 1979); *idem*, „Fascism and the New Russian Nationalism,“ *Communist and Post-Communist*

ence, a sizeable community of researchers of the contemporary extreme right in Western Europe—with its largest section, appropriately, located in Germany. However, apart from the elevated position of “extremism studies” in Germany (stemming from the role of the related theory of totalitarianism as a founding myth for the Federal Republic),¹⁶ comparative ultra-nationalism is not among the “big” subjects in West European political studies, as one can easily see from the obligatory political science curricula at universities, list of contents of the leading journals in the field, or primary foci of the major national and European funding schemes.

If one were to evaluate the position of the Western study of the post-war extreme right only within the context of Western Europe, its relative marginalization would be, at least partly, understandable: Even a hypothetical rise of a more or less radical nationalist into the office of head of state and/or government in a West European state—for instance, in a country like France, Italy or Austria—would, probably, not any longer lead to ethnic cleansing, totalitarianism, or war. The strictures of the various pan-national institutions—e.g. those of the EU, NATO, OSCE, Council of Europe etc.—covering Western Europe in general are such that a coherent implementation of radically nationalist policies would be difficult to accomplish even if such a politician could rely on the support of the majority of his (less probable: her) people. Moreover, the post-war economic boom in Western Europe as well as its “nuclear umbrella” supplied by the United States have been major factors in providing long-term affluence, international security and political stability. Even a major economic crisis in Western Europe is, therefore, unlikely to immediately (or ever) lead to a domination of governmental offices of a West European state by extremely right-wing politicians as had been the case in large parts of the current EU territory in the early 1930s. Why—one may in view of such circumstances with considerable justification ask—should one spend time and money on the study of an altogether relatively inconsequential factor in EU high politics?

Things are different in Russia. For instance, one could argue that the rise of Vladimir Zhirinovskii in December 1993 was a necessary—though, by no means, sufficient—condition for Moscow’s intervention in Chechnya a year later. Arguably, without the

Studies 31, no. 1 (1998): 1-15; *idem*, *Phoenix: Fascism in Our Time* (New Brunswick, NJ: Transaction Publishers, 1999); *idem*, *The Faces of Janus: Fascism and Marxism in the Twentieth Century* (New Haven, CT: Yale University Press, 2000).

¹⁶ Uwe Backes, *Politischer Extremismus in demokratischen Verfassungsstaaten: Elemente einer normativen Rahmentheorie* (Opladen: Westdeutscher Verlag, 1989); *idem* and Eckhard Jesse, eds., *Jahrbuch Extremismus & Demokratie* 1-14 (Bonn & Baden-Baden: Bouvier & Nomos, 1989-2002).

particular composition of the State Duma at that time and the gradual shift in mainstream political discourse facilitated by Zhirinovskii's ascent a year before, the "party of war" within the Kremlin (Aleksandr Korzhakov, Oleg Soskovets, Nikolai Egorov, Pavel Grachev, Vladimir Polevanov, Oleg Lobov, Mikhail Barsukov, and others)¹⁷ may not have managed to make El'tsin agree using force "to pacify" the Chechens in December 1994.¹⁸ As is all too well-known, this adventure has led to two devastating wars which have by now cost the lives of tens of thousands of innocent people—with no end in sight. This major event in current Russian history with far-reaching repercussions in Russian domestic politics and foreign affairs would, probably, not have happened without Zhirinovskii's rise in 1993.

The aim of this study is to underline further that, in Russia, the dividing line between extremely right-wing and mainstream politics is less clear than in the West.¹⁹ Moreover, what we are now observing and what will be partly demonstrated below is the continuous infiltration of cryptic and not so cryptic ultra-nationalist ideas into Russian elite discourse. For example, there are a number of former high government officials who, after leaving the government, have, to one degree or another, affiliated themselves with, and thus added to the reputation of, the extreme right. The most prominent examples are the reputed economist and former Minister of Foreign Economic Relations Sergei Glaz'ev, Army General and former Minister of Défense Igor' Rodionov, or former Press Minister Boris Mironov. While these examples of an interpenetration between the moderate and extreme right are relatively familiar, the considerable osmosis that has recently also been happening between Russian civil and uncivil society is a lesser known phenomenon. Chapter III of this study is an attempt to illustrate this phenomenon with particular attention to Russia's think-tanks.

¹⁷ On the "party of war" within the Kremlin, see *Die Zeit* 50, no. 2 (1995), and John B. Dunlop, "The 'Party of War' and Russian Imperial Nationalism," *Problems of Post-Communism* 43, no. 2 (1996): 29-34. Dunlop counts Viktor Erin and Sergei Stepashin also among the full members of this informal network. To me, they seem to have been more ambivalent figures.

¹⁸ Such an opinion was voiced by, among others, Grigorii Yavlinskii during an NTV weekly television show issue of *Itogi* on 18th December 1995. See also Elena Klepikova and Vladimir Solovyov, *Zhirinovskii: The Paradoxes of Russian Fascism*. Transl. by Catherine A. Fitzpatrick (Harmonsworth, Middlesex, Viking/Penguin Group, 1995), VII. A leading Russian specialist on contemporary Russian nationalism, Nikolai Mitrokhin, too has stated that the 1993 elections (i.e. Zhirinovskii's victory) exerted a principal impact on the "ideology of Russian stateness," and that the resulting processes led to, among other things, the intervention into Chechnya. See his "Ot 'Pamyati' k skinkhedam Luzhkova: Ideologiya russkogo natsionalizma v 1987-2003 godakh," *Neprikosnovennyi zapas*, no. 5(31) (2003): 37-46, here 40.

¹⁹ Michael McFaul, "Russian Centrism and Revolutionary Transition," *Post-Soviet Affairs* 9, no. 3 (1993): 196-222.

In the context of Western research into the international extreme right, it is not only of interest that, in the case of Russia, the study of ultra-nationalism cannot be regarded as being fixated on a subject matter only peripheral to the major concerns of political science. What also becomes both fascinating and disturbing in this context is that the political ideas, strategies and tactics of certain sectors of the *Western* extreme right gain, in this context, an additional dimension. That is because of the well-known phenomena of cross-country diffusion of ideas, and influence of international epistemic communities on domestic politics. In our case, Western extreme rightists are linked in various ways to their Russian counterparts and exert considerable impact on them—as I shall try to show below.²⁰

One could observe this peculiar phenomenon already in December 1993 when the relatively close relations between Zhirinovskii's so-called Liberal-Democratic Party and Dr. Gerhard Frey's dubious *Deutsche Volksunion* (German People's Union), at that point, led to the odd situation that a bizarre minor German nationalist organization—smiled upon by many West German political commentators—had, unexpectedly, acquired a partner with some influence on Russian high politics. The money that Frey—infamous in Germany for his expensive elections campaigns—has, probably, spent on supporting Zhirinovskii during the State Duma campaign of 1993 may have been the most effectual political action he has and will have ever undertaken.²¹

Something comparable has happened to some West European extremely right-wing intellectuals. By way of being absorbed, adapted and used—as will be discussed in some detail below—within structures like Dugin's Eurasia Movement, the ideas of such relatively obscure figures in post-war European political thought as Julius Evola, Alain de Benoist or Claudio Mutti, all of a sudden, are entering the thinking of the political, cultural and academic elites of a nuclear superpower.²² Whatever one may think about the usefulness of studying in-depth the ideology of the post-war West European extreme right by itself: In view of the—as I shall show below—continuing relevance of Russian ultranationalism and its considerable debt to certain West Euro-

²⁰ Thomas Parland, *The Extreme Nationalist Threat in Russia: The Growing Influence of Western Rightist Ideas* (London: RoutledgeCurzon, 2005).

²¹ G. Hirscher, "Schirinowskij und der deutsche Rechtsextremismus," in: Uwe Backes and Eckhard Jesse, eds., *Jahrbuch Extremismus und Demokratie* 6 (Bonn: Bouvier, 1994): 162-179. More on the relations between Frey and Zhirinovskii at this point in Andreas Umland, "Vladimir Zhirinovskii in Russian Politics: Three Approaches to the Emergence of the Liberal-Democratic Party of Russia, 1990-1993," unpublished Dr.phil. dissertation, Freie Universität Berlin, 1997.

²² Parland, *The Extreme Nationalist Threat in Russia*.

pean models, attention to the margins of the political spectrum in many countries—and not only in Russia—has gained legitimacy as a subject of topical, problem-driven political research. The various ultra-nationalist subcultures in the West may, as such, be, perhaps, left to be investigated by students of societal, historical or cultural affairs. Yet, when these otherwise inconsequential subcultures, via their Russian counterparts, inform the discourse of Russia's political, administrative and intellectual elites, they start to fall in the realm of "proper" political science—understood as a discipline concerned with recent and current issues in the authoritative allocation of values, and as an enterprise that may (or even should) have some impact on real politics.²³ The below survey is too brief and sweeping to demonstrate exhaustively these new tendencies in the comparative study of the contemporary international extreme right. Yet, it might suggest some directions where future political research into contemporary Russian affairs and the international extreme right could go.²⁴

A final reason to attempt here a more extensive introduction to, and contextualization of, the Dugin phenomenon in particular is a discussion I recently had on the ideology and relevance of Dugin with the eminent specialist on comparative fascism A. James Gregor, a professor of political science at the University of California at Berkeley.²⁵ Our controversy was a spin-off from an international debate of Roger Griffin's approach to historical and neo-fascism in the German journal *Erwägen Wissen Ethik* (EWE; Deliberation Knowledge Ethics). Gregor's reply to my critique of his classifica-

²³ Well-known examples of the latter aspect, i.e. of social scientists reaching beyond the Ivory Tower of academia, are the US political researchers Zbigniew Brzezinski, Samuel Huntington and Francis Fukuyama whose writings have, arguably, exerted some influence on the thinking of the elites of many countries, including Russia. See Andrei P. Tsygankov, *Whose World Order? Russia's Perception of American Ideas after the Cold War* (Notre Dame: University of Notre Dame Press, 2004).

²⁴ See also Mischa Gabowitsch, "Review of Mudde and Kopecký, *Uncivil Society?*," *Neprikosnovennyi zapas*, no. 28 (2003), at URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.nz-online.ru/index.phtml?aid=20010669>.

²⁵ A. James Gregor, "Roger Griffin, Social Science, 'Fascism' and the 'Extreme Right'," *Erwägen Wissen Ethik* 15, no. 3 (2004): 316-318; *idem*, "Once Again on Roger Griffin and the Study of 'Fascism'," *Erwägen Wissen Ethik* 15, no. 3 (2004): 387-390; Andreas Umland, "Dugin kein Faschist? Eine Erwiderung an Professor A. James Gregor," *Erwägen Wissen Ethik* 15, no. 3 (2004): 424-426; A. James Gregor, "Andreas Umland and the 'Fascism' of Alexander Dugin," *Erwägen Wissen Ethik* 15, no. 3 (2004): 426-429; Andreas Umland, "Some Addenda on the Relevance of Extremely Right-Wing Ideas in Putin's New Russia," *Erwägen Wissen Ethik* 15, no. 4 (2004): 591-593; A. James Gregor, "Response to Dr. Andreas Umland," *Erwägen Wissen Ethik* 15, no. 4 (2004): 594-595. The original article by Griffin that triggered this debate may be found at URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.alphalink.com.au/~radnat/theories-right/theory6.html>. The whole debate has been re-printed in: Roger Griffin, Werner Loh and Andreas Umland, eds., *Fascism Past and Present, West and East: An International Debate on Concepts and Cases in the Comparative Study of the Extreme Right*. Soviet and Post-Soviet Politics and Society 35 (Stuttgart: ibidem-Verlag, 2006), 459-499.

tion of Dugin as definitely *not* fascist touched not only upon the nature of Dugin's ideology, but, somehow, also upon *my* intentions. Gregor suspected me of aiming to censor Dugin. One of the three of my replies to Gregor was in German, and the second and third, in English language, appeared only in a subsequent EWE issue, i.e. separated from the main debate of Griffin's concepts. This may have left Anglophone readers of this exchange wondering what exactly my argument and motivations, a topic brought up by Prof. Gregor, were. The below study addresses some of the issues raised in this exchange.²⁶

²⁶ At this point, I should also take the opportunity to mention that a German Evolian journal published by the extremely right-wing *Regin* publishing house has, without my knowledge, reprinted an edited section of a German-language paper of mine on Dugin as: Andreas Umland, "Der Aufstieg Alexander Dugins," *Junges Forum*, no. 1 (2004): 43-44, URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.regin-versand.de/bestellung/pd-1158835944.htm?categoryId=5>. Oddly, the list of contents of this issue of *Junges Forum* has been reposted at one of Dugin's WWW-sites (URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.evrazia.org/modules.php?name=News&file=article&sid=1863>). These publications may give the impression that I am sympathetic to the causes of *Junges Forum* or *Evraziya*. As will become clear below, this is not the case.

I Introduction

I.1 The Purpose and Structure of this Study

This study does not attempt to falsify a hypothesis in the narrow sense of the word, i.e. to disprove an argument about causes and effects. Rather, its purpose is to question a particular interpretation of current Russian affairs that was indicated in the foreword, and might be summarized in the following way:

Russian ultra-nationalism—as not only a popular mass media theme, but a socially rooted and politically relevant movement—has been getting nowhere in post-Soviet Russia. It might be currently prominent because it has become an object of manipulation by Kremlin technologists, and of concern for Russian and Western human rights groups. However, as one can see from the lack of seriousness of such parties or blocs as Zhirinovskii’s “Liberal Democrats” or Rogozin’s “Rodina” (as well as dozens of other contenders that never made it into the Russian parliament), the Russian extreme right is pathetic. Though, as recent skinhead activities suggest, not a negligible societal phenomenon, it remains outside the Russian mainstream, and is as inconsequential a macropolitical factor as a sober analyst would expect.

Variations of this view are widespread among especially Russian,²⁷ but also some non-Russian observers of current Russian affairs. To be sure, few Western commentators might venture to formulate or support as outspoken an evaluation as the above one. However, the impression of continuing disinterest among Western political scientists for extremely nationalistic ideas and groups in Russia, as reflected by the subject’s relative under-representation in major REES journals and book series, emerges from a cursory comparison of Russian ultra-nationalism’s prominence in relation to

²⁷ See the comments of even such competent observers as Vyacheslav Likhachev, “My i nash diagnoz: Radikaly nachinayut i proigryvayut,” *Obshchaya gazeta*, no. 24 (14 June 2001): 15 (I am grateful to Robert C. Otto for sending me this article), and Dmitry Shlapentokh, “The Illusions and Realities of Russian Nationalism,” *The Washington Quarterly* 21, no. 1 (1999): 173-186.

both, other themes in current Russian politics (leadership, federalism, political economy, etc.) and other international varieties of right-wing extremism (*Front national*, Vlaams Bloc, *Alleanza Nazionale*, *Nouvelle Droite*, Islamic fundamentalism, etc.). It suggests that an implicit opinion similar to the one stated here informs Western perceptions of post-Soviet affairs. Below, I attempt to develop a case study designed to indicate the inadequateness of such an assessment.

In doing so, my survey uses findings of research into non-Russian civil societies and ultra-nationalisms as well as examples of non-party Russian right-wing extremism. They are meant to illustrate that the relative decline in radically nationalist Russian party politics in the late 1990s and the largely abortive attempts to form a stable unified ultra-nationalist bloc in the State Duma can, by themselves, not be seen as an unequivocal indication that "anti-liberal statism"²⁸ has lost its appeal in Russia. In particular, they suggest that the considerable growth of the non-governmental, not-for-profit sector of Russian society since the mid-1980s²⁹ cannot be regarded as exclusively beneficial in terms of Russia's transition to a polyarchy, and further democratization.³⁰ Not only is a Russian "civic public"³¹ or "civic community"³² developing only

²⁸ This is the (arguably improvable) concept used in the pioneering article by Stephen E. Hanson and Jeffrey S. Kopstein, "The Weimar/Russia Comparison," *Post-Soviet Affairs* 13, no. 3 (1997): 252-283.

²⁹ For the early period, see Anne White, *Democratization in Russia Under Gorbachev, 1985-91: The Birth of a Voluntary Sector* (New York: St. Martin's Press, 1999). In the words of Uhlin, "[m]any foreign researchers have probably underestimated the size of civil society in Russia because they focus on NGOs with Western contacts, which are probably a small minority of Russian groups." See Anders Uhlin, *Post-Soviet Civil Society: Democratization in Russia and the Baltic States*. BASEES/Routledge Series on Russian and East European Studies 25 (London and New York: Routledge, 2006), 58. Fein stated in late 2001: "Today the number of public organizations registered with the Russian Justice Ministry is approximately 300,000 of which, according to estimations by experts, circa 75,000 are active." Elke Fein, "Zivilgesellschaftlicher Paradigmenwechsel oder PR-Aktion? Zum ersten allrussischen 'Bürgerforum' im Kreml," *Osteuropa* 52, no. 2 (2002): 158-179, here 160.

³⁰ My taxonomy draws on the conceptualization, proposed by Robert A. Dahl, of democracy as constituting not only an ideal-typical notion, but an ultimately utopian project. Dahl, in my understanding, applies the term "polyarchy" to those regimes that, even if by necessity representing only incomplete implementations of the democratic ideal, are fundamentally inspired by it. Democratization is, within this terminological scheme, seen as a continuous, potentially infinite process. Cf. Robert A. Dahl, *Polyarchy: Participation and Opposition* (New Haven: Yale University Press, 1971). A democratization break-through that marks a qualitative change from a non-polyarchic to a polyarchic regime might then have to be labeled "polyarchization." Whether or not Russia has already passed this stage or not is a matter of dispute.

³¹ Larry Diamond, *Developing Democracy: Towards Consolidation* (Baltimore and London: Johns Hopkins University Press, 1999), 221.

³² Robert D. Putnam with Robert Leonardi and Raffaella Y. Nanetti, *Making Democracy Work: Civic Traditions in Modern Italy* (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1993).

slowly, and seems, in connection with various measures by the Putin administration, to have come recently to a halt. Some of the more significant pre- and post-Soviet groups, movements, and trends within the Russian voluntary sector are unsupportive, or even explicitly critical of liberal democracy. A number of major non-state institutions and networks in Russian society contain ultra-nationalist, fundamentalist, and, partly, fascist³³ sub-sectors that question the adequacy of the construct “civil society” to designate them. These organizations’ or groupings’ primary function is less—or not at all—to enhance peoples’ inclination and ability to participate effectively in political activities that could promote further democratization. Instead, they provide, sometimes expressly so, a medium for the spread of radically particularistic world views, ascriptive notions about human nature, and antiliberal or/and bellicose political ideas, as well as an organizational training ground for potential political activists holding such views.³⁴ As in the case of civil society organizations proper, such uncivil society groups may “serve as a breeding ground for new political parties, by providing the basis for future party organizations, or by supplying personnel for future political parties.”³⁵

³³ For lucid definitions of fascism, and its proper and diminished sub-types, such as proto-fascism, see Roger D. Griffin, *The Nature of Fascism*, 2nd ed. (London: Routledge, 1993). As I have dealt with the issue of adequately classifying post-Soviet Russian extremely right-wing ideologies with generic labels, and, in particular, which groups should be labeled fascist and which not in my previous publications extensively, these questions will be touched upon only rarely in the below study. See Andreas Umland, “Neue ideologische Fusionen im postsowjetischen russischen Antidemokratismus: Westliche Konzepte, antiwestliche Doktrinen und das postsowjetische politische Spektrum,” in: Eckhard Jesse and Uwe Backes, eds., *Gefährdungen der Freiheit: Extremistische Ideologien im Vergleich*. Schriften des Hannah-Arendt-Instituts 29 (Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, 2006): 371-406; Andreas Umland, “Tri raznovidnosti postsovetskogo fashizma: Kontseptual’nye i kontekstual’nye problemy interpretatsii sovremennogo russkogo ul’tanatsionalizma,” in: Aleksandr Verkhovskii, ed., *Russkii natsionalizm: ideologiya i nastroyenie* (Moskva: Sova, 2006), 223-262.

³⁴ The construct “uncivil society” (a term that can, probably, be improved upon) was introduced to the study of Russian ultra-nationalist tendencies seemingly by Jeffrey S. Kopstein and Stephen E. Hanson, “Paths to Uncivil Societies and Anti-Liberal States: A Reply to Shenfield,” *Post-Soviet Affairs* 14, no. 4 (1998): 369-375. There is also a newsletter called *(Un)Civil Societies* available via Radio Free Europe/Radio Liberty (URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.rferl.org/ucs/>). The connotation of the term “uncivil” here is, obviously, different from the meaning it has, for instance, for Richard Rose, “Uses of Social Capital in Russia: Modern, Pre-modern, and Anti-modern,” *Post-Soviet Affairs* 16, no. 1 (2000): 33-57, here 37.

³⁵ Cas Mudde, “Civil Society in Post-Communist Europe: Lessons from the ‘Dark Side,’” in: Mudde and Kopecký, *Uncivil Society?* 157-170, here 159.

Table 1: The major extremely right-wing parties in the RF in the 1990s³⁶

Party	Full Name		Leaders	Short Description
<i>LDPR</i>	<i>Liberal'no-demokraticheskaya partiya Rossii</i> (Liberal-Democratic Party of Russia)	1990-	Vladimir Zhirinovskii	A misnamed ultra-nationalist, populist parliamentary party, perhaps, created by the KGB and constantly present in the State Duma since December 1993.
<i>RNE</i>	<i>Russko Natsional'noe Edinstvo</i> (Russian National Unity)	1991-2000	Aleksandr Barkashov	A neo-Nazi, para-military organization of, mainly, young males that, in the late 2000, split into six regional grouplets.
<i>KPRF</i>	<i>Kommunisticheskaya partiya Rossiiskoi Federatsii</i> (Communist Party of the Russian Federation)	1993-	Gennadii Zyuganov	The major successor party of the CPSU, and an important player in the State Duma since December 1993. While not being ultra-nationalist as a whole, many of its leaders clearly are (Makashov, Ilyukhin, Kondratenko, etc.).
<i>NBP</i>	<i>Natsional-bol'shevistskaya partiya</i> (National-Bolshevik Party)	1993-	Eduard Limonov (and Dugin in 1993-1998)	A radical extra-parliamentary, counter-cultural and anti-systemic organization with a multitude of ideological sources in Russia, Western Europe and Asia.

³⁶ By classifying all four of these parties as “extremely right-wing,” I, by no means, imply that there are no important differences between them with regard to their organizational structures as well as their agendas. The concept “extreme right,” as I use it here, comprises carriers of as divergent ideologies as fundamentalism, ultra-conservatism, and fascism. In addition, the *KPRF* is not only a larger, but also a less homogeneous political organization than the other three parties (which, to be sure, have different factions too). Notwithstanding, “extremely right-wing”—though being too strong, or even wrong for certain trends within the *KPRF*-leadership—would still seem to be one of the less inappropriate generic concepts that have been suggested to capture Zyuganov's political ideas to which I briefly return below. On the various ideological camps in the Russian “communist” movement, see Joan Barth Urban and Valerii Solovei, *Russia's Communists at the Crossroads* (Boulder, CO: Westview Press, 1997). I have dealt with the ideologies of Barkashov, Zhirinovskii, Dugin and Zyuganov in: Umland, “Neue ideologische Fusionen im postsowjetischen russischen Antidemokratismus.”

The study is divided into two parts. The first part (Chapter II) starts with arguing the necessity of continuing attention to Russian right-wing extremist tendencies in general, and to such trends in civil society, in particular—in spite of an apparent recent decline of extremely right-wing parties. It does so by referring to both, certain particulars of Russian politics today, and some analogies from contemporary West European history. It specifically addresses the issue of an adequate interpretation of the altogether paltry performance of the four major ultra-nationalist parties of the 1990s, the *LDPR*, *RNE*, *KPRF*, and *NBP* (Table 1) and their frequent failures to achieve high offices during elections in the 1990s.³⁷

It, in a first step, argues that their leaders' party-building efforts have, from their inception, been hindered by fundamental inconsistencies in these parties' public image. In assessing the temporary decline of extremely right-wing party politics in Russia in the late 1990s and first years of the new century, it refers, in a second step, to the experience of late Imperial Germany that faced the disappearance of most of its antisemitic parties, but not of antisemitism around 1900. It, in a third step, notes that specific attention to non-party activities on the extreme right has been called for by scholars of inter- and post-war Western ultra-nationalism. Finally, it introduces two distinct permutations of uncivil society, the "New Right" and groupuscules, both of which have become prominent in post-war international right-wing extremism, including Russia's.

The second part or Chapter III of the study contains a description and interpretation of one particular sphere of Russia's emerging uncivil society as an illustration for the above issues—intellectual centres.³⁸ It focuses on a realm that Anders Uhlin has

³⁷ I refrain, at this point, for two reasons from further elaboration on the *Blok Rodina* (Motherland Bloc) that entered the State Duma in December 2003 with a surprisingly good result (9.02%). First, the origins and future of *Rodina* are unclear. The alliance brought together an array of more or less prolific politicians who have split, in the meantime. If the bloc had indeed been merely a creation of the Kremlin, as many observers alleged, it might have been from the outset destined to be an ephemeral political organization. At the moment of finishing this study, it seems that the bloc may disappear altogether as happened to other nationalist unions such as the *Russkii Natsional'ny Sobor* (Russian National Assembly), *Front Natsional'nogo Spaseniya* (National Salvation Front), or *Blok "Vlast' narodu!"* ("Power to the People" Bloc). Second, an unequivocal classification of the whole bloc as *ultra*-nationalist could be misleading. While many prominent members would fall under this category, others would not. In addition, none of *Rodina*'s leaders has so far produced as large a body of literature as the main objects of this investigation: Zyuganov, Zhirinovskii, Limonov and Dugin. Whether *Rodina* will, after its various splits, produce a nationalist successor organization, and how sustainable such an organization may be, is, in late 2006, still unclear.

³⁸ For a recent study of a different subject, but with a somewhat similar intention, see Tobias

called "civil society elites,"³⁹ and briefly introduces various think tanks paying special attention to the publishing, propagandistic, networking and similar activities by Aleksandr Dugin. This overview will focus less on the substance of the ideas of these groupings than on their organizational capacity, spread, political connections, and increasing presence in Russian society in the late 1990s and early 21st century. Some of Dugin's ideas will, to be sure, be analyzed in detail. Yet, this is done less so in order to explain their nature, than to show how his self-promotion is designed to facilitate his acceptance by society, in general, and his entry into mainstream elite discourse, in particular. My treatment of Dugin's ideas is thus less motivated by an attempt to classify his world view,⁴⁰ than to illustrate how he adapts his official image in a way that furthers his influence in Russian public and intellectual life. The conclusions draw some inferences concerning the future of the Russian extreme right and its scholarly study.

Bader, *Neokonservatismus, Think Tanks und New Imperialism*. Hochschulschriften 62 (Köln: PapyRossa, 2005).

³⁹ Uhlin, *Post-Soviet Civil Society*, 176.

⁴⁰ I have attempted to do that in Umland, "Tri raznovidnosti postsovetskogo fashizma," 252-261.

I.2 The Arguments, Foci and Limits of this Study

The first argument proposed in Chapter II of this study can be seen as ideographic-hermeneutic as it will interpret some peculiar features of the Russian extreme right, namely the failures of the major ultra-nationalist parties, throughout the 1990s in relation to certain fundamentals of Russia's specific nationalist discourse. The second argument of Chapter II reports first the relative decline of right-wing extremist electoral support in the late 1990s. It then argues that the relative failure of Russian radically nationalist parties so far is insufficient to indicate that the post-Soviet extreme right has lastingly lost its political relevance. It is historical-analogical in that it points out some peculiarities in the development of German ultra-nationalism of the late 19th century as being suggestive for an adequate assessment of current Russian trends.

The third section of Chapter II too tries to contribute to a better conceptualization of the Russian extreme right in the light of non-Russian experiences. In particular, it refers to some recent trends in the study of Western right-wing extremism, and suggests that, for an adequate measurement of the strength and spread of ultra-nationalist ideas in, especially post-war, modern societies, close attention has to be paid to groupings and tendencies outside the narrowly electoral realm. For this reason, the concept of the extreme right should be broadened so as to include not only parties, but also various non-party social actors, i.e. to incorporate also—what has come to be known as—“uncivil society.”

While the latter would strike students of Western ultra-nationalism as a common place, the study of Russian politics, as indicated above, suffers from an excessive focus on the Kremlin, governmental institutions and economic actors. Only rarely have forces outside the major political institutions and narrow circle of so-called “oligarchs” received the attention they deserve by political scientists. Rather, this realm remains, as mentioned in the Preface, a prerogative of contemporary historians, geographers, sociologists, and students of Russian cultural and sub-cultural life. As far as I can see, the main protagonist of Chapter III, Aleksandr Dugin, for instance, has, outside the community of students of the Russian extreme right by now become known. However, Dugin is almost entirely ignored by post-Sovietology understood as a political science sub-discipline. Instead, Dugin's activities have been studied by philologists (Hielscher, Parland, Laruelle, Höllwerth, etc.), geographers (Bassin, In-

gram), or historians (Luks, D. Shlapentokh, Wiederkehr, Hagemeister, Dunlop), but been largely ignored within the community of political scientists interested in post-Soviet Russia. As a leading specialist on post-Soviet civil society recently noted, with implicit reference to political science, "[t]here is not much research on ethnic or national identities. Neither organizations focusing on the culture and/or political and civil rights of ethnic minorities nor the more extreme nationalist and xenophobic organizations have received much scholarly attention [by political scientists—A.U.]."⁴¹

A Note on the State of Russian Right-Wing Extremism Studies

Though the small circle of students of Russian ultra-nationalism seems to agree on the growing importance of its subject, in general, and of Dugin, in particular, there is, so far little sustained collaboration between the various scholars spread across the Northern hemisphere—a state of affairs I lamented already in 1997 (and the following years), but which has changed little since.⁴² The exception to the rule so far has been Stephen Shenfield's path-breaking *Russian Fascism* (2001) which—unusually for the discipline—used extensively English- and Russian-language, primary and secondary sources, and is thus, by far, more informative than any other non-Russian book on the subject. However, even Shenfield's well-researched study does not include all the relevant secondary sources. It, in particular, entirely ignores the considerable recent German-language literature which—like for instance Markus Mathyl's articles cited frequently below—is, sometimes, superior to similar Anglophone publications. Therefore, the footnotes of the below study have been filled with more rather than less literature, and, especially secondary, sources on the subject in Russian, English and German in an attempt to draw the attention of the various scholars in our small field to the work of their colleagues of which they seem to be, sometimes, unaware. The study is as much an investigation into, as a report on international research on, the Russian extreme right.

While Shenfield's book would be the first I would recommend on the subject, it has been justifiedly criticized for its weak conceptual basis. This concerns especially its confusing usage of "fascism" for which four, partly conflicting definitions are presented in his book. Though Shenfield seems to have consulted only a limited amount of literature on comparative fascism, he chose to formulate his own definition of not only

⁴¹ Uhlin, *Post-Soviet Civil Society*, 65.

⁴² Umland, "The Post-Soviet Russian Extreme Right."

Russian, but also generic fascism—an undertaking that was neither necessary nor successful. He also does not make an explicit distinction between anti-democratic *political* society, and *uncivil* society. In connection with this, Shenfield's extensive treatment of the above mentioned and below introduced neo-Nazi organization *RNE* seems not in all respects helpful. His extensive survey of the *RNE* analysis draws too much attention to a phenomenon the nature of which may have been more sub-cultural, than political.⁴³ Last but not least, Shenfield's book is now somewhat out-of-date.

Dugin's Competitors

The following survey represents neither an intellectual biography of Dugin, nor an extensive exegesis of his writings, both of which are sorely needed.⁴⁴ I deal in some detail with both of these aspects—the particulars of Dugin's life and the peculiarities of this ideology. Yet, I am interested in his CV only in as far as such information is conducive to a better understanding of his continuing rise and peculiar position in Russian politics as an actor who is not affiliated to any party, but, nevertheless, of growing importance, and thus a good example for Russia's rising uncivil society.⁴⁵ My

⁴³ I have extensively argued the para-political nature of contemporary Russian neo-Nazism in general, and of the *RNE*, in particular, in Andreas Umland, "Tri raznovidnosti postsovetskogo fashizma."

⁴⁴ In as far as the study focuses on various repercussions, in intellectual and political life, of Dugin's ideas and rise as much as on the Dugin phenomenon itself, I rely here often on secondary, rather than primary sources. This is meant to increase the inter-subjectivity of my presentation, and to illustrate, by itself, the growing attention to Dugin among Russian and Western journalists as well as scholars of as different disciplines as philology, history and geography. A meaningful analysis of the texts of the various intellectuals and publicists I introduce below would be a life-time project as their books and articles sum up to tens of thousands of pages. In addition, Dugin—as will be discussed later—uses tactics of obfuscation and political mimicry making an adequate interpretation of the contradictory ideas in his dozens of books and articles a formidable challenge that could be adequately met only in a separate, different long-term research project. In view of these circumstances and of the fact that this is not a study of Dugin *per se*, but of his rise in the context of Russia's emerging uncivil society, it was often more interesting to note what historians of Dugin's intellectual evolution had to say on him, instead of reproducing Dugin's own, often inconsistent sentences. As I am not claiming to present here a history of ideas, I feel justified to rely on those scholars who would, in contrast, be vindicated to call themselves historians of classical and/or "neo-Eurasianism" and to use their conclusions on these subjects, rather than my own references to, and impressions from, primary sources which—the reader can be assured—I did read, to a large extent.

⁴⁵ Thus, this study will hardly represent the "last word" on Dugin's biography. That is not only because this is not the purpose of the below text, but also because Dugin (like his teacher Evgenii Golovin, see below) likes to surround himself with various myths making it, sometimes, hard to distinguish between truth and fiction in his autobiographical reports. See Nikita Kaledin, "Terapiya okazalas' bessil'noi pered maniei Dugina-mladshego

elaborations on Dugin's ideas go only as far as is necessary to illustrate what his political strategy is about, and, in particular, what specific significance the term "neo-Eurasianism" has for Dugin's tactics of political mimicry.

The reason that, along with brief overviews of the activities of Aleksandr Prokhanov, Sergei Kurginyan and Lev Gumilëv (see below), Dugin's "neo-Eurasianism" was chosen here for a lengthier illustration of the rise of Russian uncivil society has less to do with Dugin's particular ideas. As will become clear below, his writings—while making, perhaps, interesting reading, if one likes fantasy literature—contain little more than mixture of bizarre conspiracy theories, voluntaristic historical interpretations, and more or less adequate reviews of numerous Western and Russian texts on such subjects as occultism, international relations and religion. To be sure, for instance, Dugin's "ethnopluralist" ideas about on inter-ethnic relations—analyzed briefly below and in the literature quoted—are still, in a number of ways, more sophisticated than those of Lev Gumilëv (1912-1992)—another, somewhat similar publicist introduced in a special "Excursus" below, and seen by many Russians as a serious scholar, if not a contemporary "Russian Darwin."⁴⁶ Yet, even though his ideas may be less crude than Gumilëv's, many Western readers, when encountering Dugin's alternative interpretation of world history, have been unwilling to believe that his abstruse claims enjoy acceptance among important sections of Russia's intellectual, academic and political elite.

Dugin was also not chosen here because his views are especially radical, as one may think when reading his many articles and books published during the 1990s. One might have also taken for illustration of the point to be made here the dubious writings and public perception of other prolific publicists, such as renowned mathematician Igor' Shafarevich,⁴⁷ chemistry professor Sergei G. Kara-Murza,⁴⁸ or journal-

pereustroit' mir," *Stringer*, 1st May 2003, URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.compromat.ru/main/dugin/podpolje.htm>.

⁴⁶ Hildegard Kochanek writes that „[c]ompared with the ideological conceptions of West European intellectuals [heavily used by Dugin too—A.U.], the pseudo-scientific theses of the Gumilëvian teaching on ethnies may look, to the Western reader, intellectually unre-fined, anachronistic and rather too erroneous." See her important paper "Die Ethnienlehre Lev N. Gumilevs: Zu den Anfängen neu-rechter Ideologie-Entwicklung im spätkom-munistischen Rußland," *Osteuropa* 48 (1998): 1184-1197, here 1194.

⁴⁷ On Shafarevich's earlier writings see, John B. Dunlop, "The 'Sad Case' of Igor Shafarevich," *Soviet Jewish Affairs* 24, no. 1 (1994):19-30; Andrei A. Znamenski, "In Search of the Russian Idea: Igor Shafarevich's Traditional Orthodoxy," *European Studies Journal* 31, no. 1 (1996): 33-48. Among his more recent books are Igor' Shafarevich, *Russkii narod v bitve tsivilizatsii* (Moskva: Eksmo-Press, 2004); *idem*, *Trëkhtysyachiletnyaya zgdaka* (Moskva: Eksmo-Press, 2005); *idem*, *Zachem Rossii Zapad?* (Moskva: Eksmo-

ist Vladimir Kucherenko.⁴⁹ The latter, for instance, is a graduate of Moscow State University's Faculty of History, former editor for the popular Russian online magazine *Stringer* and correspondent for, among other periodicals, the Russian government's official daily *Rossiiskaya gazeta*. Kucherenko now writes books under the pseudonym of "Maksim Kalashnikov."⁵⁰ He is, like Dugin (on whom he occasionally relies), not afraid of admitting an interest in elements of Nazism.⁵¹ Kucherenko-Kalashnikov is also fond of comprehensively re-interpreting Russian as well as world history and of advising the Russian people, especially, the elites, on how to act in the future—again reminding Dugin's intentions. Going beyond Dugin, Kucherenko-Kalashnikov has proposed to make the infamous antisemitic forgery *The Protocols of the Elders of Zion* a part of the school curriculum.⁵² He dreams, in one of his recent books, *Forward to the USSR-2*, of a "Neuroworld" which would be a "structure" combining the characteristics of a "church, huge media holding, as well as of a financial 'empire' added with a secret service." He would like to see in Russia a "secret [parallel] state," "new empire," "new species [*poroda*] of human beings," a "team of programmers and computer geniuses" who would, "on the other side of the ocean [i.e. in the US—A.U.], cause "chaos and catastrophes." Kucherenko-Kalashnikov has proposed a "[s]ystem of development and application of neuro- and psychotechnology" for mili-

Press, 2005).

⁴⁸ Kara-Murza is affiliated with Shershnev's below-mentioned *Fond natsional'noi i mezhdunarodnoi bezopastnosti*. For a short introduction to Kara-Murza, see Mitrofanova, *The Politicization of Russian Orthodoxy*, 150-151, 161. Among his many books are Sergei G. Kara-Murza, *Sovetskaya tsivilizatsiya* (Moskva: Algoritm, 2001); *idem*, *Evrei, dissidenty i evrokommunizm* (Moskva: Algoritm, 2002); *idem*, *Antisovetskii proekt* (Moskva: Algoritm, 2001); *idem, idem*, *Manipulyatsiya soznaniem* (Moskva: Eksmo-Press, 2004); *idem*, *Poteryannyi razum* (Moskva: Eksmo-Press, 2005); *idem*, *Oppozitsiya kak tenevaya vlast'* (Moskva: Algoritm, 2006).

⁴⁹ E.g. Maksim Kalashnikov, *Vpered, v SSSR-2* (Moskva: Yauza, Eksmo-Press, 2003); *idem*, *Slomannyi mekh' imperii* (Moskva: Krymskii Most, 9d—Paleia, 1998); *idem*, *Bitva za nebesa* (Moskva: AST, Astrel', 2002); *idem* and Yurii Krupnov, *Gnev orka* (Moskva: AST, Astrel', 2003); Maksim Kalashnikov, *Amerikanskoe igo: Zachem diade Semu russkie raby* (Moskva: Yauza, Presskom, 2005); *idem*, *Genotsid russkogo naroda: Chto mozhет nas spasti?* (Moskva: Yauza, Presskom, 2005). My list of authors is by no means complete, does, perhaps, not even include the, apart from Dugin, most important publicists, and could be extended with many more names like Yurii Petukhov, Aleksandr Sevast'yanov, Yurii Mukhin, Oleg Platonov, Mikhail Nazarov, etc.

⁵⁰ Victor Yasmann, "Analysis: The Clandestine Soviet Union," URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.rferl.org/featuresarticle/2004/08/dd222d80-7963-4116-b079-b34810075822.html>. Perhaps, the pseudonym is a combination of the name of two of Russia's most well-known machine guns, the *pulemēt Maksim* and *avtomat Kalashnikov*.

⁵¹ Evgenii Moroz, "Podnyavshii svastiku: Imperskii proekt Vladimira Kucherenko," URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://xeno.sova-center.ru/29481C8/2948351>.

⁵² Moroz, "Podnyavshii svastiku."4

tary means.⁵³ Not only seem Kucherenko-Kalashnikov's views thus hardly less radical than Dugin's outlined below. The 2003 book just quoted from is also noteworthy because it has a circulation number of 10,000. Yasmann wrote in August 2004 that the book "has gone through several editions over the last 18 months and its popularity has become widespread."⁵⁴

Dugin is thus neither the most radical, nor the only widely read representative of ultranationalist publicism in Russia today. However, in distinction to Shafarevich's, Kara-Murza's and Kucherenko-Kalashnikov's recent efforts, Dugin's enterprise seems, as I will try to illustrate below, to be a more sustained, better thought-through and organized, as well as more original and encompassing operation in terms of both, its contents, sophistication and infrastructure. An aim of this study is to illustrate why Dugin appears as an especially noteworthy actor within contemporary Russian uncivil society, in general, and extremely right-wing intellectualism, in particular.

This said, the present study neither claims to be a definitive account of Dugin, nor ventures to provide a comprehensive survey of the whole Russian extreme right. It is focused less on the extreme right *per se*, than on its extra-parliamentary political organizations, networks, potential and influence. Dugin's reinterpretation of classical Eurasianism is dealt with here at considerable length. However, it is elaborated upon in the context of Dugin's strategies to achieve influence, rather than in terms of his eventual aims for Russia, or location in the history of Russian thought. "Neo-Eurasianism" is extensively treated here less to explain Dugin's world view, than to show how the concept serves him in his Gramscian strategy of achieving hegemony in Russia's cultural complexes—concepts that will be explained below. The study does also not yet amount to a comprehensive estimation of the current strength and reach of Russia's uncivil society as a whole, and touches only *en passant* upon the question of the spread of extremely right-wing attitudes among the population at large. These issues either have been dealt with in other studies referred to in the footnotes, or constitute large, separate themes that would demand a different and/or lengthier investigation. A final reason for focusing here on intellectual centres which try to influence mainstream political discourse is that one can easily paraphrase for the study *uncivil society* Anders Uhlin's remark concerning the study of civil society proper, namely that "the important task of researchers interested in democratization is not primarily to identify actors within civil society, but to identify *politically relevant*

⁵³ Kalashnikov, *Vpered, v SSSR-2*, 380-388.

⁵⁴ Yasmann, "Analysis: The Clandestine Soviet Union."

actors.”⁵⁵

The Major Concepts of this Study

Some of the less familiar concepts of this study, like “uncivil society,” “groupuscule” and “Gramscianism” will be extensively introduced below. Some other, more general concepts frequently appearing in the text will, however, only briefly or not at all be elaborated upon. The latter concerns such terms as “Russian civil society,” “Russian nationalism,” “Russian fascism,” or “the Russian extreme right.” These are concepts that have been extensively dealt with in the literature quoted, including my own publications.

To be sure, concerning, for example, “Russian civil society” and “Russian nationalism,” one could argue that an extensive discussion is needed because of their specificity. While being aware of some striking peculiarities of civil society and nationalism in Russia as compared to other countries, I regard these idiosyncrasies, however, as neither salient enough to make them subjects of separate sections, nor as sufficiently under-researched to justify their separate treatment. Therefore, I refer here simply to the literature on civil society and nationalism, in general, and the study of their Russian permutations, in particular.⁵⁶ My own position within the conceptual struggles within these fields, as far as this needs to be clarified for the purposes of my argu-

⁵⁵ Uhlin, *Post-Soviet Civil Society*, 27-28, emphasis added.

⁵⁶ In chronological order: Gail W. Lapidus, “State and Society: Toward the Emergence of Civil Society in the Soviet Union,” in: Seweryn Bialer, ed., *Politics, Society, and Nationality Inside Gorbachev's Russia* (Boulder, CO: Westview Press, 1989), 121-148; Andrew Arato, “Social Movements and Civil Society in the Soviet Union,” in: Judith B. Sedaitis and Jim Butterfield, eds., *Perestroika from Below: Social Movements in the Soviet Union* (Boulder, CO: Westview, 1991), 197-214; Nicolai N. Petro, “Russia's Alternative Political Organizations: The Re-emergence of Civil Society,” in his *The Rebirth of Russian democracy: An Interpretation of Political Culture* (Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 1995), 112-148; K.G. Kholodkovsky, ed., *Grazhdanskoe obshchestvo v Rossii: struktury i soznanie* (Moskva: Nauka, 1998); A.G. Volodin, ed., *Grazhdanskoe obshchestvo: mirovoi opyt i problemy Rossii* (Moskva: URSS, 1998); Marica A. Weigle, *Russia's Liberal Project: State-Society Relations in the Transition from Communism* (University Park, PA: Pennsylvania State University Press, 2000); James Gibson, “Social Networks, Civil Society and the Prospects for Consolidating Russia's Democratic Transition,” *American Journal of Political Science* 45, no. 1 (2001): 51-69; Marc M. Howard, “Postcommunist Civil Society in Comparative Perspective,” *Demokratizatsiya* 10, no. 3 (2002): 285-305; Henry E. Hale, “Civil Society from Above? Statist and Liberal Models of State-Building in Russia,” *Demokratizatsiya* 10, no. 3 (2002): 306-321; Alfred B. Evans, Jr., “Recent Assessments of Social Organizations in Russia,” *Demokratizatsiya* 10, no. 3 (2002): 322-342; Marc M. Howard, *The Weakness of Civil Society in Post-Communist Europe* (New York: Cambridge University Press, 2003); Alfred B. Evans, Jr., Laura A. Henry, and Lisa McIntosh Sundstrom, eds., *Russian Civil Society: A Critical Assessment* (Armonk, NY: M.E. Sharpe 2005).

ment, will become clear in the chapters. Further deliberation would serve little more than to document my reading of the literature in these fields. As I have published a number of book reviews on both, historic and current Russian nationalism⁵⁷ as well as Soviet and post-Soviet state-society relations,⁵⁸ I would hope that this is not imperative.

Certainly, to repeat here what previously has been written on nationalism and civil society in Russia would be a good introduction to those not yet familiar with this liter-

⁵⁷ In chronological order: Andreas Umland, "Book review of Michael Harms, ed., *Fjodor Tjutschew: Russland und der Westen*," *Zeitschrift für Geschichtswissenschaft*, vol. 43, no. 7, 1995: 668-669; *idem*, "Book review of Ariel Cohen, *Russian Imperialism*," *The Slavonic and East European Review*, vol. 76, no. 3, 1998: 572-573; *idem*, "Book review of Gerhard Gnauck, *Parteien und Nationalismus in Rußland*," *Osteuropa*, vol. 49, no. 4, 1999: 425; *idem*, "Book review of Wayne Allensworth, *The Russian Question*," *Europe-Asia Studies*, vol. 51, no. 7, 1999: 1300-1301; *idem*, "Book review of Matthias Messmer, *Sowjetischer und postkommunistischer Antisemitismus*," *Nationalism and Ethnic Politics*, vol. 5, no. 1, 1999: 154-155; *idem*, "Book review of Yitzhak M. Brudny, *Reinventing Russia*," *Europe-Asia Studies*, vol. 52, no. 1, 2000: 172-173; *idem*, "Book review of Frank Golczewski and Gertrud Pickhan, *Russischer Nationalismus*," *Zeitschrift für Geschichtswissenschaft*, vol. 48, no. 1, 2000: 71-72; *idem*, "Book review of Hildegard Kochanek, *Die russisch-nationale Rechte von 1968 bis zum Ende der Sowjetunion*," *Osteuropa*, vol. 51, no. 2, 2001: 223-224; *idem*, "Book review of Peter J.S. Duncan, *Russian Messianism*," *Europe-Asia Studies*, vol. 53, no. 7, 2001: 1122-1124; *idem*, "Book review of Nikolai Mitrokhin, *Russkaia partiia*," *Europe-Asia Studies*, vol. 56, no. 1, 2004: 166-167; *idem*, "Book review of Erik van Ree, *The Political Thought of Joseph Stalin*," *Patterns of Prejudice*, vol. 40, no. 1, 2006: 90-92; *idem*, "Book review of Alexandra Mey, *Russische Schriftsteller und Nationalismus 1986-1995*," *Europe-Asia Studies*, vol. 57, no. 3, 2005: 514-516; *idem*, "Book review of Eric Lohr, *Nationalizing the Russian Empire*," *Jahrbücher für Geschichte Osteuropas*, vol. 54, no. 2, 2006: 269.

⁵⁸ In chronological order: *Idem*, "Book review of Michael McFaul and Sergei Markov, *The Troubled Birth of Russian Democracy*," *Osteuropa*, vol. 45, no. 9, 1995: 872-873; *idem*, "Book review of *Russische Presse '95*," *Zeitschrift für Politik*, vol. 43, no. 3, September 1996: 347-349; *idem*, "Book review of Leonid Ivanov, *Rußland nach Gorbatschow*," *Osteuropa*, vol. 48, no. 1, 1998: 100-101; *idem*, "Book review of Michael Urban, *The Rebirth of Russian Politics*," *H-Net Reviews*, September 1998, URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www2.h-net.msu.edu/reviews/showrev.cgi?path=23721907340487>; *idem*, "Book review of M. Steven Fish, *Democracy from Scratch*," *Österreichische Zeitschrift für Politikwissenschaft*, vol. 28, no. 2, 1999: 238; *idem*, "Book review of Jerry Hough, *Democratization and Revolution in the USSR, 1985-1991*," *Österreichische Zeitschrift für Politikwissenschaft*, vol. 28, no. 4, 1999: 482-483; *idem*, "Book review of Esther Kingston-Mann, *In Search of the True West*," *Europe-Asia Studies*, vol. 52, no. 2, 2000: 375-377; *idem*, "Book review of John Löwenhardt, ed., *Party Politics in Post-Communist Russia*," *Osteuropa*, vol. 50, no. 12, 2000: 1409-1410; *idem*, "Book review of Karl Hinrichs, Herbert Kitschelt and Helmut Wiesensthal, eds., *Kontingenz und Krise*," *Osteuropa*, vol. 52, no. 6, 2002: 832-833; *idem*, "Book review of Archie Brown, ed., *Contemporary Russian Politics*," *Osteuropa*, vol. 53, no. 7, 2003: 1031-1033; *idem*, "Book review of Peter Reddaway and Dmitri Glinski, *The Tragedy of Russia's Reforms*," *Neue Politische Literatur*, vol. 48, 2003: 523-524; *idem*, "Book review of Richard Rose and Neil Munro, *Elections without Order*," *Europe-Asia Studies*, vol. 56, no. 2, 2004; *idem*, "Book review of Andrew Wilson, *Virtual Politics*," *The Slavonic and East European Review*, 2006, in print; *idem*, "Book review of Evans, Henry, and Sundstrom, *Russian Civil Society*," *Europe-Asia Studies*, 2006, in print; *idem*, "Book review of Anders Uhlin, *Post-Soviet Civil Society*," *Europe-Asia Studies*, 2006, in print.

ature. Moreover, reflecting upon, for instance, the Slavophile claim that the Russians are destined to lead the world because of their alleged openness to other nations—an idea expressed by many later Russian nationalist thinkers, among them Fedor Dostoevskii—or elaborating on the structural similarities between Russian Orthodox chiliasm and Bolshevik teleology would be fascinating endeavours.⁵⁹ Equally, discussing, for example, the effects of the Russian tradition of synoptic thinking about the world, or of the peculiar pathologies of post-totalitarianism on the formation of civil society in post-Soviet Russia would be captivating enterprises.⁶⁰ But, in as far as other authors have done so with more competence than I could hope to achieve, adding my elaborations on these issues would be superfluous for the purposes of this study—i.e. for drawing attention to some aspects of Russian civil society and nationalism that, in contrast to the above issues, have been largely overlooked in publicistic writing on Russian affairs so far, and be only rarely treated in-depth within scholarly political research.

A general interpretative issue to be still worth mentioning here is that my outline of the rise of Russian uncivil society below can be read as supporting arguments about the peculiarity of Russian civil society, as proposed by authors like Oleg Kharkhordin who, at one point, mentions the construct “uncivil society” without explaining what the term, in his understanding, means.⁶¹ As will become clear in my brief review of uncivil society in pre-Nazi Germany, a particularly strong uncivil society is, however, not something unique to Russia. Thus, I would stick to the above-indicated position that, though Russian affairs are indeed peculiar in a number of ways, similarly high concentrations or specific combinations of seemingly unique social and cultural features can be found at other places, within other civilizations, and in other time periods too. Whatever thus makes civil society and nationalism in Russia different from other

⁵⁹ McDaniel, Tim. *The Agony of the Russian Idea* (Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 1996); Manuel' Sarkisyants, *Rossiia i messianizm: K "russkoi idee" N.A. Berdyaeva* (Sankt-Peterburg: Izdatel'stvo Sankt-Peterburgskogo universiteta, 2005).

⁶⁰ William Zimmerman, "Synoptic Thinking and Political Culture in Post-Soviet Russia," *Slavic Review* 54, no. 3 (1995): 631-632; John Gray, "Post-Totalitarianism, Civil Society, and the Limits of the Western Model," in: Zbigniew Rau, ed., *The Reemergence of Civil Society in Eastern Europe and the Soviet Union* (Boulder, CO: Westview Press, 1991), 145-160; Samuel Charap, "In Search of Civil Society: An Analysis of Russian Associative Life," unpublished BA thesis, Amherst College, 2002.

⁶¹ Oleg Kharkhordin, "Civil Society and Orthodox Christianity," *Europe-Asia Studies* 50, no. 6 (1998): 949-968; reprinted in idem, *Main Concepts of Russian Politics* (Lanham etc.: University Press of America, 2005), 41-65; see also E.V.D. Zweerde, "'Civil Society' and 'Orthodox Christianity': A Double Test-Case," *Religion, State and Society* 17, no. 1 (1999): 23-45.

permutations of these phenomena is insufficient to see them as phenomena *sui generis* demanding an elaborate introduction within a study such as mine.

Conceptual Borders of Generic Civil Society and Nationalism

What might, notwithstanding, be worth clarifying here are a couple of definitional issues in my conceptualization of generic civil society and nationalism. While my usage of "civil society" is, perhaps, more narrow than in other studies, my usage of "nationalism" is broader than usual.

"Civil society" is here understood not only as an ideal type to denote a social realm distinct from political society and private life, but also a concept to be separated from all forms of businesses, profit making activity or "economic society" (though not from those associations of businesses that are created to exert influence on the government or society, rather than to directly increase profits). This is, for my particular study, of importance in as far as it would—in contrast to a classification made recently by Louise Shelley⁶²—exclude from the category of *uncivil* society the phenomenon of organized crime. Within my particular conceptualization of civil society, crime groups would constitute a perverted form of *economic* society, in as far as its purpose too is making profit.⁶³ Basically, the same reasons that are applied when making an argument for not including businesses into the concept of civil society could be made for not seeing organized crime as a permutation of uncivil society. To be sure, Petr Kopecký, among others, has pointed out that

the sharp theoretical boundaries that are drawn [...] between civil society, political society [...] and economic society are in empirical reality difficult to sustain. Organizations that operate between the state, the family (individual, household) and the economic production (market, firms)—that is, civil society—will often significantly overlap with one or more of these

⁶² Louise Shelley, "Organized Crime Groups: 'Uncivil Society'," in: Evans, Henry and Sundstrom, *Russian Civil Society*, 95-109.

⁶³ Henry and McIntosh Sundstrom seem to make the same argument in the introduction to the paper collection in which Shelley's article appeared when they exclude organized crime groups from their notion of civil society not because of the bandits' illegal status or readiness to use violence, but explicitly because they "are profit-oriented." Henry and McIntosh Sundstrom, "Introduction," in: Evans, Henry and Sundstrom, *Russian Civil Society*, 3-8, here 5. See also their concluding article "Russian Civil Society: Tensions and Trajectories," in: *ibid.*, 305-325, here 315.

subsystems.⁶⁴

However, for analytical reasons, civil society will be understood here as an ideal-typical concept that is distinct from political and economic society as well as from private life.

While my conceptualization of “civil society” might thus be narrower than those of others, my notion of nationalism is broader. I would argue that the structural traits and elements of the ideology of nationalism, narrowly defined, might be also found to refer to communities not commonly recognized as proper nations. When right-wing extremists speak affirmatively of the “Aryan nation,” “Eurasia,” or “nation of Europe” (*Nation Europa*, as the title of a German right-wing journal goes), it seems legitimate to admit an application of the concept of nationalism, more loosely defined, to these ideologies too. While the “Aryans,” “Eurasians” or “Europeans” are not treated as nations in the scholarly literature, extremist political actors using such terms define these communities with the help of traits—culture, blood, religion, traditions, ancestry, etc.—that are also applied in delineating nations from each other. In as far as nations can be seen as “imagined” or even “invented” communities, the question arises why one should make a principal conceptual differentiation between, for instance, the familiar notion of Russian ethnic nationalism, on the one side, and the less familiar idea of a “Eurasian nationalism,” on the other—apart from the fact that the former notion might be more easily understood and popular.

While one might justifiedly argue that, for, among others, lexicological reasons, “nationalism” should not be applied to ideologies that refer to such larger (pseudo-) communities, it is still possible to locate their radical expressions within the realm of the more general concept of the radical or extreme right. In the light of this, the answer to the question of whether, for instance, radical “Eurasianism” should be seen as a form of nationalism (“supra-nationalism”?) or not is, ultimately, inconsequential for my purposes. It falls within the borders of the concept of the extreme right, in any way. The same goes for other differences represented in various sections of the Russian ultra-nationalist movement where there are numerous disagreements about who can be called a *ruskii*, *rusak*, *velikoros*, *rossiianin*, *slavianin*, etc. or how legitimate each of these terms by itself is.

⁶⁴ He adds: “[T]his also means that uncivil movements, and therefore uncivil society, are a part of civil society [...]” Petr Kopecký, “Civil Society, Uncivil Society, and Contentious Politics in Post-Communist Europe,” in: Mudde and Kopecký, eds., *Uncivil Society?* 1-18, here 14-15.

A Methodological Rationale of this Investigation's Approach

If a particular methodology needs to be identified as guiding the below study, it would be, as the study's sub-title indicates, the case study method—with all the limitations and benefits linked to it. Moreover, one of the purposes of the below survey is to demonstrate that the rise of Dugin's "neo-Eurasian" movement constitutes an important, if not critical case for an adequate interpretation of the political potential of the post-Soviet Russian extreme right. This means that Dugin's emergence not only illustrates, but, to some extent, exemplifies the growing relevance of ultra-nationalism in Russian mainstream political, academic and intellectual discourse.

The case study is an approach that, as listed by Orum, Feagin and Sjoberg, has a number of advantages in comparison to other methods of investigation:

1. It permits the grounding of observations and concepts about social action and social structures in natural settings studied at close hand.
2. It provides information from a number of sources and over a period of time thus permitting a more holistic study of complex social networks and of complexes of social action and social meanings.
3. It can furnish the dimensions of time and history of social life, thereby enabling investigators to examine continuity and change in lifeworld patterns.
4. It encourages and facilitates, in practice, theoretical innovation and generalization.⁶⁵

While these are important advantages of the case study method that will benefit the argumentation below, my approach here does also not proceed from an assumption voiced by Schwartz and Ogilvy⁶⁶ and applied to social sciences by Lincoln and Guba⁶⁷ that an in-depth analysis of *one case* only is comparable to a piece of a hologram, and that a single case is thus already sufficient for revealing all relevant char-

⁶⁵ Anthony M. Orum, Joe R. Feagin and Gideon Sjoberg, "Introduction: The Nature of the Case Study," in: *idem*, eds., *A Case for the Case Study* (London: The University of North Carolina Press, 1991), 1-26. here 6-7.

⁶⁶ Peter Schwartz and James Ogilvy, *The Emergent Paradigm: Changing Patterns of Thought and Belief* (Menlo Park, CA: SRI International, 1979).

⁶⁷ Yvonna S. Lincoln and Egon G. Guba, "The Only Generalization Is: There Is no Generalization," in: Roger Gomm, Martyn Hammersley and Peter Foster, eds., *Case Study Method: Key Issues, Key Texts* (London: SAGE, 2000), 27-44, here 40-43.

acteristics of the entire phenomenon that the case represents—i.e., here, of the Russian extreme right as a whole. Lincoln and Guba seem to believe that singular cases are comparable to elements making up holograms and that they each exhibit all the features of the complete phenomenon under scrutiny. They use the metaphor of the hologram, in which every particle contains the whole picture, as an instrument to confer to the case study an unusually high status.

In contrast, I do not think that this metaphor is apt, in general, and that my study has the potential to comprehensively illustrate for the reader all the various characteristics and implications that the rise of Russian ultra-nationalism may have, in particular. What I report below about the ideology, strategy and tactics of Dugin can thus not be seen as being fully representative of, or the last word on, the entire spectrum of Russian radically anti-Western actors. My text is merely what it claims to be—a study of one and only one case in the rise of post-Soviet uncivil society.

On the other hand, Dugin's movement is by now so important an element within this spectrum that this investigation might still have the potential to count as an, in Eckstein's sense, "crucial case study" and that its findings have thus implications going beyond a mere illustration of the point to be made.⁶⁸ What I claim is not that my investigation is sufficient for understanding the Russian extreme right in its entirety, but that it is, nevertheless, suitable for debunking interpretations of current Russian politics such as the one stated above where the extreme right is dismissed as a marginal phenomenon. I believe that my study, in and of itself, should be already enough to show that the growing prominence of ultra-nationalism in daily media reports from Russia cannot be as easily relegated to journalistic hyperbole, or as quickly ignored as a result of manipulations by political technologists as holders of the above-formulated opinion may think.

A Final Introductory Note

A last note to be made before starting the argument concerns Eduard Limonov's National-Bolshevik Party that would, in as far as Dugin was the *NBP's* second in command in 1994-1998, be worth investigating in the context of this study in some depth. However, as far as others have already written on the subject,⁶⁹ and, in particular, as

⁶⁸ Harry Eckstein, "Case Study and Theory in Political Science," in: Gomm, Hammersley and Foster, *Case Study Method*, 119-164, here 143-152.

⁶⁹ Markus Mathyl, "The National-Bolshevik Party and Arctogaia: Two Neo-fascist Group-

Andrei Rogatchevski of the University of Glasgow is currently writing a book on the *NBP*,⁷⁰ I have chosen to limit my descriptions of the *NBP* in this study to the necessary minimum. Dugin's important role in the *NBP* in the mid-1990s is for the sake of the argument of this study—his growing influence on the Russian establishment, and not only youth culture—of limited relevance, in any way. The *NBP* was and is a factor that, in contrast to the Dugin phenomenon, can be safely located at the margins of Russian political, intellectual and public discourse.

What the present investigation thus tries to demonstrate, by way of introducing in some detail one particularly relevant example of post-Soviet Russian uncivil society, is the increasing sophistication, considerable organizational capacity, and already deep infiltration into mainstream social institutions of certain groupings that are radically anti-Western and whose ideas amount to nothing less than a blueprint for a new Cold War (if not more). Such an indication should be sufficient to suggest that, for the foreseeable future, right-wing extremist ideas will continue to play a role in Russian politics independently of the individual fates of its recently prominent political party leaders such as Vladimir Zhirinovskii, Aleksandr Barkashov, or Eduard Limonov.

uscules in the Post-Soviet Political Space," *Patterns of Prejudice* 36, no. 3 (2003): 62-76, reprinted in: Roger Griffin in collaboration with Matthew Feldmann, eds., *Fascism 5: Post-war Fascisms. Critical Concepts in Political Science* (London and New York: Routledge 2004), 185-200; Mikhail Sokolov, "Natsional-bol'shevistskaya partiya: ideologicheskaya evolyutsiya i politicheskii stil'," in: Verkhovskii, *Russkii natsionalizm*, 139-164.

⁷⁰ Andrei Rogachevski, *The National-Bolshevik Party*. Soviet and Post-Soviet Politics and Society (Stuttgart: ibidem, forthcoming).

II Civil Society's Relevance for Right-Wing Extremism Studies

There is a multitude of factors that have inhibited the emergence of a fully-fledged post-Soviet party system, in general,⁷¹ and the growth and rise of ultra-nationalist parties, in particular, in Russia.⁷² Among the reasons for the latter might be a factor often invoked by Russian observers that there is a peculiarly Russian antipathy against ultra-nationalist ideas. Whether this is an appropriate interpretation or not, the relatively poor performance of many extremely right-wing individuals and parties in Russia's elections so far can, for the below reasons, not be seen as indicating that the prospects of ultra-nationalist politics in Russia are principally negligible.

II.1 Some Peculiar Dilemmas of Russian Ultra-Nationalist Politics in the 1990s

Concerning the limited electoral success of right-wing extremist parties or politicians during the last decade of the 20th century in Russia, it is noteworthy that all four major political organizations that promoted ultra-nationalist ideas of various types and took, to various degrees, part in elections in this period, i.e. the *LDPR*, *RNE*, *KPRF* and *NBP*, suffered from certain basic impasses rooted in their particular history or leadership:

⁷¹ Michael E. Urban, "Party Formation and Deformation on Russia's Political Left," in: R.T. Hubert and D.R. Kelley, eds., *Perestroika-Era Politics: The New Soviet Legislature and Gorbachev's Political Reforms* (Armonk, NY: M.E. Sharpe, 1991), 129-150; M. Steven Fish, *Democracy from Scratch: Opposition and Regime in the New Russian Revolution* (Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 1995), and *idem*, "The Advent of Multipartism in Russia, 1993-1995," *Post-Soviet Affairs* 11, no. 4 (1995): 340-383.

⁷² Robert W. Orttung, "The Russian Right and the Dilemmas of Party Organisation," *Soviet Studies* 44, no. 3 (1992): 445-478.

First, Vladimir Zhirinovskii, the supreme, dictatorial leader of the *LDPR*,⁷³ has a Jewish father,⁷⁴ and is, at least to some Russians, recognizable as Jew.⁷⁵ Though Zhirinovskii cannot be regarded as being Jewish in any meaningful sense, and though he sees himself as being fully Russian, his family background constituted a principal predicament for Zhirinovskii's acceptance by many right-wing extremist politicians, intellectuals, activists, and voters—among the latter also those not holding ultra-nationalist views.⁷⁶ In a survey presented by the Lev Gudkov in a volume of the Moscow Carnegie Center in 1999, 64% of the respondents reacted negatively to the question on whether a Jew could be President of the RF.⁷⁷ In a survey by the Levada Center in June 2005, again, 65% of the respondents were "rather" or "definitely against" an RF President of non-Russian nationality.⁷⁸ While not all of these re-

⁷³ While there have been many journalistic investigations into the *LDPR*, there are still surprisingly few scholarly studies of the Zhirinovskii phenomenon. Among the few exceptions are Alexander Motyl, "Vladimir Zhirinovskii: A Man of His Times," *The Harriman Review* 7, no. 7-9 (1994): 11-18; Andreas Umland, "Wladimir Shirinowskij in der russischen Politik: Einige Hintergründe des Aufstiegs der Liberal-Demokratischen Partei Rußlands," *Osteuropa* 44, no. 12 (1994): 1117-1131; Alan J. Koman, "The Last Surge to the South: The New Enemies of Russia in the Rhetoric of Zhirinovskii," *Studies in Conflict & Terrorism* 19 (1996): 279-327.

⁷⁴ Yevgeniya Al'bats, *Evreiskii vopros* (Moskva: PIK, 1995). Though this had been common knowledge in the Russian public since the early 1990s, it is significant that, in 2001, Zhirinovskii himself admitted the fact in public. See Susan B. Glasser, "Russian Revises His Heritage: Anti-Semitic Politician Zhirinovskii Admits Father Was Jewish," *The Washington Post*, 17th July 2001, A13. I am grateful to Professor Marshall I. Goldman for bringing this article to my attention.

⁷⁵ See the quote from Andrei Sinyavskii in Shenfield, *Russian Fascism*, 95.

⁷⁶ A further worth-mentioning source of confusion has been the name of Zhirinovskii's Party: "Liberal-Democratic." See Andreas Umland, "Ein Gespräch mit Wladimir Shirinowskij," *Die Neue Gesellschaft: Frankfurter Hefte* 41, no. 2 (1994): 114-117. This title, however, is less related to Zhirinovskii's views at any time of his political rise, as has sometimes been implied, than to the party's peculiar origins in 1990. See Julia Wishnevsky, "Multi-party System, Soviet Style," *Report on the USSR*, 23 November 1990, 3-6; John B. Dunlop, "The Leadership of the Centrist Bloc," *Report on the USSR*, 8 February 1991, 4-6; Galina Luchterhandt, "Der 'zentristische' Block," *Aktuelle Analysen des BIOst*, no. 46 (1991); and, especially, John B. Dunlop, "The Party and the KGB Dabble in Democracy," in his important *The Rise of Russia and the Fall of the Soviet Empire*, 2nd edn. (Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 1995), 108-111. Later on, Zhirinovskii was at pains to interpret the meaning of his party's name. One such attempt was to translate "democratic" into "national" or "popular," and "liberal" into "liberationist." See Vyacheslav Likhachev, *Politicheskii antisemitizm v Rossii* (Moskva: Academia, 2003), 28. Thus, his organization would be a party struggling for national liberation of Russia from negative outside influences ("Zionism," "Southerners," "American Jewish capital" etc.).

⁷⁷ Lev Gudkov, "Antisemitizm v postsovetsoi Rossii," in: *Neterpimost' v Rossii: Starye i novye fobii* (Moskva: Moskovskii tsentr Karnegi, 1999), 74, as quoted in Likhachev, *Politicheskii antisemitizm v Rossii*, 8.

⁷⁸ Leonid Sedov, "Problemy prezidentskikh vyborov 2008 goda," URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://levada.ru/press/2005080302.html>.

spondents might see Zhirinovskii as a half-Jew or not fully Russian, many of those with a nationalistic outlook, probably, would label him according to his “blood.”

In addition, Zhirinovskii has been involved in numerous televised scandals—such as physically attacking a woman and a fellow ultranationalist in the State Duma—since he came to prominence in December 1993.⁷⁹ He has thus not only discredited himself and his party in the eyes of many, though—as recent election and polling results show—by no means all Russians.⁸⁰ He has also lost credit he and his followers had in the nationalist mainstream. In an interview in late 1994 already, the leading Russian ultra-nationalist ideologist Aleksandr Prokhanov (introduced below) said: “Zhirinovskii is a very extravagant politician, with very extravagant and unusual political technologies. And his methods and his ideals distress, and in the end they will not pay.”⁸¹

Some prominent figures in the extreme right, such as the former editor of the prestigious *Voenno-istoricheskii zhurnal* (Military-Historical Journal) Viktor Filatov, to be sure, did seemingly not regard Zhirinovskii's ancestry or scandalous behaviour as being by itself, or at all a problem, and co-operated or still co-operate with him. However, it seems not too far-fetched a speculation that a majority of Russia's ultranationalists would regard a Russian president with a Jewish father as undesirable (to say the least), in general,⁸² and the rise of Zhirinovskii to such a position as unwelcome, in particular.

Second, the party that came to occupy most of the lunatic fringe section, i.e. the explicitly anti-systemic, counter-cultural, violence-prone, outermost right niche, of the Russian party spectrum in the mid- and late 1990s was the *RNE*.⁸³ This party used

⁷⁹ The negative PR effect of Zhirinovskii's antics though has often been over-rated by Western and Russian observers according to whom he, accordingly, should have disappeared from politics long ago. Zhirinovskii has been consciously playing the role of the traditional Russian figure *yurodiv* (a clown expressing folk wisdom), and explicitly defended his theatrical style as necessary to keep people's attention. See Martin A. Lee, *The Beast Reawakens* (Boston, MA: Little, Brown & Company, 1997), 323-325.

⁸⁰ Aleksandr Dugin, for instance, has called Zhirinovskii's electorate “mindless and rootless *Lumpen*, oligophrenics [and] petty criminals.” As quoted in Oreshkin, “Spor Slavyan.”

⁸¹ As quoted in Sven Gunnar Simonsen, “Aleksandr Prokhanov: The Last Soldier of the Empire,” in his, *Politics and Personalities: Key Actors in the Russian Opposition* (Oslo: PRIO, 1996), 91-108, here 105.

⁸² A 1994 *NBP* document, for instance, speaks of the “Israelites of the *LDPR*.” See Vladimir Pribylovskii, ed., *Russkie natsionalisticheskie i pravoradikal'nye organizatsii, 1989-1995: Dokumenty i teksty*. 1st Vol. (Moskva: Panorama, 1995), 185.

⁸³ John B. Dunlop, “Alexander Barkashov and the Rise of National Socialism in Russia,” *Demokratizatsiya* 4, no. 4 (1996): 519-530; Sven Gunnar Simonsen, “Aleksandr Barkashov and Russian National Unity: Blackshirt Friends of the Nation,” *Nationalities Pa-*

prominently (though not exclusively) some barely modified German Nazi symbols, such as the swastika and Roman salute, as well as ideas, such as biological racism. It is true that—as Russian neo-Nazis have argued frequently—no single of the external trappings and ideological traits that make the *RNE* similar to the *NSDAP* was by itself unique to Nazism, and some of them can be found in historic Russian nationalism.⁸⁴ However, their *combination* quite obviously was.⁸⁵ I shall not go here into the details of the various problems that an as explicitly neo-Nazi profile as the *RNE*s would encounter everywhere in the world (including Germany), and did encounter in Russia.⁸⁶ It may suffice to say that this particular characteristic predestined the *RNE*, from its creation, to political isolation, and, arguably, eventual failure.⁸⁷ Whereas, for

pers 24, no. 4 (1996): 625-639; Vyacheslav Likhachev and Vladimir Pribylovskii, eds., *Russkoe Natsional'noe Edinstvo: Istoriya, politika, ideologiya. Informatsionnyi paket* (Moskva: Panorama, 1997, 2nd edn 2001); William D. Jackson, "Fascism, Vigilantism, and the State: The Russian National Unity Movement," *Problems of Post-Communism* 46, no. 1 (1999): 34-42; Vyacheslav Likhachev and Vladimir Pribylovskii, eds., *Russkoe Natsional'noe Edinstvo, 1990-2000: V 2-kh tomakh*. Soviet and Post-Soviet Politics and Society 10 (Stuttgart and Hannover: ibidem, 2005).

⁸⁴ Thus, it should be noted that racism was already present in the ideology of the pre-revolutionary extreme right. For instance, the infamous Black Hundred—while proclaiming that its ideology was identical with Orthodox Christianity—refused to accept baptized Jews in their ranks. See Anastasiya V. Mitrofanova, *Politizatsiya "pravoslavnogo mira"* (Moskva: Nauka, 2004), 110. In any way, the Black Hundred has played only a secondary role for the *RNE* as a role model.

⁸⁵ Simonsen reports the following interesting discussion on the *RNE* with Aleksandr Prokhanov, a leading ideologist of the Russian extreme right (see below): "Late in 1994, in an interview with this writer, Prokhanov said that he 'sympathized with' Barkashov and did not in any way distance himself from the content of Barkashov's ideology. 'I see [in Barkashov] many political shortcomings, as in a politician who is quite odious and extravagant. I think Barkashov has to overcome some ideological formulas which became inherent in this organization [i.e. the *RNE*] and became a problem in this organization. If he can be capable of overcoming these problems, then Barkashov will be a quite outstanding politician.' When asked about which 'formulas' Barkashov had to overcome, Prokhanov pointed out that the Russian National Unity leader had borrowed several elements of ideology from German radical nationalism. 'He should be closer to Russian history,' Prokhanov said." Simonsen, "Aleksandr Prokhanov," 103.

⁸⁶ Andreas Umland, "The Pseudo-Threat of Russian Neo-Nazism: Symbolical and Ideological Handicaps of the *RNE*," Paper presented at the 33rd Annual Convention of the American Association for the Advancement of Slavic Studies, Arlington, Virginia, 15-18 November 2001. Shenfield, for instance, quotes the Don Cossack Ataman Nikolai Kozistyn as saying that the Don Cossacks (like all Cossack groups—a more or less nationalistic grouping) "will never stand together with those who wear the black uniform of the chas-tisers." Shenfield, *Russian Fascism*, 78. In more general terms, a major comparativist of fascism and specialist on the Russian extreme right aptly observed: "Small groups in various parts of the world continue trying to revive the old Nazism and Fascism, embracing their emblems and slogans and, of course, their ideas and programs. But even if these corpses could be resurrected, they would still be irrelevant to today's world. Just as Communism in its Leninist-Stalinist incarnation cannot be resurrected, historical fascism cannot have a second coming, either." Walter Laqueur, *Fascism: Past, Present, Future* (New York: Oxford University Press, 1996), 4.

⁸⁷ It is for these reasons that it seems that, in some surveys of the Russian extreme right,

instance, the prominent Russian nativist writer Valentin Rasputin had, in the late 1980s, publicly defended the then prominent, extremely anti-semitic Russian *Pamyat'* group (where Barkashov began his political career), the same Rasputin, in 1993, publicly denounced Barkashov and Russian neo-Nazism—apparently less so because of the substance of its ideology, but because of the symbols it uses.⁸⁸ When, in autumn 2000, the *RNE* finally fell apart, one of its major successor organizations, the All-Russian Socio-Political Movement “*Russkoe Vozrozhdenie*” (Russian Rebirth), demonstratively abandoned the swastika as its emblem.⁸⁹

Third, the political profile of the *KPRF*—here seen as a party to fall within the category of ultra-nationalist organizations considered here⁹⁰—remains fundamentally compromised by ideological inconsistencies stemming from its originally left-wing roots.⁹¹ This is in spite of the CPSU’s impregnation with crypto-nationalist ideas already under Stalin,⁹² and the sophistication of the *KPRF*’s gradual switch to an increasingly

rather too much attention has been paid to the *RNE*. See, for instance, Stephen D. Shenfield, “The Weimar/Russia Comparison: Reflections on Hanson and Kopstein,” *Post-Soviet Affairs* 14, no. 4 (1998): 355-368; and *idem*, *Russian Fascism*, 113-189 and 264-266.

⁸⁸ Wayne Allensworth, *The Russian Question: Nationalism, Modernization, and Post-Communist Russia* (Lanham, MD: Rowman & Littlefield, 1998), 284.

⁸⁹ Vyacheslav Likhachev, “Chto predstavlyaet soboi Russkoe Natsional'noe Edinstvo kak organizatsiya,” Paper presented (by Vladimir Pribylovskii) at the 33rd Annual Convention of the American Association for the Advancement of Slavic Studies, Arlington, Virginia, 15-18 November 2001. In the meantime, *Russkoe Vozrozhdenie* has also fallen apart.

⁹⁰ I have tried to show elsewhere in some detail that Zyuganov’s ideology should be classified as extremely right-wing (though ultra-conservative rather than fascist). See Umland, “Neue ideologische Fusionen im postsowjetischen russischen Antidemokratismus.” For an interpretation that somewhat departs from such labeling, see Robert C. Otto, “Gennadii Ziuganov: The Reluctant Candidate,” *Problems of Post-Communism* 46, no. 5 (1999): 37-47.

⁹¹ Andreas Umland, “Post-Soviet Politics: A History Still Beginning,” *Patterns of Prejudice* 34, no. 2 (2000): 132-134. One might add that not only the ideologies informing the course of the French Revolution after 1789, in particular Jacobinism, as well as some ideas of the Western and Russian revolutionary movement of the 19th century, in particular Blanquism and Sorelianism, but even Marxism itself already contained certain traits that precipitated their later transmutation into ultra-nationalist and fascist ideologies. See on this complicated issue, for instance, Michael Freund, *Georges Sorel: Der revolutionäre Konservatismus* (Frankfurt am Main: Vittorio Klostermann 1972); Zeev Sternhell, *The Birth of Fascist Ideology: From Cultural Rebellion to Political Revolution* (Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press 1994); Erik van Ree, “Nationalist Elements in the Work of Marx and Engels: A Critical Survey,” *MEGA-Studien*, no. 1 (2000): 25-49; *idem*, *The Political Thought of Joseph Stalin: A Study in Twentieth-century Revolutionary Patriotism* (London: RoutledgeCurzon 2002); *idem*, “On Whose Shoulders Did Stalin Stand? Radical Nationalism of the Radical Left, 1789-1917,” Paper presented at the 5th European Social Science History Conference “Shared Histories: Transnational Dimensions of Social History,” Berlin 24-27 March 2004.

⁹² Klaus Mehnert, *Stalin versus Marx: The Stalinist Historical Doctrine* (London: Allen & Unwin 1952); Frederick C. Barghoorn, *Soviet Russian Nationalism* (New York: Oxford

explicit right-wing discourse represented by the ever more elaborate russophile ideology developed in the numerous publications of its political leader and major ideologist, Gennadii A. Zyuganov.⁹³ Zyuganov's bold, undisguised adoption of the ideas of prominent Russian and European right-wing thinkers, including, for instance, the émigré monarchist political theorist Ivan A. Il'in (1883-1954),⁹⁴ has led him to move

University Press, 1956); Robert C. Tucker, *Stalin in Power: The Revolution from Above, 1928-1941* (New York: Norton 1992); David L. Brandenberger and A.M. Dubrovsky, "The People Need a Tsar': The Emergence of National Bolshevism as Stalinist Ideology, 1931-1941," *Europe-Asia Studies* 50, no. 5 (1998): 873-892; E.A. Rees, "Stalin and Russian Nationalism," in: Geoffrey Hosking and Robert Service, eds., *Russian Nationalism: Past and Present* (Houndsmills: Macmillan, 1998), 77-106; Maureen Perrie, *The Cult of Ivan the Terrible in Stalin's Russia* (Houndsmills: Palgrave, 2001); David Brandenberger, *National Bolshevism: Stalinist Mass Culture and the Formation of Modern Russian National Identity, 1931-1956* (Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 2002).

⁹³ Though now somewhat dated, the most valuable interpretation of Zyuganov's ideology is still Veljko Vujačić, "Gennady Zyuganov and the 'Third Road'," *Post-Soviet Affairs* 12, no. 2 (1996): 118-154. On the emergence of Zyuganov's ideology, see, in chronological order, J.C. Moses, "The Challenge to Soviet Democracy from the Political Right," in: Hubert and Kelley, eds., *Perestroika-Era Politics*, 105-128; Eberhard Schneider, "Die Konservativen im Volkskongress der UdSSR: Die Deputiertengruppe 'Union'," *Bundesinstitut für ostwissenschaftliche und internationale Studien: Aktuelle Analysen*, no. 15 (1991); Elizabeth Teague, "The 'Soiuz' Group," *Radio Liberty. Report on the USSR*, 17 May 1991, 16-21; Wendy Slater, "The Russian Communist Party Today," *RFE/RL Research Report* 3, no. 31 (1994): 1-6; Nikolaj Krotow and Galina Luchterhandt, "Zwischen 'Patriotismus' und 'Sozialdemokratie': Der Kommunist Gennadij Sjunganow," *Osteuropa* 44, no. 9 (1994): 855-861; Vesa Oittinen, "Ein populistischer Zwitter: Rußlands KP zwischen Leninismus und Staatspatriotismus," *Blätter für deutsche und internationale Politik* 40, no. 8 (1995): 946-955; Gerhard Simon, "Gennadij Sjunganow," *Die politische Meinung* 41, no. 318 (1996): 17-24; John T. Ishiyama, "Red Phoenix? The Communist Party of Post-Soviet Russian Politics," *Party Politics* 2, no. 2 (1996): 147-175; Heinz Timmermann, "Die Kommunistische Partei der Russischen Föderation," *Bundesinstituts für ostwissenschaftliche und internationale Studien: Aktuelle Analysen*, nos. 69 & 70 (1995); *idem*, "Renaissance der KP Rußlands: Programm, Struktur und Perspektiven der Sjunganow Partei," *Europäische Rundschau* 24, no. 2 (1996): 59-80; *idem*, "Die Wiederkehr der KP Rußlands: Programm, Struktur und Perspektiven der Sjunganow Partei," *Berichte des Bundesinstituts für ostwissenschaftliche und internationale Studien*, no. 12 (1996); *idem*, "Rußlands KP: Zwischen angepaßtem Leninismus und Volkspatriotismus," *Osteuropa* 47, no. 8 (1997): 749-761; Evelyn Davidheiser, "The CPRF: Towards Social Democracy or National Socialism?" in: Matthew Wyman, Stephen White and Sarah Oates, eds., *Elections and Voters in Post-Communist Russia* (Cheltenham: Edward Elgar 1998), 240-271; Simon Pirani, "State Patriotism in the Politics and Ideology of Gennday Zyuganov," *Slovo* (London) 10, no. 1-2 (1998): 179-197; Geir Flikke, "Patriotic Left-Centrism: The Zigzags of the Communist Party of the Russian Federation," *Europe-Asia Studies* 51, no. 2 (1999): 275-298; Luke March, "For Victory? The Crisis and Dilemmas of the Communist Party of the Russian Federation," *Europe-Asia Studies* 53, no. 2 (2001): 263-290; Jin-Sook Ju, *Tschetschenienkrieg und nationalistischer Diskurs in Russland: Am Beispiel von KPRF und Jabloko*. Forschungsstelle Osteuropa: Arbeitspapiere und Materialien 66 (Bremen: Universität Bremen, 2005). Recently, the "national-patriotic" wing in the KPRF was further strengthened when the party choose to give the notorious anti-semitic Nikolai Kondratenko, formerly Governor of the Krasnodar Region, its second slot (after Zyuganov himself) on the KPRF list for the State Duma elections of December 2003.

⁹⁴ E.g. Ivan A. Il'in, *O Rossii* (Moskva: TRITE—Rossiiskii Arkhiv, 1991); *idem*, *Nashi*

the *KPRF* in a more and more obviously non- and even, implicitly, anti-communist direction.⁹⁵ One commentator observed concerning the post-Soviet Russian right's re-discovery of the inter-war Russian nationalist émigré movement of Eurasianism (to be dealt with in more detail below): "While [Aleksandr] Dugin and [Aleksandr] Prokhanov [introduced below—A.U.] have emerged as Eurasianism's main ideologues, the movement's greatest practitioner is Gennadii Zyuganov. Zyuganov has used Eurasianism to reinvent the Communist Party, and he has been fantastically successful in doing so."⁹⁶ Another observer claimed that not *Pravda* 5 [Truth 5], the official *KPRF* organ, but Aleksandr Prokhanov's weekly *Den'/Zavtra*—also introduced below—"represents the ideology of the communist mainstream."⁹⁷

This, notwithstanding, the party has not repudiated its role as the main successor organization of the CPSU. It is thus seen by leading right-wing spokesmen (few women are to be found in this spectrum), and, presumably, a considerable number of

zadachi: Istoricheskaya sud'ba i budushchee Rossii. Stat'i 1948-1954 godov, 2 vols. (Moskva: Rarog, 1992); *idem*, "O pravoslavii i katolichestve," *Moskva*, no. 3 (1993): 3-8; *idem*, *Rodina i my*. Ed. by Yu.T. Lisitsy (Smolensk: Posokh, 1995); *idem*, *Osnovy gosudarstvennogo ustroistva: Proekt Osnovnogo zakona Rossii* (Moskva: Rarog, 1996). There are only few analyses of Il'in so far, most of them not very critical. See, for instance, Nikolai P. Poltoratskii, *Ivan Aleksandrovich Il'in: Zhizn', trudy, mirovoztrenie. Sbornik statei* (Tenaflay, NJ: Hermitage, 1989); V.A. Gusev, "Konservativnaya politologiya Ivana Il'ina," *Sotsiologicheskie issledovaniya*, no. 4 (1992): 64-70; M.B. Zolina, "Problema totalitarizma v politologii I.A. Il'ina," *Sotsial'no-politicheskii zhurnal*, no. 5 (1996): 183-191. Shenfield has characterized Il'in—a highly regarded political thinker in today Russia—as falling under the category of "fellow-travellers of fascism." Shenfield, *Russian Fascism*, 32-35. A. James Gregor too has noted the sympathies that Il'in had for Italian Fascism. See Gregor, *The Faces of Janus*, 125.

⁹⁵ An important interpretation that, in contrast, emphasizes the clarity of Zyuganov's agenda as important for his relative success in party-building is Stephen E. Hanson, *Ideology, Uncertainty, and the Rise of Anti-System Parties in Postcommunist Russia*. Studies in Public Policy Number 289 (Glasgow: Centre for the Study of Public Policy, University of Strathclyde, 1997). Brief summaries of Zyuganov's apocalyptic world view may be found in his articles "Rossiya nad bezdnoi," *Nash sovremennik*, no. 11 (1993): 182-191, and "Rossiya v bor'be tsivilizatsii," *Nash sovremennik*, no. 10 (1995): 102-110.

⁹⁶ Charles Clover, "Dreams of the Eurasian Heartland: The Re-emergence of Geopolitics," *Foreign Affairs* 78, no. 2 (1999): 9-13; URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.foreignaffairs.org/19990301facomment963/charles-clover/dreams-of-the-eurasian-heartland-the-reemergence-of-geopolitics.html>, URL (last accessed October 2006): http://www.geocities.com/integral_tradition/heartland.html. Also quoted in Mark Sedgwick, *Against the Modern World: Traditionalism and the Secret Intellectual History of the Twentieth Century* (New York: Oxford University Press, 2004), 229. See further Olga Koulieri, "Russian 'Eurasianism' and the Geopolitics of the Black Sea," in: M. Sheehan, ed., *Security Dynamics of the Black Sea Region: Greek Geo-Political Perspectives*. Conflict Studies Research Centre Special Series 43 (Camberley, Surrey: The Defence Academy of the UK, 2003), 25-31, here 28, URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.da.mod.uk/CSRC/documents/Special/S43/S43.pt4>.

⁹⁷ Dmitry Shlapentokh, "'Red-to-Brown' Jews and Russian Liberal Reform," *Washington Quarterly* 21, no. 4 (1998): 107-126.

nationalist voters as being responsible for many of Russia's misfortunes in the 20th century. With reference to Zyuganov's and others' merger of ideas derived from Orthodox Christianity with communism Anastasia Mitrofanova has observed:

Orthodox Communists face the [...] complex problem of trying to reconcile Sovietism and Orthodoxy. Having suggested that the Soviet Union inherited the geopolitical mission of Russia they need to reconcile the official Soviet atheistic doctrine with this mission to protect world Orthodoxy. In their attempts to resolve the dilemma, modern communists make the USSR a clandestine "Orthodox kingdom."⁹⁸

As a result of such curiosities, the KPRF is, correctly or not, perceived by many Russian rightists as not representing a genuinely anti-universalistic party that, moreover, has an ideological heritage going back to the theories of a German half-Jew. At least, as long as the party keeps the attribute "Communist" in its name, it will remain vulnerable not only to liberal, but—what is more important—nationalist critique referring to its Marxist roots, and Soviet past.⁹⁹

A fourth, lesser known, but temporarily important ultra-nationalist group that seemed to be on the rise in the late 1990s,¹⁰⁰ and will play some role below, is the National-Bolshevik Party *NBP*. In the words of one of its former members, this party had, "in late 1996 until early 1998, [its] period of intellectual and cultural apotheosis. The *NBP* started to add not only quantitative, but also qualitative strength."¹⁰¹ In 2001, Stephen Shenfield argued that the *NBP* had "demonstrated a pattern of steady growth, with

⁹⁸ Anastasia V. Mitrofanova, *The Politicization of Russian Orthodoxy: Actors and Ideas*. Soviet and Post-Soviet Politics & Society 13 (Stuttgart: ibidem, 2005), 62.

⁹⁹ This vulnerability became relevant in the 1996 presidential campaign when Yel'tsin (though being himself a former CPSU apparatchik) was able to launch a sophisticated negative campaign against the *KPRF*-leader that referred to Russia's Soviet past. See Michael McFaul, *The 1996 Russian Presidential Elections: The End of Polarized Politics* (Stanford, CA: Hoover Institution Press, 1997).

¹⁰⁰ The pioneering analysis of the *NBP*-ideology is Markus Mathyl, "Die offenkundige Nisse und der rassenmäßige Feind": Die National-Bolschewistische Partei (*NBP*) als Beispiel für die Radikalisierung des russischen Nationalismus," *Halbjahresschrift für südeuropäische Geschichte, Literatur und Politik* 9, no. 2 (1997): 7-15 and *Ibid.* 10, no. 1 (1998): 23-36. See also *idem*, "Hammer und Sichel in der Fahne Hitlers," in: Roland Roth and Dieter Rucht, eds., *Jugendkulturen, Politik und Protest: Vom Widerstand zum Kommerz* (Opladen: Leske+Budrich, 2000), 211-37. See also Andrei Rogachevskii, "The National Bolshevik Party (1993-2001): A Brief History," Paper presented at the 33rd Annual Convention of the American Society for the Advancement of Slavic Studies, Arlington, Virginia, 15-18 November 2001.

¹⁰¹ Arkadii Maler, "Natsional-bol'shevizm: konets temy," URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.kprf.ru/projects/left/internal/31237.html>.

the result that it is now one of the largest political organizations of fascist orientation in Russia, with a membership estimated to be in the range 6,000 to 7,000.”¹⁰²

The *NBP* belongs, as the *RNE*, to the counter-cultural, expressly anti-systemic current in Russian ultra-nationalism, and has been labelled, by a leading Russian expert on Russia’s extreme right, “the party of general extremism.”¹⁰³ Nevertheless, the *NBP* too is still bound to refrain from violating some basic strictures of the political niche it aims to occupy in order to achieve larger support. In other words, in spite of its distinctly novitistic profile, the *NBP* too has to remain within some basic ideological fix-points of right-wing extremism in order to gain wider acceptance among nationalist voters.

The *NBP* faced, in this regard, not only the dilemma that its eccentric leader, the novelist Eduard Limonov, had spent a large part of his adult life, 1974-1991, in the West,¹⁰⁴ been a French citizen,¹⁰⁵ called himself a “European” or “international writer” as well as “of the West” or “non-Russian,”¹⁰⁶ and reflected in his writings Western influences.¹⁰⁷ Limonov has an ambivalent relationship to his own nation, a feeling that expressed itself, as Stephen Shenfield noted, in “diatribes against the weak and

¹⁰² Shenfield, *Russian Fascism*, 190.

¹⁰³ *Ibid.*, 209, 292, and personal communication with Likhachëv.

¹⁰⁴ For details on Limonov’s biography, see Andrei Rogachevskii, “Dangerous Liaisons: Eduard Limonov and Ataman Krasnov,” in: P. Pesonen, Yu. Kheinonen and G.V. Obatnina, eds., *Modernizm i postmodernizm v russkoi literature i kul’ture*. Studia Russica Helsingiensa et Tartuensa 5 (Helsinki: Slavica Helsingiensa, 1996), 419-439; Andrei Rogachevskii, “The Doppelgänger, or the Quest for Love: Eduard Limonov as Vladimir Maiakovskii,” *Canadian American Slavic Studies* 30, no. 1 (1996): 1-44; and, especially, *idem*, *Biographical and Critical Study of Russian Writer Eduard Limonov*. Studies in Slavic Language and Literature 20 (Lewiston, NY: Edwin Mellen, 2004). Among the writer’s earlier texts that deal especially intensively with political issues are Eduard Limonov, *Podrostok Savenko: Povest’* (Krasnodar: Zhurnal “Kuban’,” 1992); *idem*, *Ischeznovenie varvarov: Stat’i, esse*. Glagol 9 (Moskva: Zhurnal “Glagol,” 1992); *idem*, “Izvrashcheniya natsionalizma,” *Novyi vzglyad*, no. 117 (1993): 8; *idem*, *Ubiistvo chasovogo* (Moskva: Molodaya gvardiya, 1993); *idem*, *Limonov protiv Zhirinovskogo* (Moskva: Nezavisimyi al'manakh “Konets veka,” 1994).

¹⁰⁵ Alexandra Mey, *Russische Schriftsteller und Nationalismus 1986-1995: Vladimir Solouchin, Valentin Rasputin, Aleksandr Prochanov, Eduard Limonov*. Dokumente und Analysen zur russischen und sowjetischen Kultur 12/II (Bochum and Freiburg: projekt verlag, 2004), 299.

¹⁰⁶ *Ibid.*, 335.

¹⁰⁷ F. Dreizin, “Russian Style in Emigration: Edward Limonov’s Anglicisms,” *Wiener Slawistischer Almanach* 22 (1988): 55-67; Natalia Vesselova, “Edward Limonov: a French Writer?” in: Thomas Bremer, Heike Dörrenbächer and Inken Dose, eds., *ICCEES VII World Congress: Europe—Our Common Home. Abstracts* (Berlin: German Association for East European Studies, 2005), 447-448. One of Limonov’s autobiographical novels reflecting his feelings as he returned to Russia for a visit in 1989 was even called *In-ostranets* (Foreigner)—not exactly a recommendation for the later ultra-nationalist politician. See Mey, *Russische Schriftsteller und Nationalismus 1986-1995*, 304.

useless sentimentality of the Russian psyche or 'soul,' and against Russians in general, whom he excoriates as masochistic arse-lickers."¹⁰⁸ In a 1998 article for Moscow's dubious US-American expat weekly *The eXile* to which Limonov, for a while, regularly contributed, the *NBP* leader, for instance, wrote:

I feel deep shame to be a Russian, deep shame to drag my fucking Slavic face across the world. To be a Russian in 1998 it is like to admit that you are village idiot, having feeble brains. We Russians, when we decide to be peaceful, instead we demonstrate to the world our super-stupid masochism, because we always overdo things. [...] That fucking Russian soul!¹⁰⁹

Moreover, before becoming involved in politics, Limonov had described homosexual encounters in the United States in his, perhaps, most infamous autobiographical novel *Eto ya - Edichka* (*It's me, Eddie*).¹¹⁰ One observers even argued that Limonov's novel had contributed to making male homosexuality—a criminal offence in Russia until 1994—acceptable in society.¹¹¹ The issue at hand here was, for instance, illustrated in 1992 when Limonov, in an interview for the leading ultra-nationalist weekly *Den'* (Day), felt it necessary to defend his writings by way of speaking of himself in the third person:

The journalist Limonov is burdened with the "sins" of all the heroes and anti-heroes of Limonov, and he becomes, simultaneously, a drug-addict, alcoholic, homosexual, Trotskyite, fascist, etc. As I have already told the correspondent of *Komsomol'skaya pravda* [Truth of the Komsomol; a major Russian daily newspaper—A.U.] [...]: No, I am not homosexual,

¹⁰⁸ Shenfield, *Russian Fascism*, 206.

¹⁰⁹ Eduard Limonov, "We Will Eat You, Westerners, Dearest Yankees, and Arrogant Europeans," *The eXile*, no. 40 (1998), URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.exile.ru/vault/limonov/limonov40.html>. Shenfield also uses parts of this quote in his *Russian Fascism*, 206; yet his reference is to the wrong article by Limonov in *the eXile*.

¹¹⁰ On this book, see A. Shukman, "Taboos, Splits and Signifiers: Limonov's *Eto ya - Edichka*," *Essays in Poetics*, no. 2 (1983); Olga Matich, "The Moral Immoralist: Edward Limonov's *Èto Ja—Èdička*," *Slavic and East European Journal* 30, no. 4 (1986): 526-540. Among the early activists of the *NBP*, there was also the "ideologist of militant homosexuality, the journalist Yaroslav Mogutin." Pribylovskii, ed., *Russkie natsionalisticheskie i pravoradikal'nye organizatsii*, 177.

¹¹¹ Aleksandr Shatalov, "A Revolution Delayed," *Index on Censorship*, no. 1 (1995): 41-43, here 42, as quoted by Mey, *Russische Schriftsteller und Nationalismus 1986-1995*, 306.

and no, I am not addicted to drugs. Thus, please, calm down gentlemen...¹¹²

A comment of Aleksandr Solzhenitsyn illustrates the dominant view in mainstream Russian nationalist intellectual circles on Limonov: “a little insect who writes pornography.”¹¹³

The above-listed characteristics constituted profound discrepancies in the profile of post-Soviet Russia’s ultra-nationalist parties during El’tsin’s presidency. One should, therefore, be cautious to infer from the relative electoral impotence of organized Russian political ultra-nationalism in the 1990s a general lack of prospects for extremely right-wing groupings in Russia’s elections in the new century.

¹¹² Eduard Limonov, “‘Nechisty’ Limonov i ego ‘chistye’ kritiki: Interv’yu s samim soboi,” *Den’*, no. 18 (1992): 6, as quoted in Mey, *Russische Schriftsteller und Nationalismus 1986-1995*, 326. See also Eduard Limonov and Andrei Vandenko, “Ne putajte menya s Limonovym,” *Novyi vzglyad*, no. 12 (1992): 1 & 4, here 4.

¹¹³ As quoted in Lee, *The Beast Reawakens*, 313. For an, in contrast, sympathetic assessment by a mainstream Russian nationalist publicist, see V.G. Bondarenko, *Eduard Limonov* (Moskva: Paleya, 1992).

II.2 Some Recent Developments in Russian

Ultra-Nationalist Party Politics

Not only was the Russian extreme right inhibited by the above intricacies from the outset. Its political fortunes seemed, after reaching a certain peak in 1993-1995, to be further dwindling in the late 1990s.

First, after the *LDPR*'s impressive results in the 1993 and 1995 State Duma elections (22.92% and 11.18%),¹¹⁴ Zhirinovsky's electoral support decreased in the 1996 presidential (5.7%) and 1999 State Duma elections (6%). In the 2000 presidential elections, he received with 2.7% the lowest result in federal-level elections so far. In 2001, Shenfield saw the *LDPR* as "a force in rapid decline."¹¹⁵ Of course, Zhirinovsky's party made a surprising comeback in the proportional part of the voting for the current State Duma, and received, in the December 2003 parliamentary elections, with 11.45% its second best result in federal-level elections ever. Yet, at the same time, the *LDPR* also did not win any single-mandate districts in these elections, and remains an isolated faction in the State Duma. In the December 2005 Moscow City Council elections, the *LDPR* did not manage to pass the 10%-threshold for these elections and will thus not be represented in the most important Russian regional parliament.

Second, the nationalist Agrarian Party that was prominent in post-Soviet Russia's first parliaments, constituted, for most of its history, *de facto* a front-organization of the *KPRF*, and received considerable support in the first post-Soviet multi-party parliamentary elections of 1993 (7.9%) has since then become an, at best, second-rate political factor.¹¹⁶ It received 3.78% in the 1995 State Duma elections, did not participate in the 1999 elections, and reached only 3.64% in the 2003 State Duma elections.¹¹⁷ Moreover, it has recently loosened its ties to the *KPRF*, and associated itself with the pro-Putin party *Edinaya Rossiya* (Unified Russia).

¹¹⁴ T.D. Clark, "The Zhirinovsky Electoral Victory: Antecedence and Aftermath," *Nationalities Papers* 23, no. 4 (1995): 767-778.

¹¹⁵ Shenfield, *Russian Fascism*, 86.

¹¹⁶ The Agrarian Party is included in these considerations not as a right-wing extremist force by itself, but as a non-, rather than extremely anti-democratic grouping that could have served as a coalition partner for an ultra-nationalist alliance in the 1990s and beginning of the new century.

¹¹⁷ URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://gd2003.cikrf.ru/WAY/76799135/sx/art/76805049/cp/1/br/76799124>.

Third, the large difference between unpopular Boris El'tsin's and little-known Vladimir Putin's outcomes, on the one side, and Zyuganov's results in the 1996 (second round) and 2000 (first round) presidential elections, on the other, was already then interpreted to signal the fading of a serious anti-liberal alternative in Russia. Since, moreover, the *KPRF* has been relying heavily on elderly voters, a decline of the party was, by many observers, in spite of some surprising gains in opinion polls in 2001 and 2002,¹¹⁸ always seen as a matter of time.¹¹⁹ By 2005, finally, not only are the prospects of the Russian "communist" movement ever reaching federal-level executive power looking increasingly unreal. The survival of the *KPRF* as a significant political actor is under question. It received, in the December 2003 State Duma elections, 12.65%, i.e. only little more than half of its share of the 1999 elections when it had its best result ever in federal-level parliamentary elections: 24.29%. "The KPRF's dismal performance in the 2003 election, in which it suffered sizable loss of votes and seats on both halves of the Russian ballot [i.e. its proportional and majoritarian parts], has led many Russia-watchers to [...] expect the communists to slide into a marginal tier on the domestic political scene."¹²⁰ In the opinion of William A. Clark, "the outcome of the 2003 election provides [indeed] some basis for handicapping the future prospects of the KPRF in Russian electoral politics."¹²¹ While the KPRF remains a party that will, probably, pass the 7%-barrier newly introduced for the proportional voting system of the December 2007 (and all following) State Duma elections, it has, since the height of its popularity in 1996-1999, lost its cloud of being the dominant political organization of Russia.

Fourth, the *RNE* split in autumn 2000 into several minor organizations.¹²² When still unified, the *RNE* was perceived, at least, by some observers as a significant extra-parliamentary force. This cannot be said of current organized Russian political neo-Nazism, any more. Though the *RNE*'s split-offs continue to attract considerable atten-

¹¹⁸ For instance, in a ROMIR poll reported on 30 June 2001, 35% of those asked said they would vote for the *KPRF* in elections. See URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.rferl.org/newsline/2001/07/1-rus/rus-030701.html>.

¹¹⁹ Timothy J. Colton and Michael McFaul, "Russia's Communist Opposition Becalmed: The KPRF and the Election of 1999," unpublished manuscript, Davis Center for Russian Studies, Harvard University, 2001.

¹²⁰ William A. Clark, "Communist Devolution: The Electoral Decline of the KPRF," *Problems of Post-Communism* 53, no. 1 (2006): 15-25, here 15.

¹²¹ *Ibid.*, 16.

¹²² Likhachev, "Chto predstavlyaet soboi Russkoe Natsional'noe Edinstvo kak organizatsiya."

tion from the press and human rights watch-dogs, they are not any longer recognized as a serious threat like the unified *RNE* of the 1990s.

Fifth, *NBP*-leader Limonov spent a considerable period of time in prison for illegal ownership of weapons in 2001-2003.¹²³ As a result, the *NBP* was weakened organizationally and in terms of its public image. Since Limonov's freeing from prison, the *natsboly* (an abbreviation for *natsional-bol'sheviki*), have, like the *RNE*'s remnants, been again attracting interest by the mass media. Yet, in spite or because of the *NBP*'s frequent presence in newspaper headlines, the party seems to have become further locked in the extra-parliamentary niche of the opposition, and continues to disqualify itself among patriots with its numerous scandalous actions as a serious political player. In contrast to most other Russian ultra-nationalists, Limonov and his party remain in unmitigated opposition to the Putin administration, and are not afraid of engaging in provocative actions against the government. While the *natsboly* have earned a certain public reputation with their principled stance, they will have, under Putin's neo-authoritarian regime, difficulties to step outside their current identity as a rabble-rousing youth gang. It seems not unlikely that, sooner or later, the Russian authorities—having already attempted several times to shut down the organization—will take resolute action against Limonov. The *natsboly*'s recent prominence in the mass media even suggests that they may currently be left untouched as they are playing a certain useful role for the Kremlin by, for instance, discrediting, with their participation, protest actions of other political or civic actors.

Last but not least, one has to mention the new electoral bloc *Rodina* (Motherland) that was created in 2003, and entered, in December of that year, the State Duma with the surprisingly high result of 9.02%. It also managed to win eight further seats in single-member district elections, and to attract another directly elected independent deputy to its faction—the notorious defender of the Soviet unitary state Viktor Alksnis. While *Rodina*'s faction, when still unified, did include a number of prominent ultra-nationalists,¹²⁴ it remains unclear, as in the case of the *KPRF*, whether the whole bloc should be categorized as a fully extremist right-wing force. One of its first

¹²³ Andrey Mukhin and Natalya Rostova, "Eduard Limonov popal iz bani v 'Lefortovo'," *Segodnya*, no. 82 (14 April 2001): 3.

¹²⁴ Andreas Umland, "Der russische Rechtsextremismus nach den Wahlen 2003-2004: Bestandsaufnahme und Perspektiven," *Russlandanalysen*, no. 23 (2004): 1-4, URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.russlandanalysen.de/content/media/Russlandanalysen23.pdf>.

leaders, Sergei Glaz'ev, for instance, started his political career as a member of Egor Gaidar's team of liberal pro-Western reformers in 1992.

Although *Rodina's* nationalism was, nevertheless, manifest, and linked in several ways to the "lunatic fringe" of the Russian anti-Western spectrum, the bloc resembled the *KPRF* in occupying an ambivalent position in the Russian ideological spectrum: It supported the government in a number of ways; it opposed the Putin regime on certain issues; and it constituted an anti-systemic force in some other regards. Moreover, according to the perception of many observers, the *Rodina* bloc was merely a creature of the Kremlin (i.e. the Presidential Administration) that had been formed to draw votes away from both, the "communists" and the liberals, in the 2003 State Duma elections. It was also perceived as a force unlikely to survive a withdrawal of support from the Kremlin—all the more so as there will be a new 7%-threshold in future State Duma elections. The public conflicts between the three *Rodina* leaders Dmitrii Rogozin, Sergei Glaz'ev and Sergei Baburin, and their splitting of the bloc into two organizations also did not bode well for this branch of Russian nationalism. In any way, as I am finishing this study, it appears that the bloc might, as a result of new Kremlin machinations, disappear altogether. One of the successor organizations of the original *Rodina* has become part of a new moderately nationalist and economically leftist Kremlin-creature, the bloc *Spravedlivaya Rossiya* (Just Russia).

Does this, in conclusion, mean that right-wing extremism is and will remain a minor phenomenon in post-Soviet Russian politics, and that, as a competent Russian observer commented already in summer 2001, "the time of the national-radicals is over"?¹²⁵ A glance on the history of ultra-nationalist movements elsewhere cautions against a quick answer.

¹²⁵ Likhachev, "My i nash diagnoz."

II.3 Evaluating Declining Ultra-Nationalist Parties:

Some Lessons from German History

For instance, modern German political antisemitism is marked by a fundamental discontinuity—one could say, paradox—in its history that might be suggestive for an evaluation of the recent relative decline of Russian radically nationalist parties. At the end of the 19th century and early 20th century, the young German party system experienced a significant change by the downfall of its most explicitly antisemitic components.¹²⁶ Only a few years before, some seemingly vigorous ultra-nationalist parties, founded during the 1870s-1880s, had been on the rise, and, together with the increasingly antisemitic Conservative Party, won a majority in the 1893 *Reichstag* elections.¹²⁷ Also, a multitude of antisemitic literature had been circulating in Germany for more than two decades at this point.¹²⁸ Yet, “[t]he electoral fortunes of the antisemitic parties, other than the Conservative Party, declined in the first decade of the twentieth century.”¹²⁹ Otto Kulka explains that

the diminishing importance of the antisemitic parties towards the end of the nineteenth century [however] does not indicate a parallel decline underlying their critique of Judaism. Rather it suggests the penetration of this criticism into the ideologies of most of the large political parties at the end of the imperial age and during the Weimar era.¹³⁰

What is even more relevant for the present analysis is that the latter development was, in the words of Daniel Goldhagen, “true not only of political institutions but also of the Tocquevillian substructures of society, the associations that provided the staging ground for people’s political education and activity.”¹³¹ Werner Jochmann writes

¹²⁶ Richard S. Levy, *The Downfall of the Anti-Semitic Political Parties in Imperial Germany* (New Haven, CT: Yale University Press, 1975).

¹²⁷ Daniel J. Goldhagen, *Hitler’s Willing Executioners: Ordinary Germans and the Holocaust* (New York: Vintage Books, 1997), 75.

¹²⁸ Jacob Katz, *From Prejudice to Destruction: Antisemitism, 1700-1933* (Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 1980), 245-272.

¹²⁹ Goldhagen, *Hitler’s Willing Executioners*, 76.

¹³⁰ Otto D. Kulka, “The Critique of Judaism in Modern European Thought: Genuine Factors and Demonic Perceptions,” in: Robert S. Wistrich, ed., *Demonizing the Other: Antisemitism, Racism and Xenophobia* (Amsterdam: Harwood Academic Publishers, 1999), 197-209, here 204-205.

¹³¹ Goldhagen, *Hitler’s Willing Executioners*, 72.

that “a wealth of examples shows how, in the [18]90s, antisemitism infiltrated in this way into every last citizens’ association, penetrating folk clubs and cultural societies.”¹³² For these and some other reasons, Peter Pulzer warns that an emphasis on the overall meagre direct political influence of the German antisemitic parties and their leaders until 1918 would miss the point:

Thirty years of incessant propaganda had been more effective than men thought at the time; antisemitism was no longer disgraceful in wide social and academic circles [...]. Insofar as they had impregnated wide sections of the population with antisemitic ideas, the antisemitic parties had not only succeeded in their object but also worked themselves out of a job.¹³³

In the words of Shulamit Volkov, “[w]hat in the [18]70s was created in the heat of passion became in the [18]90s a common place. In the earlier period, antisemitism was preached with true hate; at the end of the century, it became part and parcel of a whole culture.”¹³⁴

Goldhagen, in his otherwise debatable, but on the present issue illuminating study of German popular anti-Semitism, concludes that

the decline of the antisemitic parties was therefore not symptomatic of a decline in antisemitism, for these particular parties had already performed their historic role of moving antisemitism from the street and the beer hall’s *Stammtisch* into the electoral booth and the seat of parliament, into, in Max Weber’s formulation, the house of power. The antisemitic parties had rendered themselves moot. They could quietly disappear, leaving the political terrain to more potent successors who were fit for the next upsurge in antisemitic expression and activity.¹³⁵

¹³² Werner Jochmann, “Structure and Functions of German Anti-Semitism, 1878-1914,” in: Herbert A. Strauss, ed., *Hostages of Modernization: Studies on Modern Antisemitism, 1870-1933/39* (Berlin: Walter de Gruyter, 1993), 52-53.

¹³³ Peter G.J. Pulzer, *The Rise of Political Antisemitism in Germany and Austria*, rev. edn. (Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 1988), 291 & 282.

¹³⁴ Shulamit Volkov, *Jüdisches Leben und Antisemitismus im 19. und 20. Jahrhundert: Zehn Essays* (München: C.H. Beck, 1990), 33.

¹³⁵ Goldhagen, *Hitler’s Willing Executioners*, 76. Similar phenomena could be observed in other countries and time periods. For instance, a century later, in the 1980s, “[t]he whole spectrum of German politics moved somewhat toward the right [...]. But this did not help the [right-radical] Republicans; on the contrary, it tended to make them redundant.” Laqueur, *Fascism*, 114.

It would be misleading to draw far-reaching parallels between the type, salience and radicalness of antisemitism in late Imperial German and post-Soviet Russian society. Nor would it be adequate to claim that exactly the same process of transfer of ultra-nationalist ideas from waning fringe parties to the political mainstream as well as to civil society sectors is taking place in Russia today. However, this example illustrates that a deterioration of the electoral and organizational performance of right-wing extremist parties cannot, in every case, be seen as an unequivocal indication of a diminishing appeal of their ideas. It also indicates that attention to developments within (un)civil—and not only political—society may assist in drawing a fuller picture of the spread, nature, and radicalism of anti-democratic ideas in a given country.

II.4 Civil Society's Role in Democratic Transition, Consolidation and Breakdown

Not only can declining nationalist parties, in a certain context, create misleading impressions about a population's propensity to support anti-democratic politics. In some recent research, there has also been questioning of the contribution of a strong civil society to the creation and fortification of polyarchies. Whereas a mainstream approach—sometimes called “neo-Tocquevillian” and principally inspired by Robert Putnam's seminal book *Making Democracy Work*—assumes an important positive effect of civil society on democratization, some dissenting voices have argued that a strong third sector may have only limited relevance for certain attempts to establish polyarchies, or may, in particular circumstances, even contribute to the break-down of unconsolidated polyarchies. For instance, Omar G. Encarión showed in a recent paper that “Spain constructed a viable and very successful new democracy with a notable deficit in civil society development as reflected in the absence of the conditions most conducive to the production of social capital.”¹³⁶ In as far as Spain constitutes “the paradigmatic case for the study of democratic transitions,”¹³⁷ and as it has been said that, for Eastern Europe, “the optimistic scenario is to retrace the path of Spain,”¹³⁸ this finding, if correct, should have significant consequences for our understanding of how polyarchies emerge.

II.4.1 German *Vereinswesen* in the Weimar Republic

What is even more relevant for the present context is that another paradigmatic case for the comparative study of regime change, namely the fall of the German Weimar Republic in 1930-1934, is marked by the presence and active involvement of a—by both historical and comparative standards—exceptionally varied and thriving voluntary sector.¹³⁹ As Sheri Berman has noted,

¹³⁶ Omar G. Encarión, “Civil Society and the Consolidation of Democracy in Spain,” *Political Science Quarterly* 111, no. 1 (2001): 53-79, here 55.

¹³⁷ Juan J. Linz and Alfred Stepan, *Problems of Democratic Transition and Consolidation: Southern Europe, South America and Post-Communist Europe* (Baltimore: The Johns Hopkins University Press, 1996), 87.

¹³⁸ Adam Przeworski, *Democracy and the Market* (New York: Cambridge University Press, 1991), 8.

¹³⁹ Peter Fritzsche, *Rehearsals of Fascism: Populism and Political Mobilization in Weimar*

in contrast to what neo-Tocquevillian theories would predict, high levels of associationism, absent strong and responsive national government and political parties, served to fragment rather than unite German society. [...] Weimar's rich associational life provided critical training ground for eventual Nazi cadres and a base from which the National Socialist Workers' Party (*NSDAP*) could launch its *Machtergreifung* (seizure of power). Had German civil society been weaker, the Nazis would never have been able to capture so many citizens for their cause or eviscerate their opponents so swiftly. [...] [T]he *NSDAP* rose to power, not by attracting alienated, apolitical Germans, but rather by recruiting highly activist individuals and then exploiting their skills and associational affiliations to expand the party's appeal and consolidate its position as the largest political force in Germany.¹⁴⁰

The peculiarity of German civic associations of this time was that, instead of representing indicators for the depth of democratic inclinations within the German population, they grew

during periods of strain. When national political institutions and structures proved either unwilling or unable to address their citizens' needs, many Germans turned away from them and found succour and support in the institutions of civil society instead. [...] This growth of associations during these years did not signal a growth in liberal values or democratic political structures; instead, it reflected and furthered the fragmentation of German political life and the delegitimization of national political institutions.¹⁴¹

A somewhat similar argument has been made for the case of Northern Italy where the post-World War I Fascist movement too emerged from a relatively well-developed network of civil society institutions and only later shifted its main bases of support to the South¹⁴²—thus calling into question Putnam's famous thesis.¹⁴³

Germany (New York: Oxford University Press, 1990).

¹⁴⁰ Sheri Berman, "Civil Society and the Collapse of the Weimar Republic," *World Politics* 49, no. 3 (1997): 401-429, here 402, 408.

¹⁴¹ Berman, "Civil Society and the Collapse of the Weimar Republic," 411, 413.

¹⁴² William L. Eubank and Leonard Weinberg, "Terrorism and Democracy within one Country: The Case of Italy," *Terrorism and Political Violence* 9, no. 1 (1997): 98-108.

¹⁴³ Putnam, *Making Democracy Work*. One should add though that, while "the *fasci* original-

These findings indicate that the role that civil society plays in a regime change is conditioned by other circumstances, such as the strength of political institutions, individual characteristics of the relevant non-governmental organizations, as well as the degree of legitimacy of the existing political regime. Berman concludes that, “[p]erhaps, therefore, associationism should be considered a politically neutral multiplier—neither inherently good nor inherently bad, but rather dependent for its effects on the wider political context.”¹⁴⁴ In a broad survey of civil society’s ambivalent role in democratic consolidation and development focusing on Weimar Germany, the United States and Argentina, Ariel Armony too concludes that “[c]ivil society may or may not lead to democracy because what matters is the context in which people associate, not because association is inherently and universally positive for democracy.”¹⁴⁵ Bob Edwards and Michael Foley make the generalization that

social initiatives depend crucially on a context set jointly by state, market and civil society; and the logic of organization in such initiatives often intermingles legal coercion, authoritative decision making and implementation, political manipulation, economic ends and the voluntary pursuit of group and individual goals. But if this is the case, then it will be very difficult to specify in the abstract just which characteristics of civil society per se [...] contribute to healthy democracy and which do not, because the specific roster of beneficial characteristics would vary cross nationally and over time along with the socioeconomic and political context.¹⁴⁶

II.4.2 Antiliberal Associationism

A partial solution to the dilemma of the simultaneously democratization-furthering and -inhibiting role that civil society may play can be found in analyses that tried to distinguish between different types of non-state/not-for-profit institutions, i.e. between

ly appeared in northern Italy and only gradually spread to the South, [s]ubsequently [...] the south became a stronger bulwark of Fascism than the north, and this is true also with regard to neofascism in the postwar era.” Laqueur, *Fascism*, 19. See also E. Spencer Wellhofer, “Democracy and Fascism: Class, Civil Society, and Rational Choice in Italy,” *American Political Science Review* 97 (2003): 91-106.

¹⁴⁴ Berman, “Civil Society and the Collapse of the Weimar Republic,” 427.

¹⁴⁵ Ariel C. Armony, *The Dubious Link: Civic Engagement and Democratization* (Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press, 2004), 2.

¹⁴⁶ Bob Edwards and Michael W. Foley, “Civil Society and Social Capital beyond Putnam,” *American Behavioral Scientist* 42, no. 1 (1998): 128. Also quoted in Mudde and Kopecký, *Uncivil Society?* VI.

those that have democratic and anti-democratic inclinations.¹⁴⁷ For instance, the most prominent among the rapidly growing organizations within the voluntary sector of the Weimar Republic were the various nationalist associations that became popular after World War I. These nationalist associations are best viewed as "symptoms and agencies of change. They were formed as distinctive organizations within a space which the difficulties and obsolescence of an older mode of dominant-class politics had opened up."¹⁴⁸ Non-party institutions such as these nationalist associations were not only peculiar in that they came to substitute political parties—a pattern that, since World War II, has become again relevant in, among other countries, Germany.¹⁴⁹ They should also be seen as not representing manifestations of civil society in its traditional meaning, but as constituting "uncivil groups,"¹⁵⁰ or "uncivil organizations."¹⁵¹ Thus, as Cas Mudde notes, "statements like 'an active civil society is good for democracy' are invalid, as it depends on which groups *within* civil society dominate."¹⁵²

Against the background of such circumstances, it is important that, in the words of Neera Chandhoke, "our *normative* expectations about the sphere of civil society should not derange our analysis of *actually existing civil societies*."¹⁵³ A similar note of caution has been made by Thomas Carothers in 1999 with regard to the post-Soviet context:

Extrapolating from the courageous role of civil groups that fought communism in Eastern Europe, some civil society enthusiasts have propagated the misleading notion that civil society consists of noble causes,

¹⁴⁷ Ami Pedahzur, "The Potential Role of 'Pro-Democratic Civil Society' in Responding to Extreme Right-Wing Challenges: The Case of Brandenburg," Paper presented at the 97th Annual Convention of the American Political Science Association, San Francisco, 30 August - 2 September 2001.

¹⁴⁸ Geoff Eley, *Reshaping the German Right: Radical Nationalism and Political Change after Bismarck* (Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press, 1994), XIX; as quoted in Berman, "Civil Society and the Collapse of the Weimar Republic," 411.

¹⁴⁹ Kay Lawson and Peter H. Merkl, eds., *When Parties Fail: Emerging Alternative Organizations* (Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 1988).

¹⁵⁰ Encarión, "Civil Society and the Consolidation of Democracy in Spain," 67-68.

¹⁵¹ Göran Ahrne, "Civil Society and Uncivil Organizations," in: Jeffrey C. Alexander, ed., *Real Civil Societies: Dilemmas of Institutionalization* (London: Sage, 1998), 84-95.

¹⁵² Mudde, "Civil Society in Post-Communist Europe: Lessons from the 'Dark Side,'" 169, italics in the original.

¹⁵³ Neera Chandhoke, "The 'Civil' and the 'Political' in Civil Society," *Democratization* 8, no. 2 (2001): 1-24, here 5, italics in the original; also quoted in Mudde, "Civil Society in Post-Communist Europe: Lessons from the 'Dark Side,'" 169.

and earnest, well-intentioned actors. Yet civil society everywhere is a bewildering array of the good, the bad, and the outright bizarre.¹⁵⁴

The issue was systematically addressed in, among others, a 2000 monograph by Leigh Payne, a 2001 paper by Ami Pedahzur and Leonard Weinberg and in a 2003 collected volume by Mudde and Kopecký who have all proposed to introduce the previously known, but hitherto insufficiently elaborated concepts of *uncivil* movements or *uncivil* society into the comparative study of right-wing extremism.¹⁵⁵ Leigh Payne shows that “(un)civil movements [...] employ the same mobilizational strategies used by social movements within civil society: like social movements they claim to identify and empower a new political constituency, conscious of its identity while struggling to overcome its marginal status in the political system.”¹⁵⁶ Pedahzur and Weinberg observe that, since the early 1970s, non-party forms of linkages between state and society have become more prominent in general, and argue that not only civil society proper has thus gained importance.¹⁵⁷ Non-party challengers of democracy, i.e. various permutations of uncivil society, too—whether as substitutes for strong right-wing extremist parties¹⁵⁸ or as complementary players of anti-democratic political actors—have become more relevant in established democracies.

Kopecký and Mudde, in their study on post-communist uncivil society, write that the “normative underpinnings [of the bulk of recent literature on civil society in Eastern Europe] obscure important aspects of the phenomenon and thereby understate the importance of civil society in post-communist politics.” They maintain that their collection’s papers “show that the dominant claim of the weakness of civil society in East-

¹⁵⁴ Thomas Carothers, “Civil Society,” *Foreign Policy* 117 (Winter 1999-2000): 20, also quoted in Mudde and Kopecký, *Uncivil Society?* VI.

¹⁵⁵ Leigh A. Payne, *Uncivil Movements: The Armed Right Wing and Democracy in Latin America* (Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press, 2000); Ami Pedahzur and Leonard Weinberg, “Modern European Democracies and Its Enemies: The Threat of the Extreme Right,” *Totalitarian Movements and Political Religions* 2, no. 1 (2001): 52-72; Mudde and Kopecký, *Uncivil Society?* For further elaborations on the concept, see Sheelagh Stewart, “Happy Ever After in the Marketplace: Non-government Organisations and Uncivil Society,” *Review of African Political Economy* 24, no. 71 (1997): 11-34; J. Abu-Lughod, “Civil/Uncivil Society: Confusing Form with Content,” in: M. Douglass and J. Friedmann, eds., *Cities for Citizens: Planning and the Rise of Civil Society in a Global Age* (Chichester: Wiley, 1998), 227-237; X. Li, “Democracy and Uncivil Societies: A Critique of Civil Society Determinism,” in: R.K. Fullinwider, ed., *Civil Society, Democracy, and Civic Renewal* (Lanham, MD: Rowman & Littlefield, 1999); Richard Boyd, *Uncivil Society: The Perils of Pluralism and the Making of Modern Liberalism* (Lanham, MD: Lexington Books, 2004).

¹⁵⁶ Payne, *Uncivil Movements*, XIX.

¹⁵⁷ Pedahzur and Weinberg, “Modern European Democracies and Its Enemies.”

¹⁵⁸ Uwe Backes and Cas Mudde, “Germany: Extremism without Successful Parties,” *Parliamentary Affairs* 53, no. 3 (2000): 457-468.

ern Europe is, in part, a consequence of the narrow bias of most scholars, who disregard the 'dark side' of civil society."¹⁵⁹

¹⁵⁹ Mudde and Kopecký, "Editors' Preface," in: *idem, Uncivil Society?* XVI-XVII, here XVI. I will not go here into discussing the related important and more complicated, but fascinating issue mentioned in the same volume, namely that "'civil' movements are not by definition good for democracy/democratization, and 'uncivil' movements are not by definition bad for democracy/democratization." Mudde, "Civil Society in Post-Communist Europe: Lessons from the 'Dark Side,'" 169. While this might, in and of itself, be true and would seem to constitute a blow against Putnam's thesis, even Mudde would, perhaps, agree that uncivil society has a (probably, far) larger potential to hinder, inhibit or reverse democracy/democratization, than civil society proper. In any way, the question is too complex to be elaborated here further.

II.5 Electoral vs. Non-Electoral Activities of the Western Extreme Right Today

Already before these theoretical arguments were made, attention to the non-party realm has been called for in empirical research on recent developments in German and other Western ultra-nationalisms.

II.5.1 The Relevance of Post-War Extraparliamentary Ultra-Nationalism

In distinction to Herbert Kitschelt who focused in his path-breaking book on—what he called—the “New Radical Right” in Western Europe of the 1970s-1990s mainly on political parties,¹⁶⁰ Michael Minkenberg in his subsequent comparative study of right-wing radicalism in post-1968 Germany, France and the US, for instance, considers, apart from parties, a wide variety of groups within uncivil society.¹⁶¹ These include intellectual circles, sub-cultural milieus, religious organizations, youth gangs, publishing houses, and other formations. Minkenberg’s attention to these phenomena is not only useful in that it provides the basis for a more adequate assessment of the penetration of right-wing radical ideas into society—especially with regard to those countries that have not experienced as impressive surges of right-wing parties as, for instance, Austria (*Freiheitliche Partei Österreichs*), Italy (*Alleanza Nazionale*), or France (*Front national*). Minkenberg also addresses, more adequately than Kitschelt, the fact that activists espousing such ideas have been using different strategies in promoting their views depending on the particular socio-political contexts, cultural traditions and legal-institutional settings within which they operate, and that party-building is only one of several tactics to gain influence on society.¹⁶² Minkenberg, for instance, notes that, in the US, certain xenophobic and fundamentalist groups have, instead of forming their own parties, used Republican front organizations to penetrate the state via the GOP.¹⁶³ In Germany, a “New Right” intellectual discourse on nation-

¹⁶⁰ Herbert Kitschelt in collaboration with Anthony J. McGann, *The Radical Right in Western Europe: A Comparative Analysis* (Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press, 1995).

¹⁶¹ Michael Minkenberg, *Die neue radikale Rechte im Vergleich: USA, Frankreich, Deutschland* (Opladen: Westdeutscher Verlag, 1998).

¹⁶² Andreas Umland, “Sravnitel’ny analiz krayne pravyykh grupp na Zapade: Po povodu knigi M. Minkenberg,” *Politicheskie issledovaniya*, no. 3(62) (2001): 174-179.

¹⁶³ See also Michael Minkenberg, *Neokonservatismus und Neue Rechte in den USA: Neuere konservative Gruppierungen und Strömungen im Kontext sozialen und kulturellen*

al history and identity has become influential in public debates.¹⁶⁴ Instead of engaging in party-building, this section of the German radical right has had some success in affecting German political culture in general,¹⁶⁵ and the agendas of the moderate right-wing parties, in particular.¹⁶⁶ The "New Right" has done so, moreover, consciously by way of adopting Antonio Gramsci's well-known notion of the necessity for an ideological group to achieve first "cultural hegemony" in a society in order to acquire subsequently political power (more on this below).¹⁶⁷ On the territory of the former GDR too, to the surprise of many observers, right-wing radical parties have, with some notable exceptions,¹⁶⁸ not fared well in elections so far. Yet, East German ultra-nationalism has become disturbingly strong on the grass-roots and sub-cultural levels, and, especially, in the youth scene.¹⁶⁹ With regard to the whole transition area east of the former Iron Curtain, Cas Mudde, in his conclusions to a paper collection

Wandels (Baden-Baden: Nomos, 1990); *idem*, "Die Neue Rechte in den USA: Kulturelle Dimension und politischer Prozeß," in: Jürgen Falter, Hans-Gerd Jaschke and Jürgen Winkler, eds., *Rechtsextremismus: Ergebnisse und Perspektiven der Forschung* (Opladen: Westdeutscher Verlag, 1996), 443-463; Michael Minkenberg, "Die amerikanische konservative Revolution: Radikale Rechte und Republikanische Partei am Ende des Jahrhunderts," *Aus Politik und Zeitgeschichte* B43 (1996): 45-53; *idem*, "Die Christliche Rechte und die amerikanische Politik von der ersten zur zweiten Bush-Administration," *Aus Politik und Zeitgeschichte* B46 (2003): 23-32. A further case study is Russ Bellant, *Old Nazis, the New Right, and the Republican Party: Domestic Fascist Networks, and their Effect on U.S. Cold War Politics* (Boston, MA: South End Press, 1991)

¹⁶⁴ Armin Pfahl-Traughber, *Konservative Revolution und Neue Rechte: Rechtsextremistische Intellektuelle gegen den demokratischen Verfassungsstaat* (Opladen: Leske+Budrich, 1998).

¹⁶⁵ Wolfgang Gessenharter, *Kippt die Republik? Die Neue Rechte und ihre Unterstützung durch Politik und Medien* (München: Knaur, 1994); Claus Leggewie, *Druck von rechts: Wohin treibt die Bundesrepublik?* (München: Beck, 1993); Kurt Lenk, *Rechts, wo die Mitte ist* (Baden-Baden: Nomos, 1994).

¹⁶⁶ See also Elmar Wiesendahl, "Verwirtschaftung und Verschleiss der Mitte: Zum Umgang des etablierten Politikbetriebs mit der rechtsextremistischen Herausforderung," in: Wilhelm Heitmeyer, ed., *Das Gewalt-Dilemma* (Frankfurt am Main: Suhrkamp, 1994), 115-137; and Hans-Martin Lohmann, ed., *Extremismus der Mitte: Vom rechten Verständnis deutscher Nation* (Frankfurt am Main: Fischer Taschenbuch Verlag, 1994).

¹⁶⁷ Alex Demirovic, "Kulturelle Hegemonie von rechts: Antonio Gramsci—gesehen von der 'nouvelle droite'," *Die neue Gesellschaft: Frankfurter Hefte* 37, no. 4 (1990): 352-357; Armin Pfahl-Traughber, "'Gramscismus von rechts'? Zur Gramsci Rezeption der Neuen Rechten in Frankreich und Deutschland," *Blick nach rechts*, no. 21 (28 September 1992): 3-5. It might be this openly admitted strategy rather than any particular ideological prescription that constitutes the most important common denominator of the various sub-groups within—what has become to be called—the "European New Right," and delineates it from other forms of right-wing extremism.

¹⁶⁸ Andreas Umland, "A German Le Pen?" *Patterns of Prejudice* 35, no. 4 (2001): 91-93.

¹⁶⁹ Burkhard Schroeder, *Im Griff der rechten Szene: Ostdeutsche Städte in Angst* (Reinbeck bei Hamburg: Rowohlt, 1997); Bernd Wagner, *Rechtsextremismus und kulturelle Subversion in den neuen Ländern* (Berlin: Schriftenreihe des Zentrums Demokratische Kultur, 1998).

on uncivil society, even maintains that “[i]n many ways, [...] ‘uncivil movements’ [...] are more authentic representatives of civil society in post-communist Europe. [U]nlike many prominent ‘civil’ organizations in Eastern Europe, which are elite-driven NGOs detached from society, many ‘uncivil’ organizations are true social movements, i.e. involved in grass-roots supported contentions politics.”¹⁷⁰

II.5.2 The Groupuscule

An important sub-sector of post-war uncivil society—namely the multitude of minuscule and relatively closed ultra-nationalist and often fascist groupings across the world—has, recently, been extensively conceptualized in a heuristically fruitful way by Roger Griffin as “groupuscules.”¹⁷¹ Distancing himself from approaches that have dismissed this spectrum of small extremist groups as hardly worth studying,¹⁷² Griffin argues that there is a certain sub-category of minor ultra-nationalist groupings that should, in spite of their unimpressive magnitude, be taken seriously as objects of study in their own right. This class would include such Western organizations as the Groupe Union Défense, White Aryan Resistance, or European Liberation Front.¹⁷³ These groupings had been labelled as “groupuscules” before, but not been extensively conceptualized until Griffin did so. They have either, after an unsuccessful performance in electoral contests, left high politics, but continued to thrive as parochial associations. Or they were never conceived to become fully-fledged parties in the larger public realm, and constituted, from their inception, relatively clogged organizations serving mainly the small circle of its members and supporters. Although some of these groupuscules call themselves “parties,” they should be understood as belonging, at best, to a diminished sub-type of the generic political party.¹⁷⁴

¹⁷⁰ Mudde, “Civil Society in Post-Communist Europe: Lessons from the ‘Dark Side,’” 164.

¹⁷¹ Roger Griffin, “Net Gains and GUD Reactions: Patterns of Prejudice in a Neo-fascist *Groupuscule*,” *Patterns of Prejudice* 33, no. 2 (1999): 31-50; *idem*, “From Slime Mould to Rhizome: An Introduction to the Groupuscular Right,” unpublished manuscript, Oxford Brookes University, 2002, URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://ah.brookes.ac.uk/history/staff/griffin/slimemould.pdf>, draft for a paper that later appeared in *Patterns of Prejudice* 36, no. 3 (2002): 27-50.

¹⁷² Martin Blinkhorn, *Fascism and the Right in Europe, 1919-1945* (Harlow: Pearson, 2000), 112.

¹⁷³ The *NBP* spoke highly, and later apparently became a member of the international network of the European Liberation Front. See Pribylovskii, *Russkie natsionalisticheskie i pravoradikal'nye organizatsii*, 186-187.

¹⁷⁴ On the concept of “diminished sub-type,” David Collier and James Mahoney, “Conceptual ‘Stretching’ Revisited: Adapting Categories in Comparative Analysis,” *American Political Science Review* 87 (December 1993): 845-855; and David Collier and Steven Levit-

[T]he term "groupuscule" is being used [...] to refer to a political organization which by the standards of national party politics has minute active membership, and may have an extremely low or non-existent public profile, yet is a fully ripened fruit within its own ideological vineyard. [...] Its diminutive size, marginality, and relative inconspicuousness bestow on it qualities which suit the purposes of its organizers.¹⁷⁵

The form of the groupuscule has been chosen for their organization by many extremely right-wing activists in the West, as they had to adapt to an increasingly depoliticized and "de-nationalized" public in the post-World War II context. The groupuscules thus largely define themselves by their "renunciation of any aspirations to create a mass membership base, appeal to a wide political constituency in the general public, or to enter into alliances or compromises with other political actors in the pursuit of maximum influence."¹⁷⁶ Instead, groupuscules have taken the form of cadre organizations run by small elites of activists, which keep

alive the prospect of having an impact on society by remaining open to linkages with kindred spirits on the extreme right and publicizing its existence through effective propaganda directed at the chosen few. [The Internet, moreover] allows the creation of a "virtual community" [...] cocooning its members against contacts with the outside world [...]. [E]ach groupuscule, no matter how small, [can] act as a nodal point in a vast, constantly evolving network of extremist organizations of far greater significance than the sum of its parts: the groupuscular right. [...] [P]erhaps the most important aspect of the groupuscular right for political science lies [thus] in the structure it has come to adopt in order to act not as a single corporate body, but as a network of ideological formation and activist coordination made up of self-contained grouplets. [...] Cumulatively these "groupuscules" can be conceived as constituting a new type of political subculture or actor, the "groupuscular right," which has an aggregate substance, influ-

sky, "Democracy with Adjectives: Conceptual Innovation in Comparative Research," *World Politics* 49 (April 1997): 430-451.

¹⁷⁵ Griffin, "From Slime Mould to Rhizome," 3.

¹⁷⁶ Griffin, "From Slime Mould to Rhizome," 8.

ence, and longevity disproportionate to the size, impact, and stability of any of its components.¹⁷⁷

It is thus not useful to consider groupuscules solely as remnants of abortive attempts of party-building. Instead, they should be regarded either as a peculiar sub-sector of uncivil society, or as representing hybrid phenomena fluctuating between political and civil society—the latter, shifting pattern being typical of a number of voluntary sector organizations in modern societies, in general.¹⁷⁸

The importance of the individual groupuscule stems not only from being embedded in a larger network of similarly oriented entities, but also—resembling the function of many other civil society organizations—from its potential as a training ground and school for future political activists. The Groupuscule

can have a formative impact on the careers of particular individuals in search of grand narratives and total truth by playing a crucial role in transforming ill-defined resentments into a personal sense of higher mission to “do something about it.” In extreme cases the groupuscule has made decisive contributions to turning a disaffected loner into a fanatical “lone wolf” ready to carry out ruthless acts of terrorism at symbols of society’s decadence whatever the cost in human life, as Timothy McVeigh and David Copeland dramatically illustrate.¹⁷⁹

For the case of Russia, this category of groupings within the ultra-nationalist spectrum is clearly relevant too (more on this below). It was gaining importance when, in July 2001, a new Law on Parties was adopted. The law required that political parties that wished to register as such with the Justice Ministry had to document, among others, significant organizational capacity across Russia such as an overall membership of at least 10,000, and 100 or more members in more than half of Russia’s 89 regions. As official registration was indispensable to take fully part in high politics, and especially in elections, the high threshold for registration created by the new Law on Parties pushed dozens of political organizations that had regarded themselves as power-seeking organizations into the non-electoral realm where most of those that continued to exist as organized groups have remained locked.

¹⁷⁷ *Ibid.*, 2, 8-9.

¹⁷⁸ Diamond, *Developing Democracy*, 224.

¹⁷⁹ *Ibid.*, 9.

A second amendment to the law introduced in December 2004 has aggravated the situation for smaller parties further: The minimum membership number for a party to be registered is now 50,000, and, in more than half of the subjects of the Russian Federation, a registered party has to have at least 500 members. In addition, the electoral system has been changed into an exclusively proportional one with an increased threshold of 7%. These regulations add further problems, to say the least, to the political ambitions of leaders of minor parties, including the ultra-nationalist ones.¹⁸⁰ Adopting a back-stage/groupuscular, rather than front-stage/electoral strategy may thus constitute a pragmatic option for many extremist organizations if they want to continue having, at least, a minor impact in today Russia. Above all, it might be a way to survive organizationally, and remain prepared for situations that would allow them to re-enter high politics.

Already before these reforms of the Russian electoral system were made, the Russian extreme right had experienced groupuscularization. Nikolai Mitrokhin—not yet knowing of Griffin's conceptualization of the groupuscule—observed in 2003 that, after the defeat of the armed opposition in October 1993,

the marginal nationalists of a racist orientation left big politics for a long time. The disillusionment they had experienced forced them to leave their hope to "find truth" in the political realm. Taking over from the church fundamentalists the rule "save yourself and many will save themselves before you," they found themselves in the frameworks of church structures—among them in the role of monks and popes. They also started forming rural and urban closed communities of those believing in the same ideas who tried to have as little as possible contacts with the outer world.¹⁸¹

Also in 2003, Markus Mathyl made the first—not entirely successful—attempt to apply the concept of the groupuscule to Russian conditions in an article for *Patterns of Prejudice*.¹⁸²

Griffin's concluding remark in his first publication on this issue concerns the Western context, but is, at least, equally relevant for Russia. The groupuscular right

¹⁸⁰ URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.rg.ru/printable/2004/12/24/partii.html>.

¹⁸¹ Mitrokhin, "Ot 'Pamyati' k skinkhedam Luzhkova," 39.

¹⁸² Mathyl, "The National-Bolshevik Party and Arctogaia."

is a political force which guarantees that if conditions of profound socio-economic crisis were ever to emerge again in the West's democratic heartland to make mass support for revolutionary nationalism a realistic possibility, then many countries would have not only the dedicated cadres prepared to lead it, but a plentiful reserve of ideological resources to fuel it.¹⁸³

II.5.3 The European "New Right"

Another peculiar strategy of extreme nationalists in the post-war period has been that of the above-mentioned so-called European New Right (ENR) which possesses some peculiarities that are both theoretically and empirically relevant within the context of the present study. Here the concept of uncivil society gains an additional dimension in as far as the European New Right, in distinction to other forms of uncivil society, pursues a strategy that, based on self-critical reflection, purposefully attempts to utilize the infrastructure of a polyarchy's civil society in order to attack and eventually undermine a democratically oriented polity. Research on this specific approach has so far been mainly done concerning contemporary Western Europe. But, as in the case of the groupuscular right, the issue is, for reasons that will become clear below, at least as relevant for contemporary Russia.

The novel ultra-nationalist intellectual movement that, in Germany, became known as the "*Neue Rechte*" ("New Right") has not only—like the groupuscules—for reasons of tactical expediency refrained from direct involvement in party-building and everyday politics. It has followed this course consciously, on the grounds of a specific long-term strategy briefly mentioned in the above review of Minkenberg's book. This strategy can be termed as "right-wing Gramscianism," and is also a relevant phenomenon in contemporary Russia. It will, therefore, be explained here in some detail.

The forerunner of the contemporary New Right discourse in Germany, and Europe in general, was a diffuse group of anti-democratic publicists, writers and scholars in inter-war Germany that has become known by its oxymoronic name "Conservative Revolution."¹⁸⁴ This tendency included, among others, such prominent publicists and

¹⁸³ Griffin, "Net Gains and GUD Reactions," 46.

¹⁸⁴ For a short critique of "New Right" and "Conservative Revolution" as political science concepts, see Andreas Umland, "Review of Pfahl-Traugher, *Konservative Revolution und Neue Rechte*," *Politische Vierteljahresschrift* 41, no. 2 (2000): 12-13, and *idem*, "'Konservativnaya revolyutsiya.' imya sobstvennoe ili rodovoe ponyatie?" *Voprosy filosofii*,

academics Ernst Jünger, Arthur Moeller van den Bruck, Edgar Julius Jung, Carl Schmitt, Oswald Spengler, Othmar Spann, and Ernst Niekisch (see Appendix).¹⁸⁵ These influential intellectuals were in radical opposition to liberalism in general, and to the Weimar Republic, in particular, but remained largely outside everyday party politics (though some, like Jung, also took up political appointments, at one time or another). Their goal was an ideational subversion of the normative foundations of the Weimar Republic that would lead to its delegitimization, a democratic break-down, and the subsequent creation of a new post-liberal nationalistic order.¹⁸⁶ According to some observers, that is exactly what the "conservative revolutionaries" achieved. In 1939, the Russian historian and publicist Georgii Fedotov, for instance, wrote that it was not the "rise of the masses," as had been often assumed, but the rebellion of the intellectual elites that stroke the fatal blow to humanism in the inter-war period.¹⁸⁷

These ideas and tactics have been revitalized in Europe since the late 1960s, at first in France where a vigorous new intellectual movement describing itself as the *Nouvelle Droite*, and led by the influential proto-fascist publicist Alain de Benoist (b. 1943) emerged. Many of the New Right's spokesmen are graduates of prestigious *grand écoles*.¹⁸⁸ The *Nouvelle Droite* is, perhaps, less novel in terms of its peculiar ideology as this body of ideas constitutes largely an adaptation of German "Conservative Revolutionary," "National-Bolshevik," "Third Way," Euro-fascist as well as other older extremely right-wing theories to contemporary West European conditions.¹⁸⁹ Instead, the French, and later other European sections of the, New Right

no. 2 (2006): 116-126.

¹⁸⁵ The construct "Conservative Revolution" was introduced in a seminal study by a scholar who himself is a follower of the ideas of the group of thinkers he labeled this way. See Armin Mohler, *Die Konservative Revolution in Deutschland 1918-1932: Ein Handbuch* (Darmstadt: Wissenschaftliche Buchgesellschaft, 1989 [1st edn 1950]). On Mohler (b. 1920), see Norbert Hilger, "Armin Mohler und der Neokonservatismus," *Die Neue Gesellschaft: Frankfurter Hefte* 38, no. 8 (1991): 718-724, and Armin Pfahl-Traughber, "'Ich bin ein Faschist:' Armin Mohlers offenes Bekenntnis," *Blick nach Rechts* 13, no. 13 (1996): 4-5.

¹⁸⁶ Stefan Breuer, *Anatomie der Konservativen Revolution* (Darmstadt: Wissenschaftliche Buchgesellschaft, 1993).

¹⁸⁷ Leonid Lyuks, "Evraziistvo i konservativnaya revolyutsiya: Soblazn' antizapadnichestva v Rossii i Germanii," *Voprosy filosofii*, no. 3 (1996): 57-69, here 60.

¹⁸⁸ Laqueur, *Fascism*, 99.

¹⁸⁹ For a comprehensive presentation of the various permutations of fascist ideology, see Roger D. Griffin, ed., *Fascism* (Oxford: Oxford University Press 1995). Though one might see the "New Right's" eager incorporation of some recent (pseudo-) scientific findings in their theories as representing another significant advance distinguishing it from the "Conservative Revolution." See Iris Weber, "'Wissenschaftliche Grundlagen:' Soziobiologie, Verhaltensforschung und Psychologie," in her *Nation, Staat und Elite: Die Ideologie der Neuen Rechten*. PapyRossa Hochschulschriften, 15th Vol. (Koeln: PapyRossa-Verlag

innovated intellectual right-wing extremism by, above all, explicitly introducing the idea that a gradual right-wing *cultural* change is a necessary preliminary stage for achieving political power. In a first step, “cultural hegemony” would have to be secured and civil society impregnated with right-wing ideas. Only then an attempt to attain actual power over the state would have a chance of success.

This strategy was by itself not original as the inter-war German “Conservative Revolutionaries” had, intuitively, been following a similar approach. What still was an innovation in the late 1960s was, firstly, that a “cultural struggle strategy” was overtly adopted as an official key prescription for action, and even as a marker of identity by, initially, the French, and later, most of the West European, including the German, New Right.¹⁹⁰ Second, this was done with unashamed reference to the ideas of the Italian Communist Party’s co-founder, one-time General Secretary, and principal theoretician Antonio Gramsci (1891-1937).¹⁹¹ According to Gramsci, the power of the state in developed societies is based on not only its institutions, but also or even more so on its “*società civile*”—i.e. on the norms, attitudes, as well as thought and emotional patterns which are transmitted by the “cultural complex” (schools, universities, mass media, etc.), and which legitimize the current power relations. A movement that wants to take political power would, therefore, first have to acquire dominance over the ruling concepts of the cultural super-structure. As a result of adopting this approach, the *Nouvelle Droite* has come to conceive of itself, quite literally, as a “school of thought” that aims to change the existing mentalities and norms in order to create the preconditions for political change.¹⁹²

This peculiar feature of the New Right highlights the importance of cultural complexes and civil society as constituent parts of research into contemporary European right-wing extremism. If this branch of ultra-nationalism explicitly announces that it regards not (yet) the state, but civil society—and, only in the second instance, *political* society—as its primary medium for inducing change, than it would, for an exhaustive evaluation of the strength of right-wing extremist tendencies, be of interest to see how sophisticated, industrious, and successful the New Right has been in doing so,

1997), pp. 25-31.

¹⁹⁰ Pfahl-Traughber, *Konservative Revolution und Neue Rechte*, 132-134.

¹⁹¹ Alex Demirovic, “Kulturelle Hegemonie von rechts: Antonio Gramsci—gesehen von der ‘nouvelle droite,’” *Die neue Gesellschaft: Frankfurter Hefte* 37, no. 4 (1990): 352-357; Armin Pfahl-Traughber, “Gramscismus von rechts? Zur Gramsci Rezeption der Neuen Rechten in Frankreich und Deutschland,” *Blick nach rechts*, no. 21 (1992): 3-5.

¹⁹² Weber, *Nation, Staat und Elite*, 32.

and not only to measure the extreme right's electoral performance.

This is apt not only in terms of the New Right's own perception of its role in a certain socio-political system. It is also relevant in view of Western social science findings concerning the role of non-party social institutions for sustaining certain political systems. There seems to be considerable overlap between Gramsci's idea of the relevance of the support of "cultural complexes" for regimes, and the Tocquevillian emphasis on a thriving civil society as the foundation of stable democracy as proposed, for instance, in Robert Putnam's work¹⁹³—or, at least, in culturalist interpretations of its findings.¹⁹⁴ In other words: The strength of uncivil society—i.e. of social actors latently or manifestly opposed to democracy, but not operating in the party-political realm—might be as important for an evaluation of the chances for a successful consolidation of a young polyarchy as the strength of civil society proper.

¹⁹³ Robert D. Putnam with Robert Leonardi and Raffaella Y. Nanetti, *Making Democracy Work: Civic Traditions in Modern Italy* (Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 1993).

¹⁹⁴ David Laitin, "The Civic Culture at Thirty," *American Political Science Review* 89, no. 1 (1995): 168-176; Sidney Tarrow, "Making Social Science Work Across Space and Time: A Critical Reflection on Robert Putnam's *Making Democracy Work*," *American Political Science Review* 90, no. 2 (1996): 389-397.

III Ultra-Nationalist Intellectual Centres in Contemporary Russia

“Nothing is so popular in Russia today as disliking America.”

*Aleksandr Dugin*¹⁹⁵

Below, I survey briefly one specific sphere of Russia’s uncivil society: Its theory circles and think-tanks—in Russian, *mozgovye tsentry* (brain-centres)—and their propaganda, publishing and educational activities in Russia.¹⁹⁶

III.1 Other Manifestations of Uncivil Society in Contemporary Russia

There are a number of further phenomena in Russian uncivil society that would be also worth considering in connection with the argument of this study. These include, among others:

- (a) the infiltration of established civil society institutions, such as the trade union movement, with anti-democratic ideas of various kinds,¹⁹⁷

¹⁹⁵ Aleksandr Dugin, “Byt’ russkim—znachit’ byt’ anti-amerikanskim, ili pochemu my lyubin Shtaty,” *Komsomolskaya pravda*, 25 March 2003, as quoted in Stefani Hoffman, “No Love from Russia,” in: Barry Rubin and Judy Colp Rubin, eds., *Loathing America* (Herzliya: The Global Research in International Affairs Center, 2004), 23-41, here 23.

¹⁹⁶ See also, Andreas Umland, „Die rechtsextremistische APO im heutigen Russland.“ For general introductions to the study of think-tanks, see J.A. Smith, *The Idea Brokers: Think Tanks and the Rise of the New Policy Elite* (New York: Free Press, 1991); D. Stone, *Capturing Political Imagination: Think Tanks and the Policy Process* (Portland, OR: Frank Cass, 1996); Endryu [Andrew] Rich and Kent R. Uiver [Weaver], “Propagandisty i analitiki: ‘mozgovye tsentry’ i politizatsiya ekspertov,” *Pro et Contra* 8, no. 2 (2003): 64-89.

¹⁹⁷ Vladimir Marakasov, “Cherepovetskii poligon,” in: Vladimir Ilyushenko, ed., *Nuzhen li Gitler Rossii?: Po materialam Mezhdunarodnogo foruma “Fashizm v totalitarnom i posttotalitarnom obshchestve: ideynye osnovy, sotsial’naya baza, politicheskaya aktivnost’,”* Moskva, 20-22 yanvarya 1995 goda (Moskva: PIK, 1996), 60-62; Shenfield, *Russian Fascism*, 142-143. On the NBP’s influence on the “independent” students’ trade union *Sashchita*, see Mathyl, “Die offenkundige Nisse und der rassenmäßige Feind’,”

- (b) the emergence of a number of new volunteer, grass-roots and self-help organizations, such as various ecological groups, anti-drug initiatives, or child-support organizations,¹⁹⁸ that—in spite of owing their existence to liberal democracy—do not promote, or even explicitly reject its normative foundations,¹⁹⁹
- (c) certain tendencies in the Russian Christian-Orthodox churches, especially within the Moscow Patriarchy,²⁰⁰
- (d) many of the new or revived Russian Orthodox brotherhoods,²⁰¹
- (e) the ultra-nationalist sections of the neo-pagan movement,²⁰²

13-14, 35.

¹⁹⁸ Dvizhenie "V zashchitu detstva": *My v otvete za budushchee. Materialy vserossiiskoi konferentsii "Ispolnit' dolg pered budushchim" (8 aprelya 2000 g.)* (Moskva: Mysl', 2000).

¹⁹⁹ Stephen D. Shenfield, "The Movement 'In Defense of Childhood'," *JRL Research and Analytical Supplement*, no. 4 (January 2002): 2-3.

²⁰⁰ Paul D. Steeves, "Russian Orthodox Fascism After Glasnost," Paper presented at the Conference on Faith and History, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania, 8 October 1994, URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.stetson.edu/~psteeves/rusorthfascism.html>; John B. Dunlop, "The Russian Orthodox Church as an 'Empire Saving' Institution," in: Michael Bordeaux, ed., *The Politics of Religion in Russia and the New States of Eurasia* (Armonk, NY: M.E. Sharpe, 1995), 15-40; Leonid Stonov, "The Role of the Russian Orthodox Church in Promoting Antisemitism and Religious Persecution," in: Nikolai Butkevich, ed., *Antisemitism, Xenophobia and Religious Persecution in Russia's Regions, 1998-1999* (Washington, DC: Union of Councils for Soviet Jews, 1999), 23-25; Shenfield, "The Russian Orthodox Church," in his *Russian Fascism*, 60-72; Aleksandr Verkhovskii, "Religioznaya ksenofobiya," in: Aleksandr Verkhovskii, Vladimir Pribylovskii and Yekaterina Mikhaylovskaya, *Natsionalizm i ksenofobiya v rossiiskom obshchestve* (Moskva: Panorama, 1998), 168-89; *idem*, "Tserkov' v politike i politika v Tserkvi," in: Aleksandr Verkhovskii, Yekaterina Mikhaylovskaya and Vladimir Pribylovskii, *Politicheskaya ksenofobiya: Radikal'nye gruppy. Predstavleniya liderov. Rol' tserkvi* (Moskva: Panorama, 1999), 60-122; *idem*, "Russian Orthodoxy in the Russian Ultra-Nationalist Movement," Paper presented at the 33rd Annual Convention of the Association for the Advancement of Slavic Studies, 15-18 November 2001; Aleksandr Verkhovskii, *Politicheskoe pravoslavie: Russkie pravoslavnye natsionalisty i fundamentalisty, 1995-2001 gg.* (Moskva: Panorama, 2003).

²⁰¹ Oxana Antic, "Revival of Orthodox Brotherhoods," *RFE/RL Research Report* 1, no. 11 (13 March 1992): 62; Stella Rock, "'An Aggressive Faith': Extreme Nationalism in the Russian Orthodox Brotherhood Movement," Paper presented at the 33rd Annual Convention of the American Association for the Advancement of Slavic Studies, Arlington, Virginia, 15-18 November 2001.

²⁰² Viktor Shnirel'man, *Neoyazychestvo i natsionalizm: Vostochnoevropeyskii areal. Issledovaniya po prikladnoi i neotlozhnoi etnologii* 114 (Moskva: Institut etnologii i antropologii RAN, 1998); Victor A. Shnirelman, *Russian Neo-Pagan Myths and Antisemitism*. ACTA 13 (Jerusalem: The Vidal Sassoon International Center for the Study of Antisemitism, 1998); Viktor Shnirel'man, "Gde lezhat istoki 'Mirovogo zla'?": Neonatsizm v rossiiskoi massovoi literature," *Diagnoz: Antifashistskoe obozrenie*, no. 4 (May 1999): 14-15; *idem*, ed., *Neoyazychestvo na prostorakh Evrazii* (Moskva: Bibleysko-bogoslovskii institut sv. Apostola Andrey, 2001); A. Shcheglov, *Vozvrashchenie bogov: Politicheskaya sotsiologiya neoyazychestva* (Moskva: Probel, 1999); Vladimir Pribylovskii, "Russkoe neoyazychestvo—kvazireligiya natsionalizma i ksenofobiya," in: Verkhovskii, Mikhay-

- (f) a large part of the Cossack movement containing some primary examples of, in Payne's terms, "uncivil movements,"²⁰³
- (g) the ultra-nationalist hard-rock and punk scene,²⁰⁴
- (h) the fast growing skinhead movement,²⁰⁵

lovskaya and Pribylovskii, *Politicheskaya ksenofobiya*, 123-133; *idem*, "Neoyazycheskoe krylo v russkom natsionalizme," Paper presented at the 33rd Annual Convention of the American Association for the Advancement of Slavic Studies, Arlington, Virginia, 15-18 November 2001; Evgenii Morozov, *Istoriya "Mertvoi vody"—ot strashnoi skazki k real'noi politike: Politicheskoe neoyazychestvo v postsovetsoi Rossii*. Soviet and Post-Soviet Politics and Society 17 (Stuttgart: *ibidem*, 2005).

²⁰³ As defined by Payne, *Uncivil Movements*. See A.P. Andreev and E.V. Panasyuk, "Kazach'e dvizhenie," *Politicheskie issledovaniya*, no. 3 (15) (1993): 57-61; Aleksandr Mukhin and Vladimir Pribylovskii, *Kazach'e dvizhenie v Rossii i stranakh blizhnego zarubezh'ya (1988-1994 gody)*, 1st Vol. (Moskva: Panorama, 1994); T.V. Tabolina, *Panorama sovremennogo kazachestva: istoki, kontury, tipologizatsiya*. Issledovaniya po prikladnoi i neotlozhnoi etnologii 58 (Moskva: Institut etnologii i antropologii RAN, 1994); Aleksandr Verkhovskii, Anatoly Papp and Vladimir Pribylovskii, "Irkutskoe kazach'e voysko," "Kubanskoe kazach'e voysko," "Soyuz kazakov voyska donskogo," and "Soyuz kazach'ikh voysk Rossii i zarubezh'ya," in their *Politicheskii ekstremizm v Rossii* (Moskva: Panorama, 1996), 97-102, 175-185; Georgi M. Derlugian and Serge Cipko, "The Politics of Identity in a Russian Borderland Province: The Kuban Neo-Cossack Movement, 1989-1996," *Europe-Asia Studies* 49, no. 8 (1997): 1485-1500; Shenfield, "The Cossack Revival Movement," in his, *Russian Fascism*, 74-81;

²⁰⁴ A. Troitsky, *Rock in Rußland: Rock und Subkultur in der UdSSR*. Transl. by M. Sax (Wien: Hannibal-Verlag, 1989); Thomas Cushman, *Notes from the Underground: Rock Music Counterculture in Russian Society* (Albany: State University of New York Press, 1995); Leonid J. Ivanov, "Die patriotische Gegenkultur," in his, *Rußland nach Gorbatschow: Wurzeln-Hintergründe-Trends der sich formierenden Gruppierungen—Perspektiven für die Zukunft* (Passau: Wissenschaftsverlag Rothe, 1996), 88-91; Mathyl, "Die offenkundige Nisse und der rassenmäßige Feind'"; Markus Mathyl, "Das Entstehen einer nationalistischen Gegenkultur im Nachperestroika-Rußland," *Jahrbuch für Antisemitismusforschung* 9 (2000): 68-107; Aleksandr Aksel'rod, Lev Krichevskii and Vyacheslav Likhachev, "Antisemitizm i ksenofobiya v molodezhnoi kul'ture," *Antisemitizm i ksenofobiya v Rossiiskoi Federatsii: Informatsionno-analiticheskii byulleten'*, no. 7 (October 2000) (URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.panorama.ru:8101/works/patr/bul/bul07.zip>).

²⁰⁵ Hilary Pilkington, "Farewell to the *Tusovka*: Masculinities and Feminities on the Moscow Youth Scene," in: *idem*, ed., *Gender, Generation and Identity in Contemporary Russia* (London: Routledge, 1996), 236-263; Verkhovskii, Mikhaylovskaya and Pribylovskii, "Skinkhedy (britogolovye)," and "Skiny'," in their, *Politicheskaya ksenofobiya*, 40, 56-59; Aleksandr Tarasov, "Offspring of Reforms—Shaven Heads Are Skinheads: The New Fascist Youth Subculture in Russia," *Russian Politics and Law* 39, no. 1 (January-February 2001): 43-89; Valery Solovei, "Zuby drakona: Molodezhnyi radikalizm—rezerv 'bol'shoy' politiki," *Vek*, no. 17, 27 April 2001; Shenfield, "The Skinheads," in his, *Russian Fascism*, 81-84; N.N., "Molodezh' i natsional-ekstremizm," at: URL (last accessed October 2006): http://www.aha.ru/~ofa/9_1_vstupl1.htm; Aleksandr Aksel'rod, Lev Krichevskii and Vyacheslav Likhachev, "Skinkhedy (britogolovye): Neonatsistское molodezhnoe dvizhenie," *Antisemitizm i ksenofobiya v Rossiiskoi Federatsii: Informatsionno-analiticheskii byulleten'*, no. 12 (supplement) (April-May 2001) (URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.panorama.ru:8101/works/patr/bul/bul12dop.zip>).

- (i) various newspaper, magazines, and publishing houses with a distinctly anti-Western profile, like *Paley* or *Russkaya ideia*,²⁰⁶ as well as their book series, like Yauza Publishers' series *Russkii revansh* (Russian Revanch) or *Put' Rossii* (Russia's Path), in which, among others, the above mentioned Kucherenko-Kalashnikov and Dugin have published their books,²⁰⁷
- (j) a number of more or less nationalist TV shows, like Moskoviya Channel's weekly program *Russkii vzglyad* (Russian View) moderated by the popular anchorman Ivan Demidov,²⁰⁸
- (k) the multitude of ultra-nationalist World Wide Web sites,²⁰⁹
- (l) ultra-nationalist tendencies in visual arts;²¹⁰
- (m) the, under both the Czarist and Soviet rule, important nationalist literary scene with its well-known "thick journals;"²¹¹ and

²⁰⁶ E.g. Mikhail Nazarov, *Zhit' bez strakha iyudeiska! O prichinakh, tselyakh i pervykh rezul'tatakh "Pis'ma 500-5000-15000"* (Moskva: Russaya ideya, 2005).

²⁰⁷ Kalashnikov, *Vpered, v SSSR-2*; Dugin, *Proekt "Evraziya."* Yauza Press has been cooperating with the large Russian publisher Eksmo-Press (www.eksmo.ru) which had to apologize for Yauza's publications (see URL [last accessed October 2006]: <http://resheto.ru/speaking/news/news696.php>), but apparently continues to cooperate with Yauza.

²⁰⁸ URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.religare.ru/monitoring7321.htm>; URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.panorama.ru/info/demo/TEXTS/17282.html>; Mitrofanova, *The Politicization of Russian Orthodoxy*.

²⁰⁹ Aleksandr Verkhsosvkiy, "Ekstremisty i radikaly v russkoi seti," at: URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.panorama.ru:8101/works/patr/extr.html>; Henrike Schmidt, "Kein betrüblicher Systemfehler"? Zum Phänomen des künstlerisch-politischen Extremismus in der russischen Internetkultur," in: Birgit Menzel, ed., *Kulturelle Konstanten im Wandel: Zur Situation der russischen Kulture heute*. Dokumente und Analysen zur russischen und sowjetischen Kultur 28 (Bochum: projektverlag, 2004), 211-244; Mitrofanova, *The Politicization of Russian Orthodoxy*, 110-113.

²¹⁰ E.g. Michael Hagemeister, "Anti-Semitism, Occultism, and Theories of Conspiracy in Contemporary Russia—The Case of Il'ia Glazunov," in: Vladimir Paperni, ed., *Philosemitism and Anti-Semitism in Modern Russia and Eastern Europe* (Haifa-Jerusalem: Hebrew University, 2004), 235-241.

²¹¹ Katja Lebedeva and Hans-Jürgen Lehnert, "Neoslawophile contra Westler: Neue alte Polarisierungen in der russischen Kultur," *Berliner Debatte: Initial*, no. 6 (1992): 11-16; Andreas Umland, "Die Sprachrohre des russischen Revanchismus," *Die Neue Gesellschaft: Frankfurter Hefte* 42, no. 10 (1995): 916-921; Kathleen Parthé, "Chto delaet pisatelya 'russkim'? 'Rusifikatsiya' russkoi literatury posle 1985 goda," *Voprosy literatury*, no. 1 (1996): 83-120; *idem*, "The Empire Strikes Back: How Right-Wing Nationalists Tried to Recapture Russian Literature," *Nationalities Papers* 24, no. 4 (December 1996): 601-624; Henrietta Mondry, "'Political Philology': Nationalism in the Russian Literary Press (1993-1996)," in: Vladimir Tikhomirov, ed., *In Search of Identity: Five Years Since the Fall of the Soviet Union* (Melbourne: Centre for Russian and Euro-Asian Studies, University of Melbourne, 1996), 133-142; Ivanov, "Die Patrioten und die Kultur," in his, *Rußland nach Gorbatschow*, 63-88; David Wells, "War and National Memory: Nationalism in Contemporary Russian Literature," *Political Crossroads* 6, no. 1/2 (1998): 129-138; Wendy

- (n) a number of organizations calling themselves “parties” that should, however, be conceptualized as hybrids between proper political parties, on the one side, and groupuscules (as introduced above) on the other, including the *RNE*,²¹² and *NBP*,²¹³ and that thus fulfil both functions in this political spectrum (with the groupuscular one often being the more, or even only important aspect of their activities), as well as some further groupings constituting proper groupuscules (as defined above) that do not fall into any of the other categories listed here.²¹⁴

These certainly significant phenomena are largely ignored here not only in view of lack of space, but also because they have, partly, been subject to scholarly scrutiny before. In a number of cases, already considerable research has been done on these phenomena. That seems to be less the case with regard to the intellectual centres, in general, and their institution-building, networking and propaganda efforts, in particular. The overview of some activities in the latter realm below is meant to complement previous content analyses and interpretations of the publications of these centres, and to suggest stronger attention to the issue of how industrious, successful and influential organizations they actually constitute (and not only what their ideas are about), in future research.²¹⁵

Slater, “The Patriots’ Pushkin,” *Slavic Review* 58, no. 2 (1999): 407-427.

²¹² Likhachev, “Chto predstavlyaet soboi Russkoe Natsional’noe Edinstvo kak organizatsiya.”

²¹³ Mathyl, “‘Die offenkundige Nisse und der rassenmäßige Feind;’” *idem*, “Hammer und Sichel in der Fahne Hitlers;” and Rogachevskii, “The National-Bolshevik Party (1993-2001).” The groupuscular rather than party-character of the *NBP* is documented in Eduard V. Limonov’s autobiographical *Anatomiya Geroya* (Smolensk: Rusich, 1998). See also Mathyl, “The National-Bolshevik Party and Arctogaia;” and A. Toporova, “‘Natsboly’ v Sankt-Peterburge: obrazy i povsednevnost’,” in: V.V. Kostyusheva, ed., *Molodezhnye dvizheniya i subkul’tury Sankt-Peterburga (sotsiologicheskii i antropologicheskii analiz)* (Sankt-Peterburg: Norma, 1999), 117-127. I am grateful to Andrei Rogachevskii for sending me the latter article.

²¹⁴ Perhaps, some of the well-researched various *Pamyat’* groups, as well as their successor organizations would, apart from a number of other similar groupings such as the Right-Radical Party of Sergey Zharikov, fall in this category. Brief English-language surveys that list further putative groupuscules are Alexander Verkhovsky, “Ultra-Nationalists in Russia at the Onset of Putin’s Rule,” *Nationalities Papers* 28, no. 4 (2000): 707-726; Vyacheslav Likhachyov, “Nationalist Radicals in Contemporary Russia: Ideology, Activities, and Relationship to the Authorities,” in: T. Lokshina, ed., *Nationalism, Xenophobia and Intolerance in Contemporary Russia*. Transl. by MBS Intellect Services Inc. (Moskva: Moscow Helsinki Group, 2002), 259-281.

²¹⁵ The prominent Russian ultra-nationalist publicist Aleksandr Sevast’yanov once correctly identified a reason for paying attention to intellectuals and think-tanks: “The practice has shown that our common adversaries are not so much afraid of nationalists in boots and black blouses [...]. A nationalist with a bowtie—a scholar, a writer, a banker, a top-administrator, a lawyer—horrifies them.” See *NDPR—partiya russkogo naroda* (Moskva:

III.2 Post-Soviet Right-Wing Think Tanks

There have been several networks of nationalist intellectuals in post-war Soviet Russia within the dissident scene, and around the semi-official "thick-journals."²¹⁶ Some articles and books published in these frameworks gained relevance for the formulation of the programs of the newly emerging nationalist parties in Russia in the late 1980s and early 1990s.²¹⁷ However, eventually many writings of the Soviet-era publicists and novelists became outdated when the Russian political system and society transmuted ever more deeply. Although most of the important Soviet "thick journals" kept and keep appearing, some new initiatives by often, until then, unknown intellectuals have since 1990 gained prominence. Among these more recent institutions are:

- Aleksandr Podberezkin's Center for International and Strategic Research, publishing company *RAU-korporatsiya* (Russian-American University Corporation), and Foundation/Movement *Dukhovnoe nasledie* (Spiritual Heritage; perhaps, the most important set of institutions in this list),²¹⁸
- Yevgenii Troitsky's Association for the Complex Study of the Russian Nation,
- Sergei Shatokhin's and Yevgenii Morozov's International Institute of Geopolitics,

Natsional'naya gazeta, 2005), 63, as quoted in Mitrofanova, *The Politicization of Russian Orthodoxy*, 119. It might be also worth adding that Anders Uhlin has found that not only "[t]he level of education among the interviewed civil society elites [in Russia and the Baltics] is impressively high," but that it is highest (89% with higher education) among the leaders of those NGOs which have an ethnic or nationalist orientation. Uhlin, *Post-Soviet Civil Society*, 67. Another important finding in this context would be that local elites in Russia are "quite elitist in their orientation." *Ibid.*, 159.

²¹⁶ Brudny, *Reinventing Russia*.

²¹⁷ Robert Horvath, "The Specter of Russophobia," *The Soviet and Post-Soviet Review* 25, no. 2 (1998): 199-222.

²¹⁸ For a useful collection of various assessments of the impact of these institutions, see Aleksandr Podberezkin, *Russkii put': sdelai shag!* 3rd edn. (Moskva: RAU-Universitet, 1998), 300-314. The most extensive treatment of *RAU-korporatsiya* so far can be found in Peter Reddaway and Dmitri Glinski, *The Tragedy of Russia's Reforms: Market Bolshevism Against Democracy* (Washington, DC: United States Institute of Peace Press, 2001). See also Aleksandr M. Verkhovskii, Ekaterina V. Mikhailovskaya and Vladimir V. Pribylovskii, *Politicheskaya ksenofobiya: Radikal'nye gruppy. Predstavleniya politikov. Rol' Tserkvi* (Moskva: Panorama, 1999), 23-24.

- the Moscow Historical-Politological Center attached to the ultra-nationalist party *Russkii obshchenatsional'nyi soyuz* (Russian All-National Union),²¹⁹
- General-Major Konstantin Petrov's so-called Popular Movement "*K bogoderzhaviyu*" (Towards God's Rule), or *Kontseptual'naya partiya "Edinenie"* (Conceptual Party "Unification"),²²⁰ and its Academy of Management,²²¹
- General Leonid Ivashov's *Akademiya geopoliticheskikh problem* (Academy of Geopolitical Problems) and its affiliated Center for Ethnopolitical and Islamic Studies led by Den'ga Khalidov,²²²
- Igor Dëmin's Orthodox-Monarchic Analytical Center "*Al'fa & Omega*,"²²³

²¹⁹ Ivanov, *Rußland nach Gorbatschow*, 347-363.

²²⁰ Verkhovskii, Mikhaylovskaya and Pribylovskii, *Politicheskaya ksenofobiya*, 28; Morozov, *Istoriya "Mertvoi vody."* The "*K bogoderzhaviyu*" group has been also calling itself the "Internal Predictor of the USSR," and included (and, possibly, still includes) former LDPR State Duma Deputy Yuri P. Kuznetsov and the former theorist of the Russian Communist Labor Party E.G. Kuznetsov. See, Umland, "Vladimir Zhirinovskii in Russian Politics," sec. I.3.5 & sub-sec. III.5.4.2; and Shnirelman, *Russian Neo-Pagan Myths and Antisemitism*, 26. An anonymous *Elementy* (see below) book reviewer of this group's main text, *Mërtvaya voda* (Dead Water, edited by E.G. Kuznetsov), while pointing to its numerous pathologies, also reports "the serious approach that [this book] encounters not only in patriotic circles, but among rather highly placed figures of the state too." See Vnutrennyi Prediktor SSSR, *Mërtvaya voda*. 3 vols. (Sankt-Peterburg: Kitezh - Derzhavnyi grad Rossii, 1992); N.N., "Monstruositas," *Elementy*, no. 8 (1996/97): 110. Another book by this group that has seemingly gained some readership, and somewhat resembles Aleksandr Dugin's major publication project "The Foundations of Geopolitics" (see below) is Yu. Kuznetsov and V. Nikol'skii, *Vvedenie v teoriyu natsional'noi bezopasnosti: Problemy bezopasnosti russkogo naroda i sovremennost'* (Almaty: Vernyi, 1999). The early publications of this group include also Mikhail Ivanov, "Novaya elita konceptual'noi vlasti," *Sokol Zhirinovskogo*, no. 3 (1992): 10-12; P. Sokolov, M. Ivanov and Yu. Kuznetsov, eds., *Liberal'no-demokraticheskaiia partiia: Teoreticheskaya platforma partii - kontseptsiiya obshchestvennoi bezopasnosti Rossii v global'nom istoricheskom protsesse. Odobrena na 3-em s'ezde partii 18-19 apreliia 1992 g.* (Sankt-Peterburg: LDPR, 10 April 1992); Rabochaya gruppa Vnutrennogo prediktora Rossii, "Shpiral' istorii: kuda vedut 'dorogi na Moskvu.' Paragraf iz rukopisii, podgotovlennoi Vnutrennym prediktorom Rossii, 'Razgermetizatsiia' (1990 g.)," *Rossiyanin: Spetsial'nyi vypusk Sankt-Peterburgskogo otdeleniia Russkogo natsional'nogo sobora* (Sankt-Peterburg: ONTO "Genez," 18 October 1993); Vnutrennyi Prediktor Rossii, "Kratkii kurs...", *Biznes i uchët v Rossii: Spetsial'nyi vypusk*, no. 5-6 (1994): 3-65, reprinted in: *Slovo Zhirinovskogo: Spetsial'nyi vypusk* (December 1994).

²²¹ Mitrofanova, *The Politicization of Russian Orthodoxy*, 162.

²²² Mitrofanova, *The Politicization of Russian Orthodoxy*, 64-65.

²²³ "Alpha & Omega's" major activity in 1994 constituted the publication of the book series "Ethnic History" that included historic and contemporary antisemitic and racist pamphlets with suggestive titles including V.A. Prussakov's "Fascism and the Jews," "New Empire," and "Portraits Against the Background of the Swastika," S.G. Antonenko's "Aryan Russia," Ya. Davis's "Judaism," A. Shmakov's "The Secret International Government," A.P. Pyatkovskii's "A State in the State," A. Lipardin's "Equal Rights and the Jewish Question," A. Mel'skii's "At the Roots of the Big Hate," and P. Tulaev's "The Conservative

- Gen.(ret.) Leonid Shershnev's *Fond natsional'noi i mezhdunarodnoi bezopastnosti* (Foundation for National and International Security) and its bimonthly bulletin *Bezopastnost'* (Security),²²⁴
- the Analytical Center *NAMAKON—Nezavisimoe agentstvo, marketing i konsalting* (Independent Agency, Marketing and Consulting),²²⁵
- Mikhail Leont'ev's (see below) *Serafimovskii klub* (Serafim Club),²²⁶ and
- Arkadii Maler's *Vizantiiskii-evraziiskii klub "Katekhon"* (Byzantine-Eurasianist Club "Katekhon") or simply Byzantine Club founded in 1999 at Moscow's Institute of Philosophy, and the Philosophical and Political Center *Severnyi Katekhon* (Northern Katekhon).²²⁷

These centres are not only peculiar for their relative novelty. They are also distinct for being under-researched so far—an omission that, especially with regard to Podbezkin's influential Foundation/Movement *Dukhovnoe nasledie* and productive publishing house *RAU-Korporatsiya*,²²⁸ constitutes an unfortunate state of affairs.²²⁹

Revolution in Spain." For some reason, the series also included Theodor Herzl's "The Jewish State." See, Sergei G. Antonenko, *Rus' ariiskaya (Nepriychnaya pravda)*. Et-nicheskaya istoriya 2 (Moskva: Pallada, 1994), 90-94.

²²⁴ Mitrofanova, *The Politicization of Russian Orthodoxy*, 56, 160-161.

²²⁵ Mitrofanova, *The Politicization of Russian Orthodoxy*, 161-162, 214. See URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.namakon.ru>.

²²⁶ URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://serafim-club.ru>; Aleksandr Verkhovskii, "Serafimovskii klub: Romantika liberal'nogo konservatizma," *Neprikosnovennyi zapas*, no. 5(37) (2004): 26-35.

²²⁷ Mitrofanova, *The Politicization of Russian Orthodoxy*, 163, 208, 213. See URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.katehon.narod.ru>.

²²⁸ For some basic information, see Oksana Antonenko, *New Russian Analytical Centers and their Role in Political Decisionmaking* (Cambridge, MA: Strengthening Democratic Institutions Project, John F. Kennedy School of Government, Harvard University, 1996).

²²⁹ A leading specialist in the field has recently aptly noted that, in general, "English-language scholarly sources on fascist tendencies and movements in post-Soviet Russia are meager." Shenfield, *Russian Fascism*, 299.

III.3 Sergei Kurginyan's *ETTs*

Among the first of the new intellectual centres that did, in contrast, attract some attention by scholars was the International Foundation *Eksperimental'nyi tvorcheskii tsentr ETTs* (Experimental Creative Center) established, in February 1989, by the USSR Council of Ministers. The *ETTs* was headed by Sergei Ervandovich Kurginyan (b. 1949)—geophysicist, Candidate of Physical-Mathematical Sciences, former research fellow of the Moscow Oceanology Institute and Geological Institute, certified theatre director, one-time advisor to CPSU Moscow organization head Yuri Prokof'ev, and 1990 Patriotic Bloc candidate.²³⁰ In 1989-1991, the Center represented “the most serious attempt to revise official ideology into a nationalist creed.”²³¹ Russian journalists described the *ETTs* as “the think tank of the [ultra-conservative] deputy group ‘Soyuz’” in the USSR’s Congress of People’s Deputies,²³² and Kurginyan as the “mysterious advisor for the Kremlin leaders”²³³ as well as “the last mystical hope of the neo-Bolsheviks, saviour of the CPSU, [and] theoretician of communism as a new religion.”²³⁴ Ignatow called him “a kind of post-communist Cagliostro.”²³⁵ John Dunlop observed that

Kurginyan has been assailed by Russian “democrats” as “a political shaman,” “a charlatan,” and “the new Rasputin.” Yet despite such often-expressed contempt for Kurginyan, the “democrats” could scarcely deny the extraordinary influence that he exerted on Russian and Soviet politics during the period from 1989 through 1991. Among those he reportedly counselled were the Soviet president Gorbachev, two Soviet prime-ministers—Nikolai Ryzhkov and Valentin Pavlov—Ivan Polozkov, head

²³⁰ Jeff Gleisner, “Russia’s Post-Industrial Patriots,” *Russia and the World*, no. 17 (1990): 19-24; Yasmann, “Red Religion;” Alla Černych, “Sergej Kurginjan: Das siebente Szenarium,” *Berliner Debatte: Initial*, no. 3 (1994): 61-64; John B. Dunlop, “Sergei Kurginyan,” in his *The Rise of Russia and the Fall of the Soviet Empire*, 165-169.

²³¹ Brudny, *Reinventing Russia*, 252.

²³² *Nezavisimaya gazeta* as quoted in Sergei Kurginyan, *Sed'moi stsenarii: Do putcha*. 1st vol. (Moskva: ETTs, 1992), 6.

²³³ As quoted in Alexander Yanov, *Weimar Russia—And What We Can Do About It* (New York: Slovo-Word, n.d.), 274.

²³⁴ As quoted in Kurginyan, *Sed'moi stsenarii*, 6.

²³⁵ Assen Ignatow, “Das postkommunistische Vakuum und die neuen Ideologien: Zur gegenwärtigen geistigen Situation in Rußland,” *Osteuropa* 43, no. 4 (1993): 311-327, here 316.

of the Russian Communist party, and Vladimir Kryuchkov, chairman of the *KGB*.²³⁶

In October 1990 and February 1991, the Ryzhkov and Pavlov governments respectively issued decrees granting *ETTs* international status as well as broad prerogatives at home, and directing the USSR Ministries of Défense and Internal Affairs. The *KGB* was ordered to assign to the Center high-ranking officers from their active reserve.²³⁷ The think-tank had, according to one source, in 1991, a yearly budget of approximately seventy million roubles, and about 2,000 employees among whom there were, apart from up to one hundred political analysts,²³⁸ "mainly programmers, physicists, biologists, and constructors."²³⁹

The Center apparently reached the peak of its political influence in the late summer and fall of 1990 in connection with the discussion, in the USSR's leadership, of Grigory Yavlinsky's and Stanislav Shatalin's "500 days" plan of transition to a market economy. According to Dunlop, in August-September 1990, Kurginyan's Center advised Prime Minister Ryzhkov in his resistance against the adoption and implementation of the "500 days" plan. The authors of this plan were described by Kurginyan, at a brainstorming session of the USSR Council of Ministers, as objectively acting as "agents of [Western] imperialism."²⁴⁰

In late 1990, *ETTs* presented its own vision for the Soviet Union's post-communist future—and it was telling that the pamphlet of Kurginyan's Center was titled *Postperestroika*.²⁴¹ Subsequently, *ETTs* developed geopolitical models, reform programs, and schemes for the fight against increasing crime. Among other things, it published a draft proposal for a new CPSU platform in July 1991, and contributed to the economics section of the program of the ultra-nationalist National-Republican Party of Russia of Nikolai Lysenko. Later, Kurginyan created special appendices for the spread of *ETTs*'s ideas: in 1992, the Inter-Regional Club "*Postperestroika*," and, in 1994, the elite club "*Soderzhatel'noe edinstvo*" (Substantive Unity) that included

²³⁶ Dunlop, *The Rise of Russia and the Fall of the Soviet Empire*, 165.

²³⁷ *Ibid.*, 166.

²³⁸ Kurginyan, *Sed'moi stsenarii*, 116.

²³⁹ Yanov, *Weimar Russia*, 274.

²⁴⁰ As quoted in Dunlop, *The Rise of Russia and the Fall of the Soviet Empire*, 166.

²⁴¹ Sergei E. Kurginyan et al., *Postperestroika: Kontseptual'naya model' razvitiya nashego obshchestva, politicheskikh partii i obshchestvennykh organizatsii* (Moskva: Izdatel'stvo politicheskoi literatury, 1990); Victor Yasmann, "Elite Think Tank Prepares 'Post-Perestroika' Strategy," *Report on the USSR*, 24 May 1991: 1-6; Paul Bellis and Jeff Gleisner, "After Perestroika: A Neo-Conservative Manifesto," *Russia and the World*, no. 19 (1991): 19-24.

among its more than hundred members such prominent figures as Valerii Zorkin, Oleg Shenin, Vladimir Kryuchkov, Nikolai Ryzhkov, and Aleksandr Sokolov.²⁴² Since 1993, Kurginyan's *ETT*s has been publishing, apart from books and brochures,²⁴³ the high-brow journal *Rossiya XXI* (Russia in the 21st Century) with contributions from a variety of, mainly, nationalist authors including the prominent publicist Ksenya Myailo.²⁴⁴

The basic idea of Kurginyan's grouping, in the early 1990s, seemed to be that the world is divided into individualistic and collectivistic civilizations with Russia belonging to the latter type. The Communist Party would need to ally itself with the Orthodox Church, re-centralize the state, lead the country on a developmental path modelled on the Japanese or Chinese examples, create a "religion of science," and reject the introduction of Western institutions enslaving the USSR to Western economies.²⁴⁵ Kurginyan wanted, as he announced in 1991, to make his contribution to this process by way of "creating an alternative national elite."²⁴⁶ Some noteworthy peculiarities in Kurginyan's approach have been his gloomy warnings about the possibility of a fascistization of Russia,²⁴⁷ his radical critique of Dugin (see below),²⁴⁸ and his negative attitude towards Germany—a country that, at least, in the 1990s, was seen as the preferred partner for Russia by most Russian nationalists, whether moderate or extreme.²⁴⁹

At the beginning of the 1990s, Kurginyan's Center constituted the most significant clearly nationalist think-tank, and publishing house (along with the older "thick journals"). However, while Kurginyan still occasionally appears in the media, *ETT*s has,

²⁴² S.B. Chernyshev, "Ot 'penovedeniya' k institutu budushchego: Sergei Ervandovich Kurginyan," in: S.B. Chernyshev, ed., *Inoe: Khrestomatiya novogo rossiiskogo samosoznaniya* (Moskva: Argus, 1995), 52-54.

²⁴³ E.g. Sergey Kurginyan, *Rossiya: Vlast' i oppositsiya* (Moskva: ETTs, 1993).

²⁴⁴ Ivanov, *Rußland nach Gorbatschow*, 349-350.

²⁴⁵ Dunlop, *The Rise of Russia and the Fall of the Soviet Empire*, 167-169; Brudny, *Reinventing Russia*, 253-254.

²⁴⁶ As quoted in Yanov, *Weimar Russia*, 274.

²⁴⁷ One should not be misled by such a stance of Kurginyan as his ideas can be interpreted as being close to proto-fascism themselves. See A. James Gregor, "Fascism and the New Russian Nationalism," *Communist and Post-Communist Studies* 31, no. 1 (1998): 1-15.

²⁴⁸ There are, nevertheless, a number of fundamental similarities in Kurginyan's and Dugin's world-views. See V.L. Tsymburskii, "'Novye pravyye' v Rossii: Natsional'nye predposylki zaimstvovaniya ideologii," in: Tatyana I. Zaslavskaya, ed., *Kuda idet Rossiya? Alternativy obshchestvennogo razvitiya*, 2nd Vol. (Moskva: Aspekt Press, 1995), 472-482, here 478 & 481.

²⁴⁹ Yanov, *Weimar Russia*, 273, 296.

since the early 1990s, lost its nimbus among Moscow’s elite and impact on Russian politics.

III.4 The Role of Aleksandr Prokhanov

A more steadily influential institution on the far right fringe among the new think-tanks, throughout the 1990s, was the Analytical Center of the most important post-Soviet ultra-nationalist weekly *Den'* (The Day) which was founded in November 1990, and later re-named into *Zavtra* (Tomorrow).²⁵⁰ The weekly also called itself "Organ of the Spiritual Opposition" and "A Newspaper of the State of Russia."²⁵¹ It has since its creation been edited by the well-known journalist and novelist, and one-time rocketry engineer, forester, *KGB* agent,²⁵² Asia-Africa correspondent of the high-brow weekly *Literaturnaya gazeta* (Literature newspaper), and secretary of the RSFSR Writer's Union Aleksandr Andreevich Prokhanov (b. 1938). Prokhanov is a writer, editor and ideologist of the Russian extreme right who has attracted considerable Western attention, and does thus not need a detailed introduction here.²⁵³ For his previous glorification of the Soviet Afghanistan adventure, and general militarism Prokhanov was labelled the "nightingale of the [Army] General Staff,"²⁵⁴ and has been compared to Rudyard Kipling.²⁵⁵ In the mid-1980s, he "came under increasing fire from literary critics for the crude militarism and lacking literary qualities of his writings."²⁵⁶ Igor' Klyamkin noted already in mid-1988 the growing political influence of the writer.²⁵⁷

Prokhanov's core ideas are summarized in his programmatic essay "The Ideology of Survival" published in 1990.²⁵⁸ There Prokhanov claims that, in 1942 (when the Nazis stood at the gates of Moscow and the Comintern broke down), the Communist Party

²⁵⁰ The recent issues of this most important weekly of the extreme Right may be found at URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.zavtra.ru/>.

²⁵¹ Mitrofanova, *The Politicization of Russian Orthodoxy*, 109.

²⁵² *Novyi vzgl'yad*, no. 19 (1994).

²⁵³ E.g. Stephen Shenfield, "Making Sense of Prokhanov," *Détente*, no. 5 (1987): 28-29, 51; Karla Hielscher, "Konturen der 'geistigen Opposition' im heutigen Rußland: Alexander Prochanow und die Zeitung der Neuen Rechten Djen," *Die Neue Gesellschaft: Frankfurter Hefte* 39, no. 5 (1992): 443-449; Riitta Pittman, "Writers and Politics in the Gorbachev Era," *Europe-Asia Studies* 44, no. 4 (1992): 665-685; John B. Dunlop, "Aleksandr Prokhanov," in his *The Rise of Russia and the Fall of the Soviet Empire*, 169-177; Gordon M. Hahn, "Opposition Politics in Russia," *Europe-Asia Studies* 46, no. 2 (1994): 305-335; and Simonsen, "Aleksandr Prokhanov," Allensworth, *The Russian Question*, 244-248.

²⁵⁴ As quoted in Dunlop, *The Rise of Russia and the Fall of the Soviet Empire*, 169.

²⁵⁵ Allensworth, *The Russian Question*, 245; Pribylovskii, *Vozhdi*, 84.

²⁵⁶ Simonsen, "Aleksandr Prokhanov," 97.

²⁵⁷ Dunlop, *The Rise of Russia and the Fall of the Soviet Empire*, 171. See also Allensworth, *The Russian Question*, 246.

²⁵⁸ Aleksandr Prokhanov, "Ideologiya vyzhivaniya," *Nash sovremennik*, no. 9 (1990): 3-9.

became the party of the people.²⁵⁹ This essay illustrates a trend in parts of the Russian extreme right in showing the ambivalent relationship of many ultra-nationalists to Russia's Soviet past. On the one hand, original Bolshevism (in some instances including Lenin) is rejected, and often equated with the post-Soviet democrats (who, in turn, are frequently portrayed as "criminals"). On the other hand, the "achievements" (sometimes including the purges) of Stalin who, though being Georgian, is seen as a Russian national hero, rather than an Old Bolshevik, are greatly appreciated.²⁶⁰ In Mitrofanova's words, "Aleksandr Prokhanov simply equates 'anti-liberal,' 'Soviet' and 'Orthodox.' For him not only Kim Jong Il and [Slobodan] Milosevic are [Christian] Orthodox, but also Fidel Castro, Yasser Arafat and even European nationalists Jean-Marie Le Pen and Jörg Haider."²⁶¹

With the gradual break-up of the Soviet Union in 1990-91, Prokhanov's major focus of activity switched from that of a writer, to that of an editor for, and organizer of, the extreme right. This process eventually led to the regular publication of his weekly *Den'* from January 1991 onwards, and the gathering of a distinguished circle of ultra-nationalist analysts as the newspaper's regular contributors. Among the Analytical Centre's aims were and are to introduce via *Den'/Zavtra* to nationalist intellectuals new trends in Russian and foreign right-wing thought, and to analyze the current power structures as well as to provide interpretations of their activities from a "patriotic" point of view.²⁶² Mitrofanova writes that "[i]n many aspects, *Zavtra* is an 'anti-newspaper.' It publishes no fresh or exclusive information: only interpretations and explanations of current events."²⁶³

Prokhanov's aim, in particular, was and is to use the newspaper *Den'/Zavtra* to bring together various brands of Russian ultra-nationalism, and induce their coordination and unification. Prokhanov has been a driving force behind various broad alliances of, and ideological innovations—including the spread of Eurasianism—in, the Russian extreme right.²⁶⁴ He became "the far Right's unofficial minister of propaganda."²⁶⁵ *Den'/Zavtra* included, at one point or another, most major Russian opposition figures of the 1990s (with the notable exceptions of Zhirinovskii, Barkashov and Li-

²⁵⁹ Simonsen, "Aleksandr Prokhanov," 100.

²⁶⁰ For a succinct summary of Prokhanov's article see Dunlop, *The Rise of Russia and the Fall of the Soviet Empire*, 172-174.

²⁶¹ Mitrofanova, *The Politicization of Russian Orthodoxy*, 63.

²⁶² Ivanov, *Rußland nach Gorbatschow*, 350-351.

²⁶³ Mitrofanova, *The Politicization of Russian Orthodoxy*, 109.

²⁶⁴ Verkhovskii, Papp and Pribylovskii, *Politicheskii ekstremizm v Rossii*, 284-286.

²⁶⁵ Laqueur, *Fascism*, 192.

monov) on its editorial board, including Dugin and Zyuganov.²⁶⁶ Victor Yasmann reported in 1993 that Prokhanov had secured contributions to *Den'* by "the former rector of Moscow State University, the director of the thermo-nuclear centre in Protvino, academician Anatolii Logunov, and the director of the Institute of Socio-Political Research of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Gennadii Osipov."²⁶⁷ In mid-1994, Prokhanov claimed that his newspaper was influencing Moscow's elite groups and becoming a factor in the formation of post-Soviet ideology.²⁶⁸ According to Michael Specter, "[p]erhaps more than any man in Russia, [Prokhanov] helped for [...] the powerful alliance of Communists and nationalist groups that [made] Gennady A. Zyuganov [...] the main challenger for the Russian presidency [in 1996]."²⁶⁹ In Wayne Allensworth's words,

Prokhanov has engineered the various concrete forms the [communist-nationalist] coalition has taken since the collapse of the Soviet Union (the National Salvation Front and the People's Patriotic Union that backed Zyuganov's 1996 presidential candidacy, for example). The founder of the most influential nationalist publication in Russia has worked diligently to promote opposition unity and is perhaps the only nationalist figure who has remained on good terms with his comrades across the political spectrum.²⁷⁰

In 1994, Prokhanov had announced: "I limit my activities to the publication of a newspaper and the creation of ideological and propagandistic fields and energy."²⁷¹ However, having indeed devoted most of his energies to editorial and organizational work for the extreme right during the 1990s, Prokhanov, in 2001, made himself again widely known as a notable ultra-nationalist writer in his own right. He published, under the imprint of the respected Moscow press *Ad Marginem*, a best-selling political novel called *Gospodin Geksogen* (Mr. Hexogen) fictionalizing the 1999 apartment-block bombings in Moscow and other cities.²⁷² In May 2002, the notorious book won him

²⁶⁶ Pribylovskii, *Vozhdi*, 84-85.

²⁶⁷ Yasmann, "Red Religion," 35.

²⁶⁸ *Inform—600 sekund*, no. 4 (1994), as quoted in Simonsen, "Aleksandr Prokhanov," 93.

²⁶⁹ *New York Times*, 2nd May 1996, A1, as quoted in Sedgwick, *Against the Modern World*, 331.

²⁷⁰ Allensworth, *The Russian Question*, 261.

²⁷¹ *Inform—600 sekund*, no. 4 (1994), as quoted in Simonsen, "Aleksandr Prokhanov," 93.

²⁷² Vladimir Bondarenko, "Prokhanovskii proryv: O romane 'Gospodin Geksogen'," *Sovetskaya Rossiya*, 27 April 2002, at: URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.geksogen.veshnyaki.ru/p70.html>.

the prestigious 2001 National Bestseller Prize.²⁷³ Prokhanov promptly donated the \$10,000 prize-money to the defence of *NBP*-leader Eduard Limonov who was then awaiting his trial on charges of illegal arms ownership and attempting to overthrow the constitutional order.²⁷⁴

²⁷³ Oleg Proskurin, "Natsional'nyi bestseller-2001, ili apofeoz Prokhanova," *Russkii zhurnal*, 4 June 2002, at: URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.geksogen.veshnyaki.ru/p72.html>; and Aleksandr Gavrilov, "Radi krasno-korichnevogo slova," *Grani*, 4 June 2002, at: URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.grani.ru/bookprize/articles/prochanov/print.html>. I am grateful to Robert C. Otto for alerting me to these articles.

²⁷⁴ Victor Yasmann, "Book on 1999 Apartment-block Bombings Wins National Award," *RFE/RL Newsline* 6, no. 107 (10 June 2002), at: URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.rferl.org/newsline/2002/06/100602.asp>.

III.5 The Dugin Phenomenon²⁷⁵

“Time has come for Russia to find a clear-cut ideology, as well as a tough and understandable line in both, domestic and foreign affairs.”

*Aleksandr Dugin*²⁷⁶

One of *Den’/Zavtra*’s Analytical Centre’s most prolific early contributors, erudite theorists, and industrious publicists has been the mysticist Aleksandr Gel’evich Dugin (b. 1962).²⁷⁷ Dugin became first known in Russian ultra-nationalist circles through his publications in *Den’/Zavtra*’s in 1991-1992. In Alexander Yanov’s words, “having nearly monopolized the central periodical of the opposition, *Den’*, Dugin was [in mid-1992] halfway to elbowing [Sergey] Kurginyan out of the opposition’s intellectual leadership.”²⁷⁸ Later on, he edited the weekly’s sections *Vtorzhenie: national-bol’shevistskaya territoriya* (Invasion: The National-Bolshevik Territory) and *Evraziiskoe vtorzhenie* (Eurasian Invasion).²⁷⁹

He seems to have had considerable influence, not the least, on Prokhanov himself: The latter reproduced a core idea of Dugin’s early manifesto “The War of the Continents” (1991-1992) published first in *Den’*, namely the idea of a confrontation between a pro-Western *KGB* and Russian patriotic *GRU*, in the above-mentioned 2001 novel *Gospodin Geksogen*.²⁸⁰ Dugin, in turn, had been earlier influenced by older

²⁷⁵ See also, Umland, “Formirovanie fashistskogo ‘neoevraziiskogo’ intellektual’nogo dvizheniya v Rossii.” The most important autobiographic text by Dugin on his intellectual formation and entry into Russian politics, which will be quoted below and has only recently become available, is: Aleksandr Dugin, “Pravye lyudi—sovremennye pravye: Dugin Aleksandr Gel’evich (r. 1962),” *Pravya.ru*, 22 February 2006, URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.pravaya.ru/ludi/451/6742>. I am grateful to Prof. John Dunlop for bringing this revealing interview to my attention.

²⁷⁶ *Rossiiskaya gazeta*, 27th January 2005.

²⁷⁷ Among the first and best analyses of the Dugin phenonemon are Karla Hielscher, “Der Eurasismus: Die neoimperiale Ideologie der russischen ‘Neuen Rechten’,” *Die Neue Gesellschaft: Frankfurter Hefte* 40, no. 5 (1993): 465-469; *idem*, “Die Eurasien-Ideologie: Geschichtsmymen der russischen ‘Neuen Rechten’,” *Glaube in der 2. Welt*, no. 7/8 (1993): 25-30. Among the best English-language analyses of the phenomenon are Al-lensworth, *The Russian Question*, 243-262, and Shenfield, “Dugin, Limonov, and the National-Bolshevik Party,” in his, *Russian Fascism*, 190-220.

²⁷⁸ Yanov, *Weimar Russia*, 279.

²⁷⁹ Mitrofanova, *The Politicization of Russian Orthodoxy*, 109.

²⁸⁰ Mitrofanova, *The Politicization of Russian Orthodoxy*, 92-93.

writings of Prokhanov, as documented by the various references to Prokhanov in "The War of the Continents."²⁸¹

In spite of Dugin's, already in the early 1990s, notable publicistic successes within the far right, the study of the ideas, entourage and activities of this non-conformist writer has, until recently, been seen as the domain of an exclusive group of students of Russian sub-cultures, lunatic fringe politics, and occultism with a taste for the bizarre.²⁸² However, the establishment, in 2001, of the Socio-Political Movement "Eurasia," that will be described below, under Dugin's leadership represents merely the latest peak in a chain of consequential initiatives by him throughout the 1990s. Perhaps, counter-intuitively to many observers of Russia, the content, spread and reception of Dugin's quixotic ideas had, already in the 1990s, become relevant for an adequate assessment of mainstream Russian political, social and cultural trends too.²⁸³

III.5.1 Dugin's World View

Dugin's numerous writings have become the subject of several content analyses.²⁸⁴ Although Dugin has, especially recently, tried to present his agenda as a variety of, or, even, as mainstream, "Eurasianism" or "neo-Eurasianism" (a tendency to be scrutinized below),²⁸⁵ his ideas constitute not only and not so much permutations of these

²⁸¹ Dugin, *Konspirologiya*, 115.

²⁸² This is, to be sure, not a problem peculiar to contemporary Russian studies. As Roger Griffin mentions in his introduction to the groupuscular right: "Scholarship of this type [i.e. research into groupuscules] requires a passion for the recondite, the arabesque and the Byzantine which is not part of the staple qualities of the university-trained political scientist." Griffin, "From Slime Mould to Rhizome," 4.

²⁸³ Clover, "Dreams of the Eurasian Heartland;" and Markus Mathyl, "Grenzenloses Eurasien," *Jungle World*, no. 45 (2002), Ilan Berman, "Slouching Toward Eurasia?" at: URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.bu.edu/iscip/voll2/berman.html>.

²⁸⁴ E.g., Nikolai von Kreitor, "Dugin, Russian Geopolitics and the New World Order," in: *Dugin Aleksandr Gel'evich: Diskussiia*, at: URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.zhurnal.ru:8085/5/d.duginbio.htm> (25 September 1999): 3-8; Andrei Mishin, "Geopolitika i ezoterika: Kto vy gospodin Dugin," at: URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.raven.kiev.ua/DOSSIER/7.2000/dugin.htm>; Dmitrii Shlapentokh, "Russian Nationalism Today: The Views of Alexander Dugin," *Contemporary Review* 279, no. 1626 (2001): 29-37; Alan Ingram, "Alexander Dugin: Geopolitics and Neo-Fascism in Post-Soviet Russia," *Political Geography* 20, no. 8 (2001): 1029-1051; Markus Mathyl, "Alexander Dugin and the National-Bolshevik Party: Between the Search for a 'Russian Socialism' and International Fascism," Paper presented at the 33rd Annual Convention of the American Association for the Advancement of Slavic Studies, Arlington, Virginia, 15-18 November 2001. The following outline is based on these texts, and further works by Mathyl and others cited below.

²⁸⁵ See Françoise Thom, "Eurasianism: A New Russian Foreign Policy?" *Uncaptive Minds* 7, no. 2 (26) (1994): 65-77; Petra Gebhard, "Eine Brücke zwischen Europa und Asien: Die Lehre der Eurasier in der gegenwärtigen Diskussion um die russische Identität," unpubli-

schools of thought. Rather, as will be detailed below, Dugin's views are comparable to the German inter-war "Conservative Revolution," and owe much to various international schools of geopolitical, proto-fascist and conspirological thought including, for instance, Julius Evola or Jean Parvulesco as well as to the post-1968 West European "New Right."²⁸⁶ In the words of one observer, Dugin's theories are a "delirious combination of [Lev] Gumilëv [see below—A.U.], [Vladimir] Solovëv, [Friedrich] Nietzsche and theorists of fascism, contemporary and historical."²⁸⁷ In addition, there is an influence of mystical and occultist theories and writings like those of Hermann Wirth, Gustav Meyrink and Aleister Crowley reflecting a generally high interest in esotericism in post-Soviet Russia.²⁸⁸ Walter Laqueur has observed that "[i]f the Ariosophists of Germany and Austria had a presence in the early days of Nazism (until Hitler purged them), the purveyors of occultism have assumed an even greater role in the genesis of Russian fascism and the extreme Right."²⁸⁹

shed M.A. thesis, Johannes Gutenberg-Universität Mainz, 1994, 35-71; Michael Hagemeyer, "The Revival of Eurasianism," Paper presented at the 5th World Congress of the International Council for Central and East European Studies, Warsaw, 6-11 August 1995; *idem*, "Eurasierum und Nationalismus," Paper presented at the workshop "Der russische Nationalismus im europäischen Kontext: Wurzeln und Erscheinungsformen," Internationale Forschungszentrum Kulturwissenschaften, Vienna, 15-16 December 1995; Jens Fischer, *Eurasismus: Eine Option russischer Aussenpolitik?* (Berlin: Arno Spitz, 1998). For critique of Dugin's claim to have, as a „neo-Eurasian,“ merely developed further classical Eurasianism, see Ilya Vinkovetsky, "Eurasianism in Its Time: A Bibliography," in: Ilya Vinkovetsky and Charles Schlacks, Jr., eds., *Exodus to the East: Forebodings and Events. An Affirmation of the Eurasians* (Idyllwild, CA: Charles Schlacks, Jr., 1996), 143-155; Stefan Wiederkehr, "'Kontinent Evraziia': Alexander Dugin's Reading of Classical Eurasianism and Geopolitics," Paper presented at the 33rd Annual Convention of the American Association for the Advancement of Slavic Studies, Arlington, Virginia, 15-18 November 2001; Umland, "Kulturhegemoniale Strategien der russischen extremen Rechten."

²⁸⁶ Leonid Lyuks, "'Tretii put', ili Nazad v Tretii reikh?" Translated by A.V. Markin, *Voprosy filosofii*, no. 5 (2000): 33-44; Assen Ignatow, "Geopolitische Theorien in Rußland heute," *Berichte des Bundesinstituts für internationale und ostwissenschaftliche Studien*, no. 17 (1998); Yu.V. Tikhonravov, "Russkaya geopolitika," and "Zaklyuchenie" in his *Geopolitika: Uchebnoe posobie* (Moskva: INFRA-M, 2000), 230-258; Vladimir Kolossov and Rostislav Turovsky, "Russian Geopolitics at the Fin-de-siecle," *Geopolitics* 6, no. 1 (2001): 141-164; Tsymburskii, "'Novye pravye' v Rossii;" Jean Cremet, „Für eine Allianz der ‚Roten‘ und der ‚Weißen.‘ Zwischen Metapolitik und Geopolitik: Zur Durchdringung Osteuropas durch die ‚Neue‘ Rechte,“ in: Jean Cremet, Felix Krebs and Andreas Speit, *Jenseits des Nationalismus. Ideologische Grenzgänger der „Neuen Rechten“: Ein Zwischenbericht* (Hamburg/Münster: Unrast 1999), 91-120.

²⁸⁷ Judith Devlin, *Slavophiles and Commissars: Enemies of Democracy in Modern Russia* (New York: St. Martin's Press, 1999), 53.

²⁸⁸ Bernice Glatzer Rosenthal, "Political Implications of the Early Twentieth-Century Occult Revival," in: *idem*, ed., *The Occult in Russian and Soviet Culture* (Ithaca: Cornell University Press, 1997), 379-418. On Meyrink, Antoine Faivre, *The Eternal Hermes: From Greek God to Alchemical Magus* (Grand Rapids, MI: Phanes, 1995).

²⁸⁹ Laqueur, *Fascism*, 194.

Dugin thus writes not merely about certain contradictions between Western civilization and Russia as, for instance, above-mentioned Kucherenkov-Kalashnikov or Kurginyan do. Instead, he draws, in his otherwise contradictory writings, the recurring picture of an ancient conflict between

- free-market, capitalist, Atlanticist sea powers ("thallasocracies") that go back to the sunken world of Atlantis,²⁹⁰ continue the behaviour of the ancient states of Phoenicia and Carthago, and are now headed by the "mondialist" United States, on the one side, and
- autarkic, etatistic, Eurasian continental land powers ("tellurocracies"), originating with the mythic country of "Hyperborea," preserving the tradition of the ancient Roman Empire, and now having as its most important component Russia, on the other.

According to the above-mentioned series of articles writing by Dugin in February 1991 until January 1992, the secret orders or "occult conspiracies" of these two antagonistic civilizations—Eternal Rome and Eternal Carthago—have been in an age-old struggle, an occult Punic war, that has, often, remained hidden to its participants and even its key figures, but has, nevertheless, determined the course of world history. The confrontation is now entering its final stage, the "Great War of the Continents," demanding Russia's rebirth via a "conservative," "Last" and "permanent revolution."²⁹¹ This will be "the greatest Revolution in history, continental and universal, [...] the return of the angels, the resurrection of the heroes, and the uprising of the heart against the dictatorship of reason."²⁹² The new order to be created would be informed by the ideology of "National Bolshevism" and an exclusively "geopolitical" approach to international relations. A victory in this *Endkampf* (final fight) against Atlanticism would create a "New Socialism," and imply territorial expansion as well as the formation of a Eurasian bloc of fundamentalist land powers (including, perhaps,

²⁹⁰ Moroz, "Podnyavshii svastiku."

²⁹¹ Dugin, *Konspirologiya*, 91-131; Aleksandr Dugin, "Velikaya voina kontinentov," *Den'*, no. 4(32) (1992): 2, also quoted in Stefan Wiederkehr, "'Kontinent Evrasija'—Klassischer Eurasismus und Geopolitik in der Lesart Alexander Dugins," in: Markus Kaiser, ed., *Auf der Suche nach Eurasien: Politik, Religion und Alltagskultur zwischen Russland und Europa* (Bielefeld: transcript, 2004), 125-138, here 129; Aleksandr Sherman, "Vstupim v real'nost' stol' udivitel'nuyu, chto malo ne pokazhetsya: Interv'yu is Aleksandrom Duginym (Natsional-bol'shevistskaya partiya)," at: URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.zhurnal.ru:8085/5/duginsh.htm>, 5.

²⁹² Aleksandr Dugin, *Osnovy geopolitiki: Geopoliticheskoe budushchee Rossii* (Moskva: Arktogeta, 1997), 26, as quoted and translated by Shenfield, *Russian Fascism*, 195.

even a traditionalist Israel) against intrusive, individualist Anglo-Saxon imperialism.²⁹³ Writes Dugin in the early 1990s,

[b]oth Orders [the Eurasianists and Atlanticists] have the deepest ontological and sacral roots; they possess metaphysical reasons that make them what they are. To regard one of these Orders a historical accident means rejecting the secret logic of human and cosmic cycles. The choice of the geopolitical path reflects the choice of a metaphysical path, an esoterical path, the path of the Spirit through the world's building. Therefore, there is no guarantee; therefore, one can, strictly speaking, not claim that Eurasia is good, and Atlantica—bad, that Rome is virtue, and Carthago—evil, and vice versa. But everybody called upon by his [*sic*] Order has to make a decided step and serve indeed his [*sic*] Order. The laws of our times are such that the result of the Great Battle are not predetermined, the outcome of the drama “Eurasia against Atlantica” depends on the sum of planeterian solidarity of all called upon the service, of all soldiers of geopolitics, of all secret agents of the Land and secret agents of the Sea. The end result of the cosmological war of Apollo against the Snake Python depends on everybody among us—whether he [*sic*] realizes this or not.²⁹⁴

As will be shown below, ideas such as these should not lead one to dismiss lightly Dugin as hardly constituting a relevant political phenomenon. Walter Laqueur has commented:

Sometimes one suspects a black sense of humour behind the grotesque fantasies. But the fanatics of the extreme Right lack a sense of humour. They know that the last judgment is at hand, that the Russian people are about to be exterminated. People in such a frame of mind are not likely to engage in frivolous jokes.²⁹⁵

Mark Sedgwick has, in his study of the impact of Dugin's Traditionalism in Russia, noted that “Dugin's ideas seemed less eccentric to their Russian than to their West-

²⁹³ Dugin, *Konservativnaya Revolyutsiya*, 14-15, 129. See also Andrei Tsygankov, “Hard-line Eurasianism and Russia's Contending Geopolitical Perspectives,” *East European Quarterly* 32 (1998): 315-324.

²⁹⁴ Dugin, *Konspirologiya*, 128. For an excellent summary of further aspects of Dugin's world view, see Allensworth, *The Russian Question*, 248-262.

²⁹⁵ Laqueur, *Fascism*, 130.

ern audience."²⁹⁶ Igor Vinogradov, editor of the reputed Russian émigré magazine *Kontinent*, observed on Dugin and his followers, already in 1992:

They are undertaking a noisy galvanization of a reactionary utopia that failed long ago, an attempt to revive through the injection of a new vaccine—a combination of “Orthodoxy” and “Islam” in the name of combating insidious “Zionism,” putrid Western “Catholicism” and any kind of Jew-Masonry whatever [...]. For all their [intellectual] ineptitude, they are very dangerous. After all, the temptation of religious fundamentalism in our century of unbelief and general spiritual corruption is attractive to many desperate people who have lost their way in this chaos.²⁹⁷

III.5.2 The Rise of Dugin

Dugin's Origins

Most reports agree that Dugin grew up in a privileged family as the son of a *GRU* officer, either a general or a colonel, and that his grand-father and great-grandfather had also been army officers.²⁹⁸ One biography alleges that his father died when Dugin was still a child.²⁹⁹ According to another report, he entered, after finishing high school with mediocre results, the Moscow Aviation Institute, on the insistence of his father.³⁰⁰ He interrupted his education, however, either because of insufficient study results, on his own will,³⁰¹ or because of an arrest connected to dissident activities. According to Mark Sedgwick who bases his report on an interview with Dugin,

[i]n 1983 the authorities learned of a party in a painter's studio where Dugin had played the guitar and sung what he called “mystical anti-Communist songs,” and Dugin was briefly detained. The *KGB* found

²⁹⁶ Sedgwick, *Against the Modern World*, 230.

²⁹⁷ Elena Yakovich, “Kontinent v Moskve [an interview with Igor Vinogradov],” *Literaturnaya gazeta*, 22 July 1992, 5, as quoted in Sedgwick, *Against the Modern World*, 230. It is telling that, recently, Dugin has, as will be detailed later, become a regular contributor to the same *Literaturnaya gazeta* [Literary Newspaper].

²⁹⁸ Shenfield, *Russian Fascism*, 191.

²⁹⁹ E.g. Polyannikov, “Po tropam Khimery, ili razmyshlenniya o evraziistve i ‘novom mirovom poriadke.’”

³⁰⁰ Kaledin, “Terapiya okazalas’ bessil’noi pered maniei Dugina-mladshego pereustroit’ mir.” According to the same source, Dugin’s father, allegedly, put his son for a while into a psychiatry.

³⁰¹ Kaledin, “Terapiya okazalas’ bessil’noi pered maniei Dugina-mladshego pereustroit’ mir.”

forbidden literature in this room, principally books by Aleksandr Solzhenitsyn and [Yurii] Mamleev [...]. Dugin was expelled from the [Moscow] Institute of Aviation, where he was studying. He found employment as street sweeper and continued reading in the [Soviet Union's largest] Lenin Library with a forged reader's card.³⁰²

In contradiction to this report, another biography of Dugin says that, after his expulsion from the Aviation Institute, he started working in a *KGB* archive where he gained access to, and read large amounts of, forbidden literature on Masonry, fascism and paganism.³⁰³

At about the same time, if not before, Dugin must have become involved in a secretive group of esoteric intellectuals interested in European and Oriental mysticism, black magic, occultism, and alchemy. At one point, this circle called itself "Black Order of the SS,"³⁰⁴ and its leader Evgenii Golovin (see Appendix) *Reichsführer SS*.³⁰⁵ Most sources agree that the circle had, originally, been founded in the 1960s at the flat of the writer Yurii Mamleev (see Appendix). The most important Russian reference work on late Soviet independent groupings called this circle in 1991 the "Movement of Intellectuals-Conservatives." The hand-book states that the circle of approximately 10 people had been founded in 1966, and, apparently in the late 1980s, proclaimed, as its aim,

an attempt to found an ideology uniting all patriotic creative forces of the State [*Derzhava*], on the basis of uniform metaphysical traditions and values. [It is] an attempt to transform politics from a fight for power into an instrument of harmonizing the imperial ethnies.³⁰⁶

In 1975, Mamleev was expelled from the USSR after his circle and its *samizdat* literature were discovered by the *KGB*.³⁰⁷ According to Berezovskii, Krotov and Chervyakov, it was then that Golovin became the circle's leader until 1978.³⁰⁸ After

³⁰² Sedgwick, *Against the Modern World*, 223.

³⁰³ Kaledin, "Terapiya okazalas' bessil'noi pered maniei Dugina-mladshego pereustroit' mir."

³⁰⁴ See Vyacheslav Likhachev, *Natsizm v Rossii* (Moskva: Panorama, 2002), 101.

³⁰⁵ Polyannikov, „Po tropam Khimery, ili razmyshlenniia o evraziistve i 'novom mirovom poriadke.'"

³⁰⁶ As quoted in V.N. Berezovskii, N.I. Krotov and V.V. Chervyakov, *Rossiya: Partii, assotsiatsii, soyuzy, kluby. Tom 1. Chast' 1. Spravochnik* (Moskva: RAU-Press, 1991), 42. Two of the authors of this exceptionally informative handbook, Vladimir Berezovskii and Valerii Chervyakov, helped me collecting material for my research in the mid-1990s, and, tragically, died in a car-accident in the late 1990s.

³⁰⁷ Kaledin, "Terapiya okazalas' bessil'noi pered maniei Dugina-mladshego pereustroit' mir."

³⁰⁸ Berezovskii, Krotov and Chervyakov, *Rossiya*, 42.

Mamleev's expulsion, the circle became also more secretive and took new members only after some initiation ritual.³⁰⁹ Allegedly, the circle's members included, at several points, apart from Golovin, Dugin and Mamleev, the Islamist Geidar Dzhemal (see Appendix),³¹⁰ the artists Anatolii Zverev and Vladimir Pyatnitskii, the poets Genrikh Zapgir, Yurii Kublanovskii and Leonid Gubanov, the philosopher Vladimir Stepanov (see Appendix), and, according to one source, even the famous writer Venedikt Erofeev.³¹¹ According to another well-informed source, in 1978-1988, the group was led by Geidar Dzhemal, and, in 1983-1989, also by Dugin.³¹²

The Azeri Dzhemal (b. 1947) was, at that time, apparently a close friend of Dugin, and had a biography somewhat similar to Dugin's.³¹³ In 1967, Dzhemal too had been expelled from his higher education institution, the Institute for Oriental Languages (where, at the same time, Zhirinovskii studied) for political reasons, and subsequently become an autodidact interested in Traditionalism.³¹⁴ In 1980, Dzhemal, Dugin and Golovin went for a month-long trip to the Zeravshan Mountains in the North-East Pamirs.³¹⁵ Dugin's first major contribution to the activities of the circle was, apparently, his translation of Julius Evola's writing *Pagan Imperialism* into Russian language.³¹⁶

For Dugin, the influence of Golovin was especially important, and one report says that it was Golovin, a professional translator and polyglot, who motivated Dugin to learn foreign languages. The same source says that that "Golovin's lectures on her-

³⁰⁹ One source alleges that this initiation ritual consisted of Golovin urinating into the mouth of the new apostle. See Kaledin, "Terapiya okazalas' bessil'noi pered maniei Dugina-mladshego pereustroit' mir." While this may not have been the case, the Mamleev-Golovin circle is, indeed, by most observers described as having been interested in bizarre experiments as a way of self-discovery.

³¹⁰ Sedgwick, *Against the Modern World*, 223. On Dzhemal, see Yu.A. Kur'yanov, "Novyy iskhod k vostoku? Ideologiya evraziitsev i sovremennost'," *Orientatsiya: Zhurnal russkikh traditsionalistov*, no.4 (1995): 18-27. For a book by Dzhemal in a Western language, see Geidar Jamal, *Tawhid: Prospettive dell'Islam nell'ex URSS*. Transl. and ed. by Danilo Valdorino (Parma: Insegna del Veltro, 1993).

³¹¹ Kaledin, "Terapiya okazalas' bessil'noi pered maniei Dugina-mladshego pereustroit' mir." Interestingly, Eduard Limonov apparently knew Golovin and Mamleev before his emigration in the 1970s, but was not especially close to them. That was in spite of Limonov's interest, at that time, for mysticism. Shenfield, *Russian Fascism*, 203.

³¹² Berezovskii, Krotov and Chervyakov, *Rossiya*, 42.

³¹³ Oreshkin, "Spor Slavyan;" URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.nns.ru/Person/jemal/>.

³¹⁴ Vladimir Pribylovskii, *Vozhdi: Sbornik biografii rossiiskikh politicheskikh deyatelei natsionalisticheskoi i impersko-patrioticheskoi orientatsii* (Moskva: Panorama, 1995), 44.

³¹⁵ Sedgwick, *Against the Modern World*, 222-223, 257-260.

³¹⁶ Shenfield, *Russian Fascism*, 192.

meneutics, Traditionalism and Eurasianism were received by Dugin as eye-opening.”³¹⁷ Dugin later spoke of the circle as “the true masters of the Moscow esoteric elite.”³¹⁸ In 1989, the Golovin circle started to publish a *samizdat* newspaper *Poslednii polyus* (The Last Pole) which was edited by I. Dudinskii, had a circulation of 3,000-5,000 copies, and appeared altogether three times.³¹⁹ Dzhemal, Golovin and Mamleev have continued to collaborate with Dugin after the break-up of the Soviet Union, and are contributing today to his publications and other activities.³²⁰

During a visit to Western Europe in 1989, Dugin met a number of well-known ultra-nationalist European publicists including the Frenchman Alain de Benoist, the Belgian Jean-François Thiriart, and Italian Claudio Mutti.³²¹ According to one source, Dugin was able to establish contacts with some of them thanks to the help of Mamleev who, at that time, must have lived in Paris.³²² Later, these men, together with other similarly oriented theorists, visited Dugin in Moscow, and participated to one degree or another in his various projects.³²³ In 1991, Dugin published a book called *Continente Russia* in Mutti’s Italian publishing house.³²⁴ According to a further source, the Golovin circle had also contacts to the French publishing house called *Vivrisim*, and to a Paris philosophical group around Tat’yana Goricheva.³²⁵

During perestroika, Dugin took a brief interest in the radical wing of the democratic movement led by Valeriya Novodvorskaya.³²⁶ Together with Dzhemal, Dugin entered, on Golovin’s advice, in 1987, Dmitrii Vasil’ev’s National-Patriotic Front *Pamyat’*, Moscow’s major independent ultra-nationalist organization, at this time.³²⁷ Having served

³¹⁷ Kaledin, “Terapiya okazalas’ bessil’noi pered maniei Dugina-mladshego pereustroit’ mir.”

³¹⁸ Aleksandr Dugin and Eduard Limonov, “Ya lyublyu ‘shampanskikh geniev’,” *Elementy: Evraziiskoe obozrenie*, no. 4 (1993): 49-52; as quoted in Shenfield, *Russian Fascism*, 191.

³¹⁹ Berezovskii, Krotov and Chervyakov, *Rossiia*, 42.

³²⁰ Shenfield, *Russian Fascism*, 190-199.

³²¹ Shenfield, *Russian Fascism*, 192.

³²² Polyannikov, „Po tropam Khimery, ili razmyshlenniia o evraziistve i ‘novom mirovom poriadke.’”

³²³ Shenfield, *Russian Fascism*, 192.

³²⁴ Sedgwick, *Against the Modern World*, 332.

³²⁵ Berezovskii, Krotov and Chervyakov, *Rossiia*, 42.

³²⁶ Polyannikov, „Po tropam Khimery, ili razmyshlenniia o evraziistve i ‘novom mirovom poriadke.’” Another author alleges that Dugin and Dzhemal wanted to emigrate to Lybia in the mid-1980s. See Kaledin, “Terapiya okazalas’ bessil’noi pered maniei Dugina-mladshego pereustroit’ mir.” According to some sources, Dugin was once married to Evgeniya Debryanskaya, a leader of Russian feminism. See Polyannikov, „Po tropam Khimery, ili razmyshlenniia o evraziistve i ‘novom mirovom poriadke.’” His current wife, however, is his close colleague Natal’ya Melent’eva who is an active editor and writer for *Arktogaya* and *Evrasiia*.

³²⁷ Personal communication with Vyacheslav Likhachev, Spring 2005; Polyannikov, „Po tro-

at the Central Council of *Pamyat'* in 1988-1989, Dugin, however, left the organization after a conflict with Vasil'ev who had called him a "kike-mason."³²⁸

Dugin's Emergence as a Publicist

From 1988-1991, Dugin was editor-in-chief for a publisher called *EON* (perhaps, his own creation),³²⁹ and entered, in 1991, the editorial board of *Den'*. At the same time, he continued his activity in the publishing business with the foundation of what would gradually become a major post-Soviet Russian ultra-nationalist press: the Historical-Religious Society *Arktogeya* (Northern Country). In 1991, he published his first larger and widely noted books, *The Mysteries of Eurasia*,³³⁰ and *The Paths of the Absolute*, as well as the first issues of the almanac *Milyy Angel* (Enchanting Angel),³³¹ and abortive journal *Giperboreets* (The Hyperborean).³³² These were followed by numerous books, and some other periodicals.

Most of the publications principally authored or edited by Dugin are listed in Table 2 below.³³³ Still, this list includes neither a number of further books published by *Arktogeya* (for which Dugin often provided forewords), nor some edited volumes published under the imprint of other presses to which Dugin contributed too. It also does not include a flood of articles by Dugin that have appeared in a variety of Russian (and some Western) periodicals, above all in the weeklies *Zavtra* and *Limonka* (The Little Lemon, a word also used for a hand-grenade),³³⁴ but also in such Soviet-era

pam Khimery, ili razmyshlenniya o evraziistve i 'novom mirovom poriadke,'" Kaledin, "Terapiya okazalas' bessil'noi pered maniei Dugina-mladshego pereustroit' mir."

³²⁸ Pribylovskii, *Vozhdi*, 44-45; Polyannikov, "Po tropam Khimery, ili razmyshlenniya o evraziistve i 'novom mirovom poriadke.'"

³²⁹ URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://eurasia.com.ru/leaders/dugin.html>. Not much is known about *EON*, a publishing house from which I have not been able to find any books.

³³⁰ On Dugin's first book, see Gasan Guseynov, "'Misterii Evrazii' A. Dugina," in his *Karta nashey Rodiny: Ideologema mezhdru slovom i telom* (Helsinki: Institute for Russian and East European Studies, 2000), 95-96.

³³¹ Now available on the web at URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://angel.org.ru/main.html>.

³³² Pribylovskii, *Vozhdi*, 45; Shenfield, *Russian Fascism*, 193.

³³³ In listing below the circulation numbers of the books and journals in question, I am following an approach of Viktor Shnirel'man. In his analysis of the spread of pseudo-theories of the alleged ancient roots of the Russians connected to the so-called *Book of Vles* (a forgery), Shnirel'man lists the circulation numbers of the journals that have propagated such theories as well as of the volumes containing the *Book of Vles* or parts of it. See Shnirel'man, *Intellektual'nye labirinty*, 272-273.

³³⁴ *Limonka* was founded in 1994. Official circulation numbers were 7,000 in 1995, 5,500 in 1996, and 10,000 in 1997. See *Limonka*, no. 77(1997): 1, no. 13 (1995): 4; Rogachev-

ultra-nationalist organs, as the monthly *Nash sovremennik* (Our Contemporary) since the early 1990s as well as in various centrist newspapers since the mid-1990s.³³⁵ Still, this table alone shows Dugin's enormous output, and the variety of subjects he has been dealing with: esotericism, international relations, culture, history, politics, etc. There are, probably, only few publicists—whether pro- or anti-democratic—in Russia today how could report as continuous a flow of publications as Dugin's over the last 15 years.

skii, "The National-Bolshevik Party (1993-2001)," 4.

³³⁵ Many of these articles became subsequently chapters, or sections in Dugin's books. See, for instance, the acknowledgements in Aleksandr Dugin, *Tampliery Proletariata: Natsional-bol'shevizm i initsiatsiya* (Moskva: Arktogeya 1997), 323-324. Thus, the below table probably does reflect the larger part of Dugin's publicistic work.

Table 2: The major books and journals published by Dugin, if not otherwise indicated, under the imprint of *Arktogeya Press*³³⁶

Name of Publication	Year	Issue or edition nos.	Official circulation
<i>Puti Absolyuta</i> [The Paths of the Absolute] ³³⁷	1990	1 st edn	
<i>Continente Russia</i> [The Continent Russia] ³³⁸	1991	1 st edn	
<i>Misterii Evrazii</i> [Mysteries of Eurasia]	1991	1 st edn	
-“-	1996	2 nd edn	3,000
<i>Giperboreets</i> [The Hyperborean]	1991	No. 1 ³³⁹	
<i>Almanakh “Milyy Angel”</i> ³⁴⁰	1991	1 st Vol.	20,000
-“-	1992	2 nd Vol.	1,000
-“-	1996	3 rd Vol.	
-“-	1999	4 th Vol.	
<i>Puti Absolyuta</i>	1991	2 nd edn	5,000
<i>Konspirologiya</i> [Conspirology] ³⁴¹	1992	1 st edn	10,000
<i>Rusia: Misterio de Eurasia</i> ³⁴²	1992	1 st edn	
<i>Giperboreiskaya teoriya</i> ³⁴³	1993	1 st edn	50,000 (?)
-“-	1993	2 nd edn	5,000 ³⁴⁴
<i>Elementy: evraziiskoe obozrenie</i>	1992	No. 1	50,000 (?)
-“-	1992	No. 2	30,000(?)

³³⁶ This is an improved version of the table first presented in Umland, “Kulturhegemoniale Strategien der russischen extremen Rechten.” The question marks behind some circulation numbers below indicate that these are officially given circulation numbers that might be inflated. I shall be grateful for any corrections or additional information on the data in this table.

³³⁷ See URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://eurasia.com.ru/leaders/dugin.html>. Apparently, Dugin wrote this book in 1989. See URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.arctogaia.com/public/putiabs/>. I have not been able to establish the publisher and circulation number of this first edition. Perhaps, it was published in Moscow by EON.

³³⁸ Published in Parma or/and Milano by Edizioni All’insegna del Veltro.

³³⁹ Pribylovskii, *Vozhdi*, 44-45.

³⁴⁰ Almanac “Enchanting Angel.”

³⁴¹ Sub-title: *Nauka o zagovorakh, taynykh obshchestvakh i okkul’tnoy voyne* [The Science of Conspiracies, Secret Societies and Occult War].

³⁴² Published in Madrid by Grupo Libro. Some sources give 1990 as the year of publication of this book which seems to me unlikely.

³⁴³ Hyperborean Theory. Sub-title: *Opyt apiosofskogo issledovaniya* [An Aryosophical Inquiry].

³⁴⁴ This number is taken from an annotation in *Elementy*, no. 8 (1996/1997): 111.

Name of Publication	Year	Issue or edition nos.	Official circulation
-“-	1993	No. 3	10,000
-“-	1993	No. 4	10,000
-“-	1994	No. 5	10,000
-“-	1995	No. 6	5,000
-“-	1996	No. 7	5,000 ³⁴⁵
-“-	1997	No. 8	5,000
-“-	1998	No. 9	
<i>Konservativnaya revolyutsiya</i> ³⁴⁶	1995	1 st edn	4,000
<i>Tseli i zadachi nashei Revolyutsii</i> ³⁴⁷	1995	1 st edn	5,000
<i>Metafizika Blagoy Vesti</i> ³⁴⁸	1996	1 st edn	3,000
<i>Tampliery Proletariata</i> ³⁴⁹	1997	1 st edn	3,000
<i>Osnovy geopolitiki</i> ³⁵⁰	1997	1 st edn	3,000
-“-	1998	2 nd edn	
-“- ³⁵¹	1999	3 rd edn	5,000
-“-	2000	4 th edn	
<i>Konets sveta</i> [The End of the World] ³⁵²	1998	2 nd edn	3,000
<i>Nash put'</i> [Our Path] ³⁵³	1999	1 st edn	
<i>Absolyutnaya Rodina</i> ³⁵⁴	1999	2 nd edn	5,000
<i>The Seminal Writings of Alexander Dugin</i> ³⁵⁵	1999	1 st Vol. ³⁵⁶	

³⁴⁵ Shenfield though gives the number 2,000; *Russian Fascism*, 291.

³⁴⁶ Conservative Revolution.

³⁴⁷ The Aims and Tasks of Our Revolution.

³⁴⁸ The Metaphysics of the Gospel. Subtitle: *Pravoslavnyi ezoterizm* [Orthodox Esotericism].

³⁴⁹ Templar Knights of the Proletariat. Sub-title: *Natsional-bol'shevizm i initsiatsiya* [National-Bolshevism and Initiation].

³⁵⁰ The Foundations of Geopolitics. Sub-title: *Geopoliticheskoe budushchee Rossii* [Russia's Geopolitical Future].

³⁵¹ New sub-title: *Myslit' prostranstvom* [Thinking in Terms of Space]. This third edition of the book was added with a second part. See Ingram, "Alexander Dugin," 1032.

³⁵² Sub-title: *Eskhatologiya i traditsiya* [Eschatology and Tradition]; identical with *Milyy Angel*, 2nd-3rd Vols.

³⁵³ Sub-title: *Strategicheskie perspektivy razvitiya Rossii v XXI veke* [Strategic Perspectives of Russia's Development in the 21st Century].

³⁵⁴ Absolute Motherland. Sub-title: *Puti Absolyuta. Metafizika Blagoy Vesti. Misterii Evrazii* [Absolute Motherland: The Paths of the Absolute. The Metaphysics of the Happy Epistle. The Mysteries of Eurasia]. Parts of this book were published as books before.

³⁵⁵ Published in London by the European Liberation Front or/and Eurasian Movement. See

Name of Publication	Year	Issue or edition nos.	Official circulation
- "-	1999	2 nd Vol. ³⁵⁷	
- "-	1999	3 rd Vol. ³⁵⁸	
<i>Evrasiiskoe vtorzhenie</i> ³⁵⁹	1999	Nos. 1-5	2,000
- "-	2000	No. 6	
- "- (supplement to the weekly <i>Zavtra</i>)	1998	Nos. 1-15	100,000
- "- - "-	1999	Nos. 16-33	100,000
- "- - "-	2000	Nos. 34-49	100,000
<i>Evrasiiskoe obozrenie</i> [Eurasian Review]	2001	Nos. 1-2 ³⁶⁰	5,000
- "-	2001	No. 3	
- "-	2001	No. 4	10,000
- "-	2002	No. 5	5,000
- "-	2002	No. 6	
- "-	2002	No. 7	
- "-	2003	No. 8	20,000
- "-	2003	No. 9	
- "-	2003	No. 10	
<i>Osnovy Evraziistva</i> ³⁶¹	2001	1 st edn	5,000
<i>Russkaya Veshch'</i> , ³⁶² 2 Vols.	2001	1 st edn	5,000

URL (last accessed October 2006): http://www.geocities.com/eurasia_uk/towards.html.

³⁵⁶ Includes the articles "National-Bolshevism or Nothingness," "Just Bolshevism," and "Five Theses on the Meaning of Life."

³⁵⁷ Includes the articles "Der Arbeiter," "The Post-Liberal Era in Russia," "Ideology of World Government" and "When Everybody Has Gone."

³⁵⁸ Includes the articles "Thesis on *NBP*," "Post-*NBP*," "National-Bolshevism," "New Arc-togaia, Strategy, Invasion and New University" and "The Metaphysics of National-Bolshevism."

³⁵⁹ Eurasian Invasion. See: URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://arcto.ru/modules.php?name=News&file=article&sid=907>; URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://arctogaia.com/public/vtor/index.shtml>; URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://arctogaia.com/public/vtor38.htm>.

³⁶⁰ Some of the print versions of this newspaper do not have a number on them, but are instead subtitled *spetsial'nyi vypusk* (special edition). However, the electronic version of the irregular periodical are numbered consistently, and have been taken from the WWW-site: URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://eurasia.com.ru>.

³⁶¹ Foundations of Eurasianism.

³⁶² A Russian Thing. Sub-title: *Ocherki natsional'noy filosofii* [Outlines of a National Philosophy].

Name of Publication	Year	Issue or edition nos.	Official circulation
<i>Evolutsiya nauchnykh paradigm</i> ³⁶³	2002	1 st edn	2,000
<i>Evrziiskii put' kak natsional'naya ideya</i> ³⁶⁴	2002	1 st edn	3,000
<i>Filosofiya traditsionalizma</i> ³⁶⁵	2002	1 st edn	3,000
<i>Filosofiya politiki</i> [The Philosophy of Politics]	2003	1 st edn	5,000
<i>Proekt "Evraziya"</i> [Project "Eurasia"] ³⁶⁶	2004	1 st edn	4,100
<i>Evrziiskaya missiya N. Nazarbaeva</i> ³⁶⁷	2004	1 st edn	1,500
<i>Filosofiya voyny</i> [The Philosophy of War] ³⁶⁸	2004	1 st edn	5,000
<i>Konspirologiya</i> [Conspirology] ³⁶⁹	2005	2 nd edn	5,000

Contributing frequently to *Den'* and other ultra-nationalist organs, in July 1992, Dugin launched what would become the periodical establishing his reputation in Russia and abroad, the journal *Elementy: Evraziiskoe obozrenie* (*Elements: Eurasian Review*; 9 issues published in 1992-8).³⁷⁰ It was partly modelled on Alain de Benoist's pan-European network of journals of the same name, but went, in a number of ways, further than its West European counterparts leading de Benoist to later distance himself from Dugin. *Elementy* included, apart from Dugin's and his followers' articles, interviews with a number of prominent Russian ultra-nationalists politicians such as Sergei Baburin (then RSFSR Supreme Soviet deputy and later a State Duma deputy for *Rodina*),³⁷¹ Eduard Limonov, Viktor Alksnis (former USSR Supreme Soviet deputy,

³⁶³ The Evolution of Scientific Paradigms.

³⁶⁴ The Eurasian Path as a National Idea.

³⁶⁵ Philosophy of Traditionalism.

³⁶⁶ Published in Moscow by *Yauza, Eksmo*.

³⁶⁷ The Eurasian Mission of Nursultan Nazarbaev. Published by *ROF "Evraziya,"* and downloadable from URL (last accessed October 2006): http://evrazia.org/FTP/1-288_polosi.pdf.

³⁶⁸ Published in Moscow by *Yauza, Eksmo*.

³⁶⁹ Subtitle: *nauka o zagorovakh, sekretnykh obshchestvakh i tainoi voine* (the science of conspiracies, secret societies and hidden war). Published by *ROF "Evraziya."*

³⁷⁰ See URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://elem2000.virtualave.net/>. On this journal: Nikolai-Klaus von Kreitor (who later became a member of *Elementy's* editorial board), "Elements of the New Russian Nationalism," *Telos* 26, no. 2(96) (1993): 61-64; Leonid Luks, "Der 'Dritte Weg' der 'neo-eurasischen' Zeitschrift 'Elementy'—zurück ins Dritte Reich?" *Studies in East European Thought* 52, no. 1-2 (2000): 49-71, reprinted in *idem*, *Der russische "Sonderweg"?* 99-120.

³⁷¹ On Baburin see, *Za yedinuyu i velikuyu Rossiyu: istoriya Rossiiskogo obshchenarodnogo soyuza v dokumentakh 1991-1994* (Moskva: Novator, 1995); Sergei N. Baburin, *Rossiiskii put': Stanovleniye rossiiskoi geopolitiki kanuna XXI veka* (Moskva: ANKO, 1995); V.

member of *Elementy's* editorial board and current State Duma deputy), or the late Metropolitan Ioann (Snychev, 1927-1995). "*Elementy* was especially noticeable for its sophisticated use of illustrations with accompanying inscriptions."³⁷² Every issue contained, at least, one contribution by a foreign author of either the inter-/post-war periods like Julius Evola, Mircea Eliade and Carl Schmitt, or contemporary West European New Right, e.g. de Benoist, Claudio Mutti, Jean Thiriart, Armin Mohler, or Robert Steukers.³⁷³ The contributors of *Elementy* also included representatives of some other of the above listed right-radical intellectual centres such as Evgenii Morozov of the International Institute of Geopolitics.

In the Wilderness

Already in the early 1990s, when Dugin only began to emerge as a nationalist theoretician, and followed still a largely groupuscular strategy, as introduced above, he seemingly started to think about how to break out from the lunatic fringe spectrum and to reach a status in society similar to that of the "New Right" in Western Europe. In March 1992, Alain de Benoist, together with Belgian right-wing extremist publicist Robert Steukers, visited Moscow, and held a round-table with Dugin, above-mentioned ultra-nationalist Supreme Soviet and today State Duma Deputy Speaker Sergei N. Baburin, the Head of the Chair of Strategic Studies of the General Staff Academy General Lieutenant Nikolai Klokotov and some other personalities. Apparently, as a result of this visit Dugin founded the *Elementy*, and initially included de Benoist in its editorial board. Among the various new right-wing extremist intellectual periodicals that appeared in the early-mid 1990s (some of them also co-edited by Dugin), *Elementy* quickly acquired a special position, and has since become the subject of content analyses by Russian and Western authors alike.³⁷⁴ Although de Benoist became later disappointed about Dugin and cut ties with him, it seems still the case that those institutions affiliated with Dugin should—as will be argued in more detail below—be regarded as part and parcel of the European "New Right", and as principally inspired by the "*Nouvelle Droite's*" Gramscian strategy. In spite of the split

Khairiuzov, *Sergei Baburin: Politicheskii portret* (Moskva: Novator, 1996); Andreas Umland, "State Duma Deputy Sergej Baburin: Portrait of a Russian Ultra-Nationalist," Bremer, Dörrenbächer and Dose, eds., *ICCEES VII World Congress*, 441.

³⁷² Mitrofanova, *The Politicization of Russian Orthodoxy*, 56.

³⁷³ *Elementy* are available on the web at URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://elem2000.virtualave.net/>.

³⁷⁴ E.g. Tsymburskiy, "Novye pravye' v Rossii;" Luks, "Der 'Dritte Weg' der 'neoeurasischen' Zeitschrift 'Élementy'—zurueck ins Dritte Reich?"

between Dugin and de Benoist, *Elementy* reported continuing ties to de Benoist research Center GRECE as well as to other West European “New Right” think-tanks in France, Italy, Belgium, Germany and Spain.³⁷⁵

Interestingly, the ties between the Russian and Western New Right had been emerging even before Dugin went to Western Europe in 1989. According to Martin Lee, Eduard Limonov who co-founded with Dugin the above mentioned National-Bolshevik Front/Party in 1993-94 had “first encountered de Benoist in Paris” where Limonov, as a Soviet émigré writer, also “hobnobbed with various iconoclasts, including another proponent of National Bolshevism, [the above mentioned] Jean-François Thiriart [see Appendix].” In August, 1992, Thiriart visited Moscow where he was welcomed by Dugin, and met, together with Dugin, the former 2nd Secretary of the CPSU Central Committee Egor Ligachëv and *KPRF* chairman Gennadii Zyuganov. On 23 November 1992, Thiriart died from a heart attack, and “was eulogized in several nationalist press outlets in Russia, including *Den*’, which published some of his writings.”³⁷⁶ In 1993, Dugin met in Moscow Christian Boucher, the head of the French section of the Order of Oriental Templars (*Ordo Templi Orientis*), an international occult grouping principally influenced by the writings of the British Satanist Aleister Crowley (see Appendix).³⁷⁷ According to one report, Dugin was inspired more by Crowley’s biography than his writings as Crowley once tried to attract to his Order Stalin, Hitler, and other leaders.³⁷⁸ In summer 1994, Dugin visited Italy.³⁷⁹

In September 1993, a series of documentaries under the title *O tainakh veka* (On the Secrets of the Century) authored by Dugin and Yuri Vorob’evskii was shown on the First and Fourth Russian TV channels.³⁸⁰ The program took an apologetic approach to historic fascism, explained empathetically Nazi symbols and mysticism, and admitted the possibility of a non-compromised, benign, intellectual fascism.³⁸¹ As a result it was shut down. In spite of Dugin’s co-foundation and leadership of the *NBP* in the mid-1990s, he, in 1996, also became an irregular contributor to the major liberal high-

³⁷⁵ E.g. *Elementy*, no. 8 (1996/97): 102.

³⁷⁶ Lee, *The Beast Reawakens*, 319-321.

³⁷⁷ On Boucher, see Yury Vorobyevsky, “The Path of the Absolute: Volume III. Chapter 5. Flying Stones: The Metaphysical Roots of World Politics,” URL (last accessed October 2006): http://www.oag.ru/library/path_to_apocalypse/apocalypse_III-5.html.

³⁷⁸ Kaledin, “Terapiya okazalas’ bessil’noi pered maniei Dugina-mladshego pereustroit’ mir.”

³⁷⁹ N. Aspesi, “Va dove ti porta il vento,” *Le Repubblica*, 26th June 1994; Norbert Bobbio, *Left and Right: The Significance of a Political Distinction* (Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1997), 108.

³⁸⁰ Pribylovskii, *Vozhdi*, 44-45; Shenfield, *Russian Fascism*, 193.

³⁸¹ Verkhovskiy, Papp and Pribylovskiy, *Politicheskii ekstremizm v Rossii*, 247.

brow daily *Nezavisimaya gazeta* (Independent Newspaper). This newspaper later provided a regular forum for the presentation and discussion of Dugin's ideology.³⁸² Stephen Shenfield further noted that

[i]n 1997 Dugin had a weekly hour-long radio program called *Finis Mundi* [End of the World] on the popular music station *FM 101*.³⁸³ This series, which attracted a cult following of university students, was suspended after sixteen weeks. Dugin later established a second program on a less well-known station, *Free Russia*.³⁸⁴

There he led, in 1997-1999, a program called "Geopolitical Review."³⁸⁵ There have also been reports that Dugin has been giving lectures at the Russian Academy of the General Staff. One commentator mentions cooperation of Dugin with the Ministry of Défense journal *Orientiry* (Orientations).³⁸⁶ Shenfield noted in 2001 a relationship between Dugin and the head of the company *Russkoe zoloto* (Russian Gold), Aleksandr Tarantsev.³⁸⁷

For some time, to be sure, it seemed as if Dugin's multifarious dealings were reaching a dead end. According to one observer, in the 1990s, Dugin was actively publishing, "[b]ut, in seriously influencing public opinion, he did not succeed then. He was read only by the enlightened underground keen on all kinds of mystic esoterics."³⁸⁸ As noted, in 1993-1998, he, together with Limonov, set up the National-Bolshevik Front, and later the *NBP*.³⁸⁹ The two avant-garde publicists seemed to cooperate for a while fruitfully in, above all, creating one of the most extravagant post-Soviet newspapers, *Limonka*.³⁹⁰ Dugin and Limonov were engaged in an attempt to create a joint

³⁸² See Dugin, *Tampliery proletariata*, 324; Aleksandr Dugin, "Novyi sotsializm," *Nezavisimaya gazeta—stsenarii*, 14 February 2001; *idem*, "Evraziistvo: Ot filosofii k politike," *Nezavisimaya gazeta*, 30 May 2001; Nikolai Rabotyazh, "V zashchitu liberalizma: Polemika s Aleksandrom Duginym," *Nezavisimaya gazeta*, 14 April 2001.

³⁸³ These programs on various philosophers, intellectuals and writers are available on audio-cassettes and CD from *Arktogetya*.

³⁸⁴ Shenfield, *Russian Fascism*, 193.

³⁸⁵ URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://eurasia.com.ru/leaders/dugin.html>.

³⁸⁶ Kaledin, "Terapiya okazalas' bessil'noi pered maniei Dugina-mladshego pereustroit' mir."

³⁸⁷ Shenfield, *Russian Fascism*, 199.

³⁸⁸ Kaledin, "Terapiya okazalas' bessil'noi pered maniei Dugina-mladshego pereustroit' mir."

³⁸⁹ Shenfield, *Russian Fascism*, 194.

³⁹⁰ Some of these activities are described in Eduard V. Limonov, *Anatomiya Geroya* (Smolensk: Rusich, 1998); see also Mathyl, "'Die offenkundige Nisse und der rassenmäßige Feind';" and Rogachevskii, "The National-Bolshevik Party (1993-2001)." Shenfield speculates that Limonov's acquaintance with Dugin in late 1992 may have catalyzed Limonov's departure from Zhirinovskii's "shadow cabinet" where Limonov had occupied the post of the director of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. See Shenfield, *Russian Fascism*, 194. On the "shadow cabinet," see Umland, "Vladimir Zhirinovskii in Russian Politics." Another

radically nationalist opposition that would unite several new ultranationalist parties, including the *RNE* and *NBP*, some prominent counter-cultural figures, as well as, perhaps, nominally communist groups such as Viktor Anpilov's Russian Communist Workers Party into a more potent political force.³⁹¹ When a joint Declaration of some of representatives of these forces with the signatures of Dugin, Limonov, Letov, Barkashov, Bachtiyarov, and Morozov was published in June 1994, it seemed for a moment as if this endeavour might lead somewhere.³⁹² However, eventually, this project—as well as another one, the Movement for a Conservative Revolution³⁹³—did not materialize.

Dugin's first attempt to become a party politician proved to be a failure too. In 1995, he, as an *NBP* candidate, took part in the State Duma elections in a suburban district of St. Petersburg. In spite of the public support of the popular rock-singer Sergei Kurëkhin (1954-1996) and his group *Pop-mekhanika* as well as Egor Letov's punk group *Grazhdanskaya oborona*, Dugin received merely 0.87% of the vote, and occupied the 14th place among the 17 contenders of his electoral district. This was, even in comparison to other unsuccessful ultra-nationalist candidates, an embarrassing result.

In May 1998, it was, among other factors, the tension between Dugin's intellectual ambition and esoteric style of writing, on the one side, and the conflicting needs of populist party politics of the National Bolsheviks that led him leave the *NBP* with a number of his followers in order to devote himself to other activities.³⁹⁴ Following the saga of Dugin's and Limonov's rapprochement and split in 1993-1998, to be extensively described in a forthcoming book Andrei Rogatchevski, as well as Dugin's earlier and later forerays, one feels reminded of certain inter-war European tendencies. Then,

interesting episode in this story is the temporary estrangement, at that point, between Dugin and Prokhanov who left the editorial board of *Elementy* because of Dugin's cooperation with Limonov, and *Limonka's* attacks on Prokhanov's *Den'* as well as its "very critical [attitude to] parliamentarianism and general pussyfooting of the Zyuganovite communists." Allensworth, *The Russian Question*, 282. See also Pribylovskii, *Vozhdi*, 85-86.

³⁹¹ Allensworth, *The Russian Question*, 262.

³⁹² For an English translation of this "Declaration of the Revolutionary Opposition," see Markus Mathyl, "Is Russia on the Road to Dictatorship? (Transl. by Janet Biehl)," *Left Green Perspectives*, no. 34 (1995), URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.social-ecology.org/lgp/issues/lgp34.html>.

³⁹³ Pribylovskii, *Vozhdi*, 44-45.

³⁹⁴ Shenfield, *Russian Fascism*, 194.

[s]ome of fascism was not more than posturing and the effusions of minor philosophers hoping to gain a wider audience by making extreme statements, or of decadent writers who had turned into men of action—such as [Maurice] Barrè or [Gabrielle] D’Annunzio.³⁹⁵

However, in distinction to the eventually pathetic efforts of these inter-war fascist intellectuals, Dugin, after his departure from the *NBP* in 1998, started to make steps in gaining an indirect, but increasingly strong influence on mainstream political and intellectual trends. In fact, as Stephen Shenfield and others have noted there is evidence that, already before 1998, Dugin’s ideas had a certain impact not only on the lunatic fringe, but on selected parts of Russia’s political and academic establishment. In the early 1990s, he seems to have affected the evolution of, among others, Zyuganov’s russophile re-interpretation of the idea of socialism³⁹⁶—a central term in Dugin’s writings too. In 2001, Shenfield noted that

[...] various periodicals of the Ministry of Défense have for a number of years now been publishing advertisements for Dugin’s books and articles [...] [and] [t]here is considerable circumstantial evidence suggesting that General Igor Rodionov was particularly well-disposed toward Dugin during his tenure as head of the Academy of the General Staff and then (briefly) as defense minister in 1996-1997.³⁹⁷

Theoretician of the Post-Soviet Russian Right

In many regards, in the late 1980s and early-mid 1990s, Dugin’s activities resembled those of the above listed and some other intellectuals: He was building up his own research and publication centre, and trying to propagate his ideas among ultra-nationalist political organizations, and further potential supporters in such spheres as youth groups, the military, secret services, and academia. He, like many other nationalist publicists, attempted to smuggle his ideas into nationalist politics. In the words of Mark Sedgwick, Dugin and Dzhemal in 1987-1989, for instance, had “hoped to influence [*Pamyat*] toward Traditionalism, rather as [Mircea] Eliade had hoped to use the Legion of the Archangel Michael in Romania, and [Julius] Evola had hoped to

³⁹⁵ Laqueur, *Fascism*, 26.

³⁹⁶ Shenfield, *Russian Fascism*, 192.

³⁹⁷ *Ibid.*, 198-199.

use the Fascists, the *Herrenclub*, and the SS.”³⁹⁸ While this early attempt by Dugin of the late 1980s was largely unsuccessful, the record in the 1990s is a mixed one.

The two principal institutions that Dugin founded in 1990-1991, and that later became his main instruments for spreading his views were the Historical-Religious Association *Arktogeya* (Northern Country) which also functions as a publishing house,³⁹⁹ and the Center for Special Meta-Strategic Studies, a think-tank.⁴⁰⁰ Numerous institutions such as these sprang up in Russia in the early 1990s. Most of them have since remained marginal or vanished altogether. They thus came to represent mere footnotes in post-Soviet Russia’s early history.

In contrast, Dugin’s various publications, especially the mentioned journal *Elementy* as well as some other periodicals, were more original and widely read in nationalist circles, than the drier, if, partly, not less numerous works of other publicists such as Kurginyan.⁴⁰¹ This was not the least, because of the frequent contributions by, or references to, inter- and post-war Western authors in Dugin’s journals and books. Dugin’s, for the taste of Western readers, bizarre obsession with esotericism might have also contributed to his growing popularity in some Russian sub-cultures. Already in 1996, it has been said that “[a] variety of esoteric cults have their fervent followers on the extreme Right, in Russia perhaps more than in any other country.”⁴⁰²

Dugin was thus an intellectual who had been exercising more influence than other similar publicists on the formation of the agenda of the post-Soviet Russian extreme right, already by the mid-1990s. As one perceptive observer noted, although his ideology constitutes “garbage from concepts digested by Europe [...], [b]ecause Dugin’s erudition is peculiar and fed by material from not very well-known among Russian readers works by Hitlerite occultist institutes, his texts make a dumbfounding impression on readers unprepared to digest such spiritual food.”⁴⁰³ Allensworth was among the first Anglophone observers to remark, in 1998, that Dugin’s “importance to the ‘patriotic’ movement has not yet been properly acknowledged.”

³⁹⁸ Dugin later described *Pamyat’s* members as “hysterics, KGB collaborators, and schizophrenics.” Sedgwick, *Against the Modern World*, 224.

³⁹⁹ See URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.arctogaia.com/> and URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.acto.ru>.

⁴⁰⁰ It has been later re-named into Center for Geopolitical Expertise. See URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://cge.evrazia.org/>.

⁴⁰¹ Yanov, *Weimar Russia*, 275.

⁴⁰² Laqueur, *Fascism*, 122.

⁴⁰³ Boris Rezhbek, “Merzlaya zemlya evraziitsa Dugina,” *Lebed’: nezavisimyi al’manakh*, no. 248 (2001), URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.lebed.com/2001/art2744.htm>.

Prokhanov has [...] subtly spread the ideas of Aleksandr Dugin among his brethren via *Den'/Zavtra*. Eurasianist or not, the term mondialism [a term popularized by Dugin in Russia] is heard from the lips of even the most vociferous critics of Dugin's ideology. It is Dugin, who broadened the horizons of the [anti-Western] coalition: Mondialism, not simply the West or El'tsin or Zionism, is the enemy. Gennadii Zyuganov has wielded the language of Dugin's cultural system quite effectively, eschewing the classic Jewish-Masonic formula for streamlined, modernized version of the grand conspiracy that transcends the conspiratology of the Old Right.⁴⁰⁴

According to Aleksandr Verkhovskii, "[t]hanks to Dugin's talents the 'conservative revolution' has gained such a wide popularity that elements of this ideology can be detected in [the ideas of] almost all Russian nationalists [...]." ⁴⁰⁵ For instance, it was Dugin who "with his national-bolshevism and 'left-wing fascism,' [...] supplied [the prominent writer Eduard] Limonov with the conceptual formulas that enabled him to achieve the desired synthesis [of his various ideas]." ⁴⁰⁶ A 2005 *Zavtra* reviewer of a book by former Dugin-disciple Vadim Shtepa did not even think it to be necessary to explain to his readers what such concepts as "traditional society," "Guénonian critique" or "inversion" connote—meaning that Dugin had, by then, succeeded to make

⁴⁰⁴ Allensworth, *The Russian Question*, 245, 261. However, Allensworth contradicts himself when also assuming that Zhirinovskii's idea of a "drive to the south," i.e. the plan of Russia's occupation of Turkey, Iran and Afghanistan, "may have been inspired by Dugin's geopolitics [...]." *Ibid.* As Allensworth—in my view, quite correctly—writes himself, at another point in his, in general, extremely well-researched book: "By the time [Zhirinovskii] emerged on the political scene in the late Gorbachev period, the drive to the south was already formulated as the core of Zhirinovskii's ideology." *Ibid.*, 193. Not only is it unlikely that the later *LDPR* leader had become aware of Dugin's views already before 1993 when Dugin, like Zhirinovskii, had still been a political nobody even within the nationalist fringe. Zhirinovskii's extreme anti-Muslim propaganda and frequent use of the derogative term *yuzhane* (Southerners) in his major pamphlet *Poslednii brodok na yug* (The Last Dash to the South) document a form of ultranationalism that is fundamentally different from Dugin's. I thus suspect that, even in the period 1991-1993, i.e. when Zhirinovskii formulated his plan gradually in his party's newspaper *Liberal*, Dugin exerted little if any influence on Zhirinovskii. The *LDPR* leader is a Turkologist by training, did his military service in the Caucasus, and lived in Turkey during Soviet times. He thus needed little advice on the beauties of the Eastern tradition from Dugin or somebody else. Extensive treatments of Zhirinovskii's ideology may be found in Koman, "The Last Surge to the South," and Umland, "Vladimir Zhirinovskii in Russian Politics."

⁴⁰⁵ Verkhovskii, *Politicheskoe pravoslavie*, 10.

⁴⁰⁶ Shenfield, *Russian Fascism*, 204.

Western Traditionalist terminology self-explanatory within, and become part and parcel of, the Russian extreme right-wing discourse.⁴⁰⁷

Moreover, Dugin's approach was, as Markus Mathyl has pointed out, also exceptional in that his circle quickly managed to establish ties with the counter-cultural youth scene, among them popular nationalist rock- and punk-musicians such as Egor Letov (b. 1964), Sergei "Pauk" Troitskii (b. 1966), Roman Neumoev, or the late Sergei Kurëkhin (1954-1996).⁴⁰⁸ In addition, the Dugin circle became known for its sophisticated, inter-connected set of World Wide Web sites that offer most of the circle's publications, above all Dugin's books, in electronic form.⁴⁰⁹ Dugin has explicitly praised the WWW's qualities as being conducive to the activities of anti-systemic, marginalized groups.⁴¹⁰ Since the late 1990s, the presence of Dugin's organizations and writings on the WWW has thus evolved into a major tool of linking with each other his supporters across Russia and abroad, and of spreading his views. Early on, Dugin started to build up extensive web-archives of most of his texts and of the writings of his intellectual fathers and followers on his WWW-sites. Some of these sites are briefly described in Table 3 below. His Yahoo-Group-Mailinglist "Neo-Eurasia" was founded in January 2001, had accumulated 350 members by January 2007, and embraces today a vibrant community of Russian and non-Russian supporters of "neo-Eurasianism."⁴¹¹

One of the most original electronic initiatives linked to the Dugin circle was, in 1999-2002, the WWW-journal *:LENIN: Antikulturologicheskii ezhenedel'nik* [Lenin: An Anti-

⁴⁰⁷ Vadim Shtepa, *RUtopiya* (Ekaterinburg: Ul'tra. Kul'tura, 2004); Andrei Smirnov, "Apostrof," *Zavtra*, no. 40(620) (2005): 8.

⁴⁰⁸ Markus Mathyl, "Die Konstruktion eines Feindes: Antisemitismus und Antiamerikanismus in Aleksandr Dugins Neo-Nationalbolschewismus," Paper presented at the Annual Convention of the German Society for East European Studies "Vorurteile als politische Barrieren," Göttingen, 7-8 March 2002; *idem*, "Das Entstehen einer nationalistischen Gegenkultur im Postperestrojka-Rußland." See also Anne Hahn, "Voll tolerant oder was dürfen uns sibirische Dorfdeppen singen? Über eine Auseinandersetzung um den Sänger Jegor Letow," URL (last accessed October 2006): http://www.satt.org/gesellschaft/02_10_letow_1.html. These and similar actions by the Dugin circle remind one of the strategy of "entryism" followed by certain extremist groups in, for instance, England and Germany in the 1980s that tried to infiltrate youth-cultures such as soccer-fans and discoteques. Laqueur, *Fascism*, 127.

⁴⁰⁹ E.g. URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://arcto.ru>; URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.dugin.ru>; URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.geopolitika.ru/>; URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.resist.gothic.ru/>; URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.arctogaia.com/>.

⁴¹⁰ See Schmidt, "Kein betrüblicher Systemfehler'?"

⁴¹¹ URL (last accessed January 2007): <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/neo-eurasia/>. One may add though that some members of this group, like myself, can, probably, not be counted as full supporters of "neo-Eurasianism."

Culturological Weekly] which regularly published contributions by Dugin under the rubric *Atsefal*, and was created and edited by the prolific, anti-systemic Russian WWW-publicist Mikhail Verbitskii (b. 1969). Dugin and Verbitskii have both received awards for their various writings on the WWW.⁴¹² Verbitskii started his various internet activities, above all his project *End of the World News* (EOWN), in the mid-1990s from the server of Harvard University where he was completing a Ph.D. in Mathematics in 1990-1997. Apart from his numerous presentations at various European mathematics seminars and conferences, Verbitskii gave, invited by the University of Oxford Russian Student Society, in 2004 a paper on the history of the Russian "nationalist opposition" at Balliol College Oxford, at which I was present. At this presentation, Verbitskii mentioned neither his own role in the rise of the Dugin circle in 1990s, nor his apparent sympathy for the ideas of the British Satanist Aleister Crowley—an inclination documented by Henrike Schmidt.⁴¹³ He also did not speak about his more recent participation in activities of the Dugin circle.⁴¹⁴ That may have been because, at the time of his presentation at Oxford, Verbitskii was officially affiliated with the Mathematics Department of the University of Glasgow.

⁴¹² Verbitskii's project is shortly introduced and well-interpreted in a comparative perspective by Schmidt, "Kein betrüblicher Systemfehler?" 223-228. A short biography of Verbitskii by Evgenii Gornyi and Aleksandr Sherman may be found at URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.guelman.ru/obzory/verbitsky.htm>.

⁴¹³ Schmidt, "Kein betrüblicher Systemfehler?" 223-228.

⁴¹⁴ E.g. Mikhail Verbitskii, "Saudovskii sled," in: Nataliya Melent'eva, ed., *Geopolitika terrora: geopoliticheskie posledstviya terroristicheskikh aktov v SShA 11 sentyabrya 2001 goda* (Moskva: Arktogeya, 2002), 135-142.

Table 3: Some WWW-sites within Dugin's webring.

Name	WWW-Sites	Purpose, reach, structure, etc.
Tradition—Revolution	http://a.webring.com/hub?ring=tradition	A site uniting several WWW sites related to Dugin's ideas.
Historical-Religious Society <i>Arktogetya</i>	http://www.arctogaia.com/ , http://arcto.ru/ , http://www.my.arcto.ru/ ,	The society is described as an "association of intellectuals who study religious traditions, cultures and history of world nations." In effect, it seems to function mainly as a publishing house principally engaged in circulating Dugin's manuscripts—often multiple copies under various headings. By 2001, <i>Arktogetya</i> had ten branches in nine Russian cities. ⁴¹⁵ Interestingly, Dugin presents himself, on these sites, in different ways. For instance, his outspoken article "Fascism—borderless and red" is absent in the WWW book <i>Tampliery Proletariata</i> at http://arcto.ru/ , but present in the same book's WWW version at http://www.arctogaia.com .
1999-2002: <i>Novoe soprotivleniye</i> (New Resistance).	http://resist.gothic.ru/	Apparently, a virtual organization the site of which is also available in English, and contains some texts, pictures, and links to the other sites listed here. A purpose of the site seems to be the introduction of Dugin's network to English readers, and subscription for a mailing list is possible. Now it is called: <i>Sait u poslednego fonarya</i> (Site at the Last Lampoon)
<i>Novyi universitet</i> (New University). This project may not have materialized.	http://university.virtualave.net/	Lectures, and seminars in theology, history, political science, sociology, psychology, religious studies, philology, and ethnology as well as on metaphysics, traditionalism, geopolitics, conspirology, etc. given at the Mayakovskiy Museum. Staff lecturers: A. Dugin, E. Golovin, Yu. Mamleev, A. Ezerov, M. Verbitskiy, A. Nevskiy and others. Last lectures announced for December 1999. "About fifty students currently [November 2000] consider themselves his followers." ⁴¹⁶

⁴¹⁵ Ingram, "Alexander Dugin," 1032.⁴¹⁶ Shenfield, *Russian Fascism*, 199.

Name	WWW-Sites	Purpose, reach, structure, etc.
<i>Konservativnaya revolyutsiya</i> (Conservative Revolution)	http://www.geocities.com/CapitolHill/6824/	A site with links to major anti-Western texts, and groups in Europe and Australia that call themselves "conservative revolutionary."
<i>Evrasiya: Informatsionny-analiticheskii portal</i> (Eurasia: Informational-Analytical Portal)	http://evraziya.org/	Dugin's current major site where he publishes his articles, TV shows, books, and other statements, and where his current organization, the International "Eurasian Movement," is briefly presented.
<i>Archivio Eurasia</i>	http://utenti.lycos.it/EurasianWebSite/	A site devoted to texts of Dugin in Western languages, and his links to West European marginal intellectuals.
<i>Disser-tatsionnye issledovaniya...</i> (Dissertation research...)	http://science.dugin.ru/	The texts of, and protocols of the academic council meetings concerning, Dugin's dissertations for the Candidate of Science in Philosophy and Doctor of Science in Politology degrees (see below).
Web-Design Firm <i>Kontinent</i> .	http://elem2000.virtualave.net/design.htm (now dead link)	The task of this enterprise was the creation of new sites for institutions and organizations with a "Eurasian geopolitical direction." This project may not have materialized as the site is not any longer operational.
<i>:Lenin: an offering to Gods unknown. End of the Word News.</i>	http://imperium.lenin.ru/	A site of comments and texts on contemporary affairs and philosophical questions run by Dugin's associate Mikhail Verbitskii.

In the mid-1990s, Dugin seems to have followed a dual strategy of, on the one side, affiliating himself to, and trying to impregnate with his ideas, the most radical anti-systemic segments in Russia's emerging uncivil society, and, on the other side, entering Moscow's political establishment, and gaining a wider readership beyond the narrowly neo-fascist support. Thus, for instance, Dugin, somewhat paradoxically, was, in 1993-1998, as mentioned, co-founder, leader as well as major ideologist of Eduard Limonov's expressly revolutionary, anti-systemic National-Bolshevik Party⁴¹⁷ while, at the same time, appearing on national radio and TV,⁴¹⁸ publishing in liberal newspapers,⁴¹⁹ and reading lectures on philosophy, world history, and international relations at various occasions and institutions such as the Academy of the General Staff of the Armed Forces of the Russian Federation.

From the Margins to the Mainstream

The contradiction in Dugin's simultaneously groupuscular and Gramscian strategy was resolved in 1998 when Dugin and a group of his supporters left the *NBP*, and, instead, established themselves, at first, as an informal advisory group to, and, later, as an official analytical division at no lesser an institution than the office of, the Speaker of the lower house of the Russian parliament, Gennadii I. Seleznev.⁴²⁰ The official title of the institute attached to Seleznev's bureau, and headed by Dugin was: Section for Geopolitical Expertise of the Expert-Consultative Council on Problems of National Security at the Office of the Head of the State Duma of the Federal Assembly of the Russian Federation. Dugin's affiliation was announced by Seleznev in 1999.⁴²¹ It was, apparently, first in a radio interview in March 1999 when Seleznev revealed that Dugin is his adviser and suggested that Dugin's doctrine be made part of the school curriculum.⁴²²

Two years before, in 1997, Dugin had published the first edition of his, perhaps, most influential work *Osnovy Geopolitiki* (The Foundations of Geopolitics) that quickly sold

⁴¹⁷ Aleksandr Verkhovskii, "Sobach'ya starost'," *Novoe vremya*, no. 6(2636) (1996): 10-12; Mathyl, "Die offenkundige Nisse und der rassenmäßige Feind'."

⁴¹⁸ Shenfield, *Russian Fascism*, 193.

⁴¹⁹ See Dugin, *Tampliery proletariata*, 324.

⁴²⁰ It is noteworthy that Dugin was then still a member of the *NBP* the slogans of which, at that time, included "*Seleznev—na parashu!*" (Seleznev, you belong to the latrine!). As quoted in Rogachevskii, "The National-Bolshevik Party (1993-2001)," 3. This slogan was pronounced at an *NBP* demonstration on 7 November 1997.

⁴²¹ Sedgwick, *Against the Modern World*, 332.

⁴²² Shenfield, *Russian Fascism*, 193.

out, acquired the status of a seminal study, and became a text-book at various Russian higher education institutions, especially those of the military.⁴²³ It is a book that earned him wide attention in not only the nationalist section of Russia's elite. Jacob Kipp reported concerning the publication of the first edition of *Osnovy Geopolitiki* in 1997:

When I was in Moscow in June, the Dugin book was a topic of hot discussion among military and civilian analysts at a wide range of institutes, including the Academy of State Management, and in the [presidential administration] offices at *Staraya ploshchad'* [Old Square].⁴²⁴

Alan Ingram observed that "[e]ditions one and two [of *Osnovy Geopolitiki*] sold out, and the first printing of the third edition (5,000) copies was becoming difficult to obtain in September 1999."⁴²⁵ Dugin himself claimed that the Georgian translation of this book sold 5,000 copies in little Georgia.⁴²⁶ In 1999, a chapter from the book was reprinted in a major scholarly anthology on Russian foreign policy and security.⁴²⁷ By 2000, *Osnovy Geopolitiki* had gone through its fourth edition,⁴²⁸ and become a major political pamphlet with a wide readership in academic and political circles.⁴²⁹

Probably, in connection with these trends, Dugin's presence in mainstream Russian media and conferences started to increase markedly from 1998 onwards. To be sure, there was, as Stephen Shenfield noted, in 2001 yet

no evidence that Dugin's ideas have had any palpable influence on the general public. Indeed, his language is too esoteric even to be understood by most ordinary people, nor is his work easy to popularize. However, it is Russia's present and future intellectual elite that Dugin

⁴²³ Aleksandr Dugin, *Osnovy geopolitiki: Geopoliticheskoe budushchee Rossii* (Moskva: Arktogetya, 1997). Sedgwick mentions that, at this point, "Dugin had already published 'Geopolitics as Destiny' in the April 25, 1997, issue of *Krasnaya zvezda* [Red Star], the army newspaper [...]." Sedgwick, *Against the Modern World*, 229.

⁴²⁴ As quoted in Shenfield *Russian Fascism*, 199.

⁴²⁵ Ingram, "Alexander Dugin," 1032.

⁴²⁶ See URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://arctogaia.com/public/litgaz1.html>.

⁴²⁷ A.G. Dugin, "Rossiya nemyslima bez imperii," in: T.A. Shacklein, ed., *Vneshnyaya politika i bezopasnost' sovremennoi Rossii. Khrestomatiya v dvukh tomakh. Tom 1. Kniga 1: Issledovaniya* (Moskva: Moskovskii obshchestvennyi nauchnyi fond, OOO "Izdatel'skii tsentr nauchnykh i uchebnykh program, 1999), 96-116.

⁴²⁸ Aleksandr Dugin, *Osnovy geopolitiki: Myslit' prostranstvom*, 4th edn (Moskva: Arktogetya, 2000); See, on this book, John B. Dunlop, "Alexander Dugin's Foundations of Geopolitics," unpublished manuscript, Stanford: Hoover Institution on War, Revolution and Peace, 2001.

⁴²⁹ See Shenfield, *Russian Fascism*, 199; and Ingram, "Alexander Dugin," 1032.

has always regarded as his priority target audience. His collaboration with Limonov in the *NBP* [in 1993-98] represents in this respect a detour from the main path. And the influence that Dugin has achieved over at least some parts of the elite is by no means insignificant.⁴³⁰

Dugin's most important project bringing him even broader attention in the press was the foundation of the Socio-Political Movement "Eurasia" in spring 2001.⁴³¹ His earlier affiliations with the General Staff Academy or office of the Speaker of the State Duma could have been seen as, by itself, constituting merely temporary, if not accidental, phenomena. With the foundation of "Eurasia,"⁴³² the Dugin phenomenon, however, has made a qualitative leap from the footnotes to the major plot of post-Soviet Russian history.

Already at its foundation in 2001, the new "Eurasia" movement was marked by a number of important peculiarities. For instance, its creation was evidently supported by the Presidential Administration; it was, seemingly, a project advanced by the Kremlin's notorious "political technologist" Gleb Pavlovskii.⁴³³ According to one source, the organization was financed by the General Staff.⁴³⁴ Whether this was indeed the case or not, "Eurasia" claimed over fifty regional organizations and about 2,000 activists at its first congress in April 2001.⁴³⁵ Among the members of "Eurasia's" first Central and Political Councils were Talgat Tadzhuddin, the Chief Mufti of the Russian Muslim Spiritual Directorate,⁴³⁶ as well as high representatives of Chris-

⁴³⁰ Shenfield, *Russian Fascism*, 198.

⁴³¹ See URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://eurasia.com.ru/>.

⁴³² Marina Latysheva, "Putin i bezdna," *Versiya*, no. 19 (29 May – 4 June 2001) (URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.agentura.ru/text/press/2001/bezdna.txt>).

⁴³³ I am grateful to Robert C. Otto for highlighting this link to me. See, for instance, Andrey Kolesnikov, "Posle podvodnoi lodki: Na katastrofakh otrabatyvaetsya informatsionnaya politika," *Izvestiya*, no. 161 (29 August 2000): 3. Another source, however, claims that "[t]he talk that 'Eurasia' is one more project of the well-known political technologist G. Pavlovskii realized with money from the Russian special services is merely a PR [campaign] for Pavlovskii himself." See Kaledin, "Terapiya okazalas' bessil'noi pered maniei Dugina-mladshego pereustroit' mir."

⁴³⁴ Kaledin, "Terapiya okazalas' bessil'noi pered maniei Dugina-mladshego pereustroit' mir." The same source says that "the *chekists* [i.e. the former *KGB*—A.U.] do not directly finance Dugin." *Ibid.*

⁴³⁵ Andrey Levkin, "Chto takoe 'Evraziya', kazhdyi (poka?) ponimaet po svoemu," at: URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.smi.ru/2001/04/24/988131062.html>.

⁴³⁶ On Tadzhuddin, see Vyacheslav Likhachev, "Rossiiskie musul'mane I antisemitizm," *Evrei Evrazii*, no. 1(2) (2003): 4-12. Verkhovskii reports from the congress: "An even greater role in the creation of Eurasia was played by the Spiritual Department of Talgat Tadzhuddin. He personally sat in the presidium of the conference and one could see many mullahs in the audience. [...] (Buddhists and Hebrews [*sic*, i.e. Jews] were represented only sparsely and insignificant figures at the founding congress." Alexander Verkhovsky, "Religious Xenophobia: Within Religious Orders and Between Religious Or-

tian-Orthodox, Jewish, and Buddhist religious organizations.⁴³⁷ Verkhovskii notes that, in particular, the Catechism Department of the Moscow Orthodox Patriarchy was involved in this project.⁴³⁸ It is also noteworthy that the movement's leading organs included the former SVR (Foreign Intelligence Service) officer Petr Suslov⁴³⁹ and General-Lieutenant (ret.) Nikolai Klokotov who had held the Chair of Strategy of the Russian Military Academy of the General Staff from 1988 to 1996 and contributed to Dugin's seminal work *Osnovy geopolitiki*.⁴⁴⁰ A further remarkable figure in Dugin's entourage was, for a while, Khozh-Akhmed Nukhaev (b. 1954)⁴⁴¹ who had once been a Chechen separatist dissident, held high posts in the Chechen Republic government in the 1990s, published books as well as brochures on political issues,⁴⁴² and spoke in favour of a union between Eurasianist Orthodox Russian and Islamic Ichkeriya (Chechnya).⁴⁴³ However, Nukhaev became also known as a suspect in the case of the murder of the former editor of the Russian edition of the weekly journal *Forbes*, Khlebnikov who had written an unfavourable book about Nukhaev.⁴⁴⁴

While this list of supporters of Dugin looks impressive, it needs to be said that some of these figures may have been told by the Kremlin's "political technologists" to enter Dugin's organization to manipulate Russia's political landscape, and, perhaps, others

ders," in: Lokshina, *Nationalism, Xenophobia and Intolerance in Contemporary Russia*, 232-258, here 236.

⁴³⁷ Maksim Shevchenko, "Podderzhivaet li Patriarkhiya radikal'nykh sionistov?" *Nezavisimaya gazeta: Religii*, no. 8 (25 April 2001): 1; Dmitrii Radyshevskii, "Soiuz ravvinov s kazakami," *Moskovskie novosti*, no. 15 (10 April 2001): 13; Grigory Nekhoroshev, "'Evraziitsy' reshili operet'sya na Vladimira Putina," *Nezavisimaya gazeta*, no. 73 (24 April 2001): 2; and Victor Yasmann, "The Rise of the Eurasians," *The Eurasian Politician*, no. 4 (August 2001): 1 (URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.cc.iyu.fi/~aphamala/pe/issue4/Yasmann.htm>). I am grateful to Robert C. Otto for sending me the first three articles, and Michael Hagemester for providing me with a copy of the latter source.

⁴³⁸ Alexander Verkhovsky, "The Extremist Wing of Russian Nationalism. Spring 2001," in: Yuri Dzhibladze, Alexander Osipov and Catherine Fitzpatrick, eds., *Working Against Racism in Russia: Perspective of Russian NGOs* (Moskva: Center for the Development of Democracy and Human Rights, 2001), 93-98, here 97.

⁴³⁹ Kaledin, "Terapiya okazalas' bessil'noi pered maniei Dugina-mladshego pereustroit' mir."

⁴⁴⁰ Clover, "Will the Russian bear roar again?"

⁴⁴¹ URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.noukhaev.com/portal/>.

⁴⁴² Khozh-Akhmed Nukhaev, *Vedeno ili Vashington?* (Moskva: Arktogeya-tsent, 2001); *idem*, *Vozvrashchenie varvarov* (Moskva: Astreya-2000, 2002); *idem*, *Rossiya i Chechniya: mir po formule Pobeda-Pobeda* (Baku: Mekhk-Kkhel, 2002).

⁴⁴³ Mitrofanova, *Politizatsiya "pravoslavnogo mira"*, 141.

⁴⁴⁴ The motive behind the Chechen's attraction to Eurasianism is illustrated in the following quote from the former President of the Chechen Republic Akhmet Kadyrov (assassinated in 2004): "The Russian national idea is best stated in terms of Eurasian ideology, and through Eurasianism it becomes acceptable for the Chechen Republic." *Nezavisimaya gazeta*, 8th June 2001; as quoted in Mitrofanova, *The Politicization of Russian Orthodoxy*, 52.

saw “Eurasia” as an instrument to further their social careers—rather than as an organization fully expressing their world views and political aspirations. What appears, in view of such caveats, as a more noteworthy feature of “Eurasia’s” founding congress on 21 April 2001 was the presence of a prominent Russian political theorist, the late Aleksandr Panarin (1940-2003), and a well-known TV journalist of Russia’s first and most far-reaching Channel *ORT*, Mikhail Leont’ev (b. 1958), at this occasion.⁴⁴⁵ Whereas the formerly listed figures may have had, partly, careerist motives for taking part in Dugin’s new initiative, such a motivation seems less likely with regard to the latter two Russian notables who, arguably, had more to lose than to win from an association with as dubious a figure as Dugin.

Leont’ev, by one source called “the president’s [i.e. Putin’s] favourite journalist,”⁴⁴⁶ is the infamous founder, editor-in-chief, and major anchorman of the rabidly anti-American daily political prime-time TV show *Odnako* (However). He was not only present at “Eurasia’s” founding congress,⁴⁴⁷ but also became a member of the movement’s Central Council.⁴⁴⁸ Later, however, Leont’ev seems to have left “Eurasia,” and founded instead his own Serafim Club which, in the meantime, seems to have also become defunct.⁴⁴⁹ In any way, the fanaticism of Leont’ev’s anti-Americanism has remained, and kept the substance and tone of his TV programs close to the direction and style of Dugin’s writings.

Professor Panarin was, in his own words, a representative of “late Eurasianism,”⁴⁵⁰ and, in the words of a detached observer, “a leading theoretician of modern Eurasianism.”⁴⁵¹ His, perhaps, most important overtly ideological book *The Orthodox Civilization in the Global World*⁴⁵² is a manifesto of anti-Westernism and Russian messianism⁴⁵³ that proclaims Russian Orthodoxy as a “universal project” and “world-wide

⁴⁴⁵ URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://eurasia.com.ru/syezd.htm>.

⁴⁴⁶ *Obshchaya gazeta* quoted in Mavra Kosichkina, “Putin’s New Style: Moderation and Precision, Against the Backdrop of a ‘Soviet Mentality’ Renaissance,” *Politruck*, no. 56 (6 June 2001): 2 (URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.wps.ru:8101/chitalka/politruck/en/20010606.shtml>).

⁴⁴⁷ A photograph of Dugin and Leont’ev together may be found in Valerii Stroevev, “Analitiki o evrazijskoe,” *Evrasijskoe obozrenie*, no. 5 (11 February 2002): 2.

⁴⁴⁸ URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://eurasia.com.ru/syezd.htm>.

⁴⁴⁹ Verkhovskii, “Serafimovskii klub.”

⁴⁵⁰ As quoted in Mitrofanova, *Politizatsiya “pravoslav’nogo mira”*, 139.

⁴⁵¹ Hofman, “No Love from Russia,” 33.

⁴⁵² Aleksandr S. Panarin, *Pravoslav’naya tsivilizatsiya v global’nom mire* (Moskva: Algoritm, 2002). See on this book Mitrofanova, *The Politicization of Russian Orthodoxy*, 152.

⁴⁵³ See the review of Sally Boss at: URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.ruf.rice.edu/~sarmatia/405/254boss.html>.

historical alternative."⁴⁵⁴ While ideas like these indicate well the reasons for Panarin's support for Dugin, the Professor cannot be dismissed as a representative of a marginal tendency in Russian academia. Panarin held the Chair of Political Science at the Department of Philosophy of Moscow State University,⁴⁵⁵ and thus one of the most important posts in the Russian social science community until his early death in 2003. He was also Director of the Center for Social and Philosophical Studies at the Institute of Philosophy of the Russian Academy of Sciences, a productive publicist and author or co-author of, among others, various monographs sold and recommended by the Russian Ministry of Education as philosophy and political science textbooks.⁴⁵⁶ In Soviet times, Panarin belonged to a social-democratic student dissident group, and was, for this reason, expelled from the *Komsomol* as well as Moscow State University.⁴⁵⁷ In 1989, Panarin, oddly, published a book critical of French neo-conservatism⁴⁵⁸—an ideological trend that, in the very same year, was about to become a major source of inspiration for Dugin who was visiting Western Europe in 1989. At this time, Panarin was still an ardent proponent of democracy, liberalism, universalism, and, even, the Reformation (a position unusual for an Orthodox Chris-

⁴⁵⁴ As quoted in Mitrofanova, *Politizatsiya "pravoslavnogo mira,"* 140.

⁴⁵⁵ On Panarin's ideas, Vesa Oittinen, "Eurasismus—eine Integrationsideologie für Rußland?" *Blätter für deutsche und internationale Politik*, no. 11 (1994): 1379-1386, here 1385-1386; Marlen Laryuel [Marlène Laruelle], "Pereosmyslenie imperii v postsovetском prostranstve: novaya evraziiskaya ideologiya," *Vestnik Evrazii—Acta Eurasica*, no. 1(8) (2000): 5-18; Walerij Afanasjew, "Nichtmarxistische russische Geschichtsphilosophie des 19. und 20. Jahrhunderts am Beispiel der slawophilen und eurasischen Philosophie," unpublished doctoral dissertation (Berlin: Freie Universität, 2001); Marlène Laruelle, "Le néo-eurasisme Russe: l'empire après l'empire?" *Cahiers du Monde russe* 42, no. 1 (2001): 71-94; Gordon Hahn, "The Rebirth of Eurasianism," *CDI Russia Weekly*, no. 215 (12-18 July 2002), at: URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.cdi.org/russia/215-14-pr.cfm>.

⁴⁵⁶ E.g. V.V. Il'in, A.S. Panarin and D.V. Badovskii, *Politicheskaya antropologiya* (Moskva: Izdatel'stvo MGU, 1995); V.V. Il'in, A.S. Panarin and A.S. Akhiezer, *Reformy i kontr-reformy v Rossii* (Moskva: Izdatel'stvo MGU, 1996); A.S. Panarin, I.A. Vasilenko, E.A. Kartsev, L.I. Novikova, I.N. Sizemskaya, G.K. Ovchinnikov, *Filosofiya istorii* (Moskva: Gardariki, 1999); Aleksandr S. Panarin, *Rossiiskaya intelligentsiya v mirovykh voynakh i revolyutsiyakh XX veka* (Moskva: Editorial URSS, 1998); *idem*, *Rossiya v tsiklakh mirovoi istorii* (Moskva: Izdatel'stvo MGU, 1996); *idem*, *Global'noe politicheskoe prognozirovanie v usloviyakh strategicheskoi nestabil'nosti* (Moskva: Editorial URSS, 1999); *idem*, *Global'noe politicheskoe prognozirovanie* (Moskva: Algoritm, 2000); *idem*, *Politologiya: O mire politiki na Vostoke i na Zapade* (Moskva: Universitet, 2001); *idem*, *Politologiya* (Moskva: Gardariki, 2001); *idem*, *Politologiya: Uchebnik* (Moskva: TK Velbi, 2003) *idem*, *Strategicheskaya nestabil'nost' v XXI veke* (Moskva: Algoritm, 2003); *idem* and I.A. Vasilenko, *Politologiya: Obshchii kurs* (Moskva: Logos, 2003).

⁴⁵⁷ Andrei P. Tsygankov, "Aleksandr Panarin kak zerkalo rossiiskoi revolyutsii," unpublished paper (San Francisco 2005), 4. I am grateful to Professor Tsygankov for sending me, and letting me quote from, his important intellectual biography of Panarin.

⁴⁵⁸ Aleksandr S. Panarin, *Stil' "retro" v ideologii i politike (kriticheskie ocherki frantsuzskogo neokonservatizma)* (Moskva: Mysl', 1989).

tian).⁴⁵⁹ When in the mid-1990s, Panarin was turning towards Eurasianism, he was initially at pains to emphasize the difference between his “civilized” Eurasianism and its radical version promoted in such organs as *Den’*, *Elementy* and *Nash sovremennik*.⁴⁶⁰ Though Panarin was, in the mid-1990s, regarded as a representative of a version of Eurasianism more moderate than Dugin’s, he actually “rarely mentioned the founding-fathers of Eurasianism and, later, even attacked the ‘geographical determinism’ that was conferred to them.”⁴⁶¹ The “Eurasianist” Panarin seems thus to have had a strained relationship to the classics of Russian Eurasianism, reminding of the selectivity with which Gumilëv and Dugin have used them (a tendency to be analyzed below). Nevertheless, Panarin still “remained closer to the ideas of such founding-fathers of Eurasianism as Petr Savitskii or Prince Nikolai Trubetskoi than the followers of the biologicistic theories of Gumilëv or the supporters of extremely right-wing geopolitics pronounced by Dugin (in spite of the rapprochement of Dugin and Panarin during the last years of the latter).”⁴⁶²

In 1999, a Russian social scientist called Panarin, in the reputed journal *Europe-Asia Studies*, “one of the most profound and original modern Russian scholars in the philosophy of politics.”⁴⁶³ Mitrofanova writes that “Panarin has always been one of the most respected political theorists in Russia.”⁴⁶⁴ In 2002, Panarin won the prestigious Solzhenitsyn Prize for two of his recent books, *History’s Revenge: The Russian Strategic Initiative in the 21st Century* (a reply to Francis Fukuyama’s *The End of History*), and *The Seduction by Globalism*⁴⁶⁵ (on Russia’s distinctiveness from Western civilization).⁴⁶⁶ By this time, Panarin had become an increasingly shrill voice of radical anti-Westernism, propagator of conspiracy theories, apologist of Stalinism, convert to anti-Semitism (warning against “Jewishization” of the world), and regular contributor to the well-known nationalist “thick journal” *Moskva* (Moscow). His ideas on an impending conflict between the land- and sea-powers were becoming similar to Dugin’s.⁴⁶⁷ However, “Panarin’s reputation among the academics was by that time so

⁴⁵⁹ Tsygankov, “Aleksandr Panarin kak zerkalo rossiiskoi revoyutsii,” 5-6.

⁴⁶⁰ *Ibid.*, 9-11.

⁴⁶¹ Marlen Laryuel’ [Marlène Laruelle], “Aleksandr Panarin i ‘tsivilizatsionnyi natsionalizm’ v Rossii,” in: Verkhovskii, ed., *Russkii natsionalizm*, 165-182, here 168.

⁴⁶² Laryuel’, “Aleksandr Panarin i ‘tsivilizatsionnyi natsionalizm’ v Rossii,” 181-182.

⁴⁶³ V.A. Bazhanov, “A Note on A.S. Panarin’s *Revansh istorii*,” *Europe-Asia Studies* 51, no. 4 (1999): 705-708, here 705.

⁴⁶⁴ Mitrofanova, *The Politicization of Russian Orthodoxy*, 151.

⁴⁶⁵ Aleksandr S. Panarin, *Revansh istorii: rossiiskaya strategicheskaya initsiativa v XXI veke* (Moskva: Logos, 1998); *idem*, *Iskushenie globalizmom* (Moskva: Eksmo-Press, 2002).

⁴⁶⁶ URL (last accessed October 2006): http://www.rol.ru/news/art/news/02/04/18_001.htm.

⁴⁶⁷ Tsygankov, “Aleksandr Panarin kak zerkalo rossiiskoi revoyutsii,” 15-22. One should add

firm that even the publication of evidently 'ideological' books was not able to shatter it."⁴⁶⁸

At first, Panarin did, in contradiction to some press reports and scholarly analyses,⁴⁶⁹ not become a member of Dugin's movement, but merely attended its founding congress in April 2001. What, nevertheless, indicates a certain closeness between Dugin and Panarin already in 2001 was that the scholar contributed articles to "Eurasia's" first publications.⁴⁷⁰ Panarin also quoted Dugin's above mentioned tome *Osnovy geopolitiki* affirmatively in some of his political science text books.⁴⁷¹ In 2002, Panarin finally became a member of the Central Council of Dugin's newly founded party "Eurasia." According to Dugin, Panarin agreed to write a foreword to one of Dugin's latest books *Filosofiya politiki* (Philosophy of Politics). Yet, Panarin's illness and eventual passing away in September 2003 prevented him from doing so.⁴⁷²

Presumably, for neither of these two well-established figures in Russian society, Leont'ev and Panarin, an affiliation with an organization such as "Eurasia" was a necessity in terms of their respective careers in journalism and academia. Instead, it seems that Leont'ev and Panarin were genuinely attracted to Dugin and his ideas. With such prominent personalities and prolific commentators in their own right at his side, Dugin had, at the beginning of this decade, started making inroads into mainstream Russian politics, elite thinking, and society as a whole. It is especially surprising that a scholar like Panarin, by way of publicly supporting "Eurasia" and Dugin seemingly acknowledged the latter's intellectual leadership although Dugin's dubious intellectual and political biography is well-known, and though Dugin had only shortly

though that Panarin, in distinction to Dugin, remained critical of Putin. *Ibid.*, 21, 23.

⁴⁶⁸ Mitrofanova, *The Politicization of Russian Orthodoxy*, 152.

⁴⁶⁹ Laryuel', "Aleksandr Panarin i 'tsivilizatsionnyi natsionalizm' v Rossii," 169.

⁴⁷⁰ Aleksandr Panarin, "Pravoslavnaia tsivilizatsiia v global'nom mire," *Evrasiiskoe obozrenie*, no. 4 (11 Desember 2001): 4-5, at: URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://eurasia.com.ru/eo/4-5.html>; *idem*, "Ontologiya terrora," in: Melent'eva, ed., *Geopolitika terrora*, 45-51; OPOD „Evrazija," ed., *Russkaya pravoslavnaia tserkov' v prostranstve Evrazii: materialy VI Vsemirnogo russkogo narodnogo sobora* (Moskva: Artkogeya, 2002), 90-101.

⁴⁷¹ E.g. Aleksandr S. Panarin, *Politologiya: uchebnoe posobie* (Moskva: Gardariki, 2002), 372. It does not surprise, in this context, that Panarin also quotes affirmatively the major theorist of the *Nouvelle Droite*, Alain de Benoist. *Ibid.*, 226, 355. Thus, the leader of contemporary West European intellectual right-wing extremism is introduced to Russian political science students in a textbook recommended explicitly by the Ministry of Education of the RF. On de Benoist, see for instance, Griffin, "Plus ça change!," *idem*, "Between Metapolitics and *Apoliteia*," Bar-On, "The Ambiguities of the Nouvelle Droite, 1968-1999," Spektorowski, "The New Right."

⁴⁷² URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://evrazia.org/modules.php?name=News&file=article&sid=1508>.

before been awarded a Candidate of Science degree from an obscure Rostov higher education institution (more on this below). Dugin was aware of the potential importance of Panarin's support for his organization: At "Eurasia's" founding congress, in his plenary speech, he immediately expressed his joy about Panarin's presence in the auditorium.⁴⁷³ In a later speech reviewing the congress's results, he again underscored Panarin's presence (and that of another prominent publicist, Eduard Bagramov, editor of the journal *Evrasiya*)⁴⁷⁴ as a pleasant surprise.⁴⁷⁵ It is to be expected that Dugin's approval by Panarin will further boost the status of *Arktogeya*'s numerous extremely anti-Western publications, and promote their usage by educational institutions, including universities.

Panarin's turn to the extreme right reminds—to return briefly to the above comparison of late Imperial Germany with contemporary Russia—of the impact that the coming out of a German prominent academic, the historian Heinrich von Treitschke, as an antisemite had on German society of the Wilhelmine period. Leonid Luks notes that

Treitschke who was among the most influential academic teachers of Berlin University contributed heavily to making antisemitic stereotypes respectable. In his lectures, on "politics" he described the alleged deficits of the "Jewish national character" with similar venom as he had done already in his publicistic work. [...] Among Treitschke's listeners who absorbed the message of the eloquent university lecturer were many students who would later be among the most active fighters against the so called "Jewish threat."⁴⁷⁶

George Iggers points out that "[i]n Treitschke's auditorium, there were the future leaders of the Pan-Germans [...] as well as hundreds of later high officials, lecturers, army officers, etc. He succeeded in providing his resentment, his hate against so-

⁴⁷³ See the section „Neoevrasiistvo“ at: URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://eurasia.com.ru/stenogramma.html>.

⁴⁷⁴ Laryuel', *Ideologiya russkogo evraziistva*, 12.

⁴⁷⁵ URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://eurasia.com.ru/polit.htm>. On Bagramov, a former CPSU Central Committee member and today editor of the journal *Evrasiya: narody, kul'tury, religii* (Eurasia: Peoples, Cultures, Religions), see Marlène Laruelle, "The Two Faces of Contemporary Eurasianism: An Imperial Version of Russian Nationalism," *Nationalities Papers* 32, no. 1 (2004): 115-136.

⁴⁷⁶ Leonid Luks, "Die Sehnsucht nach der 'organischen nationalen Einheit' und die 'jüdische Frage' im publizistischen Werk Fedor Dostoevskijs und Heinrich von Treitschkes," in: *idem* and Florian Anton, eds., *Deutschland, Russland und das Baltikum: Beiträge zu einer Geschichte wechselvoller Beziehungen. Festschrift zum 85. Geburtstag von Peter Krupnikow* (Köln, Weimar, Wien: Böhlau, 2005), 155-186, here 173-174.

cialists, Jews, the English and non-whites [...] with an aura of scholarly respectability."⁴⁷⁷ To be sure, the contents of Panarin's and Treitschke's writings and lectures are hardly comparable; yet, their respective roles within the academic establishments of their countries and times, to some degree, are.

Since the foundation of "Eurasia" in 2001, the development of the Dugin phenomenon has become difficult to follow. The number of Dugin's appearances in the press, television, radio, World Wide Web, and various academic and political conferences has multiplied and can, therefore, be presented here only selectively.⁴⁷⁸ To fully document and adequately analyze the multitude of activities Dugin and his movement since "Eurasia's" foundation in 2001 would constitute a separate research project. Already in 2002, one commentator reported that

[a]ccording to the data of the Effective Politics Foundation (headed by Gleb Pavlovskii) the frequency of mentioning [*upominaemost'*] of Dugin in the Russian mass media in the first half of 2002 was almost ten times [*na poryadok*] higher than the [value of this] indicator for the respective period in 2000 had been.⁴⁷⁹

Below, I am mentioning thus only some of the various developments of the Dugin phenomenon in 2001-2006.

Dugin in Moscow High Politics

For instance, in December 2001, Dugin and his followers apparently managed to hijack the VI World Russian Popular Assembly, an annual gathering of Orthodox and other religious believers held since 1993 under the aegis of the Russian Orthodox Church.⁴⁸⁰ At this Assembly, high officials of the Russian government and churches were present, including President Vladimir Putin and the Patriarch of Moscow and All Russias Aleksii II. "Eurasia" apparently sponsored a number of presentations at this congress and managed to place two of its representatives, Dugin and Tadzhuddin, as speakers of the main Plenary Session of the congress. As a result, Dugin and Ta-

⁴⁷⁷ Georg Iggers, "Heinrich von Treitschke," in: Hans-Ulrich Wehler, ed., *Deutsche Historiker* (Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, 1973), 174-188, here 186.

⁴⁷⁸ Victor Yasman, "Aleksandr Dugin—Eurasia party founder and chief ideologue of the Russian geopolitical school," at: URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.rferl.org/specials/russianelection/bio/dugin.asp>.

⁴⁷⁹ Timur Polyannikov, "Po tropam Khimery, ili razmyshlenniia o evraziistve i 'novom mirovom poriadke.'"

⁴⁸⁰ Mitrofanova, *The Politicization of Russian Orthodoxy*, 221.

dzhuddin had the opportunity to officially present themselves on a par with a number of influential Russian figures such as Putin and Aleksii II whom they explicitly addressed in their speeches. Dugin's publishing house *Arktogeya-Tsentr* produced, afterwards, a small collected volume which commemorated this occasion, with a print-run of 1,000. The book included apart from texts by Putin, Aleksii II, the Head of the Writers Union of Russia Valerii Ganichev, the Metropolitan of Smolensk and Kaliningrad Kirill, and the Speaker of the Verkhovna Rada (Supreme Soviet) of the Republic of Crimea Leonid Grach, pieces by various supporters of "neo-Eurasianism," among them a number of academics sympathetic to Dugin, e.g. Aleksandr Panarin.⁴⁸¹

Concerning Dugin's growing presence in mass media, he has repeatedly appeared in, among others, Vladimir Pozner's leading Russian weekly prime time analytical TV show on Channel 1 (*ORT*) *Vremena* (Times), Aleksandr Arkhangel'skii's late-night high-brow talk-show on Channel 2 (*RTR*) *Tem vrememem* (At the Same Time), Vladimir Solovëv's weekly *NTV* political show *Voskresnyi vecher* (Sunday Evening) as well as Andrei Malakhov's popular Channel 1 (*ORT*) talk-show *Pust' govoryat* (Let Them Talk).⁴⁸² He has become a regular commentator in the *Moskoviya* TV Channel's nationalistic analytical weekly program *Russkii vzglyad* (The Russian View) led by the popular showman Ivan Demidov.⁴⁸³ Since 2005, he is the presenter of his own irregular TV talk-show *Vekhi* (Landmarks) at Russia's new religious TV channel *Spas* (Saviour).

Apart from Dugin's frequent appearances in radio and television shows, it is worth noting that he was, in 2003, a regular contributor for *Literaturnaya gazeta* (Literary Newspaper), one of Russia's most reputed intellectual weekly newspapers, and led a column under the pseudonym *Atsefal*. In addition, Dugin is a somewhat less frequent contributor to the official Russian federal government newspaper *Rossiiskaya gazeta* (Russian Newspaper).⁴⁸⁴ A Swiss journalist called Dugin in February 2006 an "influential political scientists" whose "permanent presence in the media has made him an authoritative speaker of the conservatives."⁴⁸⁵

⁴⁸¹ See, OPOD "Evraziya," ed., *Russkaya pravoslavnaya tserkov' v prostranstve Evrazii*. On Ganichev, see Mitrokhin, *Russkaya partiya*.

⁴⁸² Personal observations in 2005-2006.

⁴⁸³ URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.religare.ru/print7321.htm>.

⁴⁸⁴ A list of Dugin's publications to *Rossiiskaya gazeta* may be found at URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.rg.ru/tema/avtor-Aleksandr-Dugin/>.

⁴⁸⁵ Ingold, "Patriotisches Krisenmanagement."

In view of the above, Dugin's failure to transform his organization into a functional party in 2002-2003 cannot be taken as a measure for an adequate assessment of his current and future role in Russian society. As Dugin stated at the Founding Congress of his Movement on 21 April 2001: "Our aim is not to reach power and not to fight for power; our aim is to fight for influence on the power [holders]. These are different forms."⁴⁸⁶ Dugin's unsuccessful liaison with *Rodina* in summer 2003, his abortive attempt to participate in the State Duma elections of December 2003, and his eventual expulsion from the Party "Eurasia" by the party's co-founder Petr Suslov in 2004 are thus of only limited relevance for an evaluation of his role in society.⁴⁸⁷

What seems more important is that, during the last years, his indirect political influence has been rising further. In particular, the new shift of his organizational base from the Party "Eurasia" to the *Mezhdunarodnoe "Evraziiskoe dvizhenie"* (International "Eurasian Movement"), founded in November 2003, became another breakthrough for Dugin in terms of his reach into the Russian political elite. The original "Eurasia" Movement of 2001 included prominent personalities, like Tadzhuddin, Panarin and Leont'ev, mainly from civil society. The only prominent exclusion to this rule was then Dmitrii Ryurikov who became a member of "Eurasia's" Central Council. In the 1990s, Ryurikov had been a foreign policy advisor to Boris El'tsin. When "Eurasia" was founded in 2001, Ryurikov was the Russian Ambassador to Uzbekistan.⁴⁸⁸

While Ryurikov was a figure of exceptional status of the first "Eurasia" Movement founded in 2001, the leading body of Dugin's new International "Eurasian Movement" of 2003 comprises—in addition to prominent civil society actors, academics from the C.I.S. and some minor Western intellectuals—also a number of representatives of the Russian government and parliament. In October 2006, the list of the members the Movement's Highest Council included:

⁴⁸⁶ URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://eurasia.com.ru/syezd.htm>.

⁴⁸⁷ Mitrofanova, *The Politicization of Russian Orthodoxy*, 191.

⁴⁸⁸ See Dunlop, "Alexander Dugin's Foundations of Geopolitics," 6.

(1) *from the Russian executive and legislative branches of power:*

- Minister of Culture of the RF, Aleksandr Sokolov,
- Vice-Speaker of the Federation Council of the Federal Assembly of the RF, Aleksandr Torshin,
- Chairman of the Committee on International Relations of the Federation Council, Mikhail Margelov,
- Advisor to the President of the RF, Aslambek Aslakhanov,
- former Deputy Foreign Minister and current Ambassador of the RF to Latvia, Viktor Kalyuzhnii,
- Ambassador of the RF to Denmark, Dmitrii Ryurikov,
- Head of the Department on Political Parties and Social Organizations of the Ministry of Justice of the RF, Aleksei Zhafyarov,
- Minister of Culture of the Republic of Yakutiya (Sakha) and Rector of the Arctic State Institute of Culture and Art, Andrei Borisov,
- Head of the State Committee for Property of the RF Territorial Directorate responsible for Moscow State University, Zeidula Yuzbekov,

(2) *from Russian civil society:*

- Chief Mufti of the Spiritual Directorate of the Muslims of Russia and European Countries of the C.I.S., Talgat Tadzhuddin,
- President of the National Association of TV and Radio Broadcasters and member of the Directorate of the Academy of Russian Television, Eduard Sagalaev,
- Head of the Council of Ambassadors of the RF and President of the Russian-Turkish Friendship Society "Rutam," Al'bert Chernyshëv,
- Editor-in-Chief of the Russian army newspaper *Krasnaya zvezda* (Red Star), Nikolai Efimov,
- President of the Consulting Firm *Neokon* and founder of the WWW-Site Worldcrisis.ru, Mikhail Khazin,

- Academician of the Russian Academy of Sciences and Vice-President of the Society of Georgians of Russia, Severian Zagarishvili,
- Head of the Congress of the Peoples of the Northern Caucasus and Secretary for National Issues of the Union of Writers of Russia, Brontoi Bedyurov,

(3) *from the C.I.S.:*

- Rector of the Lev Gumilëv Eurasian National University of Astana (Kazakhstan), Sarsyngali Abdymanapov,
- Ambassador of the Republic of Kyrgystan to Russia and Head of the Council of Directors of *Postnoff* Ltd, Apas Dzhumagulov,
- Director of the Academy of Management attached to the Office of the President of Belarus and Director of the Research Institute on the Theory and Practice of Government of the Republic of Belarus, Evgenii Matusevich,
- Rector of the Kyrgyz-Russian Slavic University of Bishkek, Vladimir Nufad'ev,
- Director of the Akhmad Donish Institute of History, Archaeology and Ethnography of the Tadzhik Academy of Sciences, Rakhim Masov,
- Rector of the Makhambet Utemisov Western Kazakhstani State University of Uralsk, Tuyakbai Ryzbekov,
- Leader of the *Bratstvo* (Brotherhood) Party, Ukraine, Dmitro Korchinskii,
- Leader of the Progressive Socialist Party of Ukraine, Nataliya Vitrenko,

(4) *from other countries:*

- Head of the İşçi Partisi (Labour Party) of Turkey, Doğu Perinçek,
- French Air Force General (ret.) and leader of the Forum for France, Pierre-Marie Gallois,

- Director of the Center for Central Asian and Caucasian Studies at Luleå, Sweden, and Editor-in-Chief of the scholarly journal *Central Asia and the Caucasus*, Murad Esenov,
- Lecturer of the Faculty of Policy Studies of Iwate Prefectural University, Japan, Yukiko Kuroiwa,⁴⁸⁹
- conspirologist and author of the book *Vladimir Poutine et l'Eurasie* (Charmes: Les Amis de la Culture Européenne, 2005), Jean Parvulesco,
- Editor-in-Chief of the Milano journal *Eurasia: Rivista di Studi Geopolitici* (of which Dugin is an editorial board member), Tiberio Grazi-ani,
- Head of the Congress of Serbs of Eurasia (KSEA), Mila Alečković-Nikolić.⁴⁹⁰

In view of the above-listed members of the Russian executive and legislative branches of power in the International “Eurasian Movement,” Dugin’s claim, in September 2005, that the Kremlin supports what he is trying to do sounds plausible.⁴⁹¹ To be sure, a mere presence of such persons as Culture Minister Sokolov, and Federation Council Deputy Speaker Torshin as well as International Affairs Committee Chairman Margelov in the register of the Highest Council of Dugin’s movement may not mean much in terms of these politicians’ ideological positions, and cannot be regarded as an endorsement of Dugin’s neo-fascist views. However, it does indicate that these highly placed figures are aware of Dugin, support, at least, partly his movement, and have become accessible to him. That Dugin has serious contacts within both, the Russian executive—including the presidential administration and government—and parliament is an indication of the breadth of his influence among Russia’s political elite.

Apart from the diversification of Dugin’s contacts into the higher echelons of power in Russia, he has recently become known as creator of a new youth organization called

⁴⁸⁹ URL (last accessed October 2006): http://read.jst.go.jp/ddbs/plsql/KNKY_eg_24?code=1000263586.

⁴⁹⁰ URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.evrazia.org/modules.php?name=News&file=article&sid=1908>.

⁴⁹¹ Paul Goble, “Eurasians Organize ‘Anti-Orange’ Front in Russia, CIS,” *Johnson’s Russia List*, no. 9242 (2005), #27.

Evrasiiskii soyuz molodezhyi ESM (Eurasian Youth Movement),⁴⁹² and introduced as heir to Ivan the Terrible's infamous personal guard and mystical order *oprichnina*. Founded on 26th February 2005 and led by Pavel Zarifullin, the *ESM*'s explicitly stated aim is the prevention, through "direct action," of events like the Ukrainian Orange Revolution in Russia. One of its first activities was its participation in a meeting in support of President Murtaza Rakhimov who has become known for the authoritarian methods of his rule and large-scale manipulation of elections, in Bashkortostan's capital Ufa.⁴⁹³ Since its foundation, the *ESM* has established itself as such an active and prolific youth organization with branches in a number of former Soviet republics and a special section for children (*ESM-deti*) that an adequate coverage of the rise of this organization would demand a separate study.

In addition, Dugin has extended his international contacts since the foundation of his movement in 2001. They now range from Great Britain where he is in contact with Troy Southgate's "New Right" to various intellectual and political figures in Turkey where he has become known as a leading Russian political commentator. Though it would be an overstatement to say, as Mitrofanova does, that the "Eurasia" section of the Serbian nationalist WWW-site "Komentar" is "basically stuffed with A. Dugin's articles,"⁴⁹⁴ the "neo-Eurasianist" is present there and presumably has some following among the Serbian extreme right.⁴⁹⁵

Dugin's "Academic Career"

The above development mark important trends. Yet, what might be most relevant in terms of both, Dugin's own idea of what his activities are about, and the depth and sustainability of his impact on Russian society, are his publicistic activities and their acceptance within Russia's elites. Will his attempts to lastingly impregnate Russia's and the C.I.S.'s intellectuals and politicians with his ideas—an enterprise that Dugin sees himself as more important than his various forerays into the electoral arena—be successful? For an understanding of the Dugin phenomenon, Dugin's eagerness to

⁴⁹² Another (perhaps, virtual) organization initiated by Dugin are the so-called United Tatar-Mari-Hyperborean Forces. See Goble, "Eurasians Organize 'Anti-Orange' Front in Russia, CIS."

⁴⁹³ Mitrofanova, *The Politicization of Russian Orthodoxy*, 194. Interestingly, Dugin, at the same time, is opposed to Tatarstan's President Mintimir Shaimiev. See Goble, "Eurasians Organize 'Anti-Orange' Front in Russia, CIS."

⁴⁹⁴ Mitrofanova, *Politizatsiya "pravoslavnogo mira,"* 246.

⁴⁹⁵ URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.komentar.co.yu/evroazija/index.php>.

become a fully accepted member of academia is particularly revealing. It speaks about both, how he understands himself as well as what the long-term prospects of his role in Russian society might be.⁴⁹⁶ Whether Dugin will be in a position to enter the Ivory Tower, make his pamphlets into text-books, and become accepted in scholarly circles are major issues in assessing the chances of his project as he himself understands it.

Indications that Dugin has, in spite of his pronounced anti-rationalism, aspired to become integrated into Russia's social sciences from the start of his publicistic activities can be found in his early publications. In the blurb for his seminal and especially phantasmorgic book *Konspirologiya* of 1992,⁴⁹⁷ the

editorial collegium of the publisher [i.e. Dugin himself—A.U.] underlines that the present publication has a strictly scientific, scholarly character though the specifics of the present theme force one frequently to [turn to] non-traditional methods, to the study of mythological paradigms and complicated sociological laws.⁴⁹⁸

In his seminal article “The War of the Continents” that was first published in the major Russian extremely right-wing weekly *Den'* and reprinted in *Konspirologiya*, Dugin praises Sir Halford Mackinder for having, in his science of geopolitics, established “certain *objective laws*.”⁴⁹⁹ While, in the second 2005 edition of *Konspirologiya*, Dugin, interestingly, admits that the 1992 first edition contained “many now obvious absurdities, inconsistencies, imprecisions and overstatements,” he still claims that this was a “serious analysis of serious events,” and that the mistakes “were corrected later in our serious and valid scholarly [*nauchnye*] studies.”⁵⁰⁰ In another book, Dugin admits too that his aim of a Conservative Revolution “does not constitute a ready-

⁴⁹⁶ Needless to say that such behavior is in stark contrast to, for instance, the self-perception of Adolf Hitler who even “refused to accept honorary doctorates.” Laqueur, *Fascism*, 20.

⁴⁹⁷ There is contradictory information on the publication year of the copy that I have in my archive: The title sheet gives 1993 as the publication year, while the copyright statement contains the number 1992.

⁴⁹⁸ Dugin, *Konspirologiya*, 2. A similar point is made in Mitrofanova, *The Politicization of Russian Orthodoxy*, 147.

⁴⁹⁹ Dugin, *Konspirologiya*, 92, emphasis added.

⁵⁰⁰ Aleksandr Dugin, “Konspirologiya—veselaya nauka postmoderna,” in his, *Konspirologiya (nauka o zagovorakh, sekretnykh obshchestvakh i tainoi voine)* (Moskva: ROF “Evraziya,” 2005), 5-15, here 13-14.

made model," yet that it "can also not be reduced to a phantastic voluntaristic project."⁵⁰¹

Since his early publications, Dugin has tirelessly and with increasing professionalism worked towards publicizing his ideas among educated citizens of the former Soviet Union. In September 1998, he launched an attempt to establish his own "New University"—a series of high-brow lectures and seminars for his Moscow followers.⁵⁰² Mitrofanova noted in 2005 that "[o]rganizing exhibitions of books by Aleksandr Dugin in various cities and countries seems to be the central preoccupation of the International 'Eurasian Movement,'"⁵⁰³ and that Dugin has explicitly "formulated the task of restructuring the elite's consciousness, stating that the 'xenomorphous' (i.e. non-Russian) elite should be impelled to give an oath of allegiance to the Russian nation."⁵⁰⁴

Whether Dugin will manage to leave the ghetto of fringe publicism with which he is still associated among Russian intellectuals depends on whether he will be accepted in mainstream academic discourse. To be sure, there is, in principle, nothing to be said against an entry of persons with unusual biographies into academia, and, in particular, against greater diversity in post-Soviet social sciences. However, a number of Russian self-made "scholars" like Dugin have made it their aim not only to enter academia, but also to radically transform basic criteria of what constitutes science, what scholarly research is about, and to permit bodies of thought such as occultism, mysticism, esotericism, conspirology, etc. into higher education and scholarship that would bring down the borders between science and fiction, and blur the distinction between scholarly and non-scholarly texts. While pluralism in academia may be as valuable as in other spheres of life, "[t]he gatekeeping functions of the academy cannot be abolished by a wistful appeal to diversity."⁵⁰⁵

Mitrofanova who is both an observer and participant of the elite stratum that Dugin wishes to enter writes of him as still belonging to a circle of "new intellectuals" not accepted among the academic establishment.

In terms of their style, the works of the "new intellectuals" remind one of the scholarly tractates of the Middle Ages and Renaissance:

⁵⁰¹ Dugin, *Konservativnaya Revolyutsiya*, 3.

⁵⁰² See URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://universitet.virtualave.net/>.

⁵⁰³ Mitrofanova, *The Politicization of Russian Orthodoxy*, 191.

⁵⁰⁴ *Ibid.*, 192.

⁵⁰⁵ John Gerring, *Social Science Methodology: A Critical Framework* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2001), 5.

absence of footnotes, references to authorities as providing proof, [and] mixing of reliable with unreliable information. Instead of calling the “new sciences” false, one could regard them as a sign of an archaization of science, its return to former forms.⁵⁰⁶

It remains to be seen whether Dugin will be able to enter mainstream scholarly discourse, become integrated into the academic establishment, and thus gain opportunities to influence, above all, the educated young. One might, in this connection, recall that, in the inter-war years, “[s]tudents were strong supporters of the fascist movements in Spain and Romania, and so in these countries fascism was in the early years a phenomenon confined mainly to particular universities. Likewise, the Nazis emerged victorious in Germany’s university elections well before they became a major political factor nationwide.”⁵⁰⁷ While, at the point of finishing this study, it is still an open question whether extremely right-wing ideas will gain a lasting foothold in Russian academia comparable to the advance of racial studies into mainstream German academia during the Weimar Republic, Panarin’s above mentioned interest for Dugin’s activities indicate that the leader of the International “Eurasian Movement” has done already a first step into the Ivory Tower of Russian higher education and scholarship by 2001. Further aspects of his rise also illustrate Dugin’s gradual entry into intellectual elite circles, and the higher education system.

For instance, two scholars from a reputed Moscow higher education institution who seem to have endorsed Dugin by way of contributing to a collected volume of conference papers published by the “Eurasia” Movement⁵⁰⁸ are the Professors Viktor Zotov⁵⁰⁹ and Anatolii Ushkov⁵¹⁰ who both hold the degree of a Doctor of Science (Dr.Sc.) in Philosophy, and teach at the Department of Political Science at the Faculty of Social Sciences and Humanities of the Russian University of People’s Friendship—once a major Soviet institution for educating foreign students.⁵¹¹ Though it is of some importance that Zotov and Ushkov did not hesitate to submit their papers for a volume published by “Eurasia,” it also needs to be mentioned that this volume was

⁵⁰⁶ Mitrofanova, *Politizatsiya “pravoslavnogo mira,”* 186.

⁵⁰⁷ Laqueur, *Fascism*, 19.

⁵⁰⁸ Viktor Zotov, “Evraziitsyi o pravoslavii,” in: OPOD „Evraziya,” ed., *Russkaya pravoslavnaya tserkov’ v prostranstve Evrazii*, 138-142; Anatolii Ushkov, “K opredeleniyu kategorii ‘imperiya,’” in: OPOD „Evraziya,” ed., *Russkaya pravoslavnaya tserkov’ v prostranstve Evrazii*, 143-145.

⁵⁰⁹ URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.humanities.edu.ru/db/msg/49663>.

⁵¹⁰ URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.humanities.edu.ru/db/msg/49713>.

⁵¹¹ URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.rudn.ru/>.

the above-mentioned collection of presentations at the VI World Russian Popular Sobor hijacked by "Eurasia," and that neither Zotov nor Ushkov recommend Dugin's books in the study program of their university courses on the history of Western, Russian and Eastern political thought.⁵¹² Concerning Ushkov, one might add though that he affirmatively quotes Dugin in his cited contribution,⁵¹³ and recommends numerous anti-Western texts, among them those by above-mentioned Ivan Il'in, Lev Gumil'ev, and Aleksandr Panarin, in his university syllabus.⁵¹⁴ At least Ushkov might thus be counted among Dugin's open supporters.

Academics in the Urals who are—more obviously so than the mentioned Moscow professors—close to Dugin are, at Chelyabinsk State University, Galina Sachko, Candidate of Science in Philosophy, Associate Professor (dotsent) and Dean of the Faculty of Eurasia and the East,⁵¹⁵ and, at the Urals State University of Technology, Professor Stanislav Nekrasov, Dr.Sc. in Philosophy, who also works at the Urals State Conservatory in Ekaterinburg.⁵¹⁶ As shown below, Dugin's connections to academics in the Southern Russian city of Rostov-on-the-Don seem to be particularly well-developed. Among Dugin's followers in Rostov is, for instance, Professor Tamar Matyash, Dr.Sc. in Philosophy and holder of a chair at the Instituted for Further and Continued Education at Rostov State University.⁵¹⁷

Although Mitrofanova claimed in 2004 that "not a single traditional scholar will seriously consider [...] a dissertation written on the basis of the 'methodology of integral Traditionalism,'"⁵¹⁸ she reports herself extensively on Dugin's success in obtaining the degrees of a Candidate of Science in Philosophy, and Doctor of Political Science at Rostov. Like myself, Mitrofanova has been wrong in stating that Dugin defended

⁵¹² See URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.humanities.edu.ru/db/msg/31326>; ; URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.humanities.edu.ru/db/msg/31329>.

⁵¹³ Ushkov, "K opredeleniyu kategorii 'imperiya'," in: OPOD „Evraziya," ed., *Russkaya pravoslavnyaya tserkov' v prostranstve Evrazii*, 145

⁵¹⁴ URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.humanities.edu.ru/db/msg/31329>. Mitrofanova mentions Professor V.Y. Pashchenko as a supporter of Eurasianism at Moscow State University's Faculty of Philosophy. However, Pashchenko supports the Eurasianist G.V. Vernadskii's idea of genetic link between the Slavs and Mongols, rather than Dugin's occultist theories on Eurasia. Mitrofanova, *Politizatsiya "pravoslavnogo mira,"* 139.

⁵¹⁵ For a contribution to a collected volume edited by Dugin's Eurasia Movement, see Galina Sachko, "Evraziitsyi o pravoslavii," in: OPOD „Evraziya," ed., *Russkaya pravoslavnyaya tserkov' v prostranstve Evrazii*, 102-106.

⁵¹⁶ URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://old.russ.ru/authors/stnek.html>.

⁵¹⁷ For a contribution to a collected volume edited by Dugin's Eurasia Movement, see Tamar Matyash, "Pravoslavie kak kul'turnyi fenomen," in: OPOD „Evraziya," ed., *Russkaya pravoslavnyaya tserkov' v prostranstve Evrazii*, 107-115.

⁵¹⁸ Mitrofanova, *Politizatsiya "pravoslavnogo mira,"* 185.

his *kandidatskaya* at Rostov State University⁵¹⁹ when, in fact, the defence took place under the chairmanship of Yu.A. Zhdanov (apparently, a relative of former Central Committee Secretary Andrei Zhdanov) on 20 December 2000 at an institution called the North-Caucasian Higher School Scientific Center.⁵²⁰ The title of Dugin's Candidate of Science dissertation was "The Evolution of the Paradigmatic Foundations of Science: A Philosophical-Methodological Analysis" which was later published as a book.

The only significant instance of protest against Dugin's entry into the academic establishment through the conferment of a Candidate of Science degree seems to have come from Professor Dr.Sc. Boris Georgievich Rezhabek, a biologist, ecologist and philosopher at Rostov-on-the-Don,⁵²¹ who published two (seemingly identical) articles against Dugin after, in late 2000, the head of *Arktogeya* managed to defend his *kandidatskaya*.⁵²² Rezhabek wrote that, contrary to Dugin's own claims, young Dugin was exmatriculated from the Moscow Institute of Aviation not for anti-Soviet activities, but insufficient performance. Whether this is the case or not, Dugin never finished a regular higher education degree program, and the question arises how he was admitted to a postgraduate degree examination. Rezhabek reports that "the ideologist of the 'Conservative Revolution' presented to the Academic Council [at Rostov] a... diploma from the Extra-Mural Department of the Novocherkassk Institute of Melioration Engineering!"⁵²³ As Mitrofanova comments, Rezhabek implied that this diploma from Novocherkassk may have been obtained in an irregular way.⁵²⁴ At least, it is safe to note that melioration engineering is not a subject closely related to Dugin's dissertation subject of philosophy of science.

What was noteworthy in this defence is that the *vedushchaya organizatsiya* (leading organization)—a specific Soviet/post-Soviet institution within a postgraduate research

⁵¹⁹ Umland, "Toward an Uncivil Society?" 378; Mitrofanova, *Politizatsiya "pravoslavnogo mira,"* 183.

⁵²⁰ See URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://science.dugin.ru/disser-1-stenogramma.htm>; URL (last accessed October 2006): http://www.rsu.ru/sknc/home_.html.

⁵²¹ A short biography of Rezhabek might be found at URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.rel.org.ru/cgi-bin/script.cgi?action=show&file=10030>.

⁵²² Boris G. Rezhabek, "Noosfera ili arktogeya?" *Vestnik Rossiiskogo filososkogo obshchestva*, no. 3(19) (2001): 81, as quoted in Mitrofanova, *Politizatsiya "pravoslavnogo mira,"* 183 (Mitrofanova though gives a wrong first letter of the patronym for Rezhabek—L. instead of G.); Rezhabek, "Merzlaya zemlya evraziitsa Dugina."

⁵²³ Rezhabek, "Noosfera ili Arktogeya?" 81, as quoted in Mitrofanova, *The Politicization of Russian Orthodoxy*, 157.

⁵²⁴ *Ibid.*

degree procedure, designed to secure outside evaluation of research submitted for the acquisition of an academic degree—was the Department of Philosophy and Methodology of Science of the Faculty of Public Administration of Russia's most prestigious institution of higher learning, Moscow State University (*Moskovskii gosudarstvennyi universitet*—MGU). In addition, Prof. V.G. Kuznetsov as a representative of Moscow State University, namely of Panarin's Faculty of Philosophy, though not having been present at the defence in person, played the role of Dugin's official "opponent" in this procedure.⁵²⁵

This *kandidatskaya* defence is well-documented as Dugin has put the procedure's stenogramm on the WWW,⁵²⁶ and as above-mentioned Rostov biology professor Boris Rezhabek has commented on the event. Rezhabek complains, for instance, that, among the five "scholarly works" listed by Dugin in order to fulfil the publishing requirement of the Highest Attestation Commission (*Visshaya attestatsionnaya kommissiya*—VAK) of the Ministry of Education for obtaining a Candidate of Science degree, there were "four published in Dugin's own publishing house *Arktogeya*, and only one, with little relation to the theme of the dissertation, in the journal *Filosofiya khozyaistva* (Philosophy of Economics)."⁵²⁷

In 2004, Dugin defended, contrary to an information by Mitrofanova who again mentions Rostov State University,⁵²⁸ his *doktorskaya* (a second doctoral degree comparable to the German *Habilitation* necessary to pass in order to acquire the right to a full professorship) at another, in connection to Dugin's dissertation subject, unusual institution—the Rostov Juridical Institute of the Ministry of Interior of the Russian Federation.⁵²⁹ In 2001, this Institute's Deputy Director for Academic Affairs, Police Colonel and Professor Viktor Vereshchagin, Dr.Sc. in Philosophy, had been a contributor to a collected volume edited by Dugin's Eurasia Movement, and performed as Dugin's dissertation supervisor at the defence of the *kandidatskaia*.⁵³⁰ The subject of Dugin's thesis presented to the Juridical Institute was "The Transformation of Political Structures and Institutes in the Process of Modernization of a Traditional Society."

⁵²⁵ Rezhabek, "Merzlaya zemlya evraziitsa Dugina."

⁵²⁶ URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://science.dugin.ru/disser-1-stenogramma.htm>.

⁵²⁷ Rezhabek, "Merzlaya zemlya evraziitsa Dugina."

⁵²⁸ Mitrofanova, *The Politicization of Russian Orthodoxy*, 157.

⁵²⁹ See URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://science.dugin.ru/avto-disser-2.htm>.

⁵³⁰ Viktor Vereshchagin, "O samobytnosti russkoi kul'tury v kontekste globalizatsii," in: OPOD „Evrazija,” ed., *Russkaya pravoslavnaya tserkov' v prostranstve Evrazii*, 116-125; Rezhabek, "Merzlaya zemlya evraziitsa Dugina."

Once more, most noteworthy about this defence was that Moscow State University played, in this procedure too, the role of the “leading organization.”⁵³¹

It should be also noted that, in distinction to the ambivalent situation with Dugin’s formal fulfilment of the publishing requirement for obtaining the Candidate degree, Dugin duly fulfilled this formality in the case of the defence of *doktorskaya*. He seems to have, simply, submitted 32 of his previously published publicistic and political articles for a re-publication to a yearbook and some minor scholarly journals with titles like *Ekonomicheskaya teoriya na poroge XXI veka* (Economic Theory on the Threshold to the 21st Century), *Filosofiya khozyaistva* (Economic Philosophy) and *Filosofiya prava* (Legal Philosophy).⁵³² The VAK that, formally, confers the *doktor nauk* title demands twenty publications in periodicals or collected volumes explicitly accepted as scholarly by the VAK for conferring the degree of a Doctor of Philosophy. Apparently, Dugin re-published his previous statements in the three minor periodicals solely for the sake of fulfilling this requirement. The leading “neo-Eurasianist” now, as

⁵³¹ While this aspect of Dugin’s academic career provides his Candidate and Doctor degrees with some legitimacy, it should be also noted that it is, altogether, not that surprising that Moscow State University agreed to play such a role in Dugin’s dissertation defense. As mentioned above, on MGU’s Philosophy Department’s most influential professor, Aleksandr Panarin, had, until his death in 2003, been emerging as a supporter of Dugin’s projects. Also, this most reputed of Russia’s universities did in the 1990s not hesitate to confer the degree of Doctor of Science in Philosophy to such “scholars” as above-mentioned Gennadii Zyuganov and Vladimir Zhirinovskii. Not only were the texts submitted by Zyuganov (“Major Tendencies and Mechanisms of Socio-Political Changes in Contemporary Russia,” 1995) and Zhirinovskii (“The Past, Present and Future of the Russian Nation,” 1997) hardly proper dissertations, but rather extended political pamphlets with footnotes. Zyuganov and Zhirinovskii also did not fulfil some crucial formal requirements for the conferral of a *doktor nauk*. Neither of the politicians had a sufficient amount of papers published in recognized scholarly journals which is a requirement that needs to be fulfilled to be admitted to a defense of a *doktorskaya*, in the first place. Zhirinovskii, moreover, was admitted to the defense of a *doktorskaya* without ever having defended a *kandidatskaya* (something Zyuganov had been able to accomplish during his *apparatchik* career in Soviet times at Moscow’s so-called Academy of Social Sciences of the CPSU Central Committee). These and a number of similar examples illustrate both, the importance which Russian political actors attach to formal academic qualifications and the degree of corruption of the Russian higher education system. See Aleksandr Kats, “Doktor Zyuganov,” URL (last accessed October 2006): http://zhurnal.lib.ru/k/kac_a_s/zuganov.shtml; S.G. Egorov, “Gorbachev vchera,” *Duel*, no. 25(47) (1997), URL (last accessed October 2006): http://www.duel.ru/199725/?25_3_1; Artem Verindub, “Slantsy Putina,” *Russkii Newsweek*, 7 June 2004, URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.compomat.ru/main/nauka/disser.htm>; Dmitrii Simakin, “Doktora nevidimogo fronta,” *Nezavisimaya gazeta*, 24 March 2006, URL (last accessed October 2006): http://www.ng.ru/inquiry/2006-03-24/1_doctors.html; Nikolai Aruev, “Akh, uvazhaemye kolligi, dotsenty s kandidatami...,” *Sankt-Peterburgskie vedomosti*, no. 21(2411) (2001), URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.mathsoc.spb.ru/formu/aruev.html>.

⁵³² See URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://science.dugin.ru/>.

Mitrofanova notes, prefers to be called "*doktor* Dugin"—in Russia, an unusual way of addressing a scientist who is not a physician.⁵³³

What was, perhaps, most noteworthy in Dugin's 2004 *doktorskaya* defence was that the prominent Russian political sociologist and philosopher Professor Aleksandr Tsipko played the role of Dugin's official "opponent" in the dissertation defence at Rostov, and thus, like his late colleague at Moscow State University Professor Aleksandr Panarin, contributed to giving a certain clout to Dugin's academic career. Tsipko had, for years, been affiliated to the social-democratic Gorbachev Foundation and plays, in his TV and other mass media appearances, the role of a patriotic, yet moderate commentator. In the early 1990s, he did, though, also attract some attention with his idiosyncratic theory on the origins of Russian Bolshevism in which he claimed a pre-eminence of non-Russian factors in the emergence of Soviet totalitarianism.⁵³⁴ Concerning Moscow State University's role in Dugin's entry into the academic establishment, it, finally, needs to be mentioned that, since February 2006, Dugin has been teaching a course on "Post-Philosophy: The Problem of Philosophy under the Conditions of Post-Modernity" at MGU's Philosophy Department—a fact that was not left unmentioned at *Arktogeya's* WWW sites.⁵³⁵

III.5.3 The Role of "Neo-Eurasianism" in Dugin's Political Mimicry⁵³⁶

How was Dugin able to gain such wide acceptance among Russia's academic and political elite? A major tool in Dugin's Gramscian strategy and attack on the still prominent position of more or less liberal political values and pro-Western (or, at least, not radically anti-Western) attitudes among intellectuals and leaders of post-Soviet Russia has been the above-mentioned term "Eurasianism"—or his new construct "neo-

⁵³³ Mitrofanova, *The Politicization of Russian Orthodoxy*, 157.

⁵³⁴ Yasmann, "Red Religion," 28.

⁵³⁵ URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://arcto.ru/modules.php?name=News&file=article&sid=1357>; URL (last accessed October 2006): http://arcto.ru/modules.php?name=News&new_topic=61; URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.evrazia.org/testlenta/shownews.php?0602116022307>. It should be also mentioned that, already in 2000, Dugin read a course called "The Philosophy of Politics" at the International Independent Ecological-Politological University, a small private college in Moscow with ties to Western institutions, meaning that he must have had some support among the academics of this institution, in that period. URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://eurasia.com.ru/leaders/dugin.html>. On this university, see URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.mnepu.ru/>, and on its history, see URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.mnepu.ru/doc.php?id=22>.

⁵³⁶ This section is partly based on Umland, "Kulturhegemoniale Strategien der russischen extremen Rechten."

Eurasianism”—itself.⁵³⁷ Whereas Dugin has, during his groupuscular phase in the early 1990s, used frequently terms like “Traditionalism,” “New Socialism” and “Conservative Revolution” for describing his views, this changed towards the end of the decade in the course of his gradual inclusion into the political establishment, and embrace of “New Right” tactics. In the words of one his former accomplices, in the late 1990s, “Aleksandr Dugin started active cooperation with the authorities replacing the, by definition, extremist ‘National Bolshevism’ [for self-description] to the more [politically] correct ‘Eurasianism’ [for labelling his own ideology].”⁵³⁸ In as far as “Eurasia” and “Eurasianism” are greatly inflated words in contemporary Russia,⁵³⁹ this would, by itself, hardly be a fact worth noting. For instance, the prominent Russian ethnologist Viktor Shnirel'man noted already in 1996:

The Eurasianist movement that blossomed in the Russian émigré scene in the 1920-1930s is experiencing, in our times, a kind of second birth. The ideas of Eurasianism have been adopted [*podkhvacheny*] to one degree or another by the intellectual elite of the establishment of Russia—and not only inside her. In particular, everybody will remember the April 1994 project of the President of Kazakhstan for the creation of a Eurasian Union. The ideas of Eurasianism are regarded with sympathy by such—in terms of their world views and aspirations—different actors as the former (until 1993) advisor to the Russian president S[ergei] Stankevich [on the one side] and the leader of the communists G[ennadii] Zyuganov [on the other], one of the inspirators [*vdokhnovitelei*] of the “spiritual opposition” A[leksandr] Prokhanov in collaboration with a whole number of other patriotically oriented writers [on the one hand] and the film director N[ikita] Mikhalkov [on the other], the well-known linguist Viach[eslav] V. Ivanov and literary critic V[adim] Kozhinov. The Eurasianist ideology is propagated by the russocentric literary-artistic journal *Nash sovremmenik* [Our Con-

⁵³⁷ The most profound study of classical Eurasianism published so far is: Marlène Laruelle, *L'idéologie eurasiste russe ou comment penser l'empire*. Preface by Patrick Sériot (Paris: L'Harmattan, 1999). There is also an excellent Russian translation of the book to which I will refer below: Marlen Laryuel', *Ideologiya russkogo evraziistva ili Mysli o velichii imperii* (Moskva: Natalis, 2004).

⁵³⁸ Maler, “National-bol'shevizm: konets temy.”

⁵³⁹ For an overview of the various denotations of “Eurasianism” in post-Soviet Russia, see Fischer, *Eurasismus*.

temporary] as well as the organ of the Russian Academy of Sciences *Obshchestvennye nauki i sovremennost'* [Social Sciences and the Contemporary World]. All of the theories [*postroeniya*] of the nowadays so popular geographer and historian L[ev] N. Gumil'ev [see below—A.U.]—whose collected works are, with big pomp, currently published by the librarian association *Tanais*—are, with very rare exceptions, elevated to [the status of] Eurasianist sources.⁵⁴⁰

Anastasiya Mitrofanova made a similar observation, eight years later:

While, in the early 1990s, they could be found only in marginal journals printed on bad paper paid for by the authors themselves, today, one encounters references to Eurasianism (both, direct and indirect) in presentations of the most moderate political actors and the articles of respected scholars. Eurasian ideas are spread over the whole political spectrum; they can be found even in addresses of the highest state officials.⁵⁴¹

⁵⁴⁰ Viktor Shnirel'man, "Evraziitsy i evrei," *Vestnik Evreiskogo universiteta v Moskve*, no. 11 (1996): 4-45, here 4.

⁵⁴¹ Mitrofanova, *Politizatsiya "pravoslav'nogo mira"*, 136. Mitrofanova might, with regard to early appearances of texts on Eurasianism, be overstating her case though. The surge of interest into Eurasianism started, independently from Dugin's activities (see below), already before its boom in the late 1990s, with the introduction of *glasnost'*, in the late 1980s. Late Soviet and early post-Soviet Russian scholarly publications on Eurasianism in leading academic and intellectual journals include, in chronological order, Yu. Linnik, "Evraziitsy," *Sever*, no. 12 (1989): 138-153; A.V. Sobolev, "Polyusa evraziistva: L. P. Karsavin (1882-1952), G. V. Florovskii (1893-1979)," *Novyi mir*, no. 1 (1991): 180-182; O.V. Manikhin, "Evraziistvo: Predchuvstviya i sversheniya," *Sovetskaya bibliografiya*, no. 1 (1991): 78-81; G. Shevelev, "Preobrazhenie dukha: Zametki evraziitsa," *Mezhdunarodnaya zhizn'*, no. 11 (1991): 86-91; "Evraziiskaya ideya: vchera, segodnya, zavtra (Iz materialov konferentsii, sostoyavsheisya v komissii SSSR po delam YuNESKO)," *Inostrannaya literatura*, no. 12 (1991): 213-228; S.S. Khoruzhii, "Rossiya, Evraziya i otets Georgii Florovskii," *Nachala*, no. 3 (1991): 22-30; L.I. Novikova, I.N. Sizemskaya, "Evraziiskii iskus," *Filosofskie nauki*, no. 12 (1991): 103-108; Igor' Isaev, "Evraziistvo: mif ili real'nost'?" *Kommunist*, no. 12 (1991): 106-118; A.A. Nikishenkov, "N.S. Trubetskoi i fenomen evraziiskoi etnografii," *Etnograficheskoe obozrenie*, no. 1 (1992): 89-92; L.I. Novikova and I.N. Sizemskaya, "Politicheskaya programma evraziitsev: real'nost' ili utopiya?" *Obshchestvennye nauki i sovremennost'*, no. 1 (1992): 104-109; S.S. Khoruzhii, "Karsavin, evraziistvo i VKP," *Voprosy filosofii*, no. 2 (1992): 78-87; T.V. Epimakhova, "Evraziitsy: Politicheskaya kontseptsiya, tezisy kochevnikovovedcheskoi teorii," *Vestnik Chelyabinskogo universiteta. Seriya 1: Istoriya*, no. 2(4) (1992): 37-42; N.A. Omel'chenko, "Spory o evraziistve: Opyt istoricheskoi rekonstruktsii," *Politicheskie issledovaniya*, no. 3 (1992): 156-163; M.A. Robinzon, L.P. Petrovskii, "N.N. Durnovo i N.S. Trubetskoi: problema evraziistva v kontekste 'Dela slavistov' (Po materialam OGPU-NKVD...)," *Slavyanovedenie*, no. 4 (1992): 68-82; A.V. Sobolev, "Svoja svoikh ne poznasha. Evraziistvo: L.P. Karsavin i drugie (konspekt issledovaniya)," *Nachala*, no. 4

Thus, Dugin's self-description as a "neo-Eurasianist" constitutes nothing particular in post-Soviet Russia. What makes this issue interesting here is that Dugin has, largely, succeeded in impressing on both Russian and Western observers the notion that he is indeed a new Eurasianist thinker, and thus writes within in the tradition of a well-respected school of thought within the modern Russian history of ideas. This became

(1992): 49-58; O.A. Kaznina, "D.P. Svyatopolk-Mirskii i evraziiskoe dvizhenie," *Nachala*, no. 4 (1992): 81-88; A.A. Troyanov, "Izuchenie evraziistva v sovremennoi zarubezhnoi literature: Kratkii obzor," *Nachala*, no. 4 (1992): 99-103; L.I. Novikova and I.N. Sizemskaya, "Dva lika evraziistva," *Svobodnaya mysl'*, no. 7 (1992): 100-110; I.A. Tugarinov, "Evraziistvo v krughe nashego vnimaniya," *Vestnik vysshei shkoly*, no. 7/9 (1992): 16-24; F.I. Girenok, "Evraziiskie tropy," *Vestnik vysshei shkoly*, no. 7/9 (1992): 34-43; A.A. Ermichev, "L.P. Karsavin: Russkaya ideya," *Russkaya literatura*, no. 1 (1993): 132-136; Yu.D. Zhmakin, "Referativnyi obzor: Ignatov A. 'Evraziya' i poiski novoi russkoi kul'turnoi samobytnosti: Vozrozhdenie 'evraziiskogo' mifa," *Rossiya i sovremennyi mir*, no. 2 (1993): 147-154; V.Ya. Pashchenko, "Evraziitsy i my," *Vestnik Moskovskogo universiteta. Seriya 12: Sotsial'no-politicheskie issledovaniya*, no. 3 (1993): 79-89; T.N. Ochirova, "Evraziistvo i puti russkogo istoricheskogo samopoznaniya," *Izvestiia RAN: Seriya literatura i yazyk* 52:4 (1993): 34-47; V.A. D'yakov, "O nauchnom sodержanii i politicheskikh interpretatsiyakh istoriosofii evraziistva," *Slavyanovedenie*, no. 5 (1993): 101-115; F.I. Girenok, "Novye dikie: Evraziiskie tropy. Fragmenty," *Vestnik Moskovskogo universiteta. Seriya 12: Sotsial'no-politicheskie issledovaniya*, no. 5 (1993): 36-42; L. Lyuks, "Evraziistvo," *Voprosy filosofii*, no. 6 (1993): 105-114; V. Durnovtsev, "Predisloviie k publikatsii stat'i P.N. Savitskogo 'Geopoliticheskie zametki po russkoi istorii,'" *Voprosy istorii*, no. 11/12 (1993): 120-124; Igor' Isaev, "Geopoliticheskie korni avtoritarnogo myshleniya: Istoricheskii opyt evraziistva," *Druzhba narodov*, no. 11 (1993): 139-149; Yu.V. Kolesnichenko, "Opyt evraziistva: tema lichnosti v otechestvennoi filosofii," *Vestnik Moskovskogo universiteta. Seriya 12: Sotsial'no-politicheskie issledovaniya*, no. 1 (1994): 71-77; N.S. Seminkin, "Kontseptsiya 'pravyashchego otbora' kak politicheskaya ideya evraziistva," *Etnopoliticheskii vestnik*, no. 1 (1994): 179-193; T.N. Ochirova, "Geopoliticheskaya kontseptsiya evraziistva," *Obshchestvennyye nauki i sovremennost'*, no. 1 (1994): 47-55; V.V. Kozlovskii, I.A. Savkin, "'Tretii put' evraziistva," *Veche: Al'manakh russkoi filosofii i kul'tury*, no. 1 (1994): 17-25; V.P. Kosharnyi, "Evraziistvo kak ob'ekt mezhdistsiplinarnogo sinteza," *Vestnik Moskovskogo universiteta. Seriya 7: Filosofiya*, no. 4 (1994): 9-19; I.A. Isaev, "Evraziistvo: ideologiya gosudarstvennosti," *Obshchestvennyye nauki i sovremennost'*, no. 5 (1994): 42-55; M.A. Kolerov, "Bratstvo sv. Sofii: 'Vekhovtsy' i evraziitsy (1921-1925)," *Voprosy filosofii*, no. 10 (1994): 143-151; S.S. Khoruzhii, "Transformatsiya slavyanofil'skoi idei v 20 veke," *Voprosy filosofii*, no. 11 (1994): 52-62. Some of these early analyses of Eurasianism were rather well done, such as for instance Novikova's and Sizemskaya's "Dva lika evraziistva" of 1992. Early post-Soviet republications of texts by the classical Eurasianists under the imprint of reputed publishers include L.V. Ponomareva, ed., *Evraziya: Istoricheskie vzglyady russkikh emigrantov* (Moskva: Institut vseobshchei istorii RAN, 1992); L.I. Novikova and I.N. Sizemskaya, eds., *Rossiya mezhdru Evropoi i Aziei: Evraziiskii soblazn'* (Moskva: Nauka, 1993). Also, Victor Yasmann reported already in 1993 that the official journal of the Ministry of Foreign Affairs *Mezhdunarodnaya zhizn'* (International Life) had become "a podium for Eurasians." Yasmann, "Red Religion," 30. For early post-Soviet treatments of Eurasianism in a major ultra-right journal, see V. Kozhinov, "Istoriosofiya evraziitsev," *Nash sovremennik*, no. 2 (1992): 140-144; S. Klyuchnikov, "Russkii uzel evraziistva," *Nash sovremennik*, no. 3 (1992): 174-180. For further references, see Vinkovetsky, "Eurasianism in Its Time: A Bibliography," in: Vinkovetsky and Schlacks, eds., *Exodus to the East*, 156-174, and Aleksandr V. Antoshchenko, "Spory o evraziistve," in: *O Evrazii i evraziitsakh (bibliograficheskii ukazatel')* (Petrozavodsk: Izdatel'stvo Petrozavodskogo universiteta, 1996), 7-43, or: URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.karelia.ru/psu/Chairs/PreRev/BIBLENG.RTF>.

his entry ticket into mainstream Russian political discourse. It is the success of Dugin's purposeful political mimicry—the effective representation of his world-view and movement within a much broader, popular intellectual and political tendency in post-Soviet Russia—that seems to be the key to his recent advances. By way of stylizing himself as a major representative and innovator of classical Eurasianism, Dugin has succeeded in distracting public image from his earlier groupuscular strategy of an unashamed embrace of fascism during the 1990s, and in persuading Russia's elite of the "centrism" of his political position and benignness of his aims today. "Eurasianism" has been Dugin's device of entryism allowing him at once to both, sneak in, and manipulate, the intellectual and political mainstream.

Dugin's re-interpretation of the highly respected world-view of Eurasianism reminds of the strategy of Germany's inter-war "conservative revolutionaries" and their purposeful stretching of such, in the Weimar Republic, popular notions as "socialism" and "democracy" to fit their ultra-nationalist aims.⁵⁴² Dugin's introduction of the term "neo-Eurasianism," in turn, is reminiscent of the post-1968 European New Right which introduced the construct "ethnopluralism" as a euphemistic term for covering its neo-racist ideology and attempted to utilize the today popular notion of pluralism for anti-democratic aims. Not only has Dugin succeeded in manipulating "Eurasianism" in a way that has allowed him to enter the Russian political and intellectual establishment. In many, if not most Russian and non-Russian scholarly analyses too, Dugin's movement is acknowledged as representing a revival of the Russian émigré intellectual movement of the 1920s-1930s that called itself and became known as "Eurasianism."⁵⁴³ It is for this reason—many academics' acceptance of the Eurasianist credentials of Dugin and the scholarly clout this gives to Dugin's claims—that this misleading contextualization of the Dugin phenomenon needs to be addressed in more detail.

As mentioned, Dugin's intellectual biography indicates that his political thinking and world view have, initially, been formed by other schools of thought than classical

⁵⁴² Pfahl-Traugher, *Konservative Revolution und Neue Rechte*.

⁵⁴³ E.g. Michael Kleineberg and Markus Kaiser, „'Eurasien'—Phantom oder reales Entwicklungsmodell für Rußland?“ *Universität Bielefeld. Fakultät für Soziologie. Forschungsschwerpunkt Entwicklungssoziologie—Working Paper*, no. 338 (2001), as well as many other secondary sources on Dugin listed above and below. Interestingly, some sections of the West European extreme right have started to consciously use the concept too. See, for example, Carlo Terracciano, *Revolte gegen die moderne Weltordnung: Die revolutionäre Aktualität des Werkes von Julius Evola im Zeitalter der Globalisierung* (Blietorf: Regin-Verlag, 2005). The issue of the kind and degree of Dugin's influence on the West European extreme right could be a fascinating subject for future research.

Eurasianism, not the least by *Western* authors. Such an interpretation departs from Kaledin's who asserted a primary importance of *Russian* authors for Dugin, and sees Western sources—in particular Traditionalism—coming in only *at a second stage*. Kaledin writes:

Simply, Dugin earlier than others read the works of P[etr] P. Semenov-Tyan-Shanskii, I[van] Il'in, N[ikolai] Danilevskii, N[ikolai] Alekseev, N[ikolai] Berdyaev and L[ev] Gumilëv who wrote about the continental might of Russia and her messianic role in unifying around her all peoples. The merit of Dugin is, merely, that he synthesized their ideas with philosophical Traditionalism [...].⁵⁴⁴

On the contrary: Russian authors such as the ones mentioned by Kaledin were adopted by Dugin only *later on* in order to “nativize” his world view which remained fundamentally indebted to Western sources.⁵⁴⁵

Dugin's Intellectual Biography

The future “Neo-Eurasianist,” according to Mark Sedgwick, translated into Russian (apparently from German), as early as 1981, i.e. at the age of 19, Baron Julius Evola's *Pagan Imperialism* which was, oddly, freely available in Russia's largest, Lenin Library. In fact, Evola's books had already been “discovered in the Lenin Library in Moscow by Jamal [i.e. Geidar Dzhemal, a close associate of Dugin in the 1980s; see below—A.U.] and a few other dissidents [also linked to Dugin] shortly after the Cuban Missile Crisis [in 1962].”⁵⁴⁶ In 1991, at the age of 29, Dugin translated into Russian

⁵⁴⁴ See Kaledin, “Terapiya okazalas' bessil'noi pered maniei Dugina-mladshego pereustroit' mir.”

⁵⁴⁵ Thomas Parland makes the following, more apt observation by way of juxtaposing Dugin's and KPRF-leader Gennadii Zyuganov's ideas: “Dugin is more influenced by [West] European antiliberal rightism in general and German national socialism in particular, whereas [Gennadii] Zyuganov's ideas are coloured by Russian conservative thought (Nikolai Danilevskii, Ivan Il'in, Ivan Solonevich, [Lev] Gumilëv) combined with parts of [Joseph] Stalin's legacy as well as with elements of Western thought (Arnold J. Toynbee, Samuel Huntington, i.a.). Dugin is obsessed by occultism, whereas Zyuganov is rather an eclectic thinker and pragmatic politician.” Parland, *The Extreme Nationalist Threat in Russia*, 138.

⁵⁴⁶ Sedgwick, *Against the Modern World*, 5, 221-222. According to another report, already in his youth, Dugin was to such a degree interested in Nazism that he, being member of a student rock-group, co-produced a so-called “‘Hans-Zivers-Songs’ collection which praised sadism, decadence and SS romantics.” Timur Polyannikov, “Po tropam Khimery, ili razmyshlenniia o evraziistve i 'novom mirovom poriadke.’” *Kontinent* [Kazachstan], nos. 21(83), 22(84) & 24(86), 2002, URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://centrasia.org/newsA.php4?st=1039820400>. However, this information is not cor-

and published *The Crisis of the Modern World*, the major work of René Guénon—founder of a Western intellectual movement that became known as Traditionalism. A commentator from Kazakhstan claimed that “it was exactly with this book with which in the 1960s [Evgenii] Golovin’s and [Geidar] Dzhemal’s [two of Dugin’s major teachers, see below—A.U.] fascination with Traditionalism started which is why [this book] can rightly be called the ‘true source and secret of Duginism’.”⁵⁴⁷ Dugin himself specified in a 2006 interview that his

intellectual formation happened in 1979-1980 when becoming acquainted with the traditionalists of the “third way,” those like [Eduard] Golovin and [Geidar] Dzhemal. Therefore, my formation as a personality, as an intellectual, as a thinker, as a politician, as an ideologue was exactly traditionalistic. [...] I was 17-18 years old and saw the world as absolutely empty and disgusting. This emptiness had to be filled with something. The alternatives which were offered to me—the intelligentsia with [Bulat] Okudzhava, half-dissidents reading [Aleksandr] Solzhenitsyn, the inert conformist Orthodox—did not fill this emptiness at all [...]. The only thing which could fill this gigantic inner emptiness which I had was the total rejection of everything modern within the framework of the ultra-revolutionary traditionalist non-conformist intellectualism of [René] Guénon and [Julius] Evola. [...] That is how I was formed. In 1981-82, I was already a full-fledged [*zakonchennyi*] philosopher with an own intellectual agenda, with an own metaphysic and ideology. [...] I did not mature any more [*Bol’she ya ne vzrosle*].⁵⁴⁸

One would have to add that, with regard to Guénon himself, it is—reminding somewhat the issue of “neo-Eurasianism”—not clear whether Dugin can be justifiedly called the former’s legitimate follower, and an authentic representative of perennial philosophy. That is because Dugin, for example, often seems to locate the beginnings of the primordial “Tradition” only in one particular—i.e. the Eurasian—civilization rather than in an ancient religious order embracing the whole of humanity. The latter universalistic aspects of Guénon’s world view—sometimes reminiscent of the *Ringparabel* in Gotthold Ephraim Lessing’s (1729-1781) famous drama-play *Na-*

roborated in other biographies of Dugin.

⁵⁴⁷ Polyannikov, “Po tropam Khimery, ili razmyshlenniya o evraziistve i ‘novom mirovom poriadke.’”

⁵⁴⁸ Dugin, “Pravye lyudi—sovremennye pravye.”

than, the Wise (1779)—are thus lost in Dugin’s historiosophy. Dugin’s Traditionalism—if one is prepared to accept such a designation—is more similar to that of Julius Evola.⁵⁴⁹ James Gregor even identified Evola as the principal source for Dugin.⁵⁵⁰ In connection with this circumstance, Shenfield’s argument that it is exactly Dugin’s Traditionalism that “identifies [him] unequivocally as a fascist” is not only conceptually unsound, and has more to do with Shenfield’s idiosyncratic definition of fascism than with Guénon’s original Traditionalism.⁵⁵¹ Shenfield’s statement seems also empirically questionable in as far as the peculiar kind of Traditionalism that Dugin learnt from Evola and that he further manipulated into what was to become “neo-Eurasianism” has, arguably, not much to do with perennial philosophy as understood by the original Traditionalists any more.⁵⁵²

A reason for this might be that other Western theorists—some of whom had no connection to Traditionalism whatsoever—also had considerable influence on Dugin. Wayne Allensworth wrote, in his informative analysis of contemporary Russian nationalism published in 1998, that “Dugin appropriated (almost wholesale) the ideas of the Belgian geopolitical theorist Jean Thiriart,” a Belgian former pro-Nazi activist, and co-founder of West European post-war neo-fascist, anti-Western “National Bolshevism” (more in the Appendix).⁵⁵³ The most important source of Dugin’s 1991-1992 seminal article “The War of the Continents” seems to have been the Romanian New Right conspirologist Jean Parvulesco,⁵⁵⁴ and, in particular, Parvulesco’s 1989 report *The GRU Galaxy: The Secret Mission of Mikhail Gorbachev, the USSR, and the Future of the Eurasian Continent* which, allegedly, Parvulesco had, personally, given to Dugin. There, Parvulesco, according to Dugin’s reference, spoke of the “Eurasian Order” as having been particularly active in the early 20th century.

Oddly, neither Parvulesco nor Dugin mention in this connection the circle of the classical Eurasianists, but rather an obscure “St. Petersburg doctor Bamaev” as well as, among others, Baron Roman von Ungern-Sternberg, Marshall Tukhachevskii, or

⁵⁴⁹ Shenfield, *Russian Fascism*, 268.

⁵⁵⁰ Gregor, “Andreas Umland and the ‘Fascism’ of Alexander Dugin.”

⁵⁵¹ Shenfield, *Russian Fascism*, 195.

⁵⁵² Sedgwick, *Against the Modern World*.

⁵⁵³ Allensworth, *The Russian Question*, 251.

⁵⁵⁴ For Dugin’s appraisal of Parvulesco or Parvulescu, see A. Dugin, “Star of an Invisible Empire (on Jean Parvulesco),” URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.arctogaia.com/public/eng-parv.htm>. For a German version, see URL (last accessed October 2006): http://www.multikulti.ru/German/info/German_info_160.html.

GRU founder Semën I. Aralov.⁵⁵⁵ Moreover, when speaking about the Russian "Eurasianist Order's" white section in Europe—i.e. its representatives in the Russian anti-communist émigré scene—in his "The Great War of the Continents" (1991-1992), Dugin does also not mention the classical Eurasianists. Instead, he speaks about

representatives of this Order in the *Abwehr* [Nazi Germany's counter-intelligence unit—A.U.], and later also in the foreign sections of the SS and SD (especially of the SD, the chief of which [Reinhard Tristan] Heydrich [1904-1942; a mastermind of the Holocaust—A.U.] was himself a devoted Eurasianist which is why he became a victim of the intrigues of the Atlanticist [Wilhelm Franz] Canaris [1887-1945; one of the leaders of the German military *Widerstand* against Hitler—A.U.]).⁵⁵⁶

As illustrated before, Dugin has, in some of his writings, acknowledged the influence of Western schools of thought, like Traditionalism, the Conservative Revolution or geopolitics, on "neo-Eurasianism." Yet, in his *Manifesto of the Eurasian Movement*, he, in contradiction to the above autobiographical statement, put the various schools of thought reflected in "neo-Eurasianism" in a different order, and claimed that his ideology emerged by way of

[p]roceeding from the heritage of the Russian Eurasianists of the 1920s-1930s, incorporating the spiritual experience of the tradition of Russian Orthodoxy from ancient times to today, enriching itself with the social criticism of the Russian *narodniki* and European "New Left," evaluating anew the achievements of the Soviet epoch of the fatherland's history, and, in addition, mastering the philosophy of Traditionalism and Conservative Revolution, [and] a developed geopolitical methodology [...].⁵⁵⁷

This statement is similar to the one by Kaledin, and not only deliberately confuses the temporal order of the impact of various sources of "neo-Eurasianism" on Dugin (and, probably, lets the mentioned Russian and Western left-wingers turn in their graves). It also camouflages the pre-eminence of Western ideas for his intellectual development. Dugin changes here and in other statements the order of primacy for obvious

⁵⁵⁵ Dugin, *Konspirologiya*, 100-101.

⁵⁵⁶ *Ibid.*, 102.

⁵⁵⁷ URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://eurasia.com.ru/manifest.html>.

reasons: He, being an ultranationalist, needs to make sure to not appear as an importer of Western ideas into Russia—a trait that could become an image problem similar to those mentioned with regard to Barkashov and Limonov. When still following a groupuscular strategy and openly posing as a radical (and not “centrist,” as today), he freely admitted the influence of the German “Conservative Revolution” and German National Bolshevik Ernst Niekisch (see Appendix). Yet, he felt it necessary to clarify that “National Bolshevism”—a construct he especially frequently used to describe his views in the 1990s—is, nevertheless, an “extremist *Russian* ideology.”⁵⁵⁸

What Dugin’s activities are about is well-summarized in the following observation by Wayne Allensworth:

The [Russian] New Right, through its chief ideologist, Aleksandr Dugin, [...] borrows heavily from the ideological arsenal of the European Right in an effort to renew the Russian Idea, but it has not repudiated those Russian thinkers of the past who are considered the precursors of the new synthesis. Dugin’s ruminations on the nation forming aspects of geography may be Spengleresque, and he borrows heavily from the language of the [German] conservative revolution’s cultural system. But [Nikolai] Danilevskii, [Konstantin] Leont’ev and especially Lev Gumil’ev and the Eurasianists of the 1920s and 1930s are given their due.⁵⁵⁹

For example, as a result of the impact of integral Traditionalism for Dugin’s thought, he has distanced himself from the classical Eurasianist view of Orthodoxy as being superior to Islam.⁵⁶⁰ Instead, he has put “traditional Islam” (as opposed to supposedly non-traditional Wahhabism) on one level with Russian Orthodoxy.⁵⁶¹ While the classical Eurasianists had also sympathies for Islam, they wrote negatively about the Koran.⁵⁶² They attacked Eastern religions—not the least Buddhism—as being “Satanic.”⁵⁶³ Dugin, on the contrary, has openly shown sympathy for many Eastern religions, and made positive references to representatives of Western Satanism. For Dugin, Orthodoxy by itself plays obviously a less important role than it did for the

⁵⁵⁸ Aleksandr Dugin, “Novyi natsional-bol’shevistskii poryadok,” URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.redline.ru/~arctogai/nacbol.html>, as quoted in Mey, *Russische Schriftsteller und Nationalismus 1986-1995*, 316, emphasis added.

⁵⁵⁹ Allensworth, *The Russian Question*, 259.

⁵⁶⁰ Novikova and Sizemskaya, “Dva lika evraziistva.”

⁵⁶¹ Mitrofanova, *Politizatsiya “pravoslavnogo mira,”* 137; *idem*, *The Politicization of Russian Orthodoxy*, 52.

⁵⁶² Laryuel’, *Ideologiya russkogo evraziistva*, 183.

⁵⁶³ Laryuel’, *Ideologiya russkogo evraziistva*, 185-186.

classical Eurasianists who were already by their upbringing devout Orthodox believers. Dugin, in contrast, has only recently become a member of an Orthodox Church of the Old Belief which, however, accepts the supremacy of the Moscow Patriarchy. Being framed by the ideas of Evola and other Traditionalists, Dugin sees Orthodoxy only as one of several religions that have preserved the initial "Tradition." No such ideas can be found in classical Eurasianism. Whereas classical Eurasianism may well count as a brand of—what Mitrofanova calls—"political Orthodoxy," Dugin's "neo-Eurasianism" should, contrary to a classification made by Mitrofanova too, perhaps not.⁵⁶⁴

The classical Eurasianist point of view concerning the separateness of different civilizations from each other is, in some regards, similar to Samuel Huntington's *The Clash of Civilizations*. In contrast, Dugin puts, in a Guénonian vein, more emphasis on a conflict between Eurasian Orthodox, Islamic, Buddhist and other civilizations that have allegedly preserved, to one degree or another, the "primordial Tradition" and are thus united by virtue of their common fundamental social values, on the one side, and those—Western or Western-influenced—cultures that are inflicted with decadence and degradation as a result of their departure from the original hierarchical social order of the Tradition, on the other.⁵⁶⁵ Moreover, Dugin allows, again inspired by West European Traditionalism, for principal differences *within* various world religions. He differentiates between their "Eurasian" or "traditional" subsections, on the one side, and their "Atlantacist" or "profane" deviations, on the other, elevating this division into the major line of world conflict. While Dugin's "Eurasian" camp may thus, for instance, include certain Jewish "Traditionalists," the latter "Atlantacist" coalition encompasses as different congregations as the Christian Orthodox Constantinople Patriarchy, Wahhabism, Protestantism, or Puritanism.⁵⁶⁶

Dugin thereby does not talk about the conflict between Orthodoxy, Islam and Buddhism, for instance, or about "the clash of civilizations" in the Huntingtonian sense. Instead, he focuses on conflict between various deviations from Tradition. The West is the only civilization which has not descended from "real" Tradition, and that is why all Traditional religions should unite against it.⁵⁶⁷

⁵⁶⁴ Mitrofanova, *The Politicization of Russian Orthodoxy*

⁵⁶⁵ Mitrofanova, *The Politicization of Russian Orthodoxy*.

⁵⁶⁶ Mitrofanova, *Politizatsiya "pravoslavnogo mira,"* 136-137.

⁵⁶⁷ *Idem, The Politicization of Russian Orthodoxy*, 53. It seems to me that, therefore, Andrei

Not only does Dugin's biography—outlined in more detail below—indicate the presence of other sources for his thinking than Eurasianism. A comparison between “neo-Eurasianism” and classical Eurasianism reveals further differences these two bodies of thought.

Similarities between Classical Eurasianism and “Neo-Eurasianism”

To be sure, it is certainly the case that classical Eurasianism was, like Dugin's “neo-Eurasianism,” radically anti-Western and anti-democratic, organicistic and potentially totalitarian. The fact that Petr N. Savitskii was influenced by early German geopolitics is reminiscent of Dugin's debt to German anti-democratic thought. Equally, the sympathies that Roman Yakobson and Petr Suvchinskii, apparently, had for futurism are reminiscent of Dugin's interests.⁵⁶⁸ There are also some substantive similarities.⁵⁶⁹

This concerns, for instance, the resemblance between Dugin's vision of the future and Nikolai Trubetskoi's wish that the earth should be divided into “systems of autarkic worlds.”⁵⁷⁰ Both the classical Eurasianists and “neo-Eurasianists” have shown considerable sympathy for Bolshevism which they both saw as an, in distinction to Marxism, Russian national phenomenon.⁵⁷¹ Like Dugin, the Eurasians endorsed the October Revolution, and were interested in Nikolai Ustryalov's National Bolshevism.⁵⁷² When Dugin explicitly approved of the October Revolution of 1917 and praises Lenin, this can be seen as a sign of continuity with the classical Eurasianists who “considered their ‘constructive’ attitude toward the Russian revolution as a leading distinction between them and other groupings of Russian exiles and a main rea-

Tsygankov's interesting argument about the contamination of Russian public discourse by Samuel Huntington's differentialist ideas is thus only partly responsible for the rise of people like Dugin whose world-view has different sources. While the reception of Huntington's theory in Russia may have contributed to a more nationalistic and anti-Western Russian discourse, the Harvard professor's views were far too moderate in order to exert a substantive impact on the extreme right. Tsygankov, *Whose World Order?*

⁵⁶⁸ Nicholas V. Riasanovsky, “Afterword: The Emergence of Eurasianism,” in: Vinkovetsky and Schlacks, eds., *Exodus to the East*, 115-142, here 131-132, 137, 148.

⁵⁶⁹ Leonid Lyuks, “Zametki o ‘revolyutsonno-traditsionalistskoi’ kul’turnoi modeli ‘evraziitsev’,” *Forum noveishei vostochnoevropeskoj istorii i kul’tury* 1, no. 2 (2004), URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www1.ku-eichstaett.de/ZIMOS/forum/docs/8Evrazijcy.pdf>.

⁵⁷⁰ Nikolai S. Trubetskoi, *Nasledie Chingis-Khana* (Moskva: Agraf, 1999), 515; as quoted in Mitrofanova, *Politizatsiya “pravoslavnogo mira,”* 113. See further Otto Böss, “Zur Wirtschaftskonzeption der ‘Eurasier,’” in: Werner Gumpel and Dietmar Keese, eds., *Probleme des Industrialismus in Ost und West: Festschrift für Hans Raupach* (München und Wien: Olzog, 1973), 481-492.

⁵⁷¹ Laryuel', *Ideologiya russkogo evraziistva*, 93.

⁵⁷² Laryuel', *Ideologiya russkogo evraziistva*, 94.

son why they, rather than these others, would renew Russia."⁵⁷³ Savitskii even once wrote that Lenin was greater than Chingis Khan who was regarded, by the classical Eurasianists, as the founder of Russia-Eurasia.⁵⁷⁴ The classical Eurasianists' partial approval of the Soviet regime led their fellow émigrés to call them "Orthodox Bolsheviks."⁵⁷⁵

What is further noteworthy is the particular, affirmative self-description of the classical Eurasianists as "nationalists." The authors of the 1921 manifesto of classical Eurasianism *Iskhod k Vostoku* (Exodus to the East) wrote in their introduction:⁵⁷⁶ "[W]e direct our nationalism not merely toward 'Slavs,' but toward a whole circle of peoples of the 'Eurasian' world, among whom the Russian people has the central position."⁵⁷⁷ This is a sentence which would seem to represent Dugin's aspirations well too. The same goes for the classical Eurasianists' interest for the concept of a "Third Way."⁵⁷⁸ Further, in the words of Stefan Wiederkkehr, both teachings, classical and "neo-Eurasianism

reduced [their polycentric conception of world history] in their political practice to a Manichean bipolar world view. That was because the historical mission of Russia-Eurasia consisted, in their eyes, in unifying all those who defend the multiplicity of cultures against the main enemy. The latter was accused of an intention to push through its own cultural model as a standard world-wide.⁵⁷⁹

Nevertheless, it seems also clear that classical Eurasianism was considerably less aggressive than Dugin's "neo-Eurasianism." To be sure, the classical Eurasianists' ideology was, as indicated above, "close to totalitarian" and their scholarly practice "exclusively 'national'."⁵⁸⁰ Pavel Milyukov has accused Eurasianism of mysticism and of closeness to German racism.⁵⁸¹ One might even consider classical Eurasianism as a form of (proto-) fascism,⁵⁸² as Fedor Stepun has explicitly done.⁵⁸³ Laruelle has detected similarities between classical Eurasianism and Italian Fascism as well as

⁵⁷³ Riasanovsky, "Afterword: The Emergence of Eurasianism," 123.

⁵⁷⁴ Laryuel', *Ideologiya russkogo evraziistva*, 211.

⁵⁷⁵ As quoted in Laryuel', *Ideologiya russkogo evraziistva*, 101.

⁵⁷⁶ *Iskhod k Vostoku* (Sofiya: Tipografiya "Balkan," 1921), III-VII.

⁵⁷⁷ Vinkovetsky and Schlacks, *Exodus to the East*, 4.

⁵⁷⁸ Laryuel', *Ideologiya russkogo evraziistva*, 78.

⁵⁷⁹ Wiederkkehr, "'Kontinent Evrasija,'" 128.

⁵⁸⁰ Laryuel', *Ideologiya russkogo evraziistva*, 8.

⁵⁸¹ *Ibid.*, 43.

⁵⁸² On the concept of proto-fascism, see Griffin, *The Nature of Fascism*.

⁵⁸³ Laryuel', *Ideologiya russkogo evraziistva*, 43.

the French extreme right, i.e. the writings of its intellectual leaders Maurice Barrès (1862-1923) and Charles Maurras (1868-1952).⁵⁸⁴ They also defined themselves, like Dugin's followers, as both a political party *and* religious order.⁵⁸⁵

Discrepancies between Classical Eurasianism and "Neo-Eurasianism"

Notwithstanding, a comparative reading of texts by the classical Eurasianists, on the one side, and by Dugin and his followers, on the other, reveals differences in contents, style, and tone which are altogether more significant and go deeper than the listed similarities. In particular, Dugin's overt sympathy for certain precursors, fellow-travellers, brands, manifestations, and successors of German Nazism constitutes a thick dividing line between classical Eurasianism and "neo-Eurasianism." Trubetskoi wrote already in 1935—i.e. long *before* World War II, the Holocaust and Nazi Germany's attack on the Soviet Union—that "our views on the idea governing a truly ideocratic state are irreconcilable with the colonial imperialism so manifest in the modern European ideocratic ('fascist') movements."⁵⁸⁶ That is a kind of principled rejection of inter-war fascism which contradicts, in particular, early Duginism. One should, moreover, keep in mind that Dugin made his various affirmative statements on Fascist Italy and Nazi Germany *after* the Axis powers had devastated large parts of Russia. Whereas, for the classical Eurasianists, their affirmative view of the concept of a "Third Way" meant that Russia should choose a path between communism and capitalism, yet *avoid* fascism,⁵⁸⁷ Dugin has presented various forms of inter-war European fascism as permutations of exactly—what he calls—the "Third Way" and "Conservative Revolution," concepts he adheres too. In an article finished in May 1991, Dugin, for instance, wrote that the

fullest and most total (though, one has to admit, not the most orthodox) embodiment of the Third Way was German National Socialism. In principle, the phrase "national socialism" has an obviously "conservative revolutionary" character in as far as such a unification of the right-wing concept of nationalism with the left concept of socialism in the under-

⁵⁸⁴ *Ibid.*, 87.

⁵⁸⁵ *Ibid.*, 47, 49.

⁵⁸⁶ N.S. Trubetzkoy, *The Legacy of Genghis Khan and Other Essays on Russia's Identity* (Ann Arbor: Michigan Slavic Studies Publications, 1991), 274, as quoted in Ilya Vinkovetsky, "Eurasianism in Its Time: A Bibliography," in: Vinkovetsky and Schlacks, eds., *Exodus to the East*, 143-174, here 149.

⁵⁸⁷ Laryuel', *Ideologiya russkogo evraziiztva*, 78.

standing of the ideologues of this party [the *NSDAP*] was meant to underscore that we are talking about exactly a Third, and neither a right nor left, Way.⁵⁸⁸

Though both the classical and "neo-Eurasianists" have acknowledged their closeness to Italian Fascism, their sympathetic critique of Mussolini's regime was of a different nature: The classical Eurasians tended to pick for criticism certain—what they saw as—excesses of Italian Fascism like its leader cult, imperialism, or sacralization of the Italian people.⁵⁸⁹ They rejected the cult of raw force and war.⁵⁹⁰ In contrast, Dugin has, somewhat reminding similar arguments made by Julius Evola,⁵⁹¹ attacked inter-war fascism, including Italian Fascism, as having been *too moderate* and having made *too many compromises* with the old elites. Predictably, therefore, Dugin has been most sympathetic to the Nazi-sponsored, more radical 1943-1945 North Italian Social Republic of Salò which "fulfilled all criteria of the Third Way."⁵⁹² The classical Eurasianists distinguished themselves among their Russian fellow ultranationalists with their principled critique of Nazism—especially its pan-German and Aryan ideas⁵⁹³—long before the Third Reich turned eastwards and when a Nazi-Soviet cooperation still seemed possible. In contrast, Dugin has, in spite of writing after World War II and the Holocaust as well as their extensive description in scholarly and publicistic texts, found many positive aspects in Nazi theory and practice, and, in particular, in selected sections of the Nazis' most cruel organizations the SS, like Hermann Wirth's *Ahnenerbe* Institute and *SD*, for explicit praise.⁵⁹⁴

Dugin does also not hesitate to admit that, concerning the relationship between Germany's "Conservative Revolution" of the 1920s and early 1930s and Nazism, "it is impossible to deny continuity—though their direct identification with each other would be also unacceptable."⁵⁹⁵ With this statement, Dugin is closer to liberal, left-wing and mainstream Western scholarly views on the role of the German "Conservative Revolution" in the Weimar Republic than to the apologetic writings of many West European New Rightists who have, again and again, attempted draw a line of division be-

⁵⁸⁸ Dugin, *Konservativnaya Revolyutsiya*, 14.

⁵⁸⁹ Laryuel', *Ideologiya russkogo evraziistva*, 100.

⁵⁹⁰ Laryuel', *Ideologiya russkogo evraziistva*, 261.

⁵⁹¹ Umland, "Classification, Julius Evola and the Nature of Dugin's Ideology."

⁵⁹² Dugin, *Konservativnaya Revolyutsiya*, 17.

⁵⁹³ Laryuel', *Ideologiya russkogo evraziistva*, 101.

⁵⁹⁴ Dugin, *Konservativnaya Revolyutsiya*, 25; idem, *Giperboreiskaya Teoriya (opyt ariosofskogo issledovaniya)* (Moskva: Arktogya, 1993), 3-72.

⁵⁹⁵ Dugin, *Konservativnaya Revolyutsiya*, 21.

tween the “Conservative Revolution” (their officially acknowledged predecessors), on the one side, and Nazism, on the other. The European New Right, sometimes, even presented people like Ernst Jünger as principled anti-fascists. The fact that Dugin, in contrast, does not care to make such distinctions and frankly acknowledges the link between his publicly acknowledged intellectual forefathers—the same German “conservative revolutionaries”—and the ideology that brought death, deportation, enslavement etc. to millions of Eastern Slavs and other peoples of “Eurasia” is remarkable. Whereas the classical Eurasianists condemned racism and especially racial anti-Semitism for its “extreme anthropological materialism,”⁵⁹⁶ Dugin, though also not being a biologically racist anti-Semite (in the narrow sense), has not hesitated to mention the relevance of “racial belonging [*rasovaya prinadlezhnost*]” to people’s identity.⁵⁹⁷ He devoted space in his major book *Foundations of Geopolitics* on attacking Jews—a subject that has been extensively dealt with in a book by Vadim Rossman (and can therefore be ignored here).⁵⁹⁸

Further worth-mentioning differences concern the intellectual biographies and disparities in the epistemology of the classical Eurasianists, on the one side, and Dugin and his followers, on the other. Dugin’s movement grew out of an eccentric circle of anti-Soviet mysticists who had engaged in self-experiments and taken an interest in various forms of esotericism and extremism. The Eurasianists in contrast had, mostly, grown up in the liberal atmosphere of late Tsarist St. Petersburg. Before fleeing Russia, they had been part and parcel of Russia’s intellectual elite, and received regular under- and post-graduate university training. In spite of their radical anti-Westernism and rejection of dry empiricism, they continued to view themselves as academics engaged in scholarly research. While also holding metaphysical, rather than rationalist world views, they still valued scientific analysis. Savitskii, for instance, took an interest in quantitative techniques and attempted to apply natural science methods to the humanities.⁵⁹⁹

Dugin’s Late Embrace of Russian Eurasianism

Dugin, apparently, started reading seriously Eurasianist texts only *after* he had been formed intellectually by Western Traditionalism and mysticism, the German “Con-

⁵⁹⁶ Laryuel’, *Ideologiya russkogo evraziistva*, 134.

⁵⁹⁷ Dugin, *Konservativnaya Revolyutsiya*, 21.

⁵⁹⁸ Rossman, *Russian Intellectual Antisemitism*.

⁵⁹⁹ Laryuel’, *Ideologiya russkogo evraziistva*, 70-72.

servative Revolution" and Nazism, as well as the ideas of the French Old and New Right. Thus, in his seminal article "The Great War of the Continents" written in 1991, Dugin mentions the classical Eurasianists only *en passant*, and in connection with German geopolitics.⁶⁰⁰ When, in 1992, Dugin held an important roundtable with representatives of Western and Russian extremely right-wing political and intellectual circles, its subject was the geopolitics of "Eurasia." However, as Stefan Wiederkehr commented,

[t]his debate [...] represented not Eurasianism in the sense of the émigré movement between the world wars. [...] Dugin, to be sure, mentioned in passing classical Eurasianism when he recalled, for his conversation partners, the history of Russian geopolitical thought; yet he mixed up Nikolai Trubetskoi with his uncle Evgenii and called Petr Savitskii erroneously N. Savitskoi [...]. These mistakes make clear how little Dugin was, at this point, familiar with classical Eurasianism. He used the term "Eurasia," but in the sense of Western geopolitical thought.⁶⁰¹

In his major 1994 political manifesto *The Aims and Tasks of Our Revolution*, Dugin still hardly mentions Eurasianism.⁶⁰²

Whereas classical Eurasianism has, in spite of its radical anti-Westernism, continued to be viewed, by Western observers, with a certain—sometimes, considerable—degree of empathy, if not sympathy, "neo-Eurasianism" has been, aptly, labelled by Western observers "geopolitical anti-Semitism,"⁶⁰³ "neo-National Bolshevism,"⁶⁰⁴ "fascism,"⁶⁰⁵ or "neo-fascism."⁶⁰⁶ Though having been a former student of Professor Aleksandr Panarin who, shortly before his death, endorsed Dugin, the Russian émigré political scientist Andrei Tsygankov too has put Dugin under the unsympathetic

⁶⁰⁰ Dugin, *Konspirologiya*, 94-95.

⁶⁰¹ Wiederkehr, "'Kontinent Evrasija,'" 127-128.

⁶⁰² Aleksandr Dugin, *Tseli i Zadachi Nashei Revoyutii* (Moskva: Fravarti, 1995), 19.

⁶⁰³ Rossman, *Russian Intellectual Antisemitism in the Post-Communist Era*, 61.

⁶⁰⁴ Markus Mathyl, "Der 'unaufhaltsame Aufstieg' des Aleksandr Dugin: Neo-Nationalbolschewismus und Neue Rechte in Russland," *Osteuropa* 52, no. 7 (2002): 885-900; Mischa Gabowitsch, "Der russische 'Nationalpatriotismus' der Gegenwart und sein Verhältnis zum Kommunismus," in: Uwe Backes, ed., *Rechtsextreme Ideologien in Geschichte und Gegenwart* (Köln: Böhlau, 2003), 311-318.

⁶⁰⁵ E.g. Allensworth, *The Russian Question*, 243-262; Shenfield, *Russian Fascism*, 190-220; John B. Dunlop, "Aleksandr Dugin's 'Neo-Eurasian' Textbook and Dmitrii Trenin's Ambivalent Response," *Harvard Ukrainian Studies* 25, no. 1/2 (2001): 91-127; as well as other authors quoted above and below (including myself).

⁶⁰⁶ E.g. Ingram, "Alexander Dugin."

and apt label of “revolutionary expansionism.”⁶⁰⁷ Another, well-informed Russian author even presented Dugin—in view of his temporary affiliation with Limonov’s above mentioned National-Bolshevik Party in 1994-1998—under the heading *Nazism in Russia*.⁶⁰⁸

Apart from significant differences in the degree of hostility towards perceived foreign and domestic enemies of Russia, there are also disparities in basic concepts of both ideologies.⁶⁰⁹ By way of acclaiming the heritage of the classical Eurasianists, Dugin

⁶⁰⁷ Andrei P. Tsygankov, “From Internationalism to Revolutionary Expansionism: The Foreign Policy Discourse of Contemporary Russia,” *Mershon International Studies Review* 41, no. 2 (1997): 247-268.

⁶⁰⁸ Likhachev, *Natsizm v Rossii*. While the intention of Likhachev’s classification is understandable, it might, for methodological reasons, be more useful to consider Dugin not a neo-Nazi, but, perhaps, “merely” a neo-fascist. On this issue, see Umland, “The Post-Soviet Russian Extreme Right;” *idem*, “Concepts of Fascism in Russia and the West,” and *idem*, “Tri raznovidnosti postsovetskogo fashizma.” What is more worrying (and, also, more typical of Russian reflections on this issue) is Mitrofanova’s defense of “neo-Eurasianism” against its classification as “fascist” on the basis that “neo-Eurasianism” is *not* racist. Without reference to the literature on comparative fascism, Mitrofanova announces that one could only regard “neo-Eurasianism” as fascist, if one decided to “call fascist generally all non-democratic ideologies.” Mitrofanova, *Politizatsiya “pravoslavnogo mira,”* 142. Not only is the question whether Dugin’s ideology can be classified as racist or not hardly answerable as quickly as Mitrofanova does in her book. What is more important is that narrowly biological racism cannot be regarded as a definitional core-criterion of generic fascism. Such a conceptualization would imply that Italian Fascism (at least, in the form it had taken before it became influenced by Nazism in the late 1930s) would have to be regarded as only partly fascist, or even non-fascist. It is also not trivial that one of the persons who has explicitly demonstrated that Dugin is pro-fascist is Dugin himself. See Aleksandr Dugin, “Fascism—Borderless and Red,” in: Griffin, Loh and Umland, *Fascism Past and Present*, 505-510. Judging from this and other publications of Dugin on the issue, it seems that Dugin may have a better understanding of the nature of generic fascism than Mitrofanova. Moreover, it appears that, at a later point in her book, Mitrofanova contradicts herself when she argues now that, for inter-war Russian émigré “fascism [*sic!*], racism was absolutely not characteristic—apparently, because its leaders took as a basis not German Nazism, but Italian Fascism.” Mitrofanova, *Politizatsiya “pravoslavnogo mira,”* 156. Finally, Mitrofanova’s assertion in her book (*ibid.*, 142) that “anti-Semitism is not characteristic of the Eurasianists [i.e. of Dugin and his followers—A.U.]” seems oblivious of the relevant scholarly literature on this issue, above all, of the findings of Rossman, *Russian Intellectual Antisemitism*, 23-71, and Likhachev, *Politicheskii antisemitizm v sovremennoi Rossii*, 34-42.

⁶⁰⁹ Some recent important analyses of classical Eurasianism include, in chronological order, Leonid Luks, “Die Ideologie der Eurasier im zeitgeschichtlichen Zusammenhang,” *Jahrbücher für Geschichte Osteuropas* 34 (1986): 374-395, reprinted in *idem*, *Der russische “Sonderweg”? Aufsätze zur neuesten Geschichte Russlands im europäischen Kontext*. Soviet and Post-Soviet Politics & Society 16 (Stuttgart: ibidem, 2005), 65-97; *idem*, “Evraziistvo,” *Voprosy filosofii*, no. 6 (1993): 105-114; Viktor Shnirel’man, “Evraziiskaya ideya i teoriya kul’tury,” *Etnograficheskoe obozrenie*, no. 4 (1996), 3-16; *idem*, “Evraziitsy i evrei;” *idem*, “Evraziistvo i natsional’nyi vopros (vmesto otveta V.V. Karlovu),” *Etnograficheskoe obozrenie*, no. 2 (1997), 112-125; Dmitrii V. Shlapentokh, “Eurasianism: Past and Present,” *Communist and Post-Communist Studies* 30 (1997): 129-151; Marlène Laruelle, *L’idéologie eurasiste russe ou comment penser l’empire*. With a foreword by Patrick Sériot (Paris: L’Harmattan, 1999); Viktor Shnirel’man, “Russkie, ne-

manipulates their legacy in a way reminding a similar strategy by the above mentioned late Soviet geographer-ethnologist Lev N. Gumilëv. The following short excursus illustrates the point.

III.5.4 Excursus I: Gumilëv's "Eurasianism"

The Gumilëvian World View

Gumilëv's rabidly antisemitic theory of ethnogenesis amounts to an attempt to give a peculiar, novel kind of racism a (pseudo-) scientific basis.⁶¹⁰ His teaching constitutes, "in terms of its breadth and complexity, a hard to grasp collection of speculative hypotheses, unproven claims, questionable psychologisms and pseudo-scientific propositions."⁶¹¹ It claims that ethnies are not only culturally, but also biologically defined, literally natural groups who, over the period of several centuries, cyclically rise and fall—a view reminiscent of the ideas of Nikolai Danilevskii and Oswald Spengler.⁶¹² Their ascent is determined—and here Gumilëv goes beyond Danilevskii and Spengler—by "micromutations" caused by solar or galactic emissions leading these ethnies to become particularly passionate and to give birth to selfless, heroic leader figures driven by moral goals and prone to an ethic of self-immolation.⁶¹³ The ethnies' or larger "superethnies" descent, in turn, can be triggered by intermixture with other,

rusские i evraziiskii federalizm: evraziitsy i ikh opponenty v 1920-e gody," *Slavyanovedenie*, no. 4 (2002): 3-20; Viktor Shnirel'man, *Intellektual'nye labirinty: Ocherki ideologii v sovremennoi Rossii* (Moskva: Academia, 2004), 18-103.

⁶¹⁰ On anti-Semitism: Rossman, *Russian Intellectual Antisemitism*, 72-100; Andrei Rogachevskii, "Lev Gumilev i evreiskii vopros (po lichnym vospominaniyam)," *Solnechnoe spletenie* (Jerusalem), no. 18/19 (2001): 358-368. On Gumilëv's scientific pretensions: Yasmann, "Red Religion;" Bruno Naarden, "'I am a genius, but no more than that:' Lev Gumilev (1912-1992), Ethnogenesis, the Russian Past and World History," *Jahrbücher für Geschichte Osteuropas* 44 (1996): 54-82; D. Dragunskii, "Massovaya kul'tura dlya izbrannykh," *Itgoi*, no. 9(94) (1998): 50-53; Kochanek, "Die Ethnienlehre Lev N. Gumilevs;" Ryszard Paradowski, "The Eurasian Idea and Leo Gumilëv's Scientific Ideology," *Canadian Slavonic Papers* 41, no. 1 (1999): 19-32; Vladimir Korenyako, "Etnonatsionalizm, kvaziistoriografiya i akademicheskaya nauka," in: A. Malashenko and M.B. Olcott, eds., *Real'nost' etnicheskikh mifov* (Moskva: Moskovskii tsentr Karnegi, Gendal'f, 2000), 34-52, here 39-44; Marlène Laruelle, "Lev N. Gumilev (1912-1992): biologisme et eurasisme en Russie," *Revue des Études slaves* 72, no. 1-2 (2000): 163-189; Victor Shnirelman and Sergei Panarin, "Lev Gumilev: His Pretensions as a Founder of Ethnology and his Eurasian Theories," *Inner Asia* 3, no. 1 (2001): 1-18; Assen Ignatow, "Esoterik als Geschichtsdeutung: Lev Gumilevs 'historiosophische' Lehren," *Forum für osteuropäische Ideen- und Zeitgeschichte* 6, no. 1 (2002): 13-41. I would agree with Stephen Shenfield that "[a] full book-length study [of Lev Gumilëv] is sorely needed." Shenfield, *Russian Fascism*, 271.

⁶¹¹ Kochanek, "Die Ethnienlehre Lev N. Gumilevs," 1186.

⁶¹² Parland, *The Extreme Nationalist Threat in Russia*, 22.

⁶¹³ Rossman, *Russian Intellectual Antisemitism*, 73-74.

often parasitic peoples (e.g. Jews)—a dangerous process that leads to the formation of “chimerical” civilizations destined to decline.⁶¹⁴ Vadim Rossman has pointed to the strange terminology that Gumilëv uses describing the latter process: “parasites,” “vampires sucking human blood,” “cancerous tumour which devours healthy cells,” and “tapeworms in the stomach of the animal.”⁶¹⁵ It should not come as a surprise that “scholarly” writings using such phrases have become popular in the post-Soviet Russian extremely right-wing scene. “Many nationalist leaders and ideologists began [in the 1990s] to use [Gumilëv’s] theories as legitimization for their political agenda, using terminology from his theory of ethnogenesis, and even incorporating his pseudo-scientific language into their political programs.”⁶¹⁶

Gumilëv’s bizarre theory is of interest here less in terms of its content or importance for Russia’s ultra-nationalist discourse. What makes it interesting for this study and for an understanding of post-Soviet Russian discourse, is that it has, in spite of having won no notable support among geneticists or radiologists,⁶¹⁷ become incredibly popular among Russian intellectuals, in general, and infiltrated deeply middle and higher education programs, in particular. “[A]fter glasnost, [...] millions of his books were published, and an avalanche of other publications about his theories appeared in Russian periodicals.”⁶¹⁸ “The ethnogenetic special vocabulary developed by him [...] enjoys wide application in popular-scientific specialized literature and publicism.”⁶¹⁹ In Victor Yasmann’s words,

[Gumilëv’s] books significantly contributed to the gigantic intellectual counter-revolution that was taking place in the shadows of the collapse of communism and the disintegration of the USSR. [...] [I]n Russia and other parts of the former Union, Gumilëv has had an enormous intellectual impact, and [...] has enjoyed immeasurable support from key intel-

⁶¹⁴ Of his many books, the most important ones in this context are Lev Gumilëv, *Konets i vnov’ nachalo: Populyarnye lektsii po narodovedeniyu* (Moskva: Rol’f, 2002), and *idem, Etnogenez i biosfera zemli* (Sankt-Peterburg: Azbuka-klassika, 2002). It is telling that the latter book was printed in the publisher’s series “Academia.”

⁶¹⁵ Rossman, *Russian Intellectual Antisemitism*, 75.

⁶¹⁶ Rossman, *Russian Intellectual Antisemitism*, 89. On Gumilëv’s impact on the post-Soviet extremely right-wing publicist Yurii Borodai, and on the chairman of the fascist National-Republican Party of Russia, Nikolai Lysenko, see Kochanek, “Die Ethnienlehre Lev N. Gumilevs,” 1196-1197.

⁶¹⁷ The prominent Russian geneticist N. Timofeev-Resovskii, called Gumilëv, according to Gumilëv’s widow, a “mad paranoic.” As quoted in Korenyako, “Etnonatsionalizm, kvaziistoriografiya i akademicheskaya nauka,” 51. See also Ignatow, “Esoterik als Geschichtsdeutung,” 40.

⁶¹⁸ Rossman, *Russian Intellectual Antisemitism*, 72.

⁶¹⁹ Kochanek, “Die Ethnienlehre Lev N. Gumilevs,” 1186.

lectual and political sectors.⁶²⁰

"Moreover, an image has been created of Gumilëv as a great man, criticism of whom is sacrilege."⁶²¹ A foreword to a recent edition of his most important book *Ethnogenesis and the World's Biosphere* under the title of "A Gulliver among Liliputs" starts with the following sentences:

Lev Nikolaevich Gumilëv was not simply an outstanding human being. People like him do not exist in our times. The great attraction of his personality was the combination of a multiplicity of qualities, characteristics and conditions. [His] mind and horizon, passion and irony, generosity and simplicity... And in all this—a dimension of human personality unseen, unusual for the modern world, and measurable to nothing.⁶²²

The author goes on to compare Gumilëv to Charles Darwin, Galileo Galileus, Girolamo Savonarola, Aristotle, Nicolaus Copernicus, and Isaac Newton.⁶²³ Even some non-Russian authors who are, in general, critical of Gumilëv have, at times, paid tribute to the "originality" of his ideas.⁶²⁴ The recently founded elite Eurasian University at Kazakhstan's new capital Astana has been named after Lev Gumilëv. It has been reported that President Vladimir Putin publicly praised Gumilëv at the celebration of the 1000th birthday of the capital of Tatarstan Kazan' on 26th August 2005.⁶²⁵ The theories and concepts of Gumilëv are regular points of reference in major TV talk shows and documentaries on Russian and world history. Korenyako comes to the conclusion that

L[ev] Gumilëv has sharply lowered the level of academic professionalism recognizable by the broad audience [of Russia], and has, thanks to a literary talent and an envying productivity shown [...]: In the eyes of public opinion, one can become a "great scholar" and "master of thoughts [*vlas-titelem dum*]" by not only refraining from trying to rise above the level of

⁶²⁰ Yasmann, "Red Religion," 25.

⁶²¹ Shenfield, *Russian Fascism*, 44.

⁶²² A. Ikonnikov-Galitskii, "Gulliver sredi liliputov," in: Gumilëv, *Etnogenez i biosfera zemli*, 7-24, here 7.

⁶²³ Ibid., 12, 20.

⁶²⁴ Ignatow, "Esoterik als Geschichtsdeutung," 14, 37. See also Naarden, "I am a genius, but no more than that," or Paradowski, "The Eurasian Idea and Leo Gumilëv's Scientific Ideology."

⁶²⁵ Dmitry Shlapentokh, "Russia's Foreign Policy and Eurasianism," URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.eurasianet.org/departments/insight/articles/eav080205a.shtml>.

a dilettante, but by also avoiding all reflection concerning one's own, often most serious mistakes.⁶²⁶

Gumilëv and Classical Eurasianism

As Marlène Laruelle has shown, Gumilëv's claim that he is the "last Eurasianist" and, in Russia, widespread view that he is indeed the last representative of classical Eurasianism are doubtful.⁶²⁷ While the Eurasianist outlook was—in its debt to geography, understanding of Russian national identity, sympathy for Asia and anti-Westernism—partly similar to Gumilëv's world view, the Eurasianists' emphasis on cultural difference between Eurasians and Europeans is fundamentally different from Gumilëv's socio-biological approach to ethnies or "superethnies"⁶²⁸—an attitude, according to Laruelle, more related to certain tendencies in Soviet social sciences in the 1960s-1970s than to classical Eurasianism.⁶²⁹ In Ilya Vinkovetskii's words,

[Lev Gumilëv] had read a few, but not many, Eurasian[ist] works. [His] own ideas, including his theory of ethnogenesis and the concept of "*passionarnost'* [drive, passion]," went well beyond the pale of traditional Eurasianism. His indulgence in cosmism was far more pervasive than that of the *Evrasiya* [the Eurasianists' major periodical] contributors [who had become followers of Nikolai Fedorov—A.U.] rejected by [the two major theorists of classical Eurasianism Nikolai] Trubetskoi and [Petr] Savitskii.⁶³⁰

Laruelle also points out that the Eurasianists had a largely positive view of Islam

⁶²⁶ Korenyako, "Etnonatsionalizm, kvaziistoriografiya i akademicheskaya nauka," 44.

⁶²⁷ Lev N. Gumilëv, "Zametki poslednego evraziitsa." *Nashe nasledie*, no. 3 (1991): 19-34; *idem*, "Menya nazyvayut evraziitse....," *Nash sovremennik*, no. 1 (1991): 62; *idem*, "...Esli Rossiia budet spasena, to tol'ko cherez evraziistvo:" Interv'yu s L.N. Gumilevym," *Nachala*, no. 4 (1992): 4-16; Marlen Laryuel', "Kogda prisvaivaetsya intellektual'naya sobstvennost', ili O protivopolozhnosti L.N. Gumilëva i P.N. Savitskogo," *Vestnik Evrazii—Acta Eurasica*, no. 4(15) (2001): 5-19. Dugin's claim that Savitskii and Gumilëv once met in prison seems to not have been the case. In this regard, Shenfield's otherwise reliable study needs to be corrected. Shenfield, *Russian Fascism*, 37.

⁶²⁸ Recent editions that include the core of Gumilëv's theory of ethnogenesis include Lev Gumilëv, *Etnogenez i biosfera zemli* (Sankt-Peterburg: Azbuka-klassika, 2002); *idem*, *Konets i vnov' nachalo: Populyarnye lektsii po narodovedeniyu* (Moskva: Rol'f, 2002).

⁶²⁹ Laryuel', "Kogda prisvaivaetsya intellektual'naya sobstvennost'," 6, 11. Kochanek sees also connections with the teaching of the geochemist Vladimir I. Vernadskii (1863-1945), cosmobiologist Aleksandr L. Chizhevskii (1897-1964), and agronomist Trofim D. Lysenko (1898-1976). Kochanek, "Die Ethnienlehre Lev N. Gumilevs," 1186, 1189, n. 26.

⁶³⁰ Vinkovetsky, "Eurasianism in Its Time: A Bibliography," in: Vinkovetsky and Schlacks, eds., *Exodus to the East*, 153.

whereas Gumilëv, more often than not, saw Islam negatively.⁶³¹ One could list other divergences.

In Laruelle's words, "[t]he idea of a synchrony between the work of the Eurasianists and Gumilëv is [however] of principal importance for his disciples: With his assistance, they are trying to misappropriate somebody else's intellectual property."⁶³² With regard to the major ideas of both classical Eurasianism and "neo-Eurasianism," it is doubtful that, as Thomas Parland has written, Gumilëv "served as a bridge between classical Eurasianism and its contemporary equivalent neo-Eurasianism."⁶³³ Neither did Gumilëv need much of classical Eurasianism for his theory of ethnogenesis, nor did Dugin, initially, use either classical Eurasianism (as indicated above and illustrated below) or Gumilëv's theory of ethnogenesis for the development of his views on word history. Rather, Dugin, only later, inserted a number of the classically Eurasianist ideas and some of Gumilëv's terminology into his writings.

III.5.5 Dugin's Re-Interpretation of "Eurasia"

Eurasia and Europe

One of the differences between the Eurasianists and Gumilëv is reminiscent of the disparities between the former and Dugin.⁶³⁴ Though it might not be the most essential incongruence between classical Eurasianism and "neo-Eurasianism," it is, perhaps, the most visible one: The denotation of Eurasia itself in the works of the Eurasianists, on the one side, and Gumilëv and Dugin, on the other.⁶³⁵ While the specifi-

⁶³¹ Laryuel', "Kogda prisvaivaetsya intellektual'naya sobstvennost'," 14. However, Gumilëv saw Islam is much more positively than Judaism. See Rossman, *Russian Intellectual Antisemitism*, 81.

⁶³² Laryuel', "Kogda prisvaivaetsya intellektual'naya sobstvennost'," 10. See also Shnirel'man, *Intellektual'nye labirinty*, 103-122

⁶³³ Parland, *The Extreme Nationalist Threat in Russia*, 118.

⁶³⁴ Dugin, in his turn, has adopted certain of Gumilëv's concepts, like *passionamost'*. See Dugin, *Tseli i Zadachi Nashei Revoyutii*, 19.

⁶³⁵ It should come as no surprise, in view of the above, that one channel for Dugin's entry into the academic mainstream (to be described in more detail below) has been—what one may call—"Gumilëvism." See, for instance, Aleksandr G. Dugin, "Evolyutsiya Natsional'noi Idei Rusi (Rossii) na raznykh istoricheskikh etapakh," in: *Lev Nikolaevich Gumilëv: Teoriya etnogeneza i istoricheskoe sud'by Evrazii. Materialy konferentsii*. 2nd Vol. (Sankt-Peterburg: Evropeiskii Dom, 2002), 9-35. This can, however, not be taken to be a sign of closeness between Dugin's and Gumilëv's ideas as might be concluded from a comment by Kaledin, "Terapiya okazalas' bessil'noi pered maniei Dugina-mladshego pereustroit' mir." What links Gumilëv and Dugin, apart from their radical anti-Westernism, are less their particular ideas or their supposed debt to Eurasianism than their equally unfounded claims to represent legitimate successors of classical Eurasianism and their

cally Eurasianist concept of Eurasia was largely congruent with the territory of the Soviet Union of the 1920s-1930s,⁶³⁶ Gumilëv includes, for historical reasons, Tibet into his understanding of the Eurasian community—thus, according to a note by Laruelle, departing from the conceptualization of Eurasia by the classical Eurasianists.⁶³⁷ Dugin goes much further and includes most of the landmass of Europe and Asia into his concept of Eurasia⁶³⁸—territories that would, by the classical Eurasians, be regarded as parts of *Asia*, and not Eurasia.⁶³⁹ Dugin has gone as far as to label Charles de Gaulle a representative of the “Third Way,” an ideology he himself adheres to.⁶⁴⁰ Though Dugin has mentioned Great Britain and, for some reason, China as not belonging to the “Eurasian,” but as being part of the “Atlanticist” civilization,⁶⁴¹ and interpreted Japan, following Sir Halford Mackinder, as a *continental* Eurasian civilization,⁶⁴² his conceptualization of “Eurasia” is thus closer to the geological meaning that the term originally had. The concept of “Eurasia”—as a unified tectonic unit—had been first elaborated on by the Austrian geographer Eduard Suess (1831-1914) in his three-volume work *Das Antlitz der Erde (The Earth's Face)* published in 1885-1901. Suess, in turn, had apparently adopted the term from the famous Ger-

pretensions be innovative scholars. In the words of Michael Hagemester, Gumilëv “represents a type of intellectual, much revered in Russia; he lectures with pseudo-scientific erudition on the phantastic principles of world history.” As quoted in Vinkovetsky, “Eurasianism in Its Time: A Bibliography,” in: Vinkovetsky and Schlacks, eds., *Exodus to the East*, 153. To be sure, some of Gumilëv’s empirical-historical work, probably, does have—unlike his abstruse theory of ethnogenesis—scholarly value. In contrast, Dugin’s writings constitute little more than a combination of more or less adequate re-statements of the ideas of numerous representatives of Western and Eastern intellectual history with his own esoteric mumblings on them.

⁶³⁶ It should be noted though that, according to Tsymburskii, the Eurasianists’ usage of the term “Eurasia” was not always consistent. Seemingly, they used the term occasionally also for the Euro-Asiatic continent, as a whole. See Vadim Tsymburskii, “Dve Evrazii: omonimiya kak klyuch k ideologii rannego evraziistva,” *Acta Eurasica—Vestnik Evrazii*, no. 1-2(4-5) (1998).

⁶³⁷ Laryuel’, “Kogda prisvaivaetsya intellektual’naya sobstvennost’,” 14. However, in her book on Eurasianism, Laruelle refers to a letter of Savitskii to Gumilev in which Savitskii too wrote that Tibet belongs to Eurasia, but in which Savitskii “did not mention where such a statement had been published.” Laryuel’, *Ideologiya russkogo evraziistva*, 114.

⁶³⁸ Andrei Ignat’ev, “Anti-Dugin ili mify geopolitik i real’nosti,” URL (last accessed October 2006): http://www.kontrudar.ru/article_14.html. See also Wiederkehr, “Kontinent Evraziia’,” *idem*, “Kontinent Evrasija’,” 129.

⁶³⁹ Otto Böss, *Die Lehre der Eurasier: Ein Beitrag zur russischen Ideengeschichte des 20. Jahrhunderts* (Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz, 1961), 26; Markus Kaiser, “Postsowjetisches Eurasien—Dimensionen der symbolischen und realen Raumaneignung,” in: *Idem* (ed.), *Auf der Suche nach Eurasien: Politik, Religion und Alltagskultur zwischen Russland und Europa* (Bielefeld: transcript, 2004), 79-106, here 81.

⁶⁴⁰ Dugin, *Konservativnaya Revolyutsiya*, 29.

⁶⁴¹ In this regard, there is another partial resemblance with the ideas of Savitskii who also regarded China as part of the maritime principle. See Laryuel’, *Ideologiya russkogo evraziistva*, 122.

⁶⁴² Dugin, *Konspirologiya*, 93. See on this issue also Shenfield, *Russian Fascism*, 198.

man scientist Alexander von Humboldt.⁶⁴³

Manifestly contradicting the classical Eurasians, Dugin, in his various writings, includes large parts of continental Europe, not the least some of its Western parts, into "Eurasia." For instance, he writes in his seminal article "The Great War of the Continents" that

Jean Parvulesco [a major source for Dugin, as repeatedly documented in the here quoted article—A.U.] comprises within the concept of "ours" the whole network of adherents of the Great Continental Bloc—from Japan to Belgium, from China⁶⁴⁴ to France, from India to Spain, from Iran to Germany, from Russia to Italy. For Parvulesco, "ours" means that the Eurasianist Order itself is synonymous with all of its brands and groups which are, consciously or not, manifestly or latently, in the zone of its geopolitical, mystical and metaphysical influence. "Ours"—this is the united eschatological front of the Continent, the Front of the Land, the Front of the Absolute East, the Western province of which is Europe itself, "our" Europe, a Europe rejecting "the West," the Europe of Tradition, Soil [and] Spirit.⁶⁴⁵

The classical Eurasianists, in contrast, saw Western Europe—*all of it*—as constituting "Romano-Germanic Europe" that does not belong to "Eurasia," but is Russia's or even humankind's greatest enemy. Nikolai Trubetskoi, for instance, proclaimed in 1920: "There is only one true contradiction: the Romano-Germans and the other peoples of the world, Europe and humanity."⁶⁴⁶ Dugin, on his part, wrote in 1992:

In the geopolitical system of coordinates for the last centuries, one can clearly trace a fundamental opposition of two continents: America and Eurasia. [...] The basic principle of this contradiction is: Europe together with Russia against America. Its continuation is the opposition of Eurasianism and Atlanticism. The term "West" simply does not exist in this conception. Europe is here the geopolitical an-

⁶⁴³ *Ibid.*, 82; Böss, *Die Lehre der Eurasier*, 25; Laryuel', *Ideologiya russkogo evraziistva*, 108; URL (last accessed October 2006): http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Eduard_Suess.

⁶⁴⁴ The inclusion of China in this list contradicts Dugin's own later elaborations on China's role in the contemporary world.

⁶⁴⁵ Dugin, *Konspirologiya*, 130.

⁶⁴⁶ Trubetskoi, *Nasledie Chingis-Khana*, 90, as quoted in Wiederkehr, "'Kontinent Evrasija,'" 128.

tithesis to the West.⁶⁴⁷

Eurasia and the US

Thus, the United States, in Dugin's world view, "replace 'Romano-German Europe' as the enemy image."⁶⁴⁸ Writes Dugin:

The USA is a chimerical [a Gumilëvian term—A.U.], anti-organic, transplanted culture which does not have sacral state traditions and cultural soil, but, nevertheless, tries to force upon the other continents its anti-ethnic, anti-traditional [and] "babylonian" model.⁶⁴⁹

This contradicts, for instance, the Eurasianist and Orthodox theologian Georgii Florovskii (1893-1979) who, at one point, praised "genuine American pragmatism," and wrote that it is exactly "on 'European' soil [on which] Pragmatism becomes colourless and impersonal."⁶⁵⁰ In another article, Florovskii wrote, among other affirmative statements on the US, that America has developed "a tradition of radical rejection of philistinism."⁶⁵¹ Even Petr N. Savitskii (1895-1968)—who is Dugin's primary point of reference in Eurasianism—seemed to have an ambivalent image of the United States. He compared Russia-Eurasia with the US and, for instance, wrote that

[i]t is possible to think that the leading centres of culture, its most influential concentrations, are already located not only in Western Europe as had been the case not long ago, but also in Russia-Eurasia and in North America, and that these two regions, or, to be more precise, two continents, are lining up next to Europe and "replacing" it in the sense of taking upon themselves part of the activity of cultural creativity [...].⁶⁵²

It contradicts the core of Dugin's conceptualization of world history when Savitskii, in 1921, went on to predict that "[w]ith the passage of time North America is of course developing, and will successfully develop, an independent tradition. But at its source,

⁶⁴⁷ *Den'*, no. 2(30) (1992): 2.

⁶⁴⁸ Wiederkehr, "'Kontinent Evrasija,'" 128.

⁶⁴⁹ *Den'*, no. 2(30) (1992): 3.

⁶⁵⁰ Georgii V. Florovskii, "The Cunning of Reason," in: Vinkovetsky and Schlacks, eds., *Exodus to the East*, 30-40, here 34.

⁶⁵¹ Georgii V. Florovskii, "About Non-Historical Peoples (The Land of the Fathers and the Land of the Children)," in: Vinkovetsky and Schlacks, eds., *Exodus to the East*, 52-68, here 66.

⁶⁵² Petr N. Savitskii, "The Migration of Culture," in: Vinkovetsky and Schlacks, eds., *Exodus to the East*, 41-51, here 48.

it carries only that tradition which is present in the culture of [Romano-Germanic] Western Europe.”⁶⁵³ This is the exact opposite of what Dugin has been opining on the US.

Moreover, the classical Eurasianists were prepared to take the US in certain regards as a model. This concerns, for instance, economic nationalism, the Monroe Doctrine, the unification of the North and South of the American continent, a continental self-consciousness, or the US's non-membership in the League of Nations.⁶⁵⁴ Yet, because of the presence of cultural traits of the Romano-Germanic world—i.e. those cultures that Dugin is prepared to *include* into his idea of “Eurasia”—in the North American tradition (and not, as Dugin would argue, some specific “Atlanticist” element), the US remained ultimately suspect to the classical Eurasianists.⁶⁵⁵

Geopolitics, Conservative Revolution, and Obfuscation

The substantial change of emphasis in the image of Russia's major enemy from Europe to the US is, according to Ignat'ev, “a result of the fact that [Dugin] artificially mixes Eurasianism with geopolitics and accepts the so-called ‘first law of geopolitics’—the idea of the eternal fight between continental and maritime civilizations [...]”⁶⁵⁶ Earlier, Vinkovetskii had remarked that Dugin

seems to owe a much greater debt to the likes of [Karl] Haushofer and the German political philosopher Carl Schmitt⁶⁵⁷ than to [Petr] Savitskii and [Nikolai] Trubetskoi. His occult-laced “Eurasianism” is based on a vision of an age-old incessant struggle for world domination between the secret orders of “Eurasianists” (“the Great Land,” traceable to Rome) and “Atlanticists” (“the Great Ocean,” traceable to Carthage). The authors of *Exodus to the East* [the manifesto of classical Eurasianism of 1921—A.U.] are depicted not as creators of Eurasianism, but merely as members of the secret Eurasian order acting, along with Haushofer, as

⁶⁵³ *Ibid.*, 50. On the classical Eurasianist philosophy of history, see further Heinrich A. Stammer, “Europa-Russland-Asien: Der ‘eurasische’ Deutungsversuch der russischen Geschichte,” *Osteuropa*, no. 12 (1962): 521-528.

⁶⁵⁴ Laryuel', *Ideologiya russkogo evraziistva*, 125-126.

⁶⁵⁵ Laryuel', *Ideologiya russkogo evraziistva*, 121.

⁶⁵⁶ Ignat'ev, “Anti-Dugin ili mify geopolitik i real'nosti.”

⁶⁵⁷ On the issue of Schmitt's fascism, see Nikolaus Lobkovits, “Karl Shmitt—katolicheskii fashist?” *Forum noveishei vostochnoevropeiskoi istorii i kul'tury* 1, no. 1 (2004), URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www1.ku-eichstaett.de/ZIMOS/forum/docs/lobkowicz.pdf>.

its “disclosers.” Whatever Dugin [...] is trying to achieve, it seems to have very little to do with Eurasianism in the classic sense.⁶⁵⁸

While thus Dugin’s teaching can be classified—like the classical Eurasianists’ theory—as a “geosophy,” the two geographical determinisms present here are different: Whereas the classical Eurasianists emphasised various characteristics of Russia’s climate, landscape and culture as unifying and defining “Eurasia,”⁶⁵⁹ Dugin uses geopolitical ideas adopted from Western thinkers like Alfred Thayer Mahan (1840-1914), Friedrich Ratzel (1844-1904), Halford John Mackinder (1861-1947), Johan Rudolf Kjellén (1864-1922), or Karl Haushofer (1869-1946), and culturalist arguments copied from the German “Conservative Revolution” to argue for the uniqueness of his much larger “Eurasia.” The classical Eurasianists, to be sure, also were aware of Western geopolitics and wrote, like Dugin, about the contradiction between oceanic and continental civilizations and their affinities to parliamentarianism and authoritarianism respectively. Yet these considerations had little consequences for their definition of “Eurasia.”⁶⁶⁰

The relative resemblance between the geological meaning of “Eurasia” and the denotation the term has in most of Dugin’s theories is thus, obviously, no indicator of moderation or common sense in “neo-Eurasianism.”⁶⁶¹ Rather, Dugin’s various reconceptualizations of “Eurasia” are a symptom of the instrumental function that “Eurasianism” has for him. He skilfully uses the ambivalence of the concept of Eurasia as connoting a peculiar synthetic culture (as in classical Eurasianism), on the one side, and the European-Asian landmass (as in geology), on the other, for his purposes. In Vinkovetskii’s opinion, he “appears to use obfuscation as deliberate strategy.”⁶⁶² Dugin’s one-time collaborator Eduard Limonov confirms that “Dugin is a paradoxical man who can support ten points of view or more at the same time.”⁶⁶³ In the words of Ignat’ev, “Dugin perverted Eurasianism and transformed it into an eclectic mixture adding to it, apart from geopolitics, elements of European Traditionalism and rac-

⁶⁵⁸ Vinkovetsky, “Eurasianism in Its Time: A Bibliography,” in: Vinkovetsky and Schlacks, eds., *Exodus to the East*, 153-154.

⁶⁵⁹ Laryuel’, *Ideologiya russkogo evraziistva*, 118.

⁶⁶⁰ Laryuel’, *Ideologiya russkogo evraziistva*, 120.

⁶⁶¹ This is also illustrated by the fact that, as Mitrofanova points out, Dugin, in one of his publications, includes into the “Great Eurasian Empire of Thousand Flags” not only the Eurasian continent, but also Australia, parts of Africa and Greenland. Mitrofanova, *Politi-zatsiya “pravoslavnogo mira,”* 243.

⁶⁶² Vinkovetsky, “Eurasianism in Its Time: A Bibliography,” in: Vinkovetsky and Schlacks, Jr., eds., *Exodus to the East*, 154.

⁶⁶³ As quoted in Lee, *The Beast Reawakens*, 320. See also Shenfield, *Russian Fascism*, 197.

ism.”⁶⁶⁴ Dugin may, in doing so, have profited from the general lack of terminological and conceptual discipline in post-Soviet social scientific and journalistic discourse. He is able to present his fundamentally Western-inspired world view as resulting from a, supposedly, adequate adaptation of a well-respected Russian theory to post-Soviet circumstances.

It is true that one of the most important sources of Dugin’s thought, the German “Conservative Revolution” of the 1920s-1930s, displayed considerable similarities with classical Eurasianism and was also of relative high intellectual quality. At the same time, however, it is also the case that “disgust towards the West and liberalism took, in the case of the German anti-Westerners, an even more decisive form than in the case of the Eurasianists.”⁶⁶⁵ It is exactly the German “conservative revolutionaries”’ moralistic amorality, their megalomania, craving for a new “Caesar,” and plans for German world-rule, as well as their open propagation of war and terror⁶⁶⁶—all elements for which no equivalents can be found in classical Eurasianism—which seems to have made their ideas particularly attractive for Dugin.⁶⁶⁷

The Eurasianist Label and Interpretation of Dugin

The term “neo-Eurasianism” serves Dugin not only to dilute the extremist aspects of his ideology, but also to cover the largely Western sources of his thought. One Russian commentator has called Dugin’s ideology a “cleverly marketized import product.”⁶⁶⁸ Eduard Limonov called Dugin Russia’s “Cyril and Methodius of fascism”—in as far as Dugin brought from the West a new belief.⁶⁶⁹ The latter is a circumstance that, if it were to come to the forefront, would contradict his public profile as a Russian patriot and create for him image problems similar to the ones mentioned above with regard to Zhirinovskii’s, Barkashov’s, Limonov’s and Zyuganov’s public stand-

⁶⁶⁴ Ignat’ev, “Anti-Dugin ili mify geopolitik i real’nosti.”

⁶⁶⁵ Leonid Lyuks, “Evraziistvo i konservativnaya revolyutsiya: Soblazni antizapadnichestva v Rossii i Germanii,” *Voprosy filosofii*, no. 3 (1996): 57-69, here 61.

⁶⁶⁶ *Ibid.*, 61-62, 64. One might add that some “conservative revolutionaries,” like Ernst Jünger and Ernst Niekisch, in a certain way previewed a statement by Dugin (see Appendix), in that they attacked Hitler before he came to power as being too much of an opportunist. Lyuks, “Evraziistvo i konservativnaya revolyutsiya,” 66.

⁶⁶⁷ It is noteworthy that, in the early 1930s, the far-reaching geopolitical plans of the “conservative revolutionaries” caused concern by a Eurasianist publicist of this time, A. Antipov. See Lyuks, “Evraziistvo i konservativnaya revolyutsiya,” 67.

⁶⁶⁸ Polyannikov, “Po tropam Khimery, ili razmyshlenniya o evraziistve i ‘novom mirovom poriadke.’”

⁶⁶⁹ As quoted in Kaledin, “Terapiya okazalas’ bessil’noi pered maniei Dugina-mladshego pereustroit’ mir.”

ing.⁶⁷⁰ Similar motivations might be behind Dugin's recent posing as an Orthodox Old Believer and Christian fundamentalist although it is—contrary to a claim by Anastasiya Mitrofanova⁶⁷¹—“difficult to call Dugin's political philosophy and practice [Christian] Orthodox.”⁶⁷² As mentioned, Dugin formally committed himself to the Old Belief only relatively late, in 1999.⁶⁷³

In conclusion, “neo-Eurasianism” is a term that should be used carefully with regard to Dugin. It is a construct in so far worth paying attention to as it has been the term chosen, especially since the late 1990s, by Dugin himself as the major label for the classification of his views. Contrary to an assertion made by A. James Gregor in a discussion on Dugin's ideology and sources,⁶⁷⁴ the descriptions that political actors create for themselves should be of interest to taxonomists in the social sciences. However, an uncommented application of the term Eurasianism without quotation marks to the Dugin phenomenon would not only be misleading. In as far as the social sciences belong to the world of their objects of study, it could, in view of the above contextualization, be seen as supporting Dugin's tactics of political mimicry, and as helping him in his efforts to infiltrate the Russian political mainstream and Ivory Tower. Dugin's embrace of Eurasianism appears as part and parcel of his strategy to become accepted in Russia as a serious academic scholar and political analyst.

In as far as Western views of Russian politics sometimes exert a certain impact on Russian public and scholarly debates, authors in the West too might want to use “Eurasianism” with regard to Dugin with care. This is because such a classification would suggest that as bizarre a publicist as Dugin is vindicated as a successor of the

⁶⁷⁰ This is in spite of the fact, the the classical Eurasianists were themselves influenced by Western philosophers, such as Friedrich Nietzsche (1844-1900), Oswald Spengler (1880-1936), and Henri Bergson (1859-1941), and especially German *Naturphilosophie*. See Laryuel', *Ideologiya russkogo evraziistva*, 56-57. Interestingly, the classical Eurasians were aware of possible image problems arising from this circumstance too. Writes Laruelle: “Eurasianism experienced a deep influence of the classics of German philosophy and their contemporaries in the West. At the same time, the Eurasians never quoted the authors on whose works they relied (with the exception of O. Spengler), because these thinkers were regarded as Romano-Germanic and, therefore, worthy of criticism.” Laryuel', *Ideologiya russkogo evraziistva*, 50. Victor Yasmann claims that the classical Eurasianists were also heavily influenced by geopoliticians, such as Sir Halford Mackinder, Karl Haushofer, Friedrich Ratzel, Carl Schmitt, Oswald Maull and Rudolf Kjellén. See Yasmann, “Red Religion,” 22. While some Eurasianists were aware of these authors and used their ideas, Western geopolitics still did not exert, as Yasmann seems to suggest, a formative impact on Eurasianist thought.

⁶⁷¹ Mitrofanova, *Politizatsiya “pravoslavnogo mira,”* 174-189.

⁶⁷² Verkhovskii, *Politicheskoe pravoslavie*, 9, 124, 251.

⁶⁷³ Shenfield, *Russian Fascism*, 197.

⁶⁷⁴ Gregor, “Andreas Umland and the ‘Fascism’ of Alexander Dugin.”

founders of phonology and Prague Linguistic Circle (Trubetskoi, Jakobson) as well as of Structural Geography (Savitskii).⁶⁷⁵ Dugin would be put in a position to claim the legacy of an, in Leonid Luks' words,

[...] conception [in the development of which] ethnologists, geographers, linguists, historians, jurists, etc. took part. This [circumstance] sharply distinguishes [classical] Eurasianism from the majority of ideologies that emerged in inter-war Europe. In this case, those involved [i.e. the classical Eurasianists—A.U.] were not dilettantes and political doctrinists, but people who had passed the school of science [and] mastered the art of sophisticated analysis.⁶⁷⁶

When seen as a proper neo-Eurasianist, Dugin—in spite of the dubious course of his academic career—appears as a scholar stepping into the footsteps of former Professors at the Philology Department the University of Vienna (Trubetskoi), Russian Law School in Prague (Alekseev and Shakhmatov), Russian Agricultural Institute at Prague (Savitskii), and Kaunas University Department of History (Karsavin). Three members of the original Eurasian circle later became tenured professors at respected US universities: Vernadskii at Yale's Department of History, Jakobson at Harvard's Department of Philology, and Florovskii at Columbia's Department of Theology.⁶⁷⁷ Classical Eurasianism's relative popularity among Russian intellectuals was and is determined, not the least, by the considerable intellectual acumen of its followers, and their international eminence, not the least by the respect they enjoy in the West.⁶⁷⁸ Dugin, obviously, wants to profit from this reputation.

Dugin seemingly also wants to capitalize on the particular admiration that the classical Eurasianists enjoy among sections of the Russian state apparatus. Victor Yasmann quotes an unnamed Soviet author who wrote in September 1991 that "for long time the slogan 'Evraziistvo' was subtly cultivated by the General Staff, the *KGB* and the Party apparatus." Yasmann adds that "[i]n a classic sense of clientelism, key members of the *KGB* who monitored the émigré community and also handled ethnic issues found themselves persuaded by the ideology they were supposedly combat-

⁶⁷⁵ Laryuel', *Ideologiya russkogo evraziistva*, 32, 110.

⁶⁷⁶ Lyuks, "Evraziistvo i konservativnaya revolyutsiya," 59.

⁶⁷⁷ Böss, *Die Lehre der Eurasier*, 7-8; Vinkovetsky, "Eurasianism in Its Time: A Bibliography," in: Vinkovetsky and Schlacks, Jr., eds., *Exodus to the East*, 143-174, here 150.

⁶⁷⁸ Laryuel', *Ideologiya russkogo evraziistva*, 128-129.

ing.”⁶⁷⁹

Last but not least, an unqualified scholarly classification of Dugin’s view as a form of Eurasianism would constitute a contribution to the terminological confusion and conceptual stretching which is so characteristic of contemporary Russian political, publicistic and scientific discourse, and which seems to be deliberately fostered by various political entrepreneurs and technologists for whom a devaluation of the value of serious political discourse is beneficial. Dugin’s tactics are nothing peculiar in post-Soviet Russia. Ilya Vinkovetsky wrote already in 1996 that

ideologues and popularizers of all stripes have twisted Eurasian ideas to fit their own particular aspirations. Their creations, which differ greatly from the original ideas of Eurasianism, cannot be termed “Eurasian,” and it is even debatable if they should properly called “neo-Eurasian.”⁶⁸⁰

In quotation marks or/and with the prefix “so-called,” the construct “neo-Eurasianism” can and, perhaps, even should be used in outlines of Dugin’s political tactics similar to the one tried here. But it should be applied with caution and caveats in ideological analyses aiming to informatively conceptualize his political ideology and intellectual position in comparative and historical terms.

III.5.6 Excursus II: Conceptualizing “Duginism”

Yet, what *is* then the core myth of Dugin’s thought? Which are the most relevant sources of Dugin’s thought? And which term would be most suitable to describe the crux of his ideology and strategy?⁶⁸¹ Though we have a number of more or less well-informed Russian and Western-language interpretations of Dugin’s numerous writings, these questions are—in view of Dugin’s considerable erudition, the multifariousness of his statements and the fluidness of his views—difficult to answer. As one

⁶⁷⁹ Yasmann, „Red Religion,” 24. If that were true, it would create additional problems of interpretation concerning Dugin’s early seminal article “The War of the Continents” where he claimed that the *KGB* was and is an agent of “Atlanticism” and not “Eurasianism” (in the peculiar Duginian sense) in Russia. See Dugin, *Konspirologiya*, part II.

⁶⁸⁰ Vinkovetsky, “Eurasianism in Its Time: A Bibliography,” in: Vinkovetsky and Schlacks, eds., *Exodus to the East*, 143-174, here 153.

⁶⁸¹ The reason for asking this particular question and for the entire discussion set out here is well expressed in Sartori’s memorable phrase: “As we are [...] prisoners of the words we pick, we had better pick them well.” See Giovanni Sartori, “Guidelines for Concept Analysis,” in: *idem*, ed., *Social Science Concepts: A Systematic Analysis* (Beverly Hills: Sage, 1984), 15-48, here 60.

Russian observer has commented, Dugin's doctrine has been "compiled from ideas of thinkers of various times and peoples."⁶⁸²

"Revolutionary Expansionism," "Geopolitical Anti-Semitism," "National Bolshevism," "Geopolitics," "Traditionalism"

The following excursus departs from the study's primary focus on identifying Russian uncivil society as an understudied sub-sector of the post-Soviet extreme right. It instead surveys several scholarly conceptualizations of Dugin's ideology that provide alternatives to the partly misleading classification of his views as simply neo-Eurasianist. In that regard, the excursus follows a secondary aim of this study to show that "neo-Eurasianism" serves as a cover-up for an ideology much less moderate than Dugin's self-image of the last years would suggest. Those scholars who have interpreted Dugin's ideology from a comparative perspective have formulated concepts that go beyond of what "neo-Eurasianism" might imply. While these terms are better suited to explicate the core ideas and aggressiveness of Dugin's world view, some seem still insufficient to capture the essence of his beliefs.

For instance, Tsygankov's and Rossman's above-mentioned constructs "revolutionary expansionism" and "geopolitical anti-Semitism" are both apt and well-chosen. However, while they can and, perhaps, should be employed to describe Dugin's views, they (were meant to) only highlight selected aspects of his ideology. "Geopolitical anti-Semitism" would in so far be insufficient for characterizing Dugin's views as it is the United States, rather than the Jews, that appear as the most relevant enemies of Russia, in Dugin's writings. Also, at times, Dugin has retracted from the rabid anti-Semitism he had presented, for instance, in the first edition of his above-mentioned major work *Osnovy geopolitiki*, and detected, in the Jewish national and revolutionary movements, sections that he views as belonging to, or compatible with, "neo-Eurasianism." Notably, his movement "Eurasia" included some marginal Jewish representatives from not only Russia, but also Israel.

Concerning the, also in general adequate, construct "revolutionary expansionism" proposed by Tsygankov, somewhat different qualifications have to be made. Though Dugin's ideology is—like, for instance, Zhirinovskii's blueprint of a "last dash to the south"—both "revolutionary" and "expansionist," it is—unlike Zhirinovskii's plan—

⁶⁸² Kaledin, "Terapiya okazalas' bessil'noi pered maniei Dugina-mladshego pereustroit' mir."

more than that. In 1993, Zhirinovskii envisaged, in his party's newspaper *Liberal* and the first edition of his main book *Poslednii brosok na Yug*, Russia's rebirth mainly through her military territorial expansion towards the South, and an annexation of Turkey, Afghanistan and Iran (as well as, by implication, other countries, such as Pakistan).⁶⁸³ To be sure, territorial expansion plays an important part in Dugin's vision of Russia's future too. But it is merely one part of Dugin's envisaged fundamental remake of both, world politics and Russian society. Zhirinovskii seemed, in his 1993 blueprint, prepared to merely reform Russia's political system (without remaking Russian society entirely), and leave current international relations to a considerable degree intact. Zhirinovskii was "only" proposing to divide the less developed parts of the world into new influence zones. Moreover, he was, as he mentioned in a conversation with me in August 1993, counting on the West's cooperation in this new division of the world, leaving, for instance, Africa to Western Europe and Latin America to the US.⁶⁸⁴

In contrast, Dugin's vision goes both, domestically and internationally, beyond Zhirinovskii's 1993 plans. "Neo-Eurasianism" is even more utopian than Zhirinovskii's doctrine as it implies a thorough de-liberalization and de-Westernization of Russian society and fundamental reconstitution or abolishment of major international organizations including NATO, the EU, G8, OSCE, Council of Europe and so forth. Not only would Russia leave, or behave differently towards, these organizations—as implied in Zhirinovskii's blueprint. As Dugin sees continental Europe, Japan, the Muslim world and other countries as potential allies in a world-wide anti-American alliance, world politics, as we have come to understand, would cease to exist, and be replaced by a new Cold War—if not eventually by World War III. While the latter outcome could also happen in the case of an implementation of Zhirinovskii's 1993 plan, Dugin's view of the world's future, in some of its permutations, amounts to an open advocating of confrontation with the United States. Thus, "revolutionary expansionism" might be a term that is not only insufficient as it refers to just the foreign policy part of a larger agenda. It might, paradoxically, be "too soft" for an adequate characterization of Dugin's foreign policy agenda.

The above mentioned term "neo-National Bolshevism" introduced by Markus Mathyl

⁶⁸³ Umland, "Vladimir Zhirinovskii in Russian Politics," *idem*, "Tri raznovidnosti postsovetskogo fashizma," here 241-252.

⁶⁸⁴ Andreas Umland, "The Zhirinovskii Interview," *Woodstock Road Editorial: An Oxford Magazine of International Affairs*, no. 16 (1994): 3-5.

and taken up by Mischa Gabowitsch seems, in a number of ways, more suitable than "revolutionary expansionism" and "geopolitical anti-Semitism."⁶⁸⁵ It indicates the radicalness of Dugin's views and expresses well the synthesis of various ideas that "neo-Eurasianism" in fact represents. It can be also seen as an adequate reflection of how Dugin himself continues to see his world-view. For instance, in March 2005, he addressed a conference entitled "National Bolshevism: Lessons for the 21st Century"—in spite of his recent tactics to replace "National Bolshevism" with "neo-Eurasianism" as the major label describing his ideology. At this conference, "he repeated his previous position that today National Bolshevism (or Eurasianism) entails supporting Putin in spite of [the latter's] liberalism and other shortcomings."⁶⁸⁶ In statements such as these, Dugin tries to reconcile his surprising turn to a "radically centrist," often enthusiastic support for Putin's regime, and his earlier role as a co-founder of the anti-systemic National-Bolshevik Party.

"National Bolshevism" could thus be seen as not only being able to comprise "revolutionary expansionism" and "geopolitical anti-Semitism," but even as representing an adequate terminological solution for a conceptualization of Dugin's ideology. Alas, the concept "National Bolshevism" itself appears as too fluid and to have been stretched too many times in order to serve as a useful taxonomic tool, in general.⁶⁸⁷ The construct has been used for such different phenomena as pro-Soviet Russian émigré nationalism, Stalinism, a particular variety of leftish German inter-war ultra-nationalism, certain varieties of late Soviet Russian ultra-nationalism, and Zyuganov's synthesis of the Russian Idea and socialism. Further applications of the term could be listed.⁶⁸⁸

Some of the confusion about this term may stem from the fact that "Bolshevism" itself has been used with different implications: Sometimes it is synonym for Russian communism, sometimes for its particular Leninist interpretation only (excluding, for instance, Trotskyism). In some cases, it refers to radical egalitarianism; in others, on

⁶⁸⁵ Mathyl, "Der 'unaufhaltsame Aufstieg' des Aleksandr Dugin," *Osteuropa* 52, no. 7 (2002): 885-900; Gabowitsch, "Der russische 'Nationalpatriotismus' der Gegenwart und sein Verhältnis zum Kommunismus."

⁶⁸⁶ Mitrofanova, *The Politicization of Russian Orthodoxy*, 193.

⁶⁸⁷ On conceptual stretching, see Giovanni Sartori, "Concept Misformation in Comparative Politics," *American Political Science Review* 64, no. 4 (1970): 1033-1053.

⁶⁸⁸ Erik van Ree, "The Concept of 'National Bolshevism': An Interpretative Essay," *Journal of Political Ideologies* 6, no. 3 (2001): 289-307; Andreas Umland, "National Bolshevism," in: Cyprian Blamires, ed., *World Fascism: A Historical Encyclopedia* (Santa Barbara, CA: ABC-CLIO, 2006, in print).

the contrary, it implies strict elitism.⁶⁸⁹ It is thus not even entirely clear what exactly would become nationalized in “National Bolshevism.” Would “National Bolshevism” be a right- or left-wing ideology, or neither, or both? While “neo-National Bolshevism” is, in terms of its extension, not as limited as “revolutionary expansionism” and “geopolitical anti-Semitism,” its intension seems too nebulous in order to serve as a useful concept in social analysis.⁶⁹⁰

A different approach might be read into Mark Sedgwick’s informative work that deals extensively with the Dugin phenomenon in the context of a history of the international pan-religious movement of Traditionalism that has already been mentioned above in connection with the importance of Guénon for Dugin’s intellectual biography.⁶⁹¹ An important advantage of this approach is that it emphasizes the non-Russian origins of Dugin’s world view. On the other hand, it seems an open question whether Dugin is justified in claiming—as he has done many times (especially, for the benefit of his club of trusted supporters)—that he is indeed a Traditionalist. It would seem to depend on one’s opinion on what terrain of ideas Traditionalism as a concept referring to Guénon’s perennial philosophy is supposed to cover. Above all, it would depend on one’s assessment of which practical political implications Traditionalism may be allowed to have or not have. Should the term be applied at all to those actors whose ambitions are manifestly political?⁶⁹²

To be sure, Traditionalism did, as appears from many sources, play an important role in the formation of Dugin’s world view. Above all, Traditionalism’s manifest rejection of the contemporary world and Cartesian approach to empirical reality have been reproduced in Dugin’s writings many times. However, apart from elements such as these, it remains unclear what the substance of Dugin’s ideology really owes to Traditionalism. For instance, it seems doubtful that Dugin’s identification of the Tradition with the original culture of “Eurasian civilization” is still within the realm of perennial philosophy. Rather, it appears that, for Dugin, the importance of Traditionalism is similar to the role it played for the intellectual development of his long-term associate

⁶⁸⁹ Andreas Umland, “Bolshevism,” in: Blamires, ed., *World Fascism*.

⁶⁹⁰ Sartori, “Guidelines for Concept Analysis.”

⁶⁹¹ Sedgwick, *Against the Modern World*.

⁶⁹² In a way, the issue resembles a problem inherent in all assessments of religious fanaticism: Should a monk, on the one side, and a religiously inspired terrorist, on the other, be both covered under the same heading just because they both are radical believers in the same faith? How important is the *religion* in religious fundamentalism? For this particular case: What relevance did Traditionalism really have for the formation Dugin’s world view? For a further discussion of this issue, see Mitrofanova, *The Politicization of Russian Orthodoxy*, 21-30.

Geidar Dzhemal for whom, in Sedgwick's words, "Traditionalism became a stepping-stone rather than a destination."⁶⁹³ Last but not least, it is debatable whether it was really Guénon, i.e. the actual founder of Traditionalism, who constitutes the most important reference point for the Russian Traditionalists. As again Sedgwick noted, "Russian Traditionalists, though taking their lead from Guénon's explanation of modernity, generally reacted to (after 1991, at least) more on the model of [Julius] Evola."⁶⁹⁴

A further attribution found in most writings on Dugin is that he is a contemporary proponent of geopolitics in the tradition of Sir Halford Mackinder and Karl Haushofer. This is in many regards a correct classification. It is also an element in Dugin's thought that sets him apart from another self-proclaimed "Eurasianist," the above-mentioned late Lev Gumilëv who "never addressed the problem of geopolitics explicitly, and did not employ conventional geopolitical distinctions, like that between Atlanticism and Eurasianism that figures so prominently in the works of Aleksandr Dugin."⁶⁹⁵ But even with regard to Dugin's relationship to geopolitics, one would have to make qualifications. As Stephen Shenfield noted

[w]hen [...] we look more deeply into Dugin's geopolitical ideas, we discover an essential difference marking Dugin off from the mainstream of the Mackinder school. For the other geopoliticians, the confrontation between sea power and land power is a historical generalization open to sociological explanation and empirical criticism. Dugin traces the roots of confrontation to other realms lying well beyond the reach of empirical investigation—to a conspiratorial contest between ancient secret orders of Eurasianists and Atlanticists, and ultimately to a clash of forces emanating from two of the four elements of alchemy—namely, water and fire. In Dugin's world view, even geopolitics is built on mystical foundations.⁶⁹⁶

Reminding the function of other terms that Dugin uses for self-description—

⁶⁹³ Sedgwick, *Against the Modern World*, 260.

⁶⁹⁴ Sedgwick, *Against the Modern World*, 222. Giovanni Montini, the future Pope Paul VI, wrote in 1928 about the twenty-six-year-old Evola that the latter was "surrendering the search for truth in favor of intellectual excitation, that is to say those strange forms of cerebralism and neurasthenia, of intensive cultivation of incomprehensibility, of pseudo-mystic preciousness, of cabalistic fascination magically evaporated by the refined drugs of Oriental erudition." As quoted in Laqueur, *Fascism*, 97.

⁶⁹⁵ Rossman, *Russian Intellectual Antisemitism*, 94.

⁶⁹⁶ Shenfield, *Russian Fascism*, 196.

Eurasianism, National Bolshevism, Traditionalism, Conservative Revolution—the elusiveness of “geopolitics” serves him well to interpret the concept in his own way.⁶⁹⁷

*The Connection to the European “New Right”*⁶⁹⁸

In conclusion it seems that—as, for instance, Crèmet in 1998 and Laruelle recently suggested—the best general category to put the Dugin phenomenon under is the above-introduced neo-Gramscian European “New Right” (henceforth ENR).⁶⁹⁹ While this construct itself is, like “National Bolshevism” or “Conservative Revolution,” of questionable conceptual value,⁷⁰⁰ the phenomena that have been described as precursor or varieties of the ENR might provide the best points of departure for a comparative interpretation of both the strategy and the ideology of Dugin. This concerns the substance and development of the Dugin phenomenon as well as some direct links between the ENR and Dugin’s movement.

It was no other than Dugin’s earliest guru Julius Evola who formulated already in 1950 what would become the central motto of both, the ENR and the Dugin movement decades later: “The essential task is to prepare silently the spiritual ambience in which a new form of authority might take shape.”⁷⁰¹ Not only is this, as should have become obvious in the above descriptions of the ENR and Dugin’s rise, a fundamental similarity between the strategy of the West European and Russian “New Right.”⁷⁰²

⁶⁹⁷ Gerhard Sander, “Deterministische Wurzeln und funktionaler Einsatz des ‘Geo’ in Geopolitik,” *WeltTrends*, no. 4 (1994): 8-20.

⁶⁹⁸ On de Benoist, see for instance, Roger Griffin, “Plus ça change! The Fascist Mindset behind the Nouvelle Droite’s Struggle for Cultural Renewal,” in: Edward Arnold, ed., *The Development of the Radical Right in France 1890-1995* (London: Routledge, 2000), 217-252; *idem*, “Between Metapolitics and *Apoliteia*: The New Right’s Strategy for Conserving the Fascist Vision in the ‘Interregnum’,” *Modern and Contemporary France* 8, no. 2 (2000): 35-53; Tamir Bar-On, “The Ambiguities of the Nouvelle Droite, 1968-1999,” *The European Legacy* 6, no. 3 (2000): 333-351; Alberto Spektorowski, “The New Right: Ethno-regionalism, Ethno-pluralism and the Emergence of a Neo-fascist ‘Third Way’,” *Journal of Political Ideologies* 8, no. 1 (2003): 111-130.

⁶⁹⁹ Jean Crèmet, “Crossover in Moskau: Russlands ‘Neue Rechte’ befindet sich auf dem Vormarsch,” *Jungle World*, 9th September 1998, URL (last accessed October 2006): http://www.nadir.org/nadir/periodika/jungle_world/_98/37/15a.htm; Marlène Laruelle, “Aleksandr Dugin: A Russian Version of the European Radical Right?” *Kennan Institute Occasional Papers*, no. 294 (2006), URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.wilsoncenter.org/news/docs/OP294.pdf>.

⁷⁰⁰ Umland, “‘Konservativnaya revolyutsiya.’”

⁷⁰¹ As quoted in Richard Drake, *The Revolutionary Mystique and Terrorism in Contemporary Italy* (Bloomington, IN: Indiana University Press, 1998), 229.

⁷⁰² In the words of Stephen Shenfield, “[m]ost of Dugin’s writings serve the purpose of creating an intellectual climate that will make [the, what he calls, Last] revolution possible.” Shenfield, *Russian Fascism*, 195.

The contents and structure of their thinking are also similar in a number of ways. Walter Laqueur, in the mid-1990s, still wrote that "[t]he Nietzschean, elitist doctrines of Evola and the French New Right have been rejected by most Russian right-wing extremists as unsuitable and even counterproductive on Russian soil."⁷⁰³ This seems to be not any longer the case. One could argue that, in the meantime, actually the reverse has happened: On the one side, the leaders of the French New Right have been moving away from a purely Evolian world view and incorporated many seemingly left-wing elements.

For one of the leading writers and publishers of the European New Right, Alain de Benoist, Evola and Gu  non were of interest—especially historical interest—but no longer of great importance. De Benoist had read most of their works, and had even written on them, but his own ideas explored in the various journals and magazines he controlled were often constructed on bases incompatible with any variety of Traditionalism.⁷⁰⁴

In Russia, on the other side, Dugin's growing influence has meant that Evola's name and basic ideas are now well-known among many Russian right-wing intellectuals. Dugin is now recognized as a theoretician of anti-Americanism even in *West European* New Right circles. It is thus doubtful that the following 1996 statement of Walter Laqueur still fully applies today:

Patriots in Moscow claim, not without justice, that the Russians have a tradition of ultranationalism and socialism and that the new-fangled doctrines of Western metaphysicians have no relevance to their country. [T]he Russian cult of anti-Satanism, the adulation of the tsar and (state) church, and the all pervasive Konspiratologia [in turn] are out of place in Western Europe.⁷⁰⁵

In any way, the interconnections between the West European and Russian New Right are multifarious as indicated in the above summary on the ENR and description of Dugin's ideology and strategy. It is interesting that the ties between the Western and Russian counter-cultural scene go back to Soviet times. When, in the 1980s, later *NBP*-co-founder and Dugin-associate Eduard Limonov moved to Paris, he met Alain de Benoist and worked for a while as editor for de Benoist's *Idiot International*,

⁷⁰³ Laqueur, *Fascism*, 143.

⁷⁰⁴ Sedgwick, *Against the Modern World*, 187. See also Alain de Benoist, "Tradition?" URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://es.geocities.com/sucellus23/telos17.htm>.

⁷⁰⁵ Laqueur, *Fascism*, 144.

a journal of left and right extremism once founded by Jean-Paul Sartre.⁷⁰⁶ It does not surprise thus that, according to Walter Laqueur, “[t]he French and Belgian New Right supplied literature and apparently also some money to Russian neofascist groups.”⁷⁰⁷

It is also noteworthy that the francophone *Nouvelle Droite* had been exerting influence on the Russian elite’s thinking already before it became popular within the Russian extreme right as a result of Dugin’s purposeful adoption of the ENR’s strategies and ideas since his visit to Western Europe in 1989 and meetings with ENR representatives in the early 1990s. For example, Mitrofanova reported in 2005 that

many politicized [Christian] Orthodox intellectuals are enthusiastic about the ideas of the French New Right theorist Alain de Benoist and tend to present him as their own “discovery.” However, the author of this book [i.e. Mitrofanova], as a 1994 graduate of the Department of Philosophy of [...] Moscow State University, may confirm that de Benoist’s theories were being analyzed by professional philosophers as early as in the end of the 1980s and presented to students during various courses in contemporary French thought.⁷⁰⁸

While Dugin’s adaptation of West European “New Right” for Russian conditions had thus a certain pre-history and can be seen as critical for an understanding of the substance and functions of his ideas, in this case too, the connection between Duginism and the “New Right” is not a straightforward one. That is because

Dugin’s enthusiasm for this connection was not shared by de Benoist himself [...]; he originally advised Dugin against the use of the name *Elementy* [Dugin’s major journal in the 1990s titled after the ENR’s journals in Western Europe], and as soon as [de Benoist] became aware that he was on the editorial committee [of Dugin’s new journal], he wrote requesting that he be removed from it. De Benoist later explained that although he liked Dugin personally and was sympathetic towards his views, he felt that he and Dugin were following very different intellectual lines in very different circumstances and he did not want any responsibility for a publication in a language he could not read.⁷⁰⁹

⁷⁰⁶ Shenfield, *Russian Fascism*, 203.

⁷⁰⁷ Laqueur, *Fascism*, 142.

⁷⁰⁸ Mitrofanova, *The Politicization of Russian Orthodoxy*, 146.

⁷⁰⁹ Sedgwick, *Against the Modern World*, 333.

In conclusion, it seems only safe to locate Dugin's ideology under the generic concept of fascism and to call him a neo-fascist. This classificatory solution has been repeatedly argued by students of "neo-Eurasianism" including Alan Ingram, Stephen Shenfield, Markus Mathyl as well as myself, and validated by Dugin himself—which is why it has been not further discussed here.⁷¹⁰ A neat terminological solution for the issue of how to label the peculiar variety of neo-fascism that Dugin presents can, however, not be presented here. For doing this, further in-depth research into the political writings and behaviour of Dugin and their analysis from a comparative perspective would be necessary. To come to a final conclusion for how to classify him, one may have to wait until Dugin ceases to publish and be active politically. It cannot be excluded that Dugin may introduce—apart from "Traditionalism," "Conservative Revolution," "National Bolshevism," "Neo-Eurasianism," etc.—further concepts and terms expressing his views.

In any way, for the purposes of the present study, deeper elaboration of this issue is not necessary. What this section merely argued is that Dugin's various self-descriptions should neither be ignored entirely nor be always taken at face value. While certain statements on the nature of his ideology are valuable clarifications of "where he comes from," other terms and concepts that Dugin has introduced in presenting his views have an apologetic and manipulative character. In German, one would call some of Dugin's terminology an *Etikettenschwindel*—a "labelling fraud." Rather than adequately reflecting the origins and nature of his views, they fulfil important roles within Dugin's Gramscian strategy of reforming civil society discourse, and gaining, via "political mimicry," influence on mainstream elites in Russia's governments, parliaments, mass media, universities, etc. They are designed to reassure the Russian elites of the benevolence, rootedness and conservatism of his ideas. At the same time, concepts such as "neo-Eurasianism" justify a continued expression of the extremely anti-Western core of Dugin's ideology that had been less cryptically expressed during the early and mid-1990s. His various neologisms are important for the current analysis in that they are tools that enemies of democracy in Russia and elsewhere have been using to blur the distinction between "civil" and "uncivil society."

⁷¹⁰ Ingram, "Alexander Dugin;" Shenfield, *Russian Fascism*; Mathyl, "The National-Bolshevik Party and Arctogaia;" *idem*, "Die offenkundige Nisse und der rassenmäßige Feind;" Umland, "Tri raznovdinosti postsovetskogo fashizma," 252-262; Dugin, *Konservativnaya revolyutsiya*, 9-36; *idem*, "Fascism—Borderless and Red."

III.5.7 Dugin's Relevance in Context

It would be too early to speak of a deep contamination of Russian civil society resembling that of the German voluntary sector during the Weimar Republic, and a principal subversion of intellectual discourse by anti-democratic ideas similar to the one in many European countries in inter-war Europe.⁷¹¹ Mitrofanova even stated as late as 2005 that, "at the moment, *nothing* indicates that [...] a transition [to an intellectual domination by Dugin and similar people] will transpire in the foreseeable future."⁷¹² This might be overly deterministic and would appear to be, partly, in contradiction to Mitrofanova's own findings. However, it seems also doubtful that, as Charles Clover claimed in a 1999 *Foreign Affairs* article, the certainly existent "correlation between Dugin's ideas and those of the Russian establishment" was, already in the late 1990s, much more than mere co-variation and an expression of the general shift to the right in Russian foreign policies.⁷¹³ This process had started as early as 1993, i.e. even before Zhirinovskii's rise.⁷¹⁴ Although Dugin presumably had, in the late 1990s, some impact on the thinking of Russia's elites, the sources of some

⁷¹¹ Günter Rohrmoser, "Menetekel Weimar über Jelzin? Das russische Volk kämpft geistig um das eigene Überleben," *Die Welt*, 30th October 1993; Valentin Zapovetov, "Weimarer Verhältnisse?" *Der Tagesspiegel*, 19th December 1993; Grigorij Jawlinskij, "Gedemütigt, wie Deutschland nach dem Versailler Frieden," *Frankfurter Allgemeine Zeitung*, 9th December 1994; George Breslauer, Gerald Feldman, Harold James and Andrei Melville, "Weimar and Russia: Is There an Analogy?" at: URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://globetrotter.berkeley.edu/pubs/breslauer.html>, URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://globetrotter.berkeley.edu/pubs/feldman.html>, URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://globetrotter.berkeley.edu/pubs/james.html>, URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://globetrotter.berkeley.edu/pubs/melville.html>; Galina Starovoitova, "Modern Russia and the Ghost of Weimar Germany," in: Heyward Isham, ed., *Remaking Russia* (Armonk, NY: M.E. Sharpe, 1995), 129-145; Yanov, *Weimar Russia*; Hanson and Kopstein, "The Weimar/Russia Comparison;" Rogers Brubaker, "Homeland nationalism in Weimar Germany and 'Weimar Russia,'" in his *Nationalism Reframed: Nationhood and National Question in the New Europe* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1996), 107-147; Timm Beichelt, "Konsolidierungschancen des russischen Regierungssystems," *Osteuropa* 46, no. 6 (1996): 597-609; Gleb Misukhin, "Rossiya v veimarskom zerkale, ili Soblazn' legkogo uznvaniya," *Pro et Contra* 3, no. 3 (1998): 111-123; Christian Caryl, "Is this Weimar Russia? Eerie Parallels to Germany during Hitler's Rise to Power," *US News and World Report*, 16th November 1998; Shenfield, *Russian Fascism*; Niall Ferguson, "Look back at Weimar and start to worry about Russia," *The Daily Telegraph*, 1st January 2004. See also the theses: Toby Mathew Edwards, "Prelude to Fascism: A Comparative Examination of the Economic, Political, and Social Issues of Pre-Fascist Italy and Post-Soviet Russia," California State University at Fresno, 1995; Gary P. Russell, "Exploring the 'Weimar Russia' Analogy," Monterey Institute, 1999; Tim Peters, "Der Reichspräsident in der Weimarer Republik und der Präsident der Russischen Föderation im Vergleich," unpublished MA thesis, University of Bonn, 2002.

⁷¹² Mitrofanova, *The Politicization of Russian Orthodoxy*, 193, emphasis added.

⁷¹³ Clover, "Dreams of the Eurasian Heartland."

⁷¹⁴ Suzanne Crow, "Why has Russian Foreign Policy Changed?" *Radio Free Europe/ Radio Liberty Research Report* 3, no. 18 (1994): 1-6.

Russian actions, mentioned by Clover, as the discussion of the possibility of a return of the Kuril Islands to Japan (once proposed by Dugin in order to recruit Japan into the Eurasian anti-American alliance), or a rapprochement with Iran and Iraq, probably, laid elsewhere.

What seems at hand in Clover's article of 1999 and in other early warnings concerning Dugin may be an example of the well-known "omitted variable bias" described in the social science methodology literature. In view of the general shift of Russian foreign policies towards a more anti-Western line and the concurrent rise of Dugin already under El'tsin, one could, indeed, get the impression that Dugin started to influence Russian high politics as early as in the late 1990s. Yet, it is more likely that *both* of these changes were due to various *third* factors—above all the effects on Russian public opinion of NATO's eastward expansion and military activities in the Balkans.⁷¹⁵ These and other developments (omitted variables) contributed to a rise of anti-Westernism in Russian society at large, if not a re-configuration of the Russian political discourse and spectrum—largely, independently of Dugin's activities, at that time.⁷¹⁶ While Dugin's ideas, on the one side, and official Russian policies, on the other, thus started to display some congruence, the relationship between them was, at least in the late 1990s, still a spurious one.⁷¹⁷ There is, as Walter Laqueur has noted, the danger of crying "wolf" too often.⁷¹⁸

With hindsight, the main thrust of Clover's warning of 1999 has, in view of Dugin's continuing rise in the new century, proved to be, of course, apt. More recently, the importance of ideas posing under the label "Eurasianism", in general, in post-Soviet discourse has certainly risen.⁷¹⁹ While it would still be an exaggeration to claim, as a

⁷¹⁵ J.L. Black, *Russia Faces NATO Expansion. Bearing Gifts or Bearing Arms?* (Lanham, Boulder: Rowman & Littlefield Publishers, 2000).

⁷¹⁶ Andreas Umland, „Die Rezeption der Entscheidung zur NATO-Osterweiterung in Rußland,“ *Neue Politische Literatur* 48, no. 3 (2003): 467-473.

⁷¹⁷ Gerring, *Social Science Methodology*, 199.

⁷¹⁸ Laqueur, *Fascism*, 121.

⁷¹⁹ Although, Markus Kaiser might, in his article on Eurasianism in the post-Soviet sphere, have been overstating his case with regard to the propagation of quasi-Eurasianist ideas by Nursultan Nazarbaev (greatly admired by Dugin). Kaiser also claimed that, by 2004, "[t]he Russian President Vladimir Putin [had] acknowledged the idea [of a Eurasian Union], but not done a single step towards its political implementation." Markus Kaiser, "Einführung: Die russischen Debatte und ihre Re-Orientierung zwischen Asien und Europa," in: *Idem* (ed.), *Auf der Suche nach Eurasien*, 111-124, here 116. This may, in turn, have been an underestimation of the repercussions of the rise of Eurasianism—however understood—on politics. In addition, Kaiser does not sufficiently highlight, in his altogether informative paper, extreme anti-Americanism as a major ideological tenet of "neo-Eurasianism." Instead, he mentions Eurocentrism as "neo-Eurasianism's" opposing

German proponent of geopolitics did in 2004, that “Dugin’s book *Foundations of Geopolitics* is today the most successful political science work in Russian language,”⁷²⁰ Dugin’s role in Russia has indeed grown. At a roundtable on radio *Ekho Moskvyy* in August 2006, Emil Pain compared it to the position of Samuel Huntington in the US.⁷²¹ The outspoken political newspaper commentator Andrei Piontkovskii observed in October 2006: “The Nazi scoundrel [*gadina*] Dugin—openly in love with the aesthetics and practice of the SS men (read his [major above-mentioned 1990s journal] *Elementy*)—does not disappear from the state television channels having become one of the leading official ideologues of the regime.”⁷²² Therefore, the indirect, long-term repercussions of the manifold activities of intellectuals like Dugin in Russia could, at one point or another, make a difference in Russian domestic and foreign policies. The question would, however, still be—how much of a difference?⁷²³

In any way, it is, in view of the above, doubtful that some of the more optimistic recent accounts on Russian uncivil society—i.e. prognoses predicting its stagnation or descent—are adequate. For instance, Likhachev made, with regard to the extremely right-wing electronic and print organs, the following statement in 2002:

The [ultra-nationalist] opposition has lost the fight for print mass media (not to mention electronic ones). Of course, such newspapers as [the daily] *Sovetskaya Rossiya* [Soviet Russia] and [the weekly] *Zavtra* have a circulation [300,000 & 100,000 respectively—A.U.] that is only little smaller than that of the main all-Russian publications of a centrist and liberal orientation. However, with regard to a

principle. *Ibid.*, 117. While such a juxtaposition is appropriate for the classical Eurasianists of the inter-war period, it is today valid only partly. Rather, it is, as extensively argued above, in its opposition to the US and its representatives in Europe—like Great Britain—that “neo-Eurasianism” as an ideology proposing a unification of Russia, continental Europe and parts of Asia in order to fight “mondialist Atlanticism” makes sense (within its own conceptual framework, of course).

⁷²⁰ Hans-Ulrich Seidt, “Eurasische Träume? Afghanistan und die Kontinuitätsfrage deutscher Geopolitik,” *Orient* 45, no. 3 (2004): 1-20, here 15, URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.pcg-projectconsult.de/geopolitische-analysen/literatur/orient.pdf>.

⁷²¹ “Ubiistvennaya ksenofobiya: organizovannaya i neorganizovannaya,” URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://echo.msk.ru/guests/791>.

⁷²² Andrei Piontkovskii, “Anna i Prezident,” URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://grani.ru/opinion/piontkovsky/m.112577.html>.

⁷²³ For example a recent statement by the Head of the Russian Ministry of Interior, Rashid Nurgaliev, sounds frighteningly similar to Dugin’s thinking. Nurgaliev opined that “[t]oday [the terror’s inspirers’] main goal is to step up anti-constitutional activity in our country, to change Russia’s position in the interests of Western countries.” As quoted in *Kyiv Post*, 17th February 2005, 6. The linking of Western interests and policies with terrorist acts by Muslims in Russia has been a frequent theme in “neo-Eurasian” publications.

whole range of indicators—volume, frequency of appearance [*periodichnost'*], informational value, flexibility [*operativnost'*], analytical value, [and] finally, layout—the gap between oppositional and “ordinary” newspapers is more than obvious. And the influence of mass media on the people’s consciousness and social attitudes is determined not only by circulation numbers, but also by the listed factors.⁷²⁴

Though this might be a valid statement with regard to the relative failure of certain older Russian ultra-nationalists and their newspaper, journals and WWW-sites, it seems less applicable to Dugin’s web-rings and books, in particular, and many similar publicists’ presence in mainstream electronic and print media, in general.⁷²⁵ Rather, there is a contrary trend of *proliferation* of such publications, and, in particular of books and book-series. To be sure, as a Russian journalist visiting Moscow’s XVII International Book Fair in September 2005, at which a scandal arose because of the many ultra-nationalist and antisemitic books present there, observed

[t]here is nothing new in the fact of the existence of such literature (let’s called it, for our purposes, “patriotic”). It always existed. What recently changed is purely on the outside: how these books look like, where they are sold, the status of the publishers, the circulation numbers and demand of the readers. [...] Gone are the days of low-paper quality [*bumaga, sil’no smakhivayushchaya na obertochnuyu*] and of printing that made your hands dirty. Before us are not brochures, but books. Solid, well-formatted with professional jackets. Colourful, attractive. [...] Gone are the days of trading with “patriotic” goods at “specially designed places.” Now you do not need to make special efforts to buy a piece of chauvinism. On the contrary: it is difficult to avoid. Because you can meet it now everywhere. In book supermarkets and in WWW shops, and even at an International Fair. [...] The average circulation number of literature under the slogan “One country, one nation, one *Führer*

⁷²⁴ Vyacheslav Likhachev, “Yazyk Vrazhdy v oppozitsionnykh politicheskikh izdaniyakh,” in: Aleksandr Verkhovskii, ed., *Yazyk moi...: Problema etnicheskoi i religioznoi neterpimosti v rossiiskikh SMI* (Moskva: Panorama, 2002), 82-99, here 85.

⁷²⁵ Likhachev’s statement (*ibid.*) would even with regard to *Zavtra*’s editor-in-chief Aleksandr Prokhanov be in so far misleading as Prokhanov, certainly, did not reach a mass audience through *Zavtra* itself; yet, he had, as mentioned above, considerable success with some other publications, such as his award-winning political novel *Gospodin Geksogen* that were and are read far beyond the lunatic fringe.

[sic]" is, approximately, 3,000 copies. For non-commercial literature, this number is very significant.⁷²⁶

In summer 2006, Moscow's XIX International Book Fair too was marked by a scandal by the heavy presence of the antisemitic publishing houses *Algoritm* and *Russkaya Pravda*.⁷²⁷

The perceptive Russian-Jewish émigré scholar and one of the founding fathers of post-Soviet Russian right-wing extremism studies Aleksandr Yanov observed, in a 2005 article called "The War of Ideas," that

there are now so many [ultra-nationalist publicists]—these home-made neo-conservatives, eloquent and passionate defenders of the "Russian civilization"—in Moscow, that one starts feeling uneasy. Even worse is, however, the growing popularity of their propaganda among a significant part of the political elite.

Yanov goes on to quote prominent ultra-nationalist publicist, Senior Fellow of Moscow's prestigious Institute of World Economy and International Relations (*IMEMO*) and *Rodina* faction State Duma deputy Nataliya Narochnitskaya. In an interview for the leading right-wing extremist weekly *Zavtra*, Narochnitskaya reported about the reception of her major 2002 pamphlet *Russia and the Russians in World History* in June 2003:

[M]y ideas, which in 1993-96 one could only publish in [the major russo-phile "thick journal"] *Nash sovremennik* [Our Contemporary] or voice in the [nationalist TV] program of Aleksandr Krutov, and which even for the sympathizing, but within-system public appeared then as epatage, are now on demand everywhere and in all offices, including the highest ones. Take the fate of my book *Rossiia i russkie v mirovoi istorii* [which is] an antiliberal and anti-Western bomb; yet it is read by everybody—not only the opposition, but [also by] businessmen, professors and high-ranking officials. There is a natural change of personnel on all levels of

⁷²⁶ Yan Shenkman, "Iz marginalov—v meinstrim: Ekstremistskuyu literaturu chitayt ne iz printsipov, a ot skuki," *Nezavisimaya gazeta—Ex Libris*, 22 September 2005, URL (last accessed October 2006): http://exlibris.ng.ru/subject/2005-09-22/1_mainstream.html.

⁷²⁷ Aleksandr Voronov, "Knizhnuyu yarmarku obvinili v antisemitizme," *Kommersant*, 9 September 2006, URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.kommersant.ru/doc.html?docId=703739>. There is now also a special WWW book shop called "Delokrat.Ru—The Right Ideas for Affordable Prices" where one can buy many "patriotic" books, videos and music. URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.delocrat.ru/>.

power, and in life, in general. [...] Such a recovery of social and national consciousness necessarily brings about positive fruits which is why our militant Westerners are panicking. It is impossible not to see this change. One has to carefully nurture it, it is unavoidable, and it already starts to have a life of itself.⁷²⁸

Thus, Dugin's impressive ascent—while having, so far, few immediate effects on Russian high politics—is merely one sign that “[t]he ‘patriots’ have left their ghetto and their books continuously appear as less and less marginal reading and enter the mainstream.”⁷²⁹ Though Dugin is by no means yet a widely known figure among ordinary Russians, his position in Russian society, already in 2001, was, according to Stephen Shenfield, “such that he [could, already then] not be dismissed out of hand as a figure of the ‘lunatic fringe.’”⁷³⁰ Dugin has become a major actor on

what Thomas Metzger calls “the ideological marketplace,” the flow of information and ideas, including those, which evaluate and critique the state. This includes not only independent mass media but the broader field of autonomous cultural and intellectual activity: universities, think tanks, publishing houses, theatres, filmmakers, and artistic performances and networks.⁷³¹

Dugin's rise is only one among many indications that political liberalism, philosophical rationalism, and ethical universalism are beaten in retreat in Russia's public and intellectual discourse today.⁷³²

The West European “New Right,” above all the French *Nouvelle Droite* and German *Neue Rechte*, have now, inspired by the famous Gramscian theory, for more than three decades been trying to erode the hegemony of the democratic ideal in main-

⁷²⁸ Aleksandr Prokhanov and Nataliya Narochnitskaya, “Rossiya—vsegda imperiia,” *Zavtra*, no. 26(501), 25th June 2003, URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://zavtra.ru/cgi/veil/data/zavtra/03/501/21.html>.

⁷²⁹ Shenkman, “Iz marginalov—v meinstrim.”

⁷³⁰ Shenfield, *Russian Fascism*, 199.

⁷³¹ Diamond, *Developing Democracy*, 222.

⁷³² Mitrofanova came, in here study of “political Orthodoxy,” of 2005 to the conclusion “that having had no significant successes in the sphere of macropolitics, the political Orthodox, namely, fundamentalists and nationalists, have managed within the last ten years to build numerous alternative social institutions and paramilitary organizations at the grassroots level. Leaning upon these institutions they have been able to influence larger and more moderate political organizations and to infiltrate them thus contributing to [a] general ‘Orthodoxization’ of the political discourse.” Mitrofanova, *The Politicization of Russian Orthodoxy*, 204.

stream Western political thinking—with only limited success.⁷³³ In contrast, Dugin as well as a whole number of similar publicists may, currently, be on their way to re-orient a substantial section of post-Soviet Russia's inexperienced social, cultural and political elites towards a new anti-Western utopia.⁷³⁴

⁷³³ On this phenomenon, see, in chronological order, Alex Demirovic, "Kulturelle Hegemonie von rechts: Antonio Gramsci—gesehen von der 'nouvelle droite'," *Die neue Gesellschaft: Frankfurter Hefte* 37, no. 4 (1990): 352-357; Armin Pfahl-Traughber, "Gramscismus von rechts"? Zur Gramsci-Rezeption der Neuen Rechten in Frankreich und Deutschland, *Blick nach rechts*, no. 21 (28 September 1992): 3-5; Richard Herzinger and H. Stein, *Endzeit-Propheten oder die Offensive der Antiwestler: Fundamentalismus, Antiamerikanismus und Neue Rechte* (Reinbeck bei Hamburg: Rowohlt, 1995); Michael Minkenberg, "The New Right in France and Germany: Nouvelle Droite, Neue Rechte and the New Right Radical Parties," in: Peter H. Merkl and Leonard Weinberg, eds., *The Revival of Right-wing Extremism in the Nineties* (London: Frank Cass, 1997), 65-90; Michael Minkenberg and Ina Stephan, "Intellectualisierung von rechts? Die französische Neue Rechte und ihr Einfluß auf die Politik," in: *Frankreich Jahrbuch 1998* (Opladen: Leske+Budrich, 1998), 151-167; Michael Minkenberg, "Context and Consequence: The Impact of the New Radical Right on the Political Process in France and Germany," *German Politics and Society* 16, no. 3 (1998): 1-23.

⁷³⁴ One is reminded of an observation by Herrmann Rauschning, once a supporter of the "Conservative Revolution," of inter-war Germany. Rauschning stated that German society in the Weimar Republic was to such a degree infiltrated with various myths and legends that the population was close to mass psychosis. Mentioned in Lyuks, "Evraziistvo i konservativnaya revolyutsiya," 61.

IV Conclusions: Anti-Democratic Politics and Uncivil Society in Post-Soviet Russia

Ultra-nationalist political blocs or politicians had so far only sporadic electoral appeal and organizational success in Russia. This fact can, in view of the weighty symbolic and ideological dilemmas the parties currently occupying this spectrum are facing, however, be neither taken as a proof for some fundamental lack of susceptibility of the majority of Russians to extremely right-wing ideologies, nor be interpreted as an indication of some principal incapability of Russia's ultra-nationalist forces to eventually convert putative, potential popular support into political power. One might even argue that such figures as Zhirinovskii and Barkashov had a beneficial effect on Russia's democratization: They quickly occupied the intra- and extra-parliamentary fascist niches in the new post-Soviet political spectrum in the early 1990s,⁷³⁵ and may thus have helped to prevent the rise of a leader with, in Russian nationalist terms, a more acceptable family background than Zhirinovskii's, and a party with less offensive political symbols than the *RNE*s.⁷³⁶ Not entirely unlike the German post-war extreme right, the Russian ultra-nationalists have, so far, been plagued by constant divisions.⁷³⁷ What Walter Laqueur wrote in the mid-1990s on Russian ultra-nationalism, seems still valid today: "The extreme right wing is [...] hopelessly divided [...], but altogether, it is not a negligible force."⁷³⁸

In the last Russian parliamentary elections of December 2003, the official election results for those three anti-Western ultra-nationalist groupings that, in the proportional part of the voting, passed the 5%-threshold were:

- 12.61% for the *KPRF*,
- 11.45% for the *LDPR*, and

⁷³⁵ Andreas Umland, "Zhirinovskii as a Fascist: Palingenetic Ultra-Nationalism in the Ideology of the Liberal-Democratic Party of Russia," Paper presented at the 97th Annual Meeting of the American Political Science Association, San Francisco, 30 August - 2 September 2001.

⁷³⁶ Walter Laqueur has remarked: "The Poles and other Slavic people have no reason to be grateful to the Nazis and their racist theories of eastern *Untermenschen*. As a result, there are few outright neo-Nazis in Russia and Eastern Europe, and most of the far Right's leaders recognize that in the post-Communist era, different approaches and new ideas must be used." Laqueur, *Fascism*, 122.

⁷³⁷ Laqueur, *Fascism*, 115.

⁷³⁸ Laqueur, *Fascism*, 184.

- 9.02% for *Rodina*.

This and the additional 11 seats won by the *KPRF* and 8 seats won by *Rodina* in single-member districts translated in December 2003 into 51 seats for the *KPRF*, 37 seats for the *LDPR* and 36 seats for *Rodina*, i.e. altogether 124 for these three parties out of 450.⁷³⁹

What is remarkable in these numbers is that the *LDPR*, after a continuing decline since its triumph in December 1993 (22.92%), had, in the previous 1999 State Duma elections, received merely 5.98%.⁷⁴⁰ In fact, the *LDPR*'s 11.45% in the proportional part of the voting in last State Duma elections was the second best result it ever received in federal-level elections.⁷⁴¹ The party's December 2003 success and Zhirinovskii's recent relatively good results in various opinion polls which put him among the three most popular politicians in Russia have come to the surprise of many observers, including myself, who, like Shenfield, found it, after the 1999 State Duma elections, "very hard to envisage a dramatic and sustained reversal in the [*LDPR*'s] fortunes."⁷⁴² Given the recent public opinion polling results, the *LDPR* is well set to stay in Russian high politics for the years to come.

Rodina, on its part, managed to pass from scratch and with a remarkable margin the 5%-barrier and collected, in contrast to the *LDPR*, a respectable number of further deputies (eight) in the single-member districts. This happened in spite of the fact that *Rodina* had been created only a few months before the elections. What is further noteworthy is that the spectrum of anti-Western parliamentary parties has with the impressive return of the *LDPR* and surprising performance of *Rodina* in its first electoral test become significantly more varied than in the previous Duma, and been filled with some colourful personalities. The latter concerns, for instance, several veteran ideologists and activists of Russian nationalism like Sergei Baburin, Nataliya Narochnitskaya, Valentin Varennikov, Aleksandr Krutov, Nikolai Pavlov, Andrei Savel'ev and Aleksandr Chuev—none of whom had been a member of the 3rd (post-

⁷³⁹ URL (last accessed October 2006): http://www.electionguide.org/resultsum/russia_par03.htm.

⁷⁴⁰ URL (last accessed October 2006): http://www.nupi.no/russland/elections/1993_state_duma_elections_Russia.htm; URL (last accessed October 2006): http://www.nupi.no/russland/elections/1999_SD_final.html.

⁷⁴¹ In May 1999, Zhirinovskii received 17.4% of the turnout and thus came in third in an election of the Governor of *Belgorodskaya oblast'*. See URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.cityline.ru/politika/vybory/rre99t.html>. In other regional elections, such as in Tuva and *Pskovskaya oblast'*, the *LDPR* or its representatives also did pretty well.

⁷⁴² Shenfield, *Russian Fascism*, 111.

Soviet) Russian State Duma 1999-2003. They have all entered the 4th Duma on the *Rodina* ticket.⁷⁴³

It may have been these quantitative and qualitative leaps that caused alarm among some Russian human rights activists.⁷⁴⁴ The Moscow Bureau for Human Rights counted, in January 2004, 126 members of—what they called—the “faction of nationalists” in the 4th State Duma. The human rights activists included in this count—paralleling the classification used in this book—all deputies from not only the *LDPR* and *Rodina*, but also from the *KPRF* faction (and, apparently, also some independent nationalist deputies). The human rights activists thus concluded, in their report, that almost 30% of the new State Duma members are “nationalists,” and that these men and women may “destabilize the socio-political situation” in Russia on the eve of the 2007 parliamentary and 2008 presidential elections.⁷⁴⁵

This might be a topical warning. However, if seen in the immediate historical context, the current degree of presence of radically anti-Western forces in the 4th post-Soviet State Duma is, actually, not alarming. That is because the comparably good results of Zhirinovskii's party, and Rogozin's and Glaz'ev's alliance in the December 2003 elections have to be weighed against the concurrent, relatively poor performance of the *KPRF* and its then allied Agrarian Party, as compared to its successes in the second half of the 1990s. This concerns especially the previous, December 1999 parliamentary elections in which the *KPRF* had triumphed within both, the proportional part of the voting in which it had overtaken the pro-Putin *Edinstvo* [Unity] bloc slightly (with 24.29%) and in the voting in single-member districts where it had trounced *Edinstvo* even more impressively. It is true that, if one compares only the sums of percentages in the proportional part of the voting for the *KPRF* and *LDPR* in 1999 (24.29% + 5.98% = 30.27%),⁷⁴⁶ on the one side, and for the *KPRF*, *LDPR* and

⁷⁴³ See Andreas Umland, “Der russische Rechtsextremismus nach den Wahlen 2003-2004: Bestandsaufnahme und Perspektiven,” *Russlandanalysen*, no. 23 (2004): 2-4, URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.russlandanalysen.de/content/media/Russlandanalysen23.pdf>. Another case would be the infamous Russian antisemite and former Governor of the Stavropol'skii krai Nikolai Kondratenko who entered the Duma on a *KPRF* ticket.

⁷⁴⁴ As reported in Aleksandr Kolesnichenko, “Skrytaya ugroza,” *Novye izvestiya*, 27th January 2004, URL (last accessed October 2006): http://www.newizv.ru/news/?id_news=4163&date=2004-01-27.

⁷⁴⁵ As quoted in Kolesnichenko, “Skrytaya ugroza.” One might add that the MBHR has a somewhat tainted reputation among Russia's anti-racist activists and scholars studying post-Soviet Russian nationalism. In some way, my following reply to the MBHR's alarm can be read in support of such critique of the work of the MBHR.

⁷⁴⁶ URL (last accessed October 2006): http://www.nupi.no/russland/elections/1999_SD_

Rodina in 2003 ($12.61\% + 11.45\% + 9.02\% = 33.08\%$), on the other, there was a 2.21% increase in the vote for those ultra-nationalist parties that managed to pass the 5%-threshold. Yet, even this circumstance appears as, eventually, not that impressive in as far as an entirely new participant, *Rodina*, had entered the race for relevant nationalist votes in December 2003.

What is more, while the results of the ultra-nationalist parties passing the 5%-threshold increased slightly and the *LDPR* and *Rodina* performed impressively, the overall number of deputies belonging to the camp of radically nationalist State Duma factions, in fact, decreased. That is because the *KPRF*'s support in the proportional part of the elections sank dramatically from 24.29% in 1999 to, as mentioned, to 12.61% in 2003, and the *KPRF* gained also far fewer seats in the voting in single-member districts. Above all, the overall composition of the Duma changed. Thus a comparison of the seats won by anti-Western electoral blocs that had passed the 5%-threshold in the proportional part of the elections to the 4th and 3rd post-Soviet State Dumas (Table 4) reveals a decrease of six from 1999 to 2003.⁷⁴⁷

final.html.

⁷⁴⁷ As far as classifying the ideologies of individual candidates (such for instance the world view of the former Vladivostok Mayor Viktor Cherepkov who entered the 4th State Duma) is more difficult than typologizing the programs of entire political blocs or parties, I have refrained here from including in this count putatively ultra-nationalist *independent* deputies, i.e. those who have entered the parliament via an SMD and without the help of a party or bloc represented with a faction in the Duma. This is for the sake of the argument made here not necessary in as far as I am more interested in the *organizational capacities* of certain ideological camps. Adding independent anti-Western deputies in the 3rd and 4th State Duma would, in any way, only little change in the argument I am trying to make here, i.e. my claim that the overall presence of radically anti-Western political forces in the Duma has not changed dramatically between 1999 and 2003, but rather diminished somewhat. It should be kept in mind, however, that the number of radical nationalists in both Duma's is—as reflected in the count of the Moscow Bureau for Human Rights—somewhat higher than the one stated in the tables 3 and 4. Kolesnichenko, "Skrytaya ugroza."

Table 4: Seats won by electoral blocs with a manifestly anti-Western outlook in the State Duma elections of December 2003 and December 1999-2003 (excluding ultra-nationalists not officially affiliated to an electoral bloc).

	4th State Duma: Number of elected deputies per electoral bloc in December 2003	3rd State Duma: Number of elected deputies per electoral bloc in December 1999
<i>KPRF</i>	51	113
<i>LDPR</i> ⁷⁴⁸	37	17
<i>Rodina</i>	36	
<u>Overall</u>	<u>124</u>	<u>130</u>

Sources: http://www.electionguide.org/resultsum/russia_par03.htm;
<http://www.elections.ru/>; *Parlamentskaya gazeta*, 18th May 2000;
<http://www.cdi.org/russia/johnson/4312.html##9>.

If one compares the respective numbers for two other points in time—e.g. April 2005 and May 2000, at moments when most repeat elections had been conducted and the factions had more or less consolidated (sixteen months, and five months after the elections, respectively)—the pictures stays basically the same. Once one adds the whole membership body of the Agro-Industrial Bloc—formed with support from the *KPRF* and constituting a part of the nationalist camp in the Duma—to the overall count for the strength of the anti-Western factions of the 3rd State Duma, these groupings had assembled altogether 19 deputies more in May 2000 than they comprised in April 2005 (Table 5).

⁷⁴⁸ In 1999, the *LDPR* took part in the State Duma elections under the label “Zhirionovskii Bloc.”

Table 5: Numerical strength of factions with a manifestly anti-Western outlook in the 4th and 3rd (post-Soviet) State Dumas 2003-2007 and 1999-2003 (i.e. excluding ultra-nationalists not affiliated to any faction).

	4th State Duma: Number of faction members according to the State Duma's WWW-site in April 2005	3rd State Duma: Number of faction members reported in <i>Parlament-skaya gazeta</i> for May 2000
<i>KPRF</i>	47	83
<i>LDPR</i> ⁷⁴⁹	35	16
<i>Rodina</i>	40	--
Agro-Industrial Bloc	--	42
<u>Overall</u>	<u>122</u>	<u>141</u>

Sources; <http://www.duma.gov.ru/>; <http://www.cdi.org/russia/johnson/4312.html##9>.

This trend continued a tendency which had already been observable in the change of numerical faction strength of the radically anti-Western forces from the 2nd to the 3rd post-Soviet State Dumas in 1995-1999.⁷⁵⁰

Moreover, the *Rodina* bloc that in 2003 added 29 deputies elected on its ticket to the Duma was, as mentioned in the introduction, an organization that had been created by the Kremlin and thus always an uncertain future. It is unclear whether this new actor in Russian parliamentary politics would have been ever more than an ephemeral phenomenon. While, for instance, in a April 2005 opinion poll by the reputed Levada Center, the *LDPR* was, in comparison to the December 2003 election results, able to approximately hold its support among likely voters (11%) and the *KPRF* even increased its support to 16%, *Rodina's* popularity among likely voters, on the other side, fell from 9.02% in the December 2003 elections to 6% in this poll,⁷⁵¹ i.e. below

⁷⁴⁹ In 1999, the *LDPR* took part in the State Duma elections under the label "Zhironovskii Bloc."

⁷⁵⁰ *Parlamentskaya gazeta*, 18th May 2000; URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.cdi.org/russia/johnson/4312.html##9>. See also "Main Forces in the State Duma and Dynamics of Change 1993-95," URL (last accessed October 2006): http://www.nupi.no/russland/elections/Forces_State_Duma_93_95.html.

⁷⁵¹ URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.levada.ru/press/2005050401.html>; also

the 7%-threshold necessary to pass in order to enter the next State Duma that will be solely elected through proportional voting. In any way, *Rodina's* main successor organization has now merged with the Pensioners Party and Party of Life in order to form a new Kremlin-supported electoral bloc under the label *Spravedlivaya Rossiya* (Just Russia). This merger as well as the break away of Sergei Baburin's group of radically nationalist deputies from the original *Rodina* faction mean that the major successor group of the original *Rodina* alliance cannot be counted any more as an extremely right-wing force, but will, within the new Kremlin-sponsored alliance, probably play the role of a moderately nationalist grouping.

As a result, the alarm among Russian human rights activists with regard to the changes in the composition of the Duma in January 2004 mentioned above seems only partly justified. What, in December 2003, did happen was an increase in the presence of especially prominent ultra-nationalist politicians in the State Duma, and a diversification of the radically anti-Western spectrum represented in the legislature. Notwithstanding, in purely quantitative terms, the earlier downward trend of ultra-nationalist party politics since 1995, as expressed in the decreasing overall numerical strength of radically anti-Western factions in the State Duma, has continued in the new century. Thus, arguably, extremely right-wing parliamentary party politics remains in relative decline, or is, at least, stagnating.

In connection with these developments, one should also mention that the general rise of *moderate* nationalism to the pinnacle of the Russian state through the consolidation of Putin's and *Edinaya Rossiya's* hold on power in 2003/2004 can be seen as being, especially in the short run, bad news for Russian *ultra*-nationalist party politics. That is not only because the "party of power" and, above all, Putin himself have adopted many of the themes explored by ultra-nationalists under El'tsin, and thus, partly, "stolen" the extreme right's agenda—a phenomenon reminding the lean years of the French extreme right under Charles de Gaulle who "[w]ith his vision of a Great France [...] could not be outflanked from the Right."⁷⁵² The leading Russian political sociologist Lev Gudkov reported "that in spite of increasing nationalist sentiments, the electoral support of nationalist organizations has not grown because mitigated nationalist slogans are 'tapped' by respectable politicians."⁷⁵³

quoted in *Russlandanalysen*, no. 65 (2005): 8.

⁷⁵² Laqueur, *Fascism*, 106.

⁷⁵³ As quoted in Mitrofanova, *The Politicization of Russian Orthodoxy*, 200.

In another sense, Putin's re-centralization could also become a serious impediment to a further rise of ultra-nationalism because the administration's more or less sophisticated "discouragement" of radical political dissent and protest concerns the opposition criticizing Putin from an anti-Western point of view as much as dissension based on pro-Western prerogatives. The eminent student of inter-war fascism Stanley G. Payne came, in his broad comparison of the conditions that had been suitable for a grab of power by fascists in the 1920s-1940s, to the conclusion that non-fascist authoritarian regimes had proven to be among the best safeguards against rising fascism in times of crisis.⁷⁵⁴ Laqueur too has written that

[t]he historical record shows that fascism (like terrorism) could succeed only in a liberal democratic system. It had a chance only where it could freely agitate. When competing with a military dictatorship (Romania or Spain)—let alone a Communist regime—it invariably suffered defeat.⁷⁵⁵

The curious "anti-fascist" potential of Russia's new semi-authoritarianism has, in accordance with Payne's and Laqueur's thesis, been indicated in the authorities' sustained persecution of the two most extreme among the above mentioned groupings, the *RNE* and *NBP*—parties that developed relatively freely under El'tsin's politically more liberal regime.⁷⁵⁶ Recently, Putin said that "[w]e shall do the utmost to make skinheads and fascist elements to disappear from the country's political map."⁷⁵⁷

On the other hand, it is doubtful that recent developments, such as the decreasing numerical strength of anti-Westerners in the State Duma or the establishment of a semi-authoritarian regime by Putin, constitute sufficient evidence for already arguing, as Likhachev did in 2001, that "the time of the national radicals is over."⁷⁵⁸ Rather, in Russia today, we could be observing a somewhat similar development as that de-

⁷⁵⁴ Payne, *A History of Fascism*.

⁷⁵⁵ Laqueur, *Fascism*, 18.

⁷⁵⁶ On the relationship, actions or non-actions of the Russian government with regard to the most extreme ultra-nationalist Russian groupings, see, in chronological order, Yu.M. Shmidt, ed., *Problemy otvetstvennosti za razzhiganie mezhnatsional'noi rozni* (Moskva: Memorial, 1993); *Doklad "O formakh i metodakh protivodeistviya politicheskomu ekstremizmu"* (Moskva: Fond INDEM, 1998), URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.panorama.ru/works/patr/govpol/indem.html>; Aleksandr Verkhovskii, *Politika gosudarstva po otnosheniyu k national-radikal'nym ob"edineniyam, 1991-2001 g.* (Moskva: Panorama, 2002) URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.panorama.ru/works/patr/govpol/book1.html>; *idem*, *Gosudarstvo protiv radikal'nogo natsionalizma: Chto delat' i chego ne delat'?* (Moskva: Panorama, 2002); Lokshina, *Nationalism, Xenophobia and Intolerance in Contemporary Russia*.

⁷⁵⁷ RTR Russia TV, Moscow, 27th September 2005. See also www.polit.ru/news/2005/09/27/Skin.html.

⁷⁵⁸ Likhachev, "My i nash diagnoz."

scribed above in late nineteenth and early twentieth century Germany. When Leonid Luks describes what was happening between 1871 and 1914 in Imperial German politics and society, one feels reminded of recent developments in Russian liberalism. In Wilhelmine Germany,

[t]hrough their adaptation to the vocabulary of radical nationalism many liberals accepted, after their political defeat (the conservative turn of Bismarck of 1878) also their spiritual defeat. Within the discourse on the "German Jewish question" the position of [Heinrich von] Treitschke [whose role and standing in Imperial German society I compared to that of Panarin's in post-Soviet Russia—A.U.] became more and more prominent. This happened notwithstanding the fact that the support for the antisemitic parties of the late 19th and early 20th century was, by no means, impressive; they were only a marginal phenomenon in the *Reichstag*. In contrast, anti-Jewishness was becoming ever more influential within Germany's political class, and, not the least, in academic circles.⁷⁵⁹

As mentioned above, this comparison of late Imperial Germany and post-Soviet Russia and of their nationalist ideologies is only partly justified. Still, the German example suffices to falsify those interpretations that measure extremely right-wing support only by assessing the electoral successes of ultra-nationalist parties.

Opinion polls tell us that the Russian population has made a shift from a largely pro- to a predominantly anti-Western, especially anti-American stance in the course of the 1990s.⁷⁶⁰ Notably, many of those Russian voters who can be otherwise characterized as liberals have, in the late 1990s, especially in connection with NATO's expansion into Eastern Europe and bombing of Yugoslavia, become critical of the West. In 2005, Mitrofanova argued that the activity of Russian uncivil society (i.e. the largely non-party organizations she covers with her concept of "political Orthodoxy") had made a contribution to the spread of xenophobic views in Russian society,⁷⁶¹ namely to a rise of the number of respondents supporting the slogan "Russia for the Rus-

⁷⁵⁹ Luks, "Die Sehnsucht nach der 'organischen nationalen Einheit'," 172.

⁷⁶⁰ Interfax, 12 February 2002, and 12 March 2002. Dugin is thus not much off the mark in arguing that anti-Americanism can provide a "reliable platform for a stable consolidation of the entire Russian society." See Dugin, "Byt' russkim—znachit' byt' anti-amerikanskim," as quoted in Stefani Hoffman, "No Love from Russia," 34. Laqueur wrote already in 1996 that, "[a]fter the initial liberal-democratic upsurge in 1989/1990, Russian politics [had been] shift[ing] to the right." Laqueur, *Fascism*, 183.

⁷⁶¹ Mitrofanova, *The Politicization of Russian Orthodoxy*, 200.

sians!” from 35% in 1999 to 55% in 2004.⁷⁶² Reputed sociologist Lev Gudkov comes, on the basis of his sociological surveys, to the conclusion that “the whole political spectrum gradually drifts towards nationalism [...]”.⁷⁶³

Moreover, both xenophobia, in general, and anti-Americanism, in particular, are spread—as one would expect from the above activities of Dugin—not only among the masses, but also within the elite.⁷⁶⁴ While, according to an essay by Gudkov in 2002, for instance, general xenophobia (though not anti-Americanism) in Russian society experienced, at that point, a small decline, it remained stable among those with higher education.⁷⁶⁵ In spite of these trends, Russian radically anti-Western parties have, at the same time, lost electoral appeal since their best performances in the State Duma elections of the 1990s (*KPRF*, *LDPR*), or suffered from more or less significant splits (*RNE*, *NBP*).

The above sketch of the rise of Aleksandr Dugin from a lunatic fringe figure to a highly placed political advisor and ideologist as well as some other developments outside

⁷⁶² *Russkii kur'er*, 17th December 2004.

⁷⁶³ As quoted in Mitrofanova, *The Politicization of Russian Orthodoxy*, 200.

⁷⁶⁴ William Zimmerman, *The Russian People and Foreign Policy: Russian Elite and Mass Perspectives, 1993-2000* (Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 2002). On anti-Americanism, see Vladimir Shlapentokh, “Russian Attitudes toward America: A Split between the Ruling Class and the Masses,” *World Affairs* 164, no. 1 (2001): 17-23; Lev Gudkov, “Ich hasse, also bin ich’: Zur Funktion der Amerika-Bilder und des Antiamerikanismus in Rußland,” *Osteuropa* 52, no. 8 (2002): 997-1004; and Hoffman, “No Love from Russia.” In June-July 2005, a non-systematic WWW-poll of the Ekaterinburg Agency *Novyi Region* (New Region) found that 58.8% (2481) of the respondents to the question of Russia’s current adversaries thought of the United States as Russia’s main enemy. The Muslim countries came second with 13.53% (570). Only 12.12% (514) thought that Russia has no enemies. See URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://nr2.ru/ekb/32194.html>. While this survey has little meaning for an assessment of anti-American inclinations in Russian society at large, it is noteworthy that the issue of Russia’s enemies was here presented to people who use the Web as an information source and who have the time and interest to answer a question about Russia’s external relations. One suspects that the poll thus partly reflects feelings in the Russian population’s most politically sensitive and active sections. In 2002, Likhachev observed that “[t]he ‘American’ emerging from the [nationalist daily] *Sovetskaya Rossiya* reminds one of the image of the German created by Soviet propaganda during the time of the Great Patriotic [i.e. Second World] War. Moreover, sometimes—especially in caricatures—one can find a direct allusion ascribing to a caricature ‘American’ traits of a [German] ‘fascist.’” Likhachev, “Yazyk Vrazhdy v oppozitsionnykh politicheskikh izdaniyakh,” 87. On the historical context, see Eric Shiraev and Vladislav Zubok, *Anti-Americanism in Russia: From Stalin to Putin* (New York: Palgrave, 2000).

⁷⁶⁵ Lev Gudkov, “Russkii neotraditsionalizm i soprotivlenie peremenam,” in: V.S. Malakhov and V.A. Tishkov, eds., *Mul’tikul’turalizm i transformatsiya postsovetских obshchestv* (Moskva: IEA RAN, 2002), 124-147. See also Igor J. Polianski, “Der patriotische Konsens gegen ‘Gelb,’ ‘Schwarz’ und ‘Orange’: Impressionen und Hintergründe aktueller nationalistischer Diskurse in Russland,” URL (last accessed October 2006): http://www.zeitgeschichte-online.de/zol/_rainbow/documents/pdf/zol_int/polianski_natdiskurse.pdf.

party politics, such as the rapid growth of the skinhead movement, give reason for pause. The study has shown that Dugin, while being so far an actor largely operating outside political society narrowly understood, has made inroads into major political institutions including the State Duma, Federation Council, Cabinet of Ministers, and Kremlin—used as a metaphor comprising not only the Presidential Administration, but the larger entourage of the President of the RF including his political technologists. Equally significant is that, at the moment of finishing this study, Dugin was on his way of entering the Ivory Tower of academia and becoming a participant of mainstream scholarly discourse.

The comprehensiveness of his self-promotion and infrastructure of his foreign and domestic ties allows one, moreover, to set Dugin apart from many of his competitors in the field of ultra-nationalist publicism. These rivals' books might have larger circulation numbers than Dugin's, but they mostly remain locked in the extra-political realm, have few links into Russia's administrative, political and academic elite, and often act as isolated individuals lacking an institutionalized network to spread and apply their ideas. Dugin and his movement are, in these regards, ahead and thus qualify as an—in Eckstein's sense—"crucial case." Dugin's example does not fully explain the character of the Russian extreme right, but is still sufficient to falsify interpretations such as the above that assign to the Russian extreme right a degree of social relevance only marginally higher than that of West European ultra-nationalism.⁷⁶⁶ They illustrate that organized Russian ultra-nationalism might, after a certain peak in the mid-1990s, currently experience not its endgame, but an interregnum—a phase of re-definition and formation of its ideas, position, image, strategy and structure.⁷⁶⁷ The surprisingly swift upsurge of the *Rodina* bloc out of nowhere into a notable force in the Russian parliament in 2004-2006 and the impressive resurgence of the *LDPR*-vote in December 2003 can be seen as indicating the enduring electoral potential of Russian ultra-nationalism.⁷⁶⁸

⁷⁶⁶ Eckstein, "Case Study and Theory in Political Science."

⁷⁶⁷ Roger D. Griffin, "Interregnum or Endgame?: Radical Right Thought in the 'Post-fascist' Era," *Journal of Political Ideologies* 5, no. 2 (July 2000): 163-178.

⁷⁶⁸ As Markus Kaiser observed in 2003: "The social 'demand' for Eurasian ideology and the growth potential of this demand seem currently much higher than the recognition of the [miniscule] 'Eurasian Party' [led by Abdulvakhed Niyazov] among the electorate." Kaiser, "Einführung," 121. The so-called Eurasian Party—a project started after, and conceived to be a rival of, Dugin's movement—is such a marginal phenomenon in Russian politics that I have ignored it, in this survey, entirely. Its only noteworthy characteristic is the involvement in it of Pavel Borodin, a former Secretary of the Russian-Belarusian Union project and politician well-known for his corruption.

Russian right-wing extremist party politics may, to be sure, remain unable to overcome the dilemmas listed at the beginning within the near future. It is worth noting, however, that when, in the past, both pre- and post-war potent ultra-nationalist parties rose, they repeatedly did so suddenly, moving from—sometimes total—obscurity to considerable popularity within only a few years. When this happened, it was more often than not the case that a vibrant uncivil society, in general, and anti-democratic intellectuals, in particular, had done some theoretical, ideological and propagandistic ground-work before. The German “*Konservative Revolution*” of the 1920s,⁷⁶⁹ and the French post-1968 “*Nouvelle Droite*”⁷⁷⁰ are merely the most prominent examples for an elaborate intellectual preparation of a subsequently rapid rise of an ultra-nationalist party, i.e. the *NSDAP*, in the case of inter-war Germany, and *Front national*, in the case of post-war France.⁷⁷¹

These observations may be interpreted to have the following implications for future research into contemporary Russian right-wing extremism: Although ultra-nationalist party politics is not likely to remain as insignificant as it is today, the currently prominent, above listed parties may not be able to overcome their handicaps soon. It is thus unclear who could emerge as a possible leader in the future, and which party might be able to take better advantage of Russia’s already substantial anti-Western electorate. Under these circumstances, greater attention to Russia’s uncivil society—ranging from well-institutionalized high-brow think-tanks to fluid gangs of teenage skinheads—might not only be adequate in terms of the growing relevance of this object.⁷⁷² It might, for the time being, also be a pragmatic approach: As far as we do not

⁷⁶⁹ Stefan Breuer, *Anatomie der Konservativen Revolution* (Darmstadt: Wissenschaftliche Buchgesellschaft, 1993). In Leonid Luks’ words, the „the Nazis were able to use these allies [the „conservative revolutionaries“] with profit for themselves in as far as they [the Nazis] gained spiritual support and additional opportunities to infect public opinion with their ideology.“ Lyuks, “Evrasiistvo i konservativnaya revolyutsiya,” 66.

⁷⁷⁰ Griffin, “Plus ça change!”

⁷⁷¹ “The new generation of *FN* [France’s *Front national*] leaders has more or less successfully evaded the disgrace and stigma that adhere to the history of the French extreme right in the popular imagination. The work of a movement that came to be known as *Nouvelle Droite* was crucial in allowing young right-leaning intellectuals to gain legitimacy and to distance themselves from groups with disreputable histories [...]” Douglas R. Holmes, *Integral Europe: Fast-Capitalism, Multiculturalism, Neofascism* (Princeton: Princeton University Press 2000), 78.

⁷⁷² The recently hightening attention to Russia’ growing skinhead-subculture in Russian and Western journalism and publicism might, under a political point of view, be overdrawn though. As Walter Laqueur has remarked “[...] the skinheads are unreliable allies and an undependable source of recruitment [for neofascism]. There is little that unites them, and they lack identity as much as continuity. There are few skinheads over thirty, with the new street gangs appearing and disappearing as quickly as fashions in music. [...] The young people attracted by these gangs are not the material likely to be of much use to

yet know whether, how and when Russian ultra-nationalist political society will overcome its various impasses, certain findings on Russia's uncivil society might be of a more lasting relevance than further research into its volatile party system. From a 2003 broad study of uncivil society in East European countries other than Russia, it emerged that, in general,

in Eastern Europe, a process of politicization of civil society has taken place, whereby political parties (attempt to) exercise more and more control over c[ivil] s[ociety] o[rganization]s, which, in turn, are more and more apt to forge alliances with certain, usually like-minded, political parties. The result is not necessarily a complete inclusion of c[ivil] s[ociety] o[rganization]s in the state, but clearly a significant curbing of their autonomy through a process of controlled incorporation into the network organized by political parties.⁷⁷³

If anything, this is even truer of recent developments in Putin's new Russia.

The four right-wing extremist parties introduced here—the *LDPR*, *KPRF*, *RNE*, *NBP*—have already been scrutinized to some degree in scholarly studies.⁷⁷⁴ Sometimes, the particulars of their development have, as in the case of Kitschelt's focus on radical right-wing *parties* in Western Europe,⁷⁷⁵ been presented as telling us the whole or, at least, main story of the extreme right in Russia today.⁷⁷⁶ This would, in

the neofascists. [...] They are, in brief, a problem for the police and the educators, rather than the politicians. [...] Future historians will probably find [the skinheads] a fascinating footnote in the history of late-twenty-century customs and manners rather than politics." Laqueur, *Fascism*, 130. On the other hand, it should be added that this conclusion was drawn with regard to developments in the West during the post-war period—and not related to the somewhat different Russian skinhead-movement of the new century.

⁷⁷³ Mudde, "Civil Society in Post-Communist Europe: Lessons from the 'Dark Side,'" 160.

⁷⁷⁴ E.g. Verkhovskii, Papp and Pribylovskii, *Politicheskii ekstremizm v Rossii*; Ivanov, *Rußland nach Gorbatschow*; Vera Tolz, "The Radical Right in Post-Communist Russian Politics," in: Peter H. Merkl and Leon Weinberg, ed., *The Revival of Right-Wing Extremism in the Nineties* (London: Frank Cass, 1997), 177-202; Allensworth, *The Russian Question*; Judith Devlin, *Slavophiles and Commissars: Enemies of Democracy in Modern Russia* (Basingstoke, Hampshire: Macmillan, 1999); Shenfield, *Russian Fascism*.

⁷⁷⁵ Kitschelt with McGann, *The Radical Right in Western Europe*.

⁷⁷⁶ Christopher Williams and Stephen E. Hanson, "National-Socialism, Left Patriotism, or Superimperialism?: The Radical Right in Russia," in: Sabrina Ramet, ed., *The Radical Right in Central and Eastern Europe Since 1989. With an afterword by Roger Griffin* (University Park: The Pennsylvania State University Press, 1999), 257-278; and Vojko Vujačić, "Serving Mother Russia: The Communist Left and Nationalist Right in the Struggle for Power, 1991-1998," in: Victoria E. Bonnell and George W. Breslauer, eds., *Russia in the New Century: Stability and Disorder?* (Boulder, CO: Westview Press, 2001), 290-325.

view of the above contextualization, be insufficient or even misleading.⁷⁷⁷ As long as Russia's public consciousness and elite discourse remain impregnated with anti-Western stereotypes, and penetrated by cryptic and sometimes not so cryptic integrally nationalist ideas, it is to be expected that these attitudes will find organizational expression. At least, in the near future, we should expect to find such institutional manifestations, however, not only, and, perhaps, not so much in the realm of political society as in the voluntary sector. Therefore, Russia's growing uncivil society currently constitutes a promising research topic for students of Russian ultra-nationalism and associationism alike.⁷⁷⁸

⁷⁷⁷ Mitrofanova's following statement in her related book is similar to the above argument: "The impact of Orthodox political movements on Russian society may insignificant if judged by their electoral success (considering that many of them never participated in elections). Most [...] Orthodox political organizations have 'activists' but have no 'sympathizers' who would, for example, vote for them. For this reason, Orthodox political organizations prefer to pursue strategies other than traditional party politics." Mitrofanova, *The Politicization of Russian Orthodoxy*, 187.

⁷⁷⁸ Mitrofanova predicted in spring 2005 that "[n]ationalist and fundamentalist ideas [...] will most likely transcend the limits of a 'subculture within subculture' and penetrate [the] national political agenda. This will happen due to the fact that [...] fundamentalists and nationalists [...] have been able to build up networks of alternative social institutions (hospitals, schools, summer camps, etc.). Such institutions have been of extreme importance for all political religions [i.e. most of the Russian nationalist spectrum—A.U.] because they permit them to win mass support at the micropolitical level. Success at the macropolitical level seems to be the next hurdle for these organizations." Mitrofanova, *The Politicization of Russian Orthodoxy*, 199.

Appendix: Some of Dugin's Sources and Allies

Note: An inclusion of a person into this list does not necessarily imply that Dugin's ideology is, in every respect, a legitimate elaboration of the ideas, or represents a closely related expression of the views of the respective person. It merely indicates that Dugin himself has, with more or less justification, claimed an association between his ideas and those of the respective person. By no means, all relevant sources and allies of Dugin are mentioned, but only those that I thought to be the consequential ones. The lesser known Russian figures are more extensively introduced than the various Western theoreticians and publicists who usually have been objects of in-depth research.

Robert Brasillach (1909-1945), a notorious French fascist novelist and literary critic who, for his pro-Nazi behaviour in 1940-1945, was put on trial and executed by the French post-liberation authorities. Dugin refers affirmatively to Brasillach in his article "Fascism—Borderless and Red." See, <http://www.my.arcto.ru/public/templars/arbeiter.htm#fash>.

Aleister Crowley (alias Edward Alexander Crowley, 1875-1974), a British mysticist, magician, writer, and Satanist (calling himself "The Beast"). Head of an occultist group called Order Templi Orientis, creator of a tradition called Thelema (Greek for "will"), and author of *Magick [sic] in Theory and Practice*, a popular occultist book. See <http://www.arctogaia.com/public/crowley/>.

Guy Debord (1931-1994), French avant-garde artist, left-wing critic of the consumer society and co-founder the Situationism, an intellectual movement influenced by Dadaism and Surrealism, which sought to overcome capitalist alienation by disrupting social norms. See <http://www.geocities.com/CapitolHill/6824/debord.htm>, <http://www.arctogaia.com/public/fm/finis13.htm> and <http://arctogaia.com/public/rv/31.shtml>.

Geidar Dzhemal (b. 1947), an Azeri born in Moscow, and early associate of Dugin. Dzhemal, in 1967, became a member of the circle of Yurii Mamleyev and Eduard Golovin, and, in 1980, joined the Naqshbandiyya Sufi Muslim order in Tajikistan. In the late 1980s, Dzhemal and Dugin entered and left together the antisemitic, ultranationalist *Pamyat'* group, and became subsequently the founders of "neo-Eurasianism," with Dzhemal developing, in particular, its pro-Muslim branch. During the 1990s, Dzhemal became a prominent Islamist publicist in Russia, and co-leader of the microscopic Party of Islamic Rebirth. At one point, he was allied to Aleksandr Lebed and the Movement in the Support of the Army. In 1995, Dzhemal tried, unsuccessfully to enter the State Duma.⁷⁷⁹ Though the relationship between Dzhemal and Dugin was, at times, strained—for instance, when Dzhemal was affiliated for some time to the rival, microscopic Eurasian Party of Russia of Abdul-Vakhed Niyazov—they have recently renewed their cooperation. See <http://www.kontrudar.ru/>.

Julius Evola (1896/1898-1974), an Italian artillery officer, painter, publicist, Dadaist, magician and creator of a politicized version of Guenonian Traditionalism that transmuted into a peculiar brand of proto-fascism. Evola's open criticism of Mussolini's Fascism as too tame brought him into conflict with the Italian authorities, a circumstance that contributed to the preservation of his reputation after World War II. Evola has exercised influence on a wide variety of European anti-democratic movements ranging from neo-Fascist Italian terrorism to the French *Nouvelle Droite*. While Dugin has, in public, been more deferential to Evola's teacher Guénon, Evola's writings may have had an even deeper impact on the intellectual development of young Dugin who translated some of Evola's text into Russian and published them in Moscow.⁷⁸⁰ See <http://arctogaia.com/public/evola/>, <http://www.arctogaia.com/public/fm/finis2.htm>.

René Guénon (1886-1951), the French founder of Traditionalism, a world view that claims that there was once a primordial universal religion ("Tradition") which has been lost through degradation, but the traits of which can be found in various contemporary religions such as Sufi Islam, Buddhism, or Catholicism. Though the works and concepts of Guénon are major reference points in Dugin's theoretical writings, it

⁷⁷⁹ Kaledin, "Terapiya okazalas' bessil'noi pered maniei Dugina-mladshego pereustroit' mir."

⁷⁸⁰ Gregor, "Andreas Umland and the 'Fascism' of Aleksandr Dugin."

is debatable whether "neo-Eurasianism" should be classified as a Russian variety of Traditionalism.⁷⁸¹ See <http://arctogaia.com/public/guenon/>, <http://www.arctogaia.com/public/fm/finis1.htm>.

Evgenii Golovin (b. 1936), poet, philosopher, translator, literary critic, mystic, and prominent member of Mamleev's Yuzhinskii circle which, in the early 1980s, started calling itself *Chernyi orden* SS (Black Order of the SS) and Golovin *Reichsführer* SS.⁷⁸² Golovin studied philology at Moscow State University, and gained, as a student, access to the closed section of the USSR's largest, Lenin Library.⁷⁸³ He discovered Traditionalism in the early 1960s, and was one of the earliest and, perhaps, most important mentors of young Dugin. He has continued to cooperate closely with Dugin after the break-up of the Soviet Union within, for instance, Dugin's so-called New University.⁷⁸⁴ Otherwise, Golovin is said to live the life of an eremite in a small flat in Gorki-10, close to Moscow.⁷⁸⁵ See <http://golovin.evrazia.org/>, <http://www.arctogaia.com/public/golovin/>.

Lev Gumilëv (1912-1992), son of the famous Russian poets Nikolai Gumilëv (1886-1921) and Anna Akhmatova (1889-1966), prisoner of the GULag, and disputed Russian ethnologist and historian. On his theory, see the excursus on Gumilëv above. In 1996, the University of Akmola in—what is today—Astana, the new capital of Kazakhstan, was renamed into Eurasian Lev Gumilëv University (<http://www.emu.kz>) which has since become an elite college of Kazakhstan. See <http://www.arcto.ru/modules.php?name=News&file=article&sid=1269>; <http://www.arcto.ru/modules.php?name=News&file=article&sid=817>

⁷⁸¹ The principal study on Guénon and the history of "Traditionalism" is Sedgwick, *Against the Modern World*, an outstanding work that also includes some analysis of Dugin.

⁷⁸² Timur Polyannikov, „Po tropam Khimery, ili razmyshlenniia o evraziistve i 'novom mirovom poriadke.'”

⁷⁸³ Kaledin, "Terapiya okazalas' bessil'noi pered maniei Dugina-mladshego pereustroit' mir."

⁷⁸⁴ Many of Golovin's publications may be found at URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://egolovin.narod.ru/index2.html>. For further information, see URL (last accessed October 2006): http://www.geocities.com/mo_ur/s-s/s-s.htm, URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.phg.ru/issue21/fg-10.html>.

⁷⁸⁵ Kaledin, "Terapiya okazalas' bessil'noi pered maniei Dugina-mladshego pereustroit' mir."

Karl Haushofer (1869-1946), a military scientist, founder of the German school of geopolitics, and co-author of the original *Lebensraum* (living space) theory. Having become a professor of geography at the University of Munich, Haushofer, in the 1920s, founded the Institute of Geopolitics and *Zeitschrift für Geopolitik* (Journal of Geopolitics). A teacher and friend of Nazi leader Rudolf Heß (1894-1987), Haushofer has been accused of providing principal building blocks for the foreign policy and military doctrines of the Third Reich. However, while being supportive of an expansion towards the East, Haushofer was not a representative of Nazism proper. A year after his son Albrecht (1903-1945) had been executed by the Nazis because of participation in the July 1944 assassination attempt on Hitler, Haushofer committed suicide. Haushofer is a major reference in Dugin's magnum opus *Osnovy geopolitiki*. See <http://arctogaia.com/public/osnovygeo/geopol1.htm#7%22>, <http://www.arctogaia.com/public/fm/finis16.htm>.

Ernst Jünger (1895-1998), one of Germany's most important writers of the 20th century and a leading representative of the inter-war intellectual movement that became known as the „Conservative Revolution.“ Having received high decorations for his military service during World War I, Jünger became quickly a noted novelist and prolific right-wing publicist of the 1920s. While Jünger declined several attempts by the Nazis to incorporate him into their movement, his many radically anti-liberal artistic and journalistic writings contributed to the delegitimization of the Weimar Republic and were widely read among German right-wingers. In spite of his dubious activities in the 1920s, Jünger became, after World War II, a well-respected figure in German intellectual life. For Dugin, Jünger has been one of several Western role models. See <http://arctogaia.com/public/juenger/>.

Eduard Limonov (b. 1943), a Soviet avant-garde poet and prose writer from Khar'kov who emigrated in 1974 to the US and later to France where he received French citizenship. In 1976, he wrote the novel *Eto ya—Edichka* (*Its me, Eddie*) with which he became known in both, Russia and the West. In 1989, Limonov's novels started to appear in the USSR, and, in 1991, he received back his Soviet citizenship. Since then, Limonov has become a frequent contributor to various ultra-nationalist periodicals. In 1992, Limonov became a member of Zhirinovskii's "shadow cabinet," and co-

founder of the National-Radical Party, a splitt-off of the *LDPR*. In 1993, Limonov founded, together with Dugin, the National-Bolshevik Front that was later renamed into National-Bolshevik Party which Limonov leads until today.⁷⁸⁶ On the relationship between Dugin and Limonov, see <http://imperium.lenin.ru/~verbit/Limonov/kommu-limonov.html>, <http://www.arctogaia.com/public/engl-thesis.htm>, <http://arctogaia.org.ru/FORUMS/messages/1108/1305.html?1019206862>, http://NBP-info.ru/new/lib/lim_biography/bio6.htm, <http://www.zavtra.ru/cgi/veil/data/zavtra/02/444/41.html>.

Sir Halford Mackinder (1861-1947), pioneering British geographer, Unionist (i.e. conservative) Member of Parliament, founder of modern geopolitics, and first director of the London School of Economics and Political Science. Mackinder developed, in his book *Democratic Ideals and Reality* (1919), the idea of a fundamental division between the "Eurasian heartland" and Atlantic world.⁷⁸⁷ See <http://www.arctogaia.com/public/osnovygeo/geopol1.htm#3>.

Yurii Mamleev (b. 1931), a well-known Russian mysticist, novelist and metaphysic who, in the 1960s, co-founded the occultist Yuzhinskii circle which Dugin entered in 1980,⁷⁸⁸ and which had a formative influence on young Dugin. Having been forced to emigrate in 1975, Mamleev went first to the United States where he taught at Cornell University and, in 1983, to France where he taught at the Sorbonne.⁷⁸⁹ In 1991, he returned to Moscow where he became a prominent collaborator of *Arktogeya* and taught at Dugin's New University. He is also an adjunct professor at Moscow State University where he teaches Indian philosophy.⁷⁹⁰ Mamleev has been called "a representative of the aesthetics of evil,"⁷⁹¹ and describes in his cryptic novels scenes of human perversion and degradation. See <http://www.rvb.ru/Mamleyev/index.htm>, <http://arctogaia.com/Mamleyev/>, and <http://arctogaia.com/public/Mamleyev/>.

⁷⁸⁶ Pribylovskii, *Vozhdi*, 66-67; Rogachevskii, *Biographical and Critical Study of Russian Writer Eduard Limonov*.

⁷⁸⁷ Sedgwick, *Against the Modern World*, 226, 330.

⁷⁸⁸ Likhachev, *Natsizm v Rossii*, 101.

⁷⁸⁹ Kaledin, "Terapiya okazalas' bessil'noi pered maniei Dugina-mladshego pereustroit' mir."

⁷⁹⁰ Polyannikov, „Po tropam Khimery, ili razmyshlenniia o evraziistve i 'novom mirovom poriadke.'"

⁷⁹¹ *Die Zeit* 58, no. 40 (2002), *Sonderbeilage "Zeitliteratur,"* 19

Arthur Moeller van den Bruck (1876-1925), German art historian, political theorist and right-wing publicist. Moeller was one of the leading representatives of the Weimar Republic's major fascist, yet non-Nazi intellectual movement which would later be called the "Conservative Revolution." His ultra-nationalism was peculiar for its rabidly anti-Western bent and heavy pro-Russian bias. Apart from being author of pamphlets with titles like *Das Recht der jungen Völker* (The Rights of the Young Nations) and *Das Dritte Reich* (The Third Reich), Moeller became known in Germany as the editor of the first full ("red") collection of Fedor Dostoevskii's writings. See <http://www.arcto.ru/modules.php?name=News&file=article&sid=427>.

Gustav Meyrink (pseudonym of Gustav Meyer; 1868-1932), occultist Austrian novelist, translator and playwright. Meyrink combined in his fantasy novels mystical, Kabbalistic and Indian elements and converted to Buddhism. See <http://arctogaia.com/public/meyrink/>, <http://www.arctogaia.com/public/fm/finis3.htm>

Yukio Mishima (pseudonym of Kimitake Hiraoka; 1925-1970), Japanese novelist and playwright. Mishima defended Japan's traditional values, fought against decadence, and sought to revive the Samurai tradition through his *Tatenokai* (Shield Society), a paramilitary brotherhood stressing physical fitness and the martial arts. He ended his life through *seppuku* (ritual suicide). See <http://www.geocities.com/CapitolHill/6824/misima.htm>.

Claudio Mutti a militant Italian Muslim and follower of Guénon, Evola and, at one point, Franco Freda. A teacher of Hungarian and Romanian, Mutti lost his job at the University of Bologna and served a prison term for terrorist activities. Mutti has published Italian translations of texts by Dugin, Dzhemal and Ayatollah Khomeini, and is "important [...] as one of the focal points in the late twentieth-century international network of Traditionalists, linking smaller Traditionalist groups in Romania, Hungary, Italy, France, and Russia."⁷⁹² See <http://arctogaia.org.ru/modules.php?name=News&file=article&sid=481>.

⁷⁹² Sedgwick, *Against the Modern World*, 260.

Ernst Niekisch (1889-1967), major representative of inter-war German "National Bolshevism," a left-wing sub-section of the Weimar Republic's major fascist intellectual movement known as the "Conservative Revolution." A member of several German socialist and communist parties, Niekisch was rabidly anti-Western and pro-Soviet, yet also antisemitic, antifeminist, imperialist, and ultra-nationalist. He openly criticized Hitler for being insufficiently anti-Western and revolutionary, and was thus imprisoned in 1937-1945. After World War II, he made a surprising academic and political career in the East German Soviet satellite state before breaking with the GDR's regime in 1953 and settling in West Berlin. See <http://www.arcto.ru/modules.php?name=News&file=article&sid=518;>
<http://www.arcto.ru/modules.php?name=News&file=print&sid=987;>

Carl Schmitt (1888-1985), major German political theorist and internationally renowned legal scholar who was a member of the NSDAP from 1933-1945 as well as an active apologist of the Nazi regime as president, in 1933-1936, of the *Vereinigung nationalsozialistischer Juristen* (Association of National Socialist Jurists). Schmitt's writings and various legal and political concepts continue to exert influence on international extremely right-wing thought until today. <http://www.arcto.ru/modules.php?name=News&file=article&sid=2.>

Vladimir Stepanov, a graduate of the Moscow Institute of Philosophy who belonged to Golovin's circle and managed to get, during Soviet times, in contact with the "(non-Traditionalist) British neo-Suf [Muslim], the prominent novelist and poet Robert Graves."⁷⁹³

Talgat Tadzhuddin (b. 1948), studied Islamic theology in Bukhara and Cairo in the 1970s. In 1980, he was elected Mufti of the Spiritual Directorate of the Muslims of the European Part of the USSR and Siberia, and has been heading the successor organization of this organ, the Central Spiritual Directorate of the Muslims of Russia and the European Countries of the CIS, since 1990. In 2001, he became one of the co-founders of Dugin's Eurasia Movement, and prominent propagator of a Russian-Muslim anti-American alliance. See <http://eurasia.com.ru/leaders/tadjuddin.html>.

⁷⁹³ Sedgwick, *Against the Modern World*, 223.

Jean-François Thiriart (1922-1992), Belgian national socialist, optometrist and convicted collaborator with the Nazi German occupation forces in France. In the 1960s, Thiriart developed a form of pan-European revolutionary ultra-nationalism, and founded the neo-fascist groupuscule *Jeune Europe* which was both anti-Soviet and anti-American. Thiriart was also a co-founder of the abortive National Party of Europe, an attempt to create a pro-European coalition of right-wing extremist parties from Germany, Italy, Great Britain and other countries. Later, Thiriart changed his anti-Soviet views, spoke in favour of National Bolshevism, and came to see the communist regimes of Russia, China, and Romania with sympathy. Shortly before his death in 1992, he met in Moscow the former second secretary of the Central Committee of the CPSU Egor Ligachev (as well as Prokhanov and Dugin). See <http://arctogaia.com/public/thiriart/>.

Nikolai Ustryalov (1890-probably, 1938), a functionary of the late Tsarist Constitutional-Democratic Party and, after emigrating from Soviet Russia, a leader of the intellectual *Smena vekh* (Changing Signposts) movement. Ustryalov's positive assessment of the role of the Soviet regime in restoring the Russian empire was called "National Bolshevism." See <http://www.arctogaia.com/public/v5/v5-1.shtml>.

Herman Wirth (1885-1981), a Friesian philologist, historian and musicologist who searched for an Ancient history of the "Aryan-Nordic race," sympathized with certain brands of Nazism, and became, in the mid-1930s, together with Heinrich Himmler and Richard Walther Darré, a co-founder of the SS research institute *Ahnenerbe* (Heritage of the Ancient Predecessors) which he, however, left shortly afterwards because his research did not gain the esteem he expected. Dugin devoted a special section of his *Finis Mundi* radio program and some of his writings to Wirth. See <http://arctogaia.com/public/wirth/>,
<http://www.arcto.ru/modules.php?name=News&file=article&sid=63>,
<http://www.arctogaia.com/public/fm/finis7.htm>

Bibliography

Primary Sources

- Antonenko, Sergei G., *Rus' ariiskaya (Neprivychnaya pravda)*. Etnicheskaya istoriya 2 (Moskva: Pallada, 1994)
- Baburin, Sergei N., *Rossiiskii put': Stanovleniie rossiiskoi geopolitiki kanuna XXI veka* (Moskva: ANKO, 1995)
- Benoist, Alain de, "Tradition?" URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://es.geocities.com/sucellus23/telos17.htm>
- Bondarenko, V.G., *Eduard Limonov* (Moskva: Paleya, 1992).
- Bondarenko, Vladimir, "Prokhanovskii proryv: O romane 'Gospodin Geksogen'," *Sovetskaya Rossiya*, 27 April 2002
- Dughin, A., "Star of an Invisible Empire (on Jean Parvulesco)," URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.arctogaia.com/public/eng-parv.htm>
- Dugin, Aleksandr, "Velikaya voina kontinentov," *Den'*, no. 4(32) (1992): 2
- _____, *Giperboreiskaya Teoriya (opyt ariosofskogo issledovaniya)* (Moskva: Arktogya, 1993)
- _____, *Tseli i Zadachi Nashei Revoyutii* (Moskva: Fravarti, 1995)
- _____, *Osnovy geopolitiki: Geopoliticheskoe budushchee Rossii* (Moskva: Arktogeya, 1997)
- _____, *Tampliery Proletariata: Natsional-bol'shevizm i initsiatsiya* (Moskva: Arktogeya 1997)
- _____, "Rossiya nemyslima bez imperii," in: T.A. Shaklein, ed., *Vneshnyaya politika i bezopasnost' sovremennoi Rossii. Khrestomatiya v dvukh tomakh. Tom 1. Kniga 1: Issledovaniya* (Moskva: Moskovskii obshchestvennyi nauchnyi fond, OOO "Izdatel'skii tsentr nauchnykh i uchebnykh program, 1999), 96-116
- _____, *Osnovy geopolitiki: Myslit' prostranstvom*, 4th edn (Moskva: Arktogeya, 2000)
- _____, "Novyi sotsializm," *Nezavisimaya gazeta – stsenarii*, 14 February 2001
- _____, "Evraziistvo: Ot filosofii k politike," *Nezavisimaya gazeta*, 30 May 2001
- _____, "Evolutsiya Natsional'noi Idei Rusi (Rossii) na raznykh istoricheskikh etapakh," in: *Lev Nikolaevich Gumil'ev*, 9-35
- _____, "Byt' russkim—znachit' byt' anti-amerikanskim, ili pochemu my ne lyubim Shtaty," *Komsomolskaya pravda*, 25 March 2003
- _____, *Konspirologiya (nauka o zagovorakh, sekretnykh obshchestvakh i tainoi voine)* (Moskva: ROF "Evraziya," 2005)
- _____, "Pravye lyudi—sovremennye pravye: Dugin Aleksandr Gel'evich (r. 1962)," *Pravda.ru*, 22 February 2006, URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.pravda.ru/ludi/451/6742>
- _____, "Novyi natsional-bol'shevistskii poryadok," URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.redline.ru/~arctogai/nacbol.html>
- Dugin, Aleksandr and Eduard Limonov, "Ya lyublyu 'shampanskikh geniev'," *Elementy: Ev-*

- raziiskoe obozrenie*, no. 4 (1993): 49-52
- Dvizhenie "V zashchitu detstva": My v otvete za budushchee. Materialy vserossiiskoi konferentsii "Ispolnit' dolg pered budushchim" (8 aprelya 2000 g.) (Moskva: Mysl', 2000)
- Florovskii, Georgii V., "The Cunning of Reason," in: Vinkovetsky and Schlacks, *Exodus to the East*, 30-40
- _____, "About Non-Historical Peoples (The Land of the Fathers and the Land of the Children)," in: Vinkovetsky and Schlacks, *Exodus to the East*, 52-68
- Gumil'ev, Lev N., "Zametki poslednego evraziitsa." *Nashe nasledie*, no. 3 (1991): 19-34
- _____, "Menya nazyvayt evraziitsem....," *Nash sovremennik*, no. 1 (1991)
- _____, "...Esli Rossiia budet spasena, to tol'ko cherez evraziistvo." Interv'yu s L.N. Gumil'evym," *Nachala*, no. 4 (1992): 4-16
- _____, *Konets i vnov' nachalo: Populyarnye lektsii po narodovedeniyu* (Moskva: Rol'f, 2002)
- _____, *Etnogenez i biosfera zemli* (Sankt-Peterburg: Azbuka-klassika, 2002)
- _____, *Konets i vnov' nachalo: Populyarnye lektsii po narodovedeniyu* (Moskva: Rol'f, 2002)
- Ikonnikov-Galitskii, A. "Gulliver sredi liliputov," in: Gumil'ev, *Etnogenez i biosfera zemli*, 7-24
- Il'in, Ivan A., *O Rossii* (Moskva: TRITE-Rossiiskii Arkhiv, 1991)
- _____, *Nashi zadachi: Istoricheskaya sud'ba i budushchee Rossii. Stat'i 1948-1954 godov*, 2 vols. (Moskva: Rarog, 1992)
- _____, "O pravoslavii i katolichestve," *Moskva*, no. 3 (1993): 3-8; *idem*, *Rodina i my*. Ed. by Yu.T. Lisitsy (Smolensk: Posokh, 1995)
- _____, *Osnovy gosudarstvennogo ustroistva: Proekt Osnovnogo zakona Rossii* (Moskva: Rarog, 1996)
- Il'in, V.V., A.S. Panarin and D.V. Badovskii, *Politicheskaya antropologiya* (Moskva: Izdatel'stvo MGU, 1995)
- Il'in, V.V., A.S. Panarin and A.S. Akhiezer, *Reformy i kontrreformy v Rossii* (Moskva: Izdatel'stvo MGU, 1996)
- Ivanov, Mikhail, "Novaya elita konceptual'noi vlasti," *Sokol Zhirinovskogo*, no. 3 (1992): 10-12
- Jamal, Gejdar, *Tawhid: Prospettive dell'Islam nell'ex URSS*. Transl. and ed. by Danilo Valdorino (Parma: Insegna del Veltro, 1993)
- Kalashnikov, Maksim, *Vpered, v SSSR-2* (Moskva: Yauza, Eksmo-Press, 2003)
- _____, *Slomannyi mech' imperii* (Moskva: Krymskii Most, 9d – Paleia, 1998)
- _____, *Bitva za nebesa* (Moskva: AST, Astrel', 2002)
- _____, *Amerikanskoe igo: Zachem diade Semu russkie raby* (Moskva: Yauza, Presskom, 2005)
- _____, *Genotsid russkogo naroda: Chto mozhet nas spasti?* (Moskva: Yauza, Presskom, 2005)
- Kalashnikov, Maksim and Yurii Krupnov, *Gnev orka* (Moskva: AST, Astrel', 2003);
- Kara-Murza, Sergei G., *Sovetskaya tsivilizatsiya* (Moskva: Algoritm, 2001)
- _____, *Evrei, dissidenty i evrokommunizm* (Moskva: Algoritm, 2002)
- _____, *Antisovetskii proekt* (Moskva: Algoritm, 2001)
- _____, *Manipulyatsiya soznaniem* (Moskva: Eksmo-Press, 2004)

- _____, *Poteryannyi razum* (Moskva: Eksmo-Press, 2005)
- _____, *Oppozitsiya kak tenevaya vlast'* (Moskva: Algoritm, 2006)
- Khairuzov, V., *Sergei Baburin: Politicheskii portret* (Moskva: Novator, 1996)
- Kliuchnikov, S., "Russkii uzel evraziistva," *Nash sovremennik*, no. 3 (1992): 174-180
- Kozhinov, V., "Istorijsophiya evraziitsev," *Nash sovremennik*, no. 2 (1992): 140-144
- Kurginyan, Sergei E. et al., *Postperestroyka: Kontseptual'naya model' razvitiya nashego obshchestva, politicheskikh partii i obshchestvennykh organizatsii* (Moskva: Izdatel'stvo politicheskoi literatury, 1990)
- _____, *Sed'moi stsenarii: Do putcha*. 1st vol. (Moskva: ETTs, 1992)
- _____, *Rossiya: Vlast' i oppozitsiya* (Moskva: ETTs, 1993)
- Kur'yanov, Yu.A., "Novyy iskhod k vostoku? Ideologiya evraziitsev i sovremennost'," *Orientatsiya: Zhurnal russkikh traditsionalistov*, no.4 (1995): 18-27
- Kuznetsov, Yu.P. and V. Nikol'skii, *Vvedenie v teoriyu natsional'noi bezopasnosti: Problemy bezopasnosti russkogo naroda i sovremennost'* (Almaty: Vernyi, 1999)
- Lev Nikolaevich Gumil'ev: *Teoriya etnogeneza i istoricheskie sud'by Evrazii. Materialy konferentsii*. 2nd Vol. (Sankt-Peterburg: Evropeiskii Dom, 2002)
- Limonov, Eduard, "'Nechisty' Limonov i ego 'chistye' kritiki: Interv'yu s samim soboi," *Den'*, no. 18 (1992): 6
- _____, *Podrostok Savenko: Povest'* (Krasnodar: Zhurnal "Kuban'," 1992)
- _____, *Ischeznoenie varvarov: Stat'i, esse*. Glagol 9 (Moskva: Zhurnal "Glagol," 1992)
- _____, "Izvrashcheniya natsionalizma," *Novyi vzglyad*, no. 117 (1993): 8
- _____, *Ubiistvo chasovogo* (Moskva: Molodaya gvardiya, 1993)
- _____, *Limonov protiv Zhirinovskogo* (Moskva: Nezavisimyi al'manakh "Konets veka," 1994)
- _____, *Anatomiya Geroya* (Smolensk: Rusich, 1998)
- _____, "We Will Eat You, Westerners, Dearest Yankees, and Arrogant Europeans," *The eXile*, no. 40 (1998), URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.exile.ru/vault/limonov/limonov40.html>
- Limonov, Eduard and Andrei Vandenko, "Ne putajte menya s Limonovym," *Novyi vzglyad*, no. 12 (1992): 1 & 4
- Matyash, Tamara, "Pravoslavie kak kul'turnyi fenomen," in: OPOD „Evraziya," *Russkaya pravoslavnaya tserkov' v prostranstve Evrazii*, 107-115
- Melent'eva, Nataliya, ed., *Geopolitika terrora: geopoliticheskie posledstviya terroristicheskikh aktov v SShA 11 sentyabrya 2001 goda* (Moskva: Arktogeya, 2002)
- Mohler, Armin, *Die Konservative Revolution in Deutschland 1918-1932: Ein Handbuch* (Darmstadt: Wissenschaftliche Buchgesellschaft, 1989 [1st edn 1950])
- Nazarov, Mikhail, *Zhit' bez strakha iyudeiska! O prichinakh, tselyakh i pervykh rezul'tatakh "Pis'ma 500-5000-15000"* (Moskva: Russaya ideya, 2005)
- N.N., "Monstruositas," *Elementy*, no. 8 (1996/97): 110
- Nukhaev, Khozh-Akhmed, *Vedeno ili Vashington?* (Moskva: Arktogeya-tsent, 2001)
- _____, *Vozvrashchenie varvarov* (Moskva: Astreya-2000, 2002)
- _____, *Rossiya i Checheniya: mir po formule Pobeda-Pobeda* (Baku: Mekhk-Kkhel, 2002)
- OPOD „Evraziya," ed., *Russkaya pravoslavnaya tserkov' v prostranstve Evrazii: materialy VI Vsemirnogo russkogo narodnogo sobora* (Moskva: Arktogeya, 2002)

- Panarin, Aleksandr S., *Stil' "retro" v ideologii i politike (kriticheskie ocherki frantsuzskogo neokonservatizma)* (Moskva: Mysl', 1989)
- _____, *Rossiya v tsiklakh mirovoi istorii* (Moskva: Izdatel'stvo MGU, 1996)
- _____, *Revansh istorii: rossiiskaya strategicheskaya initsiativa v XXI veke* (Moskva: Logos, 1998)
- _____, *Rossiiskaya intelligentsiya v mirovykh voynakh i revolyutsiyakh XX veka* (Moskva: Editorial URSS, 1998)
- _____, *Global'noe politicheskoe prognozirovanie v usloviyakh strategicheskoi nestabil'nosti* (Moskva: Editorial URSS, 1999)
- _____, *Global'noe politicheskoe prognozirovanie* (Moskva: Algoritm, 2000)
- _____, *Politologiya: O mire politiki na Vostoke i na Zapade* (Moskva: Universitet, 2001)
- _____, *Politologiya* (Moskva: Gardariki, 2001)
- _____, "Pravoslavnaya tsivilizatsiya v global'nom mire," *Evraziiskoe obozrenie*, no. 4 (11 Desember 2001): 4-5, at: URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://eurasia.com.ru/eo/4-5.html>
- _____, "Ontologiya terrora," in: Melent'eva, *Geopolitika terrora*, 45-5
- _____, *Iskushenie globalizmom* (Moskva: Eksmo-Press, 2002)
- _____, *Pravoslavnaya tsivilizatsiya v global'nom mire* (Moskva: Algoritm, 2002)
- _____, *Politologiya: Uchebnik* (Moskva: TK Velbi, 2003)
- _____, *Stategicheskaya nestabil'nost' v XXI veke* (Moskva: Algoritm, 2003);
- Panarin, A.S., I.A. Vasilenko, E.A. Kartsev, L.I. Novikova, I.N. Sizemskaya, G.K. Ovchinnikov, *Filosofiya istorii* (Moskva: Gardariki, 1999)
- Panarin, A.S. and I.A. Vasilenko, *Politologiya: Obshchii kurs* (Moskva: Logos, 2003)
- Prokhanov, Aleksandr, "Ideologiya vyzhivaniya," *Nash sovremennik*, no. 9 (1990): 3-9
- Prokhanov, Aleksandr and Nataliya Narochitskaya, "Rossiya—vsegda imperiia," *Zavtra*, no. 26(501), 25th June 2003, URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://zavtra.ru/cgi/veil/data/zavtra/03/501/21.html>
- Rabochaya gruppa Vnutrennogo prediktora Rossii, "Shpiral' istorii: kuda vedut 'dorogi na Moskvu.' Paragraf iz rukopisii, podgotovlennoi Vnutrennym prediktorom Rossii, 'Razgermetizatsiia' (1990 g.)," *Rossiyanin: Spetsialnyi vypusk Sankt-Peterburgskogo otdeleniia Russkogo natsional'nogo sobora* (Sankt-Peterburg: ONTO "Genez," 18 October 1993)
- Rabotyazh, Nikolai, "V zashchitu liberalizma: Polemika s Aleksandrom Duginym," *Nezavisimaya gazeta*, 14 April 2001
- Sachko, Galina, "Evraziitsyi o pravoslavii," in: OPOD „Evraziya“, *Russkaya pravoslavnaya tserkov' v prostranstve Evrazii*, 102-106
- Savitskii, Petr N., "The Migration of Culture," in: Vinkovetsky and Schlacks, *Exodus to the East*, 41-51
- Shafarevich, Igor', *Russkii narod v bitve tsivilizatsii* (Moskva: Eksmo-Press, 2004)
- _____, *Trëkhtsyachiletnyaya zgdka* (Moskva: Eksmo-Press, 2005)
- _____, *Zachem Rossii Zapad?* (Moskva: Eksmo-Press, 2005)
- Shtepa, Vadim, *RUtopiya* (Ekaterinburg: Ul'tra. Kul'tura, 2004)
- Smirnov, Andrei, "Apostrof," *Zavtra*, no. 40(620) (2005): 8
- Sokolov, P., M. Ivanov and Yu. Kuznetsov, Iu.P., eds., *Liberal'no-demokraticheskaiia partiia:*

- Teoreticheskaya platforma partii - kontseptsiya obshchestvennoi bezopastnosti Rossii v global'nom istoricheskom protsesse. Odobrena na 3-em s"ezde partii 18-19 apreliia 1992 g.* (Sankt-Peterburg: LDPR, 10 April 1992)
- Stroev, Valerii, "Analitiki o evraziistve," *Evraziiskoe obozrenie*, no. 5 (11 February 2002): 2
- Terracciano, Carlo, *Revolte gegen die moderne Weltordnung: Die revolutionäre Aktualität des Werkes von Julius Evola im Zeitalter der Globalisierung* (Bliestorf: Regin-Verlag, 2005)
- Trubetzkoy, N.S., *The Legacy of Genghis Khan and Other Essays on Russia's Identity* (Ann Arbor: Michigan Slavic Studies Publications, 1991)
- _____, *Nasledie Chingis-Khana* (Moskva: Agraf, 1999)
- Iskhod k Vostoku* (Sofiya: Tipografiya "Balkan," 1921)
- Verbitskii, Mikhail, "Saudovskii sled," in: Melent'eva, *Geopolitika terrora*, 135-142
- Vnutrennyi Prediktor Rossii, "Kratkii kurs...," *Biznes i uchët v Rossii: Spetsial'nyi vypusk*, no. 5-6 (1994): 3-65
- Vnutrennyi Prediktor SSSR, *Mërtvaya voda*. 3 vols. (Sankt-Peterburg: Kitezh - Derzhavnyi grad Rossii, 1992)
- Vorobyevsky, Yury, "The Path of the Absolute: Volume III. Chapter 5. Flying Stones: The Metaphysical Roots of World Politics," URL (last accessed October 2006): http://www.oag.ru/library/path_to_apocalypse/apocalypse_III-5.html
- Za yedinuyu i velikuyu Rossiyu: istoriya Rossiiskogo obshchenarodnogo soyuza v dokumentakh 1991-1994* (Moskva: Novator, 1995)
- Zotov, Viktor, "Evraziitsyi o pravoslavii," in: OPOD „Evraziya,“ *Russkaya pravoslavnaya tserkov' v prostranstve Evrazii*, 138-142
- Anatolii Ushkov, "K opredeleniyu kategorii 'imperiya'," in: OPOD „Evraziya,“ *Russkaya pravoslavnaya tserkov' v prostranstve Evrazii*, 143-145
- Zyuganov, Gennadii, "Rossiya nad bezdnoi," *Nash sovremennik*, no. 11 (1993): 182-191
- _____, "Rossiya v bor'be tsivilizatsii," *Nash sovremennik*, no. 10 (1995): 102-110

Secondary Sources

- Abu-Lughod, J., "Civil/Uncivil Society: Confusing Form with Content," in: M. Douglass and J. Friedmann, eds., *Cities for Citizens: Planning and the Rise of Civil Society in a Global Age* (Chichester: Wiley, 1998), 227-237
- Afanasjew, Walerij, „Nichtmarxistische russische Geschichtsphilosophie des 19. und 20. Jahrhunderts am Beispiel der slawophilen und eurasischen Philosophie," unpublished doctoral dissertation (Berlin: Freie Universität, 2001)
- Ahrne, Göran, "Civil Society and Uncivil Organizations," in: Jeffrey C. Alexander, ed., *Real Civil Societies: Dilemmas of Institutionalization* (London: Sage, 1998), 84-95
- Aksel'rod, Aleksandr, Lev Krichevskii and Vyacheslav Likhachev, "Antisemitizm i ksenofobiya v molodezhnoi kul'ture," *Antisemitizm i ksenofobiya v Rossiiskoi Federatsii: Informatsionno-analiticheskii byulleten'*, no. 7 (October 2000) (URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.panorama.ru:8101/works/patr/bul/bul07.zip>)
- , "Skinkhedy (britogolovye): Neonatsistskoe molodezhnoe dvizhenie," *Antisemitizm i ksenofobiya v Rossiiskoi Federatsii: Informatsionno-analiticheskii byulleten'*, no. 12 (supplement) (April-May 2001) (URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.panorama.ru:8101/works/patr/bul/bul12dop.zip>)
- Allensworth, Wayne, *The Russian Question: Nationalism, Modernization, and Post-Communist Russia* (Lanham, MD: Rowman & Littlefield, 1998)
- Al'bats, Yevgeniya, *Evreiskii vopros* (Moskva: PIK, 1995)
- Andreev, A.P. and E.V. Panasyuk, "Kazach'e dvizhenie," *Politicheskie issledovaniya*, no. 3 (15) (1993): 57-61
- Antic, Oxana, "Revival of Orthodox Brotherhoods," *RFE/RL Research Report* 1, no. 11 (13 March 1992): 62
- Antonenko, Oksana, *New Russian Analytical Centers and their Role in Political Decisionmaking* (Cambridge, MA: Strengthening Democratic Institutions Project, John F. Kennedy School of Government, Harvard University, 1996)
- Antoshchenko, Aleksandr V., "Sprory o evraziistve," in: *O Evrazii i evraziitsakh*, 7-43, or: URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.karelia.ru/psu/Chairs/PreRev/BIBLENG.RTF>
- Arato, Andrew, "Social Movements and Civil Society in the Soviet Union," in: Judith B. Sedaitis and Jim Butterfield, eds., *Perestroika from Below: Social Movements in the Soviet Union* (Boulder, CO: Westview, 1991), 197-214
- Armony, Ariel C., *The Dubious Link: Civic Engagement and Democratization* (Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press, 2004)
- Aruev, Nikolai, "Akh, uvazhaemye kolligi, dotsenty s kandidatami...", *Sankt-Peterburgskie vedomosti*, no. 21(2411) (2001), URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.mathsoc.spb.ru/formu/aruev.html>
- Aspesi, N., "Va dove ti porta il vento," *Le Repubblica*, 26th June 1994
- Backes, Uwe, *Politischer Extremismus in demokratischen Verfassungsstaaten: Elemente einer normativen Rahmentheorie* (Opladen: Westdeutscher Verlag, 1989)
- Backes, Uwe and Eckhard Jesse, eds., *Jahrbuch Extremismus & Demokratie* 1-14 (Bonn & Baden-Baden: Bouvier & Nomos, 1989-2002)
- Backes, Uwe and Cas Mudde, "Germany: Extremism without Successful Parties," *Parliamentary Affairs* 53, no. 3 (2000): 457-468

- Bader, Tobias, *Neokonservatismus, Think Tanks und New Imperialism*. Hochschulschriften 62 (Köln: PapyRossa, 2005)
- Barghoorn, Frederick C., *Soviet Russian Nationalism* (New York: Oxford University Press, 1956)
- Bar-On, Tamir, "The Ambiguities of the Nouvelle Droite, 1968-1999," *The European Leagacy* 6, no. 3 (2000): 333-351
- Bazhanov, V.A., "A Note on A.S. Panarin's *Revansh istorii*," *Europe-Asia Studies* 51, no. 4 (1999): 705-708
- Beichelt, Timm, "Konsolidierungschancen des russischen Regierungssystems," *Osteuropa* 46, no. 6 (1996): 597-609
- Bellant, Russ, *Old Nazis, the New Right, and the Republican Party: Domestic Fascist Networks, and their Effect on U.S. Cold War Politics* (Boston, MA: South End Press, 1991)
- Bellis, Paul and Jeff Gleisner, "After Perestroika: A Neo-Conservative Manifesto," *Russia and the World*, no. 19 (1991): 19-24
- Berezovskii, V.N., N.I. Krotov and V.V. Chervyakov, *Rossiia: Partii, assotsiatsii, soyuzy, kluby. Tom 1. Chast' 1. Spravochnik* (Moskva: RAU-Press, 1991)
- Berman, Ilan, "Slouching Toward Eurasia?" at: URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.bu.edu/iscip/voll2/berman.html>
- _____, "Ideologue of Empire," *Wall Street Journal*, 3rd November 2005
- Berman, Sheri, "Civil Society and the Collapse of the Weimar Republic," *World Politics* 49, no. 3 (1997): 401-429
- Black, J.L., *Russia Faces NATO Expansion: Bearing Gifts or Bearing Arms?* (Lanham, Boulder: Rowman & Littlefield Publishers, 2000)
- Blamires, Cyprian, ed., *World Fascism: A Historical Encyclopedia* (Santa Barbara, CA: ABC-Clio, 2006, in print)
- Blinkhorn, Martin, *Fascism and the Right in Europe, 1919-1945* (Harlow: Pearson, 2000)
- Bobbio, Norbert, *Left and Right: The Significance of a Political Distinction* (Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1997)
- Böss, Otto, "Zur Wirtschaftskonzeption der 'Eurasier'," in: Werner Gumpel and Dietmar Keese, eds., *Probleme des Industrialismus in Ost und West: Festschrift für Hans Raupach* (München and Wien: Olzog, 1973), 481-492
- _____, *Die Lehre der Eurasier: Ein Beitrag zur russischen Ideengeschichte des 20. Jahrhunderts* (Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz, 1961)
- Boyd, Richard, *Uncivil Society: The Perils of Pluralism and the Making of Modern Liberalism* (Lanham, MD: Lexington Books, 2004)
- Brandenberger, David L. and A.M. Dubrovsky, "'The People Need a Tsar': The Emergence of National Bolshevism as Stalinist Ideology, 1931-1941," *Europe-Asia Studies* 50, no. 5 (1998): 873-892
- Brandenberger, David L., *National Bolshevism: Stalinist Mass Culture and the Formation of Modern Russian National Identity, 1931-1956* (Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press 2002)
- Bremer, Thomas, Heike Dörrenbächer and Inken Dose, eds., *ICCEES VII World Congress: Europe—Our Common Home. Abstracts* (Berlin: German Association for East European Studies, 2005)
- Breslauer, George, Gerald Feldman, Harold James and Andrei Melville, "Weimar and Russia: Is There an Analogy?" at: URL (last accessed October 2006):

- <http://globetrotter.berkeley.edu/pubs/breslauer.html>, URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://globetrotter.berkeley.edu/pubs/feldman.html>, URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://globetrotter.berkeley.edu/pubs/james.html>, URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://globetrotter.berkeley.edu/pubs/melville.html>
- Breuer, Stefan, *Anatomie der Konservativen Revolution* (Darmstadt: Wissenschaftliche Buchgesellschaft, 1993)
- Brubaker, Rogers, *Nationalism Reframed: Nationhood and National Question in the New Europe* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1996)
- Brudny, Yitzhak M., *Reinventing Russia: Russian Nationalism and the Soviet State, 1953-1991* (Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 1998)
- Carothers, Thomas, "Think Again: Civil Society," *Foreign Policy* 117 (Winter 1999-2000), <http://www.globalpolicy.org/ngos/civsoc.htm>
- Caryl, Christian, "Is this Weimar Russia? Eerie Parallels to Germany during Hitler's Rise to Power," *US News and World Report*, 16th November 1998
- Cernych, Alla, "Sergej Kurginjan: Das siebente Szenarium," *Berliner Debatte: Initial*, no. 3 (1994): 61-64
- Chandhoke, Neera, "The 'Civil' and the 'Political' in Civil Society," *Democratization* 8, no. 2 (2001): 1-24
- Charap, Samuel, "In Search of Civil Society: An Analysis of Russian Associative Life," unpublished BA thesis, Amherst College, 2002
- Chernyshev, S.B., "Ot 'penovedeniya' k institutu budushchego: Sergey Ervandovich Kurginyan," in: Chernyshev, *Inoe*, 52-54
- _____, ed., *Inoe: Khrestomatiya novogo rossiiskogo samosoznaniya* (Moskva: Argus, 1995)
- Clark, T.D., "The Zhirinovskiy Electoral Victory: Antecedence and Aftermath," *Nationalities Papers* 23, no. 4 (1995): 767-778
- Clark, William A., "Communist Devolution: The Electoral Decline of the KPRF," *Problems of Post-Communism* 53, no. 1 (2006): 15-25, here 15
- Clover, Charles, "Dreams of the Eurasian Heartland: The Re-emergence of Geopolitics," *Foreign Affairs* 78, no. 2 (1999): 9-13
- _____, "Will the Russian bear roar again?" *Financial Times*, 2nd December 2000, URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.cdi.org/russia/johnson/4665.html##11>
- Collier, David and James Mahoney, "Conceptual 'Stretching' Revisited: Adapting Categories in Comparative Analysis," *American Political Science Review* 87 (December 1993): 845-855
- Collier, David and Steven Levitsky, "Democracy with Adjectives: Conceptual Innovation in Comparative Research," *World Politics* 49 (April 1997): 430-451
- Colton, Timothy J. and Michael McFaul, "Russia's Communist Opposition Becalmed: The KPRF and the Election of 1999," unpublished manuscript, Davis Center for Russian Studies, Harvard University, 2001
- Cr  met, Jean, "Crossover in Moskau: Russlands 'Neue Rechte' befindet sich auf dem Vormarsch," *Jungle World*, 9th September 1998, URL (last accessed October 2006): http://www.nadir.org/nadir/periodika/jungle_world/_98/37/15a.htm
- _____, "F  r eine Allianz der 'Roten' und der 'Wei  en.' Zwischen Metapolitik und Geopolitik: Zur Durchdringung Osteuropas durch die 'Neue' Rechte," in: Jean Cr  met, Felix Krebs and Andreas Speit, *Jenseits des Nationalismus. Ideologische Grenzg  nger der 'Neuen Rechten': Ein Zwischenbericht* (Hamburg/M  nster: Unrast 1999), 91-

120

- Crow, Suzanne, "Why has Russian Foreign Policy Changed?" *Radio Free Europe/ Radio Liberty Research Report* 3, no. 18 (1994): 1-6
- Cushman, Thomas, *Notes from the Underground: Rock Music Counterculture in Russian Society* (Albany: State University of New York Press, 1995)
- Dahl, Robert A., *Polyarchy: Participation and Opposition* (New Haven: Yale University Press, 1971)
- Davidheiser, Evelyn, "The CPRF: Towards Social Democracy or National Socialism?" in: Matthew Wyman, Stephen White and Sarah Oates, eds., *Elections and Voters in Post-Communist Russia* (Cheltenham: Edward Elgar 1998), 240-271
- Demirovic, Alex, "Kulturelle Hegemonie von rechts: Antonio Gramsci – gesehen von der 'nouvelle droite'," *Die neue Gesellschaft: Frankfurter Hefte* 37, no. 4 (1990): 352-357
- Derlugian, Georgi M. and Serge Cipko, "The Politics of Identity in a Russian Borderland Province: The Kuban Neo-Cossack Movement, 1989-1996," *Europe-Asia Studies* 49, no. 8 (1997): 1485-1500
- Devlin, Judith, *Slavophiles and Commissars: Enemies of Democracy in Modern Russia* (New York: St. Martin's Press, 1999)
- Diamond, Larry, *Developing Democracy: Towards Consolidation* (Baltimore and London: Johns Hopkins University Press, 1999)
- Doklad "O formakh i metodakh protivodeistviya politicheskomu ekstremizmu"* (Moskva: Fond INDEM, 1998), URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.panorama.ru/works/patr/govpol/indem.html>
- Dragunskii, D., "Massovaya kul'tura dlya izbrannykh," *Itgoi*, no. 9(94) (1998): 50-53
- Drake, Richard, *The Revolutionary Mystique and Terrorism in Contemporary Italy* (Bloomington, IN: Indiana University Press, 1998)
- Dreizin, F. "Russian Style in Emigration: Edward Limonov's Anglicisms," *Wiener Slawistischer Almanach* 22 (1988): 55-67
- Dunlop, John B., *The New Russian Revolutionaries* (Belmont, MA: Nordland Press, 1976)
- _____, *The Faces of Contemporary Russian Nationalism* (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1983)
- _____, *The New Russian Nationalism* (New York: Praeger, 1985)
- _____, "The Leadership of the Centrist Bloc," *Report on the USSR*, 8 February 1991, 4-6
- _____, "The 'Sad Case' of Igor Shafarevich," *Soviet Jewish Affairs* 24, no. 1 (1994): 19-30
- _____, *The Rise of Russia and the Fall of the Soviet Empire*, 2nd edn. (Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 1995)
- _____, "The Russian Orthodox Church as an 'Empire Saving' Institution," in: Michael Bordeaux, ed., *The Politics of Religion in Russia and the New States of Eurasia* (Armonk, NY: M.E. Sharpe, 1995), 15-40
- _____, "The 'Party of War' and Russian Imperial Nationalism," *Problems of Post-Communism* 43, no. 2 (1996): 29-34
- _____, "Alexander Barkashov and the Rise of National Socialism in Russia," *Demokrati-zatsiya* 4, no. 4 (1996): 519-530
- _____, "Aleksandr Dugin's 'Neo-Eurasian' Textbook and Dmitrii Trenin's Ambivalent Response," *Harvard Ukrainian Studies* 25, no. 1/2 (2001): 91-127
- Durnovtsev, V., "Predisloviie k publicatsii stat'i P.N. Savitskogo 'Geopoliticheskie zametki po

- russskoi istorii,” *Voprosy istorii*, no. 11/12 (1993): 120-124
- D'yakov, V.A., “O nauchnom sodержanii i politicheskikh interpretatsiyakh istoriosofii evraziistva,” *Slavyanovedenie*, no. 5 (1993): 101-115
- Eatwell, Roger, “Toward a New Model of Generic Fascism,” *Journal of Theoretical Politics* 4, no. 2 (1992): 161-194
- _____, „Fascism,” in: A. Wright and R. Eatwell, eds., *Contemporary Political Ideologies* (London: Pinter, 1993), 169-192
- _____, *Fascism: A History* (London: Chatto & Windus 1995)
- _____, “On Defining the ‘Fascist Minimum:’ The Centrality of Ideology,” *Journal of Political Ideologies* 1, no. 3 (1996): 303-319
- _____, “Towards a New Model of the Rise of Right-Wing Extremism,” *German Politics* 6 (1997): 161-184
- _____, “The Rebirth of Right-Wing Charisma? The Cases of Jean-Marie Le Pen and Vladimir Zhirinovskiy,” *Totalitarian Movements and Political Religion* 3 (2002): 1-23
- _____, „Zur Natur des ‚generischen Faschismus‘ – Das ‚faschistische Minimum‘ und die ‚faschistische Matrix‘,” in: Uwe Backes, ed., *Rechtsextreme Ideologien in Geschichte und Gegenwart* (Köln: Böhlau, 2003), 93-122.
- Eatwell, Roger and Anthony Wright, eds., *Contemporary Political Ideologies*. 2nd edn (London: Pinter, 1999)
- Eckstein, Harry, “Case Study and Theory in Political Science,” in: Gomm, Hammersley and Foster, *Case Study Method*, 119-164
- Edwards, Bob and Michael W. Foley, “Civil Society and Social Capital beyond Putnam,” *American Behavioral Scientist* 42, no. 1 (1998), URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://arts-sciences.cua.edu/pol/faculty/foley/putnam2.htm>
- Edwards, Toby Mathew, “Prelude to Fascism: A Comparative Examination of the Economic, Political, and Social Issues of Pre-Fascist Italy and Post-Soviet Russia,” California State University at Fresno, 1995
- Egorov, S.G., “Gorbachev vchera,” *Duel*, no. 25(47) (1997), URL (last accessed October 2006): http://www.duel.ru/199725/?25_3_1
- Eley, Geoff, *Reshaping the German Right: Radical Nationalism and Political Change after Bismarck* (Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press, 1994)
- Encarión, Omar G., “Civil Society and the Consolidation of Democracy in Spain,” *Political Science Quarterly* 111, no. 1 (2001): 53-79
- Epimakhova, T.V., “Evraziitsy: Politicheskaya kontseptsiya, tezis kochevnikovovedcheskoi teorii,” *Vestnik Chelyabinskogo universiteta. Seriya 1: Istoriya*, no. 2(4) (1992): 37-42
- Ermichev, A.A., “L.P. Karsavin. Russkaya ideya,” *Russkaya literatura*, no. 1 (1993): 132-136
- Eubank, William L. and Leonard Weinberg, “Terrorism and Democracy within one Country: The Case of Italy,” *Terrorism and Political Violence* 9, no. 1 (1997): 98-108
- Evans, Alfred B., Jr., “Recent Assessments of Social Organizations in Russia,” *Demokratizatsiya* 10, no. 3 (2002): 322-342
- Evans, Alfred B., Jr., Laura A. Henry, and Lisa McIntosh Sundstrom, eds., *Russian Civil Society: A Critical Assessment* (Armonk, NY: M.E. Sharpe 2005)
- “Evraziiskaya ideya: vchera, segodnya, zavtra (Iz materialov konferentsii, sostoyavsheisya v komissii SSSR po delam YuNESKO),” *Inostrannaya literatura*, no. 12 (1991): 213-228

- Faivre, Antoine, *The Eternal Hermes: From Greek God to Alchemical Magus* (Grand Rapids, MI: Phanes, 1995)
- Fein, Elke, "Zivilgesellschaftlicher Paradigmenwechsel oder PR-Aktion? Zum ersten all-russischen 'Bürgerforum' im Kreml," *Osteuropa* 52, no. 2 (2002): 158-179
- Ferguson, Niall, "Look back at Weimar and start to worry about Russia," *The Daily Telegraph*, 1st January 2004
- Fish, M. Steven, *Democracy from Scratch: Opposition and Regime in the New Russian Revolution* (Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 1995)
- _____, "The Advent of Multipartism in Russia, 1993-1995," *Post-Soviet Affairs* 11, no. 4 (1995): 340-383
- Fischer, Jens, *Eurasismus: Eine Option russischer Aussenpolitik?* (Berlin: Arno Spitz, 1998)
- Flikke, Geir, "Patriotic Left-Centrism: The Zigzags of the Communist Party of the Russian Federation," *Europe-Asia Studies* 51, no. 2 (1999): 275-298
- Freund, Michael, *Georges Sorel: Der revolutionäre Konservatismus* (Frankfurt am Main: Vittorio Klostermann 1972)
- Fritzsche, Peter, *Rehearsals of Fascism: Populism and Political Mobilization in Weimar Germany* (New York: Oxford University Press, 1990)
- Gabowitsch, Mischa, "Der russische 'Nationalpatriotismus' der Gegenwart und sein Verhältnis zum Kommunismus," in: Uwe Backes, ed., *Rechtsextreme Ideologien in Geschichte und Gegenwart* (Köln: Böhlau, 2003), 311-318
- _____, "Review of Mudde and Kopecký, *Uncivil Society?*," *Neprikosnovennyi zapas*, no. 28 (2003), at URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.nz-online.ru/index.phtml?aid=20010669>
- Galkin, Aleksandr A., "Capitalist Society and Fascism," *Social Sciences: USSR Academy of Sciences*, no. 2 (1970): 80-85
- _____, *Sotsiologiya neofashizma* (Moskva: Nauka, 1971)
- _____, "O fashizme – vser'ez," *Svobodnaya mysl'*, no. 5 (1992): 13-23
- _____, "Ekonomicheskie predposylki gitlerizma," *Svobodnaya mysl'*, no. 4 (1993): 21-24
- _____, "Fashizm: korni, priznaki, formy proyavleniya," *Politicheskie issledovaniya*, no. 2(26) (1995): 6-15
- _____, "Rossiiskii fashizm?" *Sotsiologicheskii zhurnal*, no. 2 (1994): 17-27
- _____, *Zapadnya: rasskaz o tom, chto prinës nemetskim rabochim natsional-sotsializm* (Moskva: Russko-amerikanskii fond profsoyuznykh issledovaniy i obucheniya, 1995)
- Galkin, Aleksandr A. and Iurii Krasin, "O pravom radikalizme v rossiiskom obshchestve," *Obozrevatel'*, no. 12 (1995): 52-58
- _____, "Russische Wahlen in den Ruinen sowjetischer Modernisierung: Informationen zum Nachdenken," *Das Argument* 36, no. 2(204) (1994): 199-209
- Gavrilov, Aleksandr, "Radi krasno-korichnogo slova," *Grani*, 4 June 2002, at: URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.grani.ru/bookprize/articles/prochanov/print.html>
- Gebhard, Petra, "Eine Brücke zwischen Europa und Asien: Die Lehre der Eurasier in der gegenwärtigen Diskussion um die russische Identität," unpublished M.A. thesis, Johannes Gutenberg-Universität Mainz, 1994, 35-71
- Gerring, John, *Social Science Methodology: A Critical Framework* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2001)
- Gessenharter, Wolfgang, *Kippt die Republik?: Die Neue Rechte und ihre Unterstützung*

- durch Politik und Medien* (München: Knaur, 1994)
- Gibson, James, "Social Networks, Civil Society and the Prospects for Consolidating Russia's Democratic Transition," *American Journal of Political Science* 45, no. 1 (2001): 51-69
- Girenok, F.I., "Evraziiskie tropy," *Vestnik vysshei shkoly*, no. 7/9 (1992): 34-43
- , "Novye dikiye: Evraziiskie tropy. Fragmenty," *Vestnik Moskovskogo universiteta. Seriya 12: Sotsial'no-politicheskie issledovaniya*, no. 5 (1993): 36-42
- Glasser, Susan B., "Russian Revises His Heritage: Anti-Semitic Politician Zhirinovskiy Admits Father Was Jewish," *The Washington Post*, 17th July 2001, A13
- Glatzer Rosenthal, Bernice, "Political Implications of the Early Twentieth-Century Occult Revival," in: *idem*, ed., *The Occult in Russian and Soviet Culture* (Ithaca: Cornell University Press, 1997), 379-418
- Gleisner, Jeff, "Russia's Post-Industrial Patriots," *Russia and the World*, no. 17 (1990): 19-24
- Goble, Paul, "Eurasians Organize 'Anti-Orange' Front in Russia, CIS," *Johnson's Russia List*, no. 9242 (2005), #27
- Goldhagen, Daniel J., *Hitler's Willing Executioners: Ordinary Germans and the Holocaust* (New York: Vintage Books, 1997)
- Gomm, Roger, Martyn Hammersley and Peter Foster, eds., *Case Study Method: Key Issues, Key Texts* (London: SAGE, 2000)
- Gray, John, "Post-Totalitarianism, Civil Society, and the Limits of the Western Model," in: Zbigniew Rau, ed., *The Reemergence of Civil Society in Eastern Europe and the Soviet Union* (Boulder, CO: Westview Press, 1991), 145-160
- Gregor, A. James, *Contemporary Radical Ideologies: Totalitarian Thought in the Twentieth Century* (New York: Random House, 1968)
- , *The Ideology of Fascism: The Rationale for Totalitarianism* (New York: Free Press, 1969)
- , *The Fascist Persuasion in Radical Politics* (Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 1974)
- , *Italian Fascism and Developmental Dictatorship* (Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 1979)
- , "Fascism and the New Russian Nationalism," *Communist and Post-Communist Studies* 31, no. 1 (1998): 1-15
- , *Phoenix: Fascism in Our Time* (New Brunswick, NJ: Transaction Publishers, 1999)
- , *The Faces of Janus: Fascism and Marxism in the Twentieth Century* (New Haven, CT: Yale University Press, 2000)
- , "Roger Griffin, Social Science, 'Fascism' and the 'Extreme Right'," *Erwägen Wissen Ethik* 15, no. 3 (2004): 316-318
- , "Once Again on Roger Griffin and the Study of 'Fascism'," *Erwägen Wissen Ethik* 15, no. 3 (2004): 387-390
- , "Andreas Umland and the 'Fascism' of Alexander Dugin," *Erwägen Wissen Ethik* 15, no. 3 (2004): 426-429
- , "Response to Dr. Andreas Umland," *Erwägen Wissen Ethik* 15, no. 4 (2004): 594-595
- Griffin, Roger, *The Nature of Fascism* (London: Pinter, 1991)
- , *The Nature of Fascism*. 2nd edn (London: Routledge, 1993)

- _____, ed., *Fascism* (Oxford: Oxford University Press 1995)
- _____, ed., *International Fascism: Theories, Causes and the New Consensus* (London: Arnold, 1998)
- _____, "Net Gains and GUD Reactions: Patterns of Prejudice in a Neo-fascist *Groupuscule*," *Patterns of Prejudice* 33, no. 2 (1999): 31-50
- _____, "Plus ça change! The Fascist Mindset behind the Nouvelle Droite's Struggle for Cultural Renewal," in: Edward Arnold, ed., *The Development of the Radical Right in France 1890-1995* (London: Routledge, 2000), 217-252
- _____, "Between Metapolitics and *Apoliteia*: The New Right's Strategy for Conserving the Fascist Vision in the 'Interregnum'," *Modern and Contemporary France* 8, no. 2 (2000): 35-53
- _____, "Interregnum or Endgame? Radical Right Thought in the 'Post-fascist' Era," *Journal of Political Ideologies* 5, no. 2 (July 2000): 163-178
- _____, "The Primacy of Culture: The Current Growth (or Manufacture) of Consensus within Fascist Studies," *Journal of Contemporary History* 37, no. 1 (2002): 21-43
- _____, "From Slime Mould to Rhizome: An Introduction to the Groupuscular Right," *Patterns of Prejudice* 36, no. 3 (2002): 27-50
- Griffin, Roger in collaboration with Matthew Feldmann, eds., *Fascism. Critical Concepts in Political Science*. 5 Vols. (London: Routledge 2004)
- _____, eds., *Fascism 5: Post-war Fascisms. Critical Concepts in Political Science* (London and New York: Routledge 2004)
- Gudkov, Lev, "Russkii neotraditsionalizm i soprotivlenie peremenam," in: V.S. Malakhov and V.A. Tishkov, eds., *Mul'tikul'turalizm i transformatsiya postsovetskikh obshchestv* (Moskva: IEA RAN, 2002), 124-147
- _____, "'Ich hasse, also bin ich': Zur Funktion der Amerika-Bilder und des Antiamerikanismus in Rußland," *Osteuropa* 52, no. 8 (2002): 997-1004
- Gusev, V.A., "Konservativnaya politologiya Ivana Il'ina," *Sotsiologicheskie issledovaniya*, no. 4 (1992): 64-70
- Guseynov, Gasan, *Karta nashey Rodiny: Ideologema mezhdu slovom i telom* (Helsinki: Institute for Russian and East European Studies, 2000), 95-96
- Hagemeister, Michael, "The Revival of Eurasianism," Paper presented at the 5th World Congress of the International Council for Central and East European Studies, Warsaw, 6-11 August 1995
- _____, "Eurasierium und Nationalismus," Paper presented at the workshop "Der russische Nationalismus im europäischen Kontext: Wurzeln und Erscheinungsformen," Internationale Forschungszentrum Kulturwissenschaften, Vienna, 15-16 December 1995
- _____, "Anti-Semitism, Occultism, and Theories of Conspiracy in Contemporary Russia – The Case of Il'ia Glazunov," in: Vladimir Paperni, ed., *Philo-Semitism and Anti-Semitism in Modern Russia and Eastern Europe* (Haifa-Jerusalem: Hebrew University, 2004), 235-241
- Hahn, Anne, "Voll tolerant oder was dürfen uns sibirische Dorfdeppen singen? Über eine Auseinandersetzung um den Sänger Jegor Letow," URL (last accessed October 2006): http://www.satt.org/gesellschaft/02_10_letow_1.html
- Hahn, Gordon M., "Opposition Politics in Russia," *Europe-Asia Studies* 46, no. 2 (1994): 305-335
- _____, "The Rebirth of Eurasianism," *CDI Russia Weekly*, no. 215 (12-18 July 2002), at: URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.cdi.org/russia/215-14-pr.cfm>

- Hale, Henry E., "Civil Society from Above? Statist and Liberal Models of State-Building in Russia," *Demokratizatsiya* 10, no. 3 (2002): 306-321
- Hanson, Stephen E., *Ideology, Uncertainty, and the Rise of Anti-System Parties in Post-communist Russia*. Studies in Public Policy Number 289 (Glasgow: Centre for the Study of Public Policy, University of Strathclyde, 1997)
- Hanson, Stephen E. and Jeffrey S. Kopstein, "The Weimar/Russia Comparison," *Post-Soviet Affairs* 13, no. 3 (1997): 252-283
- Henry, Laura A. and Lisa McIntosh Sundstrom, "Introduction," in: Evans, Henry and Sundstrom, *Russian Civil Society*, 3-8
- , "Russian Civil Society: Tensions and Trajectories," in: Evans, Henry and Sundstrom, *Russian Civil Society*, 305-325
- Herzinger, Richard, and H. Stein, *Endzeit-Propheten oder die Offensive der Antiwestler: Fundamentalismus, Antiamerikanismus und Neue Rechte* (Reinbeck bei Hamburg: Rowohlt, 1995)
- Hielscher, Karla, "Konturen der 'geistigen Opposition' im heutigen Rußland: Alexander Prochanow und die Zeitung der Neuen Rechten Djen," *Die Neue Gesellschaft: Frankfurter Hefte* 39, no. 5 (1992): 443-449
- , "Der Eurasismus: Die neoimperiale Ideologie der russischen 'Neuen Rechten'," *Die Neue Gesellschaft: Frankfurter Hefte* 40, no. 5 (1993): 465-469
- , "Die Eurasien-Ideologie: Geschichtsmythen der russischen 'Neuen Rechten'," *Glaube in der 2. Welt*, no. 7/8 (1993): 25-30
- Hilger, Norbert, "Armin Mohler und der Neokonservatismus," *Die Neue Gesellschaft: Frankfurter Hefte* 38, no. 8 (1991): 718-724
- Hirscher, G., "Schirinowskij und der deutsche Rechtsextremismus," in: Uwe Backes and Eckhard Jesse, eds., *Jahrbuch Extremismus und Demokratie* 6 (Bonn: Bouvier, 1994): 162-179
- Hoffman, Stefani, "No Love from Russia," in: Barry Rubin and Judy Colp Rubin, eds., *Loathing America* (Herzliya: The Global Research in International Affairs Center, 2004), 23-41
- Holmes, Douglas R., *Integral Europe: Fast-Capitalism, Multiculturalism, Neofascism* (Princeton: Princeton University Press 2000), 78
- Horvath, Robert, "The Specter of Russophobia," *The Soviet and Post-Soviet Review* 25, no. 2 (1998): 199-222
- Howard, Marc M., "Postcommunist Civil Society in Comparative Perspective," *Demokratizatsiya* 10, no. 3 (2002): 285-305
- , *The Weakness of Civil Society in Post-Communist Europe* (New York: Cambridge University Press, 2003)
- Iggers, Georg, "Heinrich von Treitschke," in: Hans-Ulrich Wehler, ed., *Deutsche Historiker* (Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, 1973), 174-188
- Ignatow, Assen, "Das postkommunistische Vakuum und die neuen Ideologien: Zur gegenwärtigen geistigen Situation in Rußland," *Osteuropa* 43, no. 4 (1993): 311-327
- , "Geopolitische Theorien in Rußland heute," *Berichte des Bundesinstituts für internationale und ostwissenschaftliche Studien*, no. 17 (1998);
- , "Esoterik als Geschichtsdeutung: Lev Gumilevs 'historiosophische' Lehren," *Forum für osteuropäische Ideen- und Zeitgeschichte* 6, no. 1 (2002): 13-41
- Ignat'ev, Andrei, "Anti-Dugin ili mify geopolitiki i real'nosti," URL (last accessed October 2006): http://www.kontrudar.ru/article_14.html

- Ingold, Felix Philipp, "Partiotisches Krisenmanagement: Thesen und Debatten um den russischen Sonderweg," *Neue Züricher Zeitung*, 24th February 2006
- Ingram, Alan, "Alexander Dugin: Geopolitics and Neo-Fascism in Post-Soviet Russia," *Political Geography* 20, no. 8 (2001): 1029-1051
- Isaev, Igor', "Evraziistvo: mif ili real'nost'?" *Kommunist*, no. 12 (1991): 106-118
- , "Geopoliticheskie korni avtoritarnogo myshleniya: Istoricheskii opyt evraziistva," *Druzhba narodov*, no. 11 (1993): 139-149
- , "Evraziistvo: ideologiya gosudarstvennosti," *Obshchestvennye nauki i sovremennost'*, no. 5 (1994): 42-55
- Ishiyama, John T., "Red Phoenix? The Communist Party of Post-Soviet Russian Politics," *Party Politics* 2, no. 2 (1996): 147-175
- Ivanov, Leonid J., *Rußland nach Gorbatschow: Wurzeln-Hintergründe-Trends der sich formierenden Gruppierungen – Perspektiven für die Zukunft* (Passau: Wissenschaftsverlag Rothe, 1996)
- Jackson, William D., "Fascism, Vigilantism, and the State: The Russian National Unity Movement," *Problems of Post-Communism* 46, no. 1 (1999): 34-42
- Jawlinskij, Grigorij, "Gedemütigt, wie Deutschland nach dem Versailler Frieden," *Frankfurter Allgemeine Zeitung*, 9th December 1994
- Jochmann, Werner, "Structure and Functions of German Anti-Semitism, 1878-1914," in: Herbert A. Strauss, ed., *Hostages of Modernization: Studies on Modern Antisemitism, 1870-1933/39* (Berlin: Walter de Gruyter, 1993), 52-53
- Ju, Jin-Sook, *Tschetschenienkrieg und nationalisistischer Diskurs in Russland: Am Beispiel von KPRF und Jabloko*. Forschungsstelle Osteuropa: Arbeitspapiere und Materialien 66 (Bremen: Universität Bremen, 2005)
- Kaiser, Markus, "Einführung: Die russischen Debatte und ihre Re-Orientierung zwischen Asien und Europa," in: *idem*, *Auf der Suche nach Eurasien*, 111-124
- , "Postsowjetisches Eurasien—Dimensionen der symbolischen und realen Raumaneignung," in: *idem*, *Auf der Suche nach Eurasien*, 79-106
- , ed., *Auf der Suche nach Eurasien: Politik, Religion und Alltagskultur zwischen Russland und Europa* (Bielefeld: transcript, 2004)
- Kaledin, Nikita, "Terapiya okazalas' bessil'noi pered maniei Dugina-mladshego pereustroit' mir," *Stringer*, 1st May 2003, URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.compromat.ru/main/dugin/podpolje.htm>
- Kats, Aleksandr, "Doktor Zyuganov," URL (last accessed October 2006): http://zhurnal.lib.ru/k/kac_a_s/zuganov.shtml
- Katz, Jacob, *From Prejudice to Destruction: Antisemitism, 1700-1933* (Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 1980)
- Kaznina, O.A., "D.P. Svyatopolk-Mirskii i evraziiskoe dvizhenie," *Nachala*, no. 4 (1992): 81-88
- Kharkhordin, Oleg, "Civil Society and Orthodox Christianity," *Europe-Asia Studies* 50, no. 6 (1998): 949-968
- , *Main Concepts of Russian Politics* (Lanham: University Press of America, 2005)
- Kholodkovsky, K.G., ed., *Grazhdanskoe obshchestvo v Rossii: struktury i soznanie* (Moskva: Nauka, 1998)
- Khoruzhii, S.S., "Rossiya, Evraziya i otets Georgii Florovskii," *Nachala*, no. 3 (1991): 22-30
- , "Transformatsiya slavyanofil'skoi idei v 20 veke," *Voprosy filosofii*, no. 11 (1994): 52-

- _____, "Karsavin, evraziistvo i VKP," *Voprosy filosofii*, no. 2 (1992): 78-87
- Kitschelt, Herbert, in collaboration with A.J. McGann, *The Radical Right in Western Europe: A Comparative Analysis* (Ann Arbor: The University of Michigan Press, 1995)
- Kleineberg, Michael, and Markus Kaiser, „'Eurasien'—Phantom oder reales Entwicklungsmodell für Rußland?“ *Universität Bielefeld. Fakultät für Soziologie. Forschungsschwerpunkt Entwicklungssoziologie – Working Paper*, no. 338 (2001)
- Klepikova, Elena and Vladimir Solovyov, *Zhirinovskiy: The Paradoxes of Russian Fascism*. Transl. by Catherine A. Fitzpatrick (Harmonsworth, Middlesex, Viking/Penguin Group, 1995)
- Kochanek, Hildegard, "Die Ethnienlehre Lev N. Gumilevs: Zu den Anfängen neu-rechter Ideologie-Entwicklung im spätkommunistischen Rußland," *Osteuropa* 48 (1998): 1184-1197
- Kolerov, M.A., "Bratstvo sv. Sofii: 'Vekhovtsy' i evraziitsy (1921-1925)," *Voprosy filosofii*, no. 10 (1994): 143-151
- Kolesnichenko, Yu.V., "Opyt evraziistva: tema lichnosti v otechestvennoi filosofii," *Vestnik Moskovskogo universiteta. Seriya 12: Sotsial'no-politicheskie issledovaniya*, no. 1 (1994): 71-77
- Kolesnichenko, Aleksandr, "Skrytaya ugroza," *Novye izvestiya*, 27th January 2004, URL (last accessed October 2006): http://www.newizv.ru/news/?id_news=4163&date=2004-01-27
- Kolesnikov, Andrey, "Posle podvodnoi lodki: Na katastrofakh otrabatyvaetsya informatsionnaya politika," *Izvestiya*, no. 161 (29 August 2000): 3
- Kolossov, Vladimir and Rostislav Turovsky, "Russian Geopolitics at the Fin-de-siecle," *Geopolitics* 6, no. 1 (2001): 141-164
- Koman, Alan J., "The Last Surge to the South: The New Enemies of Russia in the Rhetoric of Zhirinovskiy," *Studies in Conflict & Terrorism* 19 (1996): 279-327
- Kopecký, Petr, "Civil Society, Uncivil Society, and Contentious Politics in Post-Communist Europe," in: Mudde and Kopecký, *Uncivil Society?* 1-18
- Kopstein, Jeffrey S. and Stephen E. Hanson, "Paths to Uncivil Societies and Anti-Liberal States: A Reply to Shenfield," *Post-Soviet Affairs* 14, no. 4 (1998): 369-375
- Korenyako, Vladimir, "Etnonatsionalizm, kvaziistoriografiya i akademicheskaya nauka," in: A. Malashenko and M.B. Olcott, eds., *Real'nost' etnicheskikh mifov* (Moskva: Moskovskii tsentr Karnegi, Gendal'f, 2000), 34-52
- Kosharnyi, V.P., "Evraziistvo kak ob'ekt mezhdistsiplinarnogo sinteza," *Vestnik Moskovskogo universiteta. Seriya 7: Filosofiya*, no. 4 (1994): 9-19
- Kosichkina, Mavra, "Putin's New Style: Moderation and Precision, Against the Backdrop of a 'Soviet Mentality' Renaissance," *Politruk*, no. 56 (6 June 2001): 2 (URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.wps.ru:8101/chitalka/politruk/en/20010606.shtml>)
- Koulieri, Olga, "Russian 'Eurasianism' and the Geopolitics of the Black Sea," in: M. Sheehan, ed., *Security Dynamics of the Black Sea Region: Greek Geo-Political Perspectives*. Conflict Studies Research Centre Special Series 43 (Camberley, Surrey: The Defence Academy of the UK, 2003)
- Kozlovskii, V.V., I.A. Savkin, "'Tretii put' evraziistva," *Veche: Al'manakh russkoi filosofii i kul'tury*, no. 1 (1994): 17-25
- Krotow, Nikolaj and Galina Luchterhandt, "Zwischen 'Patriotismus' und 'Sozialdemokratie': Der Kommunist Gennadij Sjunganow," *Osteuropa* 44, no. 9 (1994): 855-861

- Kulka, Otto D., "The Critique of Judaism in Modern European Thought: Genuine Factors and Demonic Perceptions," in: Robert S. Wistrich, ed., *Demonizing the Other: Antisemitism, Racism and Xenophobia* (Amsterdam: Harwood Academic Publishers, 1999), 197-209
- Laitin, David, "The Civic Culture at Thirty," *American Political Science Review* 89, no. 1 (1995): 168-176
- Lapidus, Gail W., "State and Society: Toward the Emergence of Civil Society in the Soviet Union," in: Seweryn Bialer, ed., *Politics, Society, and Nationality Inside Gorbachev's Russia* (Boulder, CO: Westview Press, 1989), 121-148
- Laqueur, Walter, ed., *Fascism: A Readers Guide* (Harmondsworth, Middlesex: Penguin 1979)
- _____, *Black Hundred: The Rise of the Extreme Right in Russia* (New York: HarperCollins, 1993)
- _____, *Fascism: Past, Present, Future* (New York: Oxford University Press, 1996)
- _____, *Faschismus: Gestern, Heute, Morgen* (München: Propyläen, 1997)
- Laruelle, Marlène, *L'idéologie eurasiste russe ou comment penser l'empire*. Preface by Patrick Sériot (Paris: L'Harmattan, 1999)
- _____, "Pereosmyslenie imperii v postsovetском prostranstve: novaya evraziiskaya ideologiya," *Vestnik Evrazii – Acta Eurasica*, no. 1(8) (2000): 5-18
- _____, "Lev N. Gumilev (1912-1992): biologisme et eurasisme en Russie," *Revue des Études slaves* 72, no. 1-2 (2000): 163-189
- _____, "Le néo-eurasisme Russe: l'empire après l'empire?" *Cahiers du Monde russe* 42, no. 1 (2001): 71-94
- _____, "Kogda prisvaivaetsya intellektual'naya sobstvennost', ili O protivopolozhnosti L.N. Gumil'eva i P.N. Savitskogo," *Vestnik Evrazii – Acta Eurasica*, no. 4(15) (2001): 5-19
- _____, "The Two Faces of Contemporary Eurasianism: An Imperial Version of Russian Nationalism," *Nationalities Papers* 32, no. 1 (2004): 115-136
- _____, *Ideologiya russkogo evraziistva ili Mysli o velichii imperii* (Moskva: Natalis, 2004)
- _____, "Aleksandr Panarin i 'tsivilizatsionnyi natsionalizm' v Rossii," in: Verkhovskii, *Russkii natsionalizm*, 165-182
- _____, "Aleksandr Dugin: A Russian Version of the European Radical Right?" *Kennan Institute Occasional Papers*, no. 294 (2006), URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.wilsoncenter.org/news/docs/OP294.pdf>
- Latysheva, Marina, "Putin i bezdna," *Versiya*, no. 19 (29 May – 4 June 2001) (URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.agentura.ru/text/press/2001/bezdna.txt>)
- Lawson, Kay and Peter H. Merkl, eds., *When Parties Fail: Emerging Alternative Organizations* (Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 1988)
- Lebedeva, Katja and Hans-Jürgen Lehnert, "Neoslawophile contra Westler: Neue alte Polarisierungen in der russischen Kultur," *Berliner Debatte INITIAL*, no. 6 (1992): 11-16
- Lee, Martin A., *The Beast Reawakens* (Boston, MA: Little, Brown & Company, 1997), 323-325
- Leggewie, Claus, *Druck von rechts: Wohin treibt die Bundesrepublik?* (München: Beck, 1993)
- Lenk, Kurt, *Rechts, wo die Mitte ist* (Baden-Baden: Nomos, 1994)
- Levkin, Andrey, "Chto takoe 'Evraziya', kazhdyi (poka?) ponimaet po svoemu," at: URL (last

- accessed October 2006): <http://www.smi.ru/2001/04/24/988131062.html>
- Levy, Richard S., *The Downfall of the Anti-Semitic Political Parties in Imperial Germany* (New Haven, CT: Yale University Press, 1975)
- Li, X., "Democracy and Uncivil Societies: A Critique of Civil Society Determinism," in: R.K. Fullinwider, ed., *Civil Society, Democracy, and Civic Renewal* (Lanham, MD: Rowman & Littlefield, 1999)
- Likhachev, Vyacheslav, "My i nash diagnoz: Radikaly nachinayut i proigryvayut," *Obshchaya gazeta*, no. 24 (14 June 2001): 15
- _____, "Chto predstavlyaet soboi Russkoe Natsional'noe Edinstvo kak organizatsiya," Paper presented (by Vladimir Pribylovskii) at the 33rd Annual Convention of the American Association for the Advancement of Slavic Studies, Arlington, Virginia, 15-18 November 2001
- _____, *Natsizm v Rossii* (Moskva: Panorama, 2002).
- _____, "Yazyk Vrazhdy v oppozitsionnykh politicheskikh izdaniyakh," in: Aleksandr Verkhovskii, ed., *Yazyk moi...: Problema etnicheskoi i religioznoi neterpimosti v rossiiskikh SMI* (Moskva: Panorama, 2002), 82-99
- _____, "Nationalist Radicals in Contemporary Russia: Ideology, Activities, and Relationship to the Authorities," in: T. Lokshina, ed., *Nationalism, Xenophobia and Intolerance in Contemporary Russia*. Transl. by MBS Intellect Services Inc. (Moskva: Moscow Helsinki Group, 2002), 259-281
- _____, "Rossiiskie musul'mane i antisemitizm," *Evrei Evrazii*, no. 1(2) (2003): 4-12
- _____, *Politicheskii antisemitizm v Rossii* (Moskva: Academia, 2003)
- Likhachev, Vyacheslav and Vladimir Pribylovskii, eds., *Russkoe Natsional'noe Edinstvo: Istoriya, politika, ideologiya. Informatsionnyi paket* (Moskva: Panorama, 1997, 2nd edn 2001)
- _____, eds., *Russkoe Natsional'noe Edinstvo, 1990-2000: V 2-kh tomakh*. Soviet and Post-Soviet Politics and Society 10 (Stuttgart and Hannover: ibidem, 2005)
- Lincoln, Yvonna S. and Egon G. Guba, "The Only Generalization Is: There Is no Generalization," in: Gomm, Hammersley and Foster, *Case Study Metod*, 27-44
- Linnik, Yu., "Evraziisty," *Sever*, no. 12 (1989): 138-153
- Linz, Juan J. and Alfred Stepan, *Problems of Democratic Transition and Consolidation: Southern Europe, South America and Post-Communist Europe* (Baltimore: The Johns Hopkins University Press, 1996)
- Lobkovits, Nikolaus, "Karl Shmitt—katolicheskii fashist?" *Forum noveishei vostochnoevropeiskoi istorii i kul'tury* 1, no. 1 (2004), URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www1.ku-eichstaett.de/ZIMOS/forum/docs/lobkowicz.pdf>
- Lohmann, Hans-Martin, ed., *Extremismus der Mitte: Vom rechten Verständnis deutscher Nation* (Frankfurt am Main: Fischer Taschenbuch Verlag, 1994)
- Luchterhandt, Galina, "Der 'zentristische' Block," *Aktuelle Analysen des BIOst*, no. 46 (1991)
- Luks, Leonid, "Die Ideologie der Eurasier im zeitgeschichtlichen Zusammenhang," *Jahrbücher für Geschichte Osteuropas* 34 (1986): 374-395
- _____, "Evraziistvo," *Voprosy filosofii*, no. 6 (1993): 105-114
- _____, "Evraziistvo i konservativnaya revolyutsiya: Soblazny antizapadnichestva v Rossii i Germanii," *Voprosy filosofii*, no. 3 (1996): 57-69
- _____, "'Tretii put', ili Nazad v Tretii reikh?" Translated by A.V. Markin, *Voprosy filosofii*, no. 5 (2000): 33-44

- _____, "Der 'Dritte Weg' der 'neo-eurasischen' Zeitschrift 'Élementy' – zurück ins Dritte Reich?" *Studies in East European Thought* 52, no. 1-2 (2000): 49-71
- _____, "Zametki o 'revolyutsonno-traditsionalistskoi' kul'turnoi modeli 'evraziitsev'," *Forum noveishei vostochnoevropeiskoi istorii i kul'tury* 1, no. 2 (2004), URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www1.ku-eichstaett.de/ZIMOS/forum/docs/8Evraziicy.pdf>
- _____, "Die Sehnsucht nach der 'organischen nationalen Einheit' und die 'jüdische Frage' im publizistischen Werk Fedor Dostoevskijs und Heinrich von Treitschkes," in: Leonid Luks and Florian Anton, eds., *Deutschland, Russland und das Baltikum: Beiträge zu einer Geschichte wechselvoller Beziehungen. Festschrift zum 85. Geburtstag von Peter Krupnikow* (Köln, Weimar, Wien: Böhlau, 2005), 155-186
- _____, *Der russische "Sonderweg"? Aufsätze zur neuesten Geschichte Russland im europäischen Kontext*. Soviet and Post-Soviet Politics & Society 16 (Stuttgart: ibidem, 2005)
- Maler, Arkadii, "Natsional-bol'shevizm: konets temy," URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.kprf.ru/projects/left/internal/31237.html>
- Manikhin, O.V., "Evraziistvo: Predchuvstviya i sversheniya," *Sovetskaya bibliografiya*, no. 1 (1991): 78-81
- Marakasov, Vladimir, "Cherepovetskii poligon," in: Vladimir Ilyushenko, ed., *Nuzhen li Gitler Rossii?: Po materialam Mezhdunarodnogo foruma "Fashizm v totalitarnom i posttotalitarnom obshchestve: ideynye osnovy, sotsial'naya baza, politicheskaya aktivnost'"*, Moskva, 20-22 yanvarya 1995 goda (Moskva: PIK, 1996), 60-62
- March, Luke, "For Victory? The Crisis and Dilemmas of the Communist Party of the Russian Federation," *Europe-Asia Studies* 53, no. 2 (2001): 263-290
- Mathyl, Markus, "Is Russia on the Road to Dictatorship? (Transl. by Janet Biehl)," *Left Green Perspectives*, no. 34 (1995), URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.social-ecology.org/lgp/issues/lgp34.html>
- _____, "Die offenkundige Nisse und der rassenmäßige Feind': Die National-Bolschewistische Partei (NBP) als Beispiel für die Radikalisierung des russischen Nationalismus," *Halbjahresschrift für südosteuropäische Geschichte, Literatur und Politik* 9, no. 2 (1997): 7-15; 10, no. 1 (1998): 23-36
- _____, "Das Entstehen einer nationalistischen Gegenkultur im Nachperestroika-Rußland," *Jahrbuch für Antisemitismusforschung* 9 (2000): 68-107
- _____, "Hammer und Sichel in der Fahne Hitlers," in: Roland Roth and Dieter Rucht, eds., *Jugendkulturen, Politik und Protest: Vom Widerstand zum Kommerz* (Opladen: Leske+Budrich, 2000), 211-37
- _____, "Alexander Dugin and the National-Bolshevik Party: Between the Search for a 'Russian Socialism' and International Fascism," Paper presented at the 33rd Annual Convention of the American Association for the Advancement of Slavic Studies, Arlington, Virginia, 15-18 November 2001
- _____, "Der 'unaufhaltsame Aufstieg' des Aleksandr Dugin: Neo-Nationalbolschewismus und Neue Rechte in Russland," *Osteuropa* 52, no. 7 (2002): 885-900
- _____, "Die Konstruktion eines Feindes: Antisemitismus und Antiamerikanismus in Aleksandr Dugins Neo-Nationalbolschewismus," Paper presented at the Annual Convention of the German Society for East European Studies "Vorurteile als politische Barrieren," Göttingen, 7-8 March 2002
- _____, "Grenzenloses Eurasien," *Jungle World*, no. 45 (2002)
- _____, "The National-Bolshevik Party and Arctogaia: Two Neo-fascist Groupuscules in the Post-Soviet Political Space," *Patterns of Prejudice* 36, no. 3 (2003): 62-76
- Matich, Olga, "The Moral Immoralist: Edward Limonov's *Èto Ja – Èdička*," *Slavic and East*

- European Journal* 30, no. 4 (1986): 526-540
- Maureen Perrie, *The Cult of Ivan the Terrible in Stalin's Russia* (Houndsmills: Palgrave, 2001)
- McDaniel, Tim. *The Agony of the Russian idea*. Princeton, N.J.: Princeton University Press, 1996
- McFaul, Michael, "Russian Centrism and Revolutionary Transition," *Post-Soviet Affairs* 9, no. 3 (1993): 196-222
- , *The 1996 Russian Presidential Elections: The End of Polarized Politics* (Stanford, CA: Hoover Institution Press, 1997)
- Mehnert, Klaus, *Stalin versus Marx: The Stalinist Historical Doctrine* (London: Allen & Unwin 1952)
- Mey, Alexandra, *Russische Schriftsteller und Nationalismus 1986-1995: Vladimir Solouchin, Valentin Rasputin, Aleksandr Prochanov, Édouard Limonov*. Dokumente und Analysen zur russischen und sowjetischen Kultur 12/II (Bochum and Freiburg: projekt, 2004)
- Minkenberg, Michael, *Neokonservatismus und Neue Rechte in den USA: Neuere konservative Gruppierungen und Strömungen im Kontext sozialen und kulturellen Wandels* (Baden-Baden: Nomos, 1990)
- , "Die Neue Rechte in den USA: Kulturelle Dimension und politischer Prozeß," in: Jürgen Falter, Hans-Gerd Jaschke and Jürgen Winkler, eds., *Rechtsextremismus: Ergebnisse und Perspektiven der Forschung* (Opladen: Westdeutscher Verlag, 1996), 443-463
- , "Die amerikanische konservative Revolution: Radikale Rechte und Republikanische Partei am Ende des Jahrhunderts," *Aus Politik und Zeitgeschichte* B43 (1996): 45-53
- , "The New Right in France and Germany: Nouvelle Droite, Neue Rechte and the New Right Radical Parties," in: Peter H. Merkl and Leonard Weinberg, eds., *The Revival of Right-wing Extremism in the Nineties* (London: Frank Cass, 1997), 65-90
- , *Die neue radikale Rechte im Vergleich: USA, Frankreich, Deutschland* (Wiesbaden: Westdeutscher Verlag, 1998)
- , "Context and Consequence: The Impact of the New Radical Right on the Political Process in France and Germany," *German Politics and Society* 16, no. 3 (1998): 1-23
- , "Die Christliche Rechte und die amerikanische Politik von der ersten zur zweiten Bush-Administration," *Aus Politik und Zeitgeschichte* B46 (2003): 23-32
- Minkenberg, Michael and Ina Stephan, "Intelktualisierung von rechts? Die französische Neue Rechte und ihr Einfluß auf die Politik," in: *Frankreich Jahrbuch 1998* (Opladen: Leske+Budrich, 1998), 151-167
- Mishin, Andrey, "Geopolitika i ezoterika: Kto vy gospodin Dugin," at: URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.raven.kiev.ua/DOSSIER/7.2000/dugin.htm>
- Misukhin, Gleb, "Rossiya v veimarskom zerkalie, ili Soblazn' legkogo uznvaniya," *Pro et Contra* 3, no. 3 (1998): 111-123
- Mitrofanova, Anastasiya V., *Politizatsiya "pravoslavnogo mira"* (Moskva: Nauka, 2004)
- , *The Politicization of Russian Orthodoxy: Actors and Ideas*. Soviet and Post-Soviet Politics & Society 13 (Stuttgart: ibidem, 2005)
- Mitrokhin, Nikolai, *Russkaya partiya: Dvizhenie russkikh natsionalistov v SSSR, 1953-1985* (Moskva: Novoe literaturnoe obozrenie, 2003)

- _____, "Ot 'Pamyati' k skinkhedam Luzhkova: Ideologiya russkogo natsionalizma v 1987-2003 godakh," *Neprikosnovennyi zapas*, no. 5(31) (2003): 37-46
- "Molodezh' i natsional-ekstremizm," at: URL (last accessed October 2006): http://www.aha.ru/~ofa/9_1_vstup1.htm
- Mondry, Henrietta, "'Political Philology': Nationalism in the Russian Literary Press (1993-1996)," in: Vladimir Tikhomirov, ed., *In Search of Identity: Five Years Since the Fall of the Soviet Union* (Melbourne: Centre for Russian and Euro-Asian Studies, University of Melbourne, 1996), 133-142
- Moroz, Evgenii, "Podnyavshii svastiku: Imperskii proekt Vladimira Kucherenko," URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://xeno.sova-center.ru/29481C8/2948351>
- Morozov, Evgenii, *Istoriya "Mertvoi vody" – ot strashnoi skazki k real'noi politike: Politicheskoe neoyazychestvo v postsovetsoi Rossii*. Soviet and Post-Soviet Politics & Society 17 (Stuttgart and Hannover: *ibidem*, 2005)
- Moses, J.C., "The Challenge to Soviet Democracy from the Political Right," in: Hubert and Kelley, eds., *Perestroika-Era Politics*, 105-128
- Motyl, Alexander, "Vladimir Zhirinovskiy: A Man of His Times," *The Harriman Review* 7, no. 7-9 (1994): 11-18
- Mudde, Cas, *The Ideology of the Extreme Right* (Manchester: Manchester University Press, 2000)
- _____, "Civil Society in Post-Communist Europe: Lessons from the 'Dark Side,'" in: Mudde and Kopecký, *Uncivil Society?* 157-170
- Mudde, Cas and Petr Kopecký, eds., *Uncivil Society? Contentious Politics in Eastern Europe* (London: Routledge, 2003)
- Mukhin, Aleksandr and Vladimir Pribylovskii, *Kazach'e dvizhenie v Rossii i stranakh blizhnego zarubezh'ya (1988-1994 gody)*, 1st Vol. (Moskva: Panorama, 1994)
- Mukhin, Andrey and Natalya Rostova, "Eduard Limonov popal iz bani v 'Lefortovo,'" *Segodnya*, no. 82 (14 April 2001): 3
- Naarden, Bruno, "'I am a genius, but no more than that:' Lev Gumilev (1912-1992), Ethnogenesis, the Russian Past and World History," *Jahrbücher für Geschichte Osteuropas* 44 (1996): 54-82
- NDPR—partiya russkogo naroda (Moskva: Natsional'naya gazeta, 2005)
- Nekhoroshev, Grigory, "'Evraziitsy' reshili operet'sya na Vladimira Putina," *Nezavisimaya gazeta*, no. 73 (24 April 2001): 2
- Neterpimost' v Rossii: Starye i novye fobii* (Moskva: Moskovskii tsentr Karnegi, 1999)
- A.A. Nikishenkov, "N.S. Trubetskoi i fenomen evraziiskoi etnografii," *Etnograficheskoe obozrenie*, no. 1 (1992): 89-92
- Novikova, L.I. and I.N. Sizemskaya, "Evraziiskii iskus," *Filosofskie nauki*, no. 12 (1991): 103-108
- _____, "Politicheskaya programma evraziitsev: real'nost' ili utopiya?" *Obshchestvennye nauki i sovremennost'*, no. 1 (1992): 104-109
- _____, "Dva lika evraziistva," *Svobodnaya mysl'*, no. 7 (1992): 100-110
- _____, eds., *Rossiya mezhdru Evropoi i Aziei: Evraziiskii soblazn'* (Moskva: Nauka, 1993)
- O Evrazii i evraziitsakh (bibliograficheskii ukazatel')* (Petrozavodsk: Izdatel'stvo Petrozavodskogo universiteta, 1996)
- Ochirova, T.N., "Evraziistvo i puti russkogo istoricheskogo samopoznaniya," *Izvestiia RAN: Seriya literatura i yazyk* 52:4 (1993): 34-47

- _____, "Geopoliticheskaya kontseptsiya evraziistva," *Obshchestvennyie nauki i sovremenost'*, no. 1 (1994): 47-55
- Oittinen, Vesa, "Eurasismus – eine Integrationsideologie für Rußland?" *Blätter für deutsche und internationale Politik*, no. 11 (1994): 1379-1386
- _____, "Ein populistischer Zwitter: Rußlands KP zwischen Leninismus und Staatspatriotismus," *Blätter für deutsche und internationale Politik* 40, no. 8 (1995): 946-955
- Omel'chenko, N.A., "Spory o evraziistve: Opyt istoricheskoi rekonstruktsii," *Politicheskie issledovaniya*, no. 3 (1992): 156-163
- Oreshkin, Dmitrii, "Spor Slavyan," *Moskovskie novosti*, 15th April 2003
- Ortung, Robert W., "The Russian Right and the Dilemmas of Party Organisation," *Soviet Studies* 44, no. 3 (1992): 445-478
- Orum, Anthony M., Joe R. Feagin and Gideon Sjoberg, "Introduction: The Nature of the Case Study," in: Anthony M. Orum, Joe R. Feagin and Gideon Sjoberg, eds., *A Case for the Case Study* (London: The University of North Carolina Press, 1991), 1-26
- Otto, Robert C., "Gennadii Ziuganov: The Reluctant Candidate," *Problems of Post-Communism* 46, no. 5 (1999): 37-47
- Ryszard Paradowski, "The Eurasian Idea and Leo Gumilëv's Scientific Ideology," *Canadian Slavonic Papers* 41, no. 1 (1999): 19-32
- Parland, Thomas, *The Rejection in Russia of Totalitarian Socialism and Liberal Democracy: A Study of the Russian New Right* (Helsinki: Finish Society of Science and Letters, 1993)
- _____, *The Extreme Nationalist Threat in Russia: The Growing Influence of Western Rightist Ideas* (London: RoutledgeCurzon, 2005)
- Parthé, Kathleen, "Chto delaet pisatelya 'russkim'? 'Rusifikatsiya' russkoi literatury posle 1985 goda," *Voprosy literatury*, no. 1 (1996): 83-120
- _____, "The Empire Strikes Back: How Right-Wing Nationalists Tried to Recapture Russian Literature," *Nationalities Papers* 24, no. 4 (December 1996): 601-624
- Pashchenko, V.Ya., "Evraziitsy i my," *Vestnik Moskovskogo universiteta. Seriya 12: Sotsial'no-politicheskie issledovaniya*, no. 3 (1993): 79-89
- Payne, Leigh A., *Uncivil Movements: The Armed Right Wing and Democracy in Latin America* (Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press, 2000)
- Pedahzur, Ami and Leonard Weinberg, "Modern European Democracies and Its Enemies: The Threat of the Extreme Right," *Totalitarian Movements and Political Religions* 2, no. 1 (2001): 52-72
- Pedahzur, Ami, "The Potential Role of 'Pro-Democratic Civil Society' in Responding to Extreme Right-Wing Challenges: The Case of Brandenburg," Paper presented at the 97th Annual Convention of the American Political Science Association, San Francisco, 30 August - 2 September 2001
- Peters, Tim, "Der Reichspräsident in der Weimarer Republik und der Präsident der Russischen Föderation im Vergleich," unpublished MA thesis, University of Bonn, 2002
- Petro, Nicolai N., "Russia's Alternative Political Organizations: The Re-emergence of Civil Society," in his *The Rebirth of Russian democracy: An Interpretation of Political Culture* (Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 1995), 112-148
- Pfahl-Traughber, Armin, "'Gramscismus von rechts'? Zur Gramsci Rezeption der Neuen Rechten in Frankreich und Deutschland," *Blick nach rechts*, no. 21 (28 September 1992): 3-5

- _____, "Ich bin ein Faschist: Armin Mohlers offenes Bekenntnis," *Blick nach Rechts* 13, no. 13 (1996): 4-5
- _____, *Konservative Revolution und Neue Rechte: Rechtsextremistische Intellektuelle gegen den demokratischen Verfassungsstaat* (Opladen: Leske+Budrich, 1998)
- Pilkington, Hilary, "Farewell to the *Tusovka*: Masculinities and Feminities on the Moscow Youth Scene," in: Pilkington, Hilary, ed., *Gender, Generation and Identity in Contemporary Russia* (London: Routledge, 1996), 236-263
- Piontkowskii, Andrei, "Anna i Prezident," URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://grani.ru/society/media/m.11577.html>
- Pirani, Simon, "State Patriotism in the Politics and Ideology of Gennday Zyuganov," *Slovo* (London) 10, no. 1-2 (1998): 179-197
- Pittman, Riitta, "Writers and Politics in the Gorbachev Era," *Europe-Asia Studies* 44, no. 4 (1992): 665-685
- Podberezkin, Aleksandr, *Russkii put': sdelai shag!* 3rd edn. (Moskva: RAU-Universitet, 1998)
- Polianski, Igor J., "Der patriotische Konsens gegen 'Gelb,' 'Schwarz' und 'Orange': Impressionen und Hintergründe aktueller nationalistischer Diskurse in Russland," URL (last accessed October 2006): http://www.zeitgeschichte-online.de/zol/_rainbow/documents/pdf/zol_int/polianski_natdiskurse.pdf
- Polishchuk, V.I., ed., *Deyatel'nostnoe ponimanie kul'tury kak vida chelovecheskogo bytia* (Nizhnevartovsk: Nizhnevartovskii gosudarstvennyi pedagogicheskii institut, 2004)
- Poltoratskii, Nikolai P., *Ivan Aleksandrovich Il'in: Zhizn', trudy, mirovoztvorenie. Sbornik statei* (Tenaflay, NJ: Hermitage, 1989)
- Ponomareva, L.V., ed. *Evrasiya: Istoricheskie vzglyady russkikh emigrantov* (Moskva: Insitut vseobshchei istorii RAN, 1992)
- Polyannikov, Timur, "Po tropam Khimery, ili razmyshlenniia o evraziistve i 'novom mirovom poriadke.' Chast' pervaya," *Kontinent* [Kazachstan], no. 21(83), 30th October – 12th November 2002, URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.continent.kz/2002/21/17.html>
- Pribylovskii, Vladimir, ed., *Russkie natsionalisticheskie i pravoradikal'nye organizatsii, 1989-1995: Dokumenty i teksty*. 1st Vol. (Moskva: Panorama, 1995)
- _____, *Vozhdi: Sbornik biografii rossiiskikh politicheskikh deyatelei natsionalisticheskoi i impersko-patrioticheskoi orientatsii* (Moskva: Panorama, 1995)
- _____, "Russkoe neoyazychestvo – kvazireligiia natsionalizma i ksenofobiia," in: Verkhovskii, Mikhaylovskaya and Pribylovskii, *Politicheskaya ksenofobiia*, 123-133
- _____, "Neoyazycheskoe krylo v russkom natsionalizme," Paper presented at the 33rd Annual Convention of the American Association for the Advancement of Slavic Studies, Arlington, Virginia, 15-18 November 2001
- Proskurin, Oleg, "Natsional'nyi bestseller-2001, ili apofeoz Prokhanova," *Russkii zhurnal*, 4 June 2002, at: URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.geksogen.veshnyaki.ru/p72.html>
- Przeworski, Adam, *Democracy and the Market* (New York: Cambridge University Press, 1991)
- Pulzer, Peter G.J., *The Rise of Political Antisemitism in Germany and Austria*, rev. edn. (Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 1988)
- Putnam, Robert D. with Robert Leonardi and Raffaella Y. Nanetti, *Making Democracy Work: Civic Traditions in Modern Italy* (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1993)
- Radyshevskii, Dmitrii, "Soiuz ravvinov s kazakami," *Moskovskie novosti*, no. 15 (10 April 2001): 13

- Reddaway, Peter and Dmitri Glinski, *The Tragedy of Russia's Reforms: Market Bolshevism Against Democracy* (Washington, DC: United States Institute of Peace Press, 2001)
- Rees, E.A., "Stalin and Russian Nationalism," in: Geoffrey Hosking and Robert Service, eds., *Russian Nationalism: Past and Present* (Houndsmills: Macmillan, 1998), 77-106
- Rezhabek, Boris G., "Noosfera ili arktogeya?" *Vestnik Rossiiskogo filososkogo obshchestva*, no. 3(19) (2001)
- _____, "Merzlaya zemlya evraziitsa Dugina," *Lebed': nezavisimyi al'manakh*, no. 248 (2001), URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.lebed.com/2001/art2744.htm>
- Riasanovsky, Nicholas V., "Afterword: The Emergence of Eurasianism," in: Vinkovetsky and Schlacks, *Exodus to the East*, 115-142
- Rich, Endryu [Andrew] and Kent R. Uiver [Weaver], "Propagandisty i analitiki: 'mozgovye tsentry' i politizatsiya ekspertov," *Pro et Contra* 8, no. 2 (2003): 64-89
- Robinson, M.A., L.P. Petrovskii, "N.N. Durnovo i N.S. Trubetskoi: problema evraziistva v kontekste 'Dela slavistov' (Po materialam OGPU-NKVD...)," *Slavyanovedenie*, no. 4 (1992): 68-82
- Rock, Stella, "An Aggressive Faith: Extreme Nationalism in the Russian Orthodox Brotherhood Movement," Paper presented at the 33rd Annual Convention of the American Association for the Advancement of Slavic Studies, Arlington, Virginia, 15-18 November 2001
- Rogachevskii, Andrei, "Dangerous Liaisons: Eduard Limonov and Ataman Krasnov," in: P. Pesonen, Yu. Kheinonen and G.V. Obatnina, eds., *Modernizm i postmodernizm v russkoi literature i kul'ture*. *Studia Russica Helsingiensa et Tartuensa* 5 (Helsinki: Slavica Helsingiensa, 1996), 419-439
- _____, "The National Bolshevik Party (1993-2001): A Brief History," Paper presented at the 33rd Annual Convention of the American Society for the Advancement of Slavic Studies, Arlington, Virginia, 15-18 November 2001
- _____, "The Doppelgänger, or the Quest for Love: Eduard Limonov as Vladimir Maiakovskii," *Canadian American Slavic Studies* 30, no. 1 (1996): 1-44
- _____, "Lev Gumilev i evreiskii vopros (po lichnym vospominaniyam)," *Solnechnoe spletenie* (Jerusalem), no. 18/19 (2001): 358-368
- _____, *Biographical and Critical Study of Russian Writer Eduard Limonov*. *Studies in Slavic Language and Literature* 20 (Lewiston, NY: Edwin Mellen, 2004)
- _____, *The National-Bolshevik Party*. *Soviet and Post-Soviet Politics and Society* (Stuttgart: ibidem, forthcoming)
- Rohrmoser, Günter, "Menetekel Weimar über Jelzin? Das russische Volk kämpft geistig um das eigene Überleben," *Die Welt*, 30th October 1993
- Rose, Richard, "Uses of Social Capital in Russia: Modern, Pre-modern, and Anti-modern," *Post-Soviet Affairs* 16, no. 1 (2000): 33-57
- Rossiiskii nauchnyi forum "Rossiya. Kul'tura. Budushchnost'."* 2nd vol. (Chelyabinsk: Chelyabinskaya gosudarstvennaya akademiya kul'tury i isskustv, 2005)
- Rossman, Vadim, *Russian Intellectual Antisemitism in the Post-Communist Era*. *Studies in Antisemitism* (Lincoln, NE: The University of Nebraska Press/ The Vidal Sassoon International Center for the Study of Antisemitism, 2002)
- Russell, Gary P., "Exploring the 'Weimar Russia' Analogy," unpublished MA thesis, Monterey Institute, 1999
- Sander, Gerhard, "Deterministische Wurzeln und funktionaler Einsatz des 'Geo' in Geopolitik," *WeltTrends*, no. 4 (1994): 8-20

- Sarkisyan, Manuel', *Rossiia i messianizm: K "russkoi idee"* N.A. Berdyaeva (Sankt-Peterburg: Izatel'stvo Sankt-Peterburgskogo universiteta, 2005)
- Sartori, Giovanni, "Concept Misformation in Comparative Politics," *American Political Science Review* 64, no. 4 (1970): 1033-1053
- _____, "Guidelines for Concept Analysis," in: Sartori, Giovanni, ed., *Social Science Concepts: A Systematic Analysis* (Beverly Hills: Sage, 1984), 15-48
- Schmidt, Henrike, "'Kein betrüblicher Systemfehler'? Zum Phänomen des künstlerisch-politischen Extremismus in der russischen Internetkultur," in: Birgit Menzel, ed., *Kulturelle Konstanten im Wandel: Zur Situation der russischen Kulture heute*. Dokumente und Analysen zur russischen und sowjetischen Kultur 28 (Bochum: projekt, 2004), 211-244
- Shmidt, Yu.M., ed., *Problemy otvetstvennosti za razzhiganie mezhnatsional'noi rozni* (Moskva: Memorial, 1993)
- Schneider, Eberhard, "Die Konservativen im Volkskongress der UdSSR: Die Deputierten-gruppe 'Union'," *Bundesinstitut für ostwissenschaftliche und internationale Studien: Aktuelle Analysen*, no. 15 (1991)
- Schroeder, Burkhard, *Im Griff der rechten Szene: Ostdeutsche Städte in Angst* (Reinbeck bei Hamburg: Rowohlt, 1997)
- Schwartz, Peter and James Ogilvy, *The Emergent Paradigm: Changing Patterns of Thought and Belief* (Menlo Park, CA: SRI International 1999)
- Sedgwick, Mark, *Against the Modern World: Traditionalism and the Secret Intellectual History of the Twentieth Century* (New York: Oxford University Press, 2004)
- Sedov, Leonid, "Problemy prezidentskikh vyborov 2008 goda," URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://levada.ru/press/2005080302.html>
- Seidt, Hans-Ulrich, "Eurasische Träume? Afghanistan und die Kontinuitätsfrage deutscher Geopolitik," *Orient* 45, no. 3 (2004): 1-20
- Semenkin, N.S., "Kontseptsia 'pravyashchego otbora' kak politicheskaya ideya evraziistva," *Etnopoliticheskii vestnik*, no. 1 (1994): 179-193
- Shatalov, Aleksandr, "A Revolution Delayed," *Index on Censorship*, no. 1 (1995): 41-43
- Shcheglov, A., *Vozvrashchenie bogov: Politicheskaya sotsiologiya neoyazychestva* (Moskva: Probel, 1999)
- Sheelagh, Stewart, "Happy Ever After in the Marketplace: Non-government Organisations and Uncivil Society," *Review of African Political Economy* 24, no. 71 (1997): 11-34
- Shelley, Louise, "Organized Crime Groups: 'Uncivil Society'," in: Evans, Henry and Sundstrom, *Russian Civil Society*, 95-109
- Shenfield, Stephen D., "Making Sense of Prokhanov," *Détente*, no. 5 (1987): 28-29, 51
- _____, "The Weimar/Russia Comparison: Reflections on Hanson and Kopstein," *Post-Soviet Affairs* 14, no. 4 (1998): 355-368
- _____, *Russian Fascism: Traditions, Tendencies, Movements* (Armonk, NY: M.E. Sharpe, 2001)
- _____, "The Movement 'In Defense of Childhood'," *JRL Research and Analytical Supplement*, no. 4 (January 2002): 2-3
- _____, "The Extreme Right: Decline or Transmutation?" *JRL Research and Analytical Supplement*, no. 9 (June 2002): sec. 3, at: URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.cdi.org/russia/johnson/6309.htm>
- Shenkman, Yan, "Iz marginalov—v mainstream: Ekstremistskuyu literaturu chitayt ne iz printsiptov, a ot skuki," *Nezavisimaya gazeta—Ex Libris*, 22 September 2005, URL

- (last accessed October 2006): http://exlibris.ng.ru/subject/2005-09-22/1_mainstream.html
- Sherman, Aleksandr, "Vstupim v real'nost' stol' udivitel'nuyu, chto malo ne pokazhetsya: Interv'yu is Aleksandrom Duginym (Natsional-bol'shevistskaya partiya)," at: URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.zhurnal.ru:8085/5/duginsh.htm>, 5
- Shevchenko, Maksim, "Podderzhivaet li Patriarkhiya radikal'nykh sionistov?" *Nezavisimaya gazeta: Religii*, no. 8 (25 April 2001): 1
- Shevelev, G., "Preobrazhenie dukha: Zametki evraziitsa," *Mezhdunarodnaya zhizn'*, no. 11 (1991): 86-91
- Shiraev, Eric and Vladislav Zubok, *Anti-Americanism in Russia: From Stalin to Putin* (New York: Palgrave, 2000)
- Shlapentokh, Dmitrii V., "Eurasianism: Past and Present," *Communist and Post-Communist Studies* 30 (1997): 129-151
- _____, "Red-to-Brown' Jews and Russian Liberal Reform," *Washington Quarterly* 21, no. 4 (1998): 107-126
- _____, "The Illusions and Realities of Russian Nationalism," *The Washington Quarterly* 21, no. 1 (1999): 173-186
- _____, "Russian Nationalism Today: The Views of Alexander Dugin," *Contemporary Review* 279, no. 1626 (2001): 29-37
- _____, "Russia's Foreign Policy and Eurasianism," URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.eurasianet.org/departments/insight/articles/eav080205a.shtml>
- Shlapentokh, Vladimir, "Russian Attitudes toward America: A Split between the Ruling Class and the Masses," *World Affairs* 164, no. 1 (2001): 17-23
- Shnirel'man, Viktor, "Evraziiskaya ideya i teoriya kul'tury," *Etnograficheskoe obozrenie*, no. 4 (1996), 3-16
- _____, "Evraziitsy i evrei," *Vestnik Evreiskogo universiteta v Moskve*, no. 11 (1996): 4-45, here 4
- _____, "Evraziistvo i natsional'nyi vopros (vmesto otveta V.V. Karlovu)," *Etnograficheskoe obozrenie*, no. 2 (1997), 112-125
- _____, *Neoyazychestvo i natsionalizm: Vostochnoevropeyskii areal. Issledovaniya po prikladnoi i neotlozhnoi etnologii* 114 (Moskva: Institut etnologii i antropologii RAN, 1998)
- _____, *Russian Neo-Pagan Myths and Antisemitism*. ACTA 13 (Jerusalem: The Vidal Sassoon International Center for the Study of Antisemitism, 1998)
- _____, "Gde lezhat istoki 'Mirovogo zla'? : Neonatsizm v rossiiskoi massovoi literature," *Diagnoz: Antifashistskoe obozrenie*, no. 4 (May 1999): 14-15
- _____, ed., *Neoyazychestvo na prostorakh Evrazii* (Moskva: Bibleysko-bogoslovskii institut sv. Apostola Andrey, 2001)
- _____, "Russkie, nerusskie i evraziiskii federalism: evraziitsy i ikh opponenty v 1920-e gody," *Slavyanovedenie*, no. 4 (2002): 3-20
- _____, *Intellektual'nye labirinty: Ocherki ideologii v sovremennoi Rossii* (Moskva: Academia, 2004)
- Shnirelman, Victor and Sergei Panarin, "Lev Gumilev: His Pretensions as a Founder of Ethnology and his Eurasian Theories," *Inner Asia* 3, no. 1 (2001): 1-18
- Shukman, A., "Taboos, Splits and Signifiers: Limonov's *Eto ya - Edichka*," *Essays in Poetics*, no. 2 (1983)

- Simakin, Dmitrii, "Doktora nevidimogo fronta," *Nezavisimaya gazeta*, 24 March 2006, URL (last accessed October 2006): http://www.ng.ru/inquiry/2006-03-24/1_doctors.html
- Simon, Gerhard, "Gennadij Sjuganow," *Die politische Meinung* 41, no. 318 (1996): 17-24
- Simonsen, Sven Gunnar, *Politics and Personalities: Key Actors in the Russian Opposition* (Oslo: PRIO, 1996)
- _____, "Aleksandr Barkashov and Russian National Unity: Blackshirt Friends of the Nation," *Nationalities Papers* 24, no. 4 (1996): 625-639
- Slater, Wendy, "The Russian Communist Party Today," *RFE/RL Research Report* 3, no. 31 (1994): 1-6
- _____, "The Patriots' Pushkin," *Slavic Review* 58, no. 2 (1999): 407-427
- _____, "Imagining Russia: The Ideology of Russia's National Patriotic Opposition," unpublished Ph.D. dissertation, University of Cambridge 1998
- Smith, J.A., *The Idea Brokers: Think Tanks and the Rise of the New Policy Elite* (New York: Free Press, 1991)
- Sobolev, A.V., "Polyusa evraziistva: L. P. Karsavin (1882-1952), G. V. Florovskii (1893-1979)," *Novyi mir*, no. 1 (1991): 180-182
- _____, "Svoja svoikh ne poznasha. Evraziistvo: L.P. Karsavin i drugie (konspekt issledovaniya)," *Nachala*, no. 4 (1992): 49-58
- Sokolov, Mikhail, "Natsional-bol'shevistskaya partiya: ideologicheskaya evolyutsiya i politicheskii stil," in: Verkhovskii, *Russkii natsionalizm*, 139-164
- Solovei, Valery, "Zuby drakona: Molodezhnyi radikalizm – rezerv 'bol'shoi' politiki," *Vek*, no. 17, 27 April 2001
- Spechler, Dina Rome, *Russian Nationalism and Political Stability in the USSR* (Cambridge, MA: Center for International Studies, MIT, and Center for International Affairs, Harvard University, 1983)
- Spektorowski, Alberto, "The New Right: Ethno-regionalism, Ethno-pluralism and the Emergence of a Neo-fascist 'Third Way'," *Journal of Political Ideologies* 8, no. 1 (2003): 111-130
- Stammler, Heinrich A., "Europa-Russland-Asien: Der 'eurasische' Deutungsversuch der russischen Geschichte," *Osteuropa*, no. 12 (1962): 521-528
- Starovoitova, Galina, "Modern Russia and the Ghost of Weimar Germany," in: Heyward Isham, ed., *Remaking Russia* (Armonk, NY: M.E. Sharpe, 1995), 129-145
- Steeves, Paul D., "Russian Orthodox Fascism After Glasnost," Paper presented at the Conference on Faith and History, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania, 8 October 1994, URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.stetson.edu/~psteeves/rusorthfascism.html>
- Sternhell, Zeev, *The Birth of Fascist Ideology: From Cultural Rebellion to Political Revolution* (Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press 1994)
- Stone, D., *Capturing Political Imagination: Think Tanks and the Policy Process* (Portland, OR: Frank Cass, 1996)
- Stonov, Leonid, "The Role of the Russian Orthodox Church in Promoting Antisemitism and Religious Persecution," in: Nikolai Butkevich, ed., *Antisemitism, Xenophobia and Religious Persecution in Russia's Regions, 1998-1999* (Washington, DC: Union of Councils for Soviet Jews, 1999), 23-25
- Tabolina, T.V., *Panorama sovremennogo kazachestva: istoki, kontury, tipologizatsiya. Issledovaniya po prikladnoi i neotlozhnoi etnologii* 58 (Moskva: Institut etnologii i antropologii RAN, 1994)
- Tarasov, Aleksandr, "Offspring of Reforms – Shaven Heads Are Skinheads: The New Fascist

- Youth Subculture in Russia," *Russian Politics and Law* 39, no. 1 (January-February 2001): 43-89
- Tarrow, Sidney, "Making Social Science Work Across Space and Time: A Critical Reflection on Robert Putnam's *Making Democracy Work*," *American Political Science Review* 90, no. 2 (1996): 389-397
- Teague, Elizabeth, "The 'Soiuz' Group," *Radio Liberty. Report on the USSR*, 17 May 1991, 16-21
- Thom, Françoise "Eurasianism: A New Russian Foreign Policy?" *Uncaptive Minds* 7, no. 2 (26) (1994): 65-77
- Tikhonravov, Yu.V., *Geopolitika: Uchebnoe posobie* (Moskva: INFRA-M, 2000)
- Timmermann, Heinz, "Die Kommunistische Partei der Russischen Föderation," *Bundesinstituts für ostwissenschaftliche und internationale Studien: Aktuelle Analysen*, nos. 69 & 70 (1995)
- , "Renaissance der KP Rußlands: Programm, Struktur und Perspektiven der Sjuganow Partei," *Europäische Rundschau* 24, no. 2 (1996): 59-80
- , "Die Wiederkehr der KP Rußlands: Programm, Struktur und Perspektiven der Sjuganow Partei," *Berichte des Bundesinstituts für ostwissenschaftliche und internationale Studien*, no. 12 (1996)
- , "Rußlands KP: Zwischen angepaßtem Leninismus und Volkspatriotismus," *Osteuropa* 47, no. 8 (1997): 749-761
- Tolstych, V., A. Galkin, V. Loginov, A. Buzgalin, "Der russische Faschismus im Widerstreit," *Utopie kreativ: Diskussion sozialistischer Alternativen*, no. 52 (1995): 65-72
- Tolz, Vera, "The Radical Right in Post-Communist Russian Politics," in: Peter H. Merkl and Leon Weinberg, ed., *The Revival of Right-Wing Extremism in the Nineties* (London: Frank Cass, 1997), 177-202
- Toporova, A., "'Natsboly' v Sankt-Peterburge: obrazy i povsednevnost'," in: V.V. Kostyusheva, ed., *Molodezhnye dvizheniya i subkul'tury Sankt-Peterburga (sotsiologicheskii i antropologicheskii analiz)* (Sankt-Peterburg: Norma, 1999), 117-127
- Troianov, A.A., "Izuchenie evraziistva v sovremennoi zarubezhnoi literature: Kratkii obzor," *Nachala*, no. 4 (1992): 99-103
- Troitsky, A., *Rock in Rußland: Rock und Subkultur in der UdSSR*. Transl. by M. Sax (Wien: Hannibal-Verlag, 1989)
- Tsygankov, Andrei P., "From Internationalism to Revolutionary Expansionism: The Foreign Policy Discourse of Contemporary Russia," *Mershon International Studies Review* 41, no. 2 (1997): 247-268
- , "Hard-line Eurasianism and Russia's Contending Geopolitical Perspectives," *East European Quarterly* 32 (1998): 315-324
- , *Whose World Order? Russia's Perception of American Ideas after the Cold War* (Notre Dame: University of Notre Dame Press, 2004)
- , "Aleksandr Panarin kak zerkalo rossiiskoi revoyutsii," unpublished paper (San Francisco 2005)
- Tsyburskii, Vadim L., "'Novye pravye' v Rossii: Natsional'nye predposylki zaimstvovaniya ideologii," in: Tatyana I. Zaslavskaya, ed., *Kuda idet Rossiya?: Al'ternativy obshchestvennogo razvitiya*, 2nd Vol. (Moskva: Aspekt Press, 1995), 472-482
- , "Dve Evrazii: omonimiya kak klyuch k ideologii rannego evraziistva," *Acta Eurasica—Vestnik Evrazii*, no. 1-2(4-5) (1998)
- Tucker, Robert C., *Stalin in Power: The Revolution from Above, 1928-1941* (New York: Nor-

ton 1992)

- Tugarinov, I.A., "Evraziistvo v krughe nashego vnimaniya," *Vestnik vysshei shkoly*, no. 7/9 (1992): 16-24
- Uhlín, Anders, *Post-Soviet Civil Society: Democratization in Russia and the Baltic States*. BASEES/Routledge Series on Russian and East European Studies 25 (London and New York: Routledge, 2006)
- Umland, Andreas, "Ein Gespräch mit Wladimir Shirinowskij," *Die Neue Gesellschaft: Frankfurter Hefte* 41, no. 2 (1994): 114-117
- _____, "Wladimir Shirinowskij in der russischen Politik: Einige Hintergründe des Aufstiegs der Liberal-Demokratischen Partei Rußlands," *Osteuropa* 44, no. 12 (1994): 1117-1131
- _____, "The Zhirinovsky Interview," *Woodstock Road Editorial: An Oxford Magazine of International Affairs*, no. 16 (1994): 3-5
- _____, "Die Sprachrohre des russischen Revanchismus," *Die Neue Gesellschaft: Frankfurter Hefte* 42, no. 10 (1995): 916-921
- _____, "Vladimir Zhirinovskii in Russian Politics: Three Approaches to the Emergence of the Liberal-Democratic Party of Russia, 1990-1993," unpublished Dr.phil. dissertation, Freie Universität Berlin, 1997
- _____, "The Post-Soviet Russian Extreme Right," *Problems of Post-Communism* 44, no. 4 (1997): 53-61
- _____, "Post-Soviet Politics: A History Still Beginning," *Patterns of Prejudice* 34, no. 2 (2000): 132-134
- _____, "Sravnitel'ny analiz krayne pravykh grupp na Zapade: Po povodu knigi M. Minkenberg," *Politicheskie issledovaniya*, no. 3(62) (2001): 174-179
- _____, "Pravyi ekstremizm v postsovetsoi Rossii," *Obshchestvennye nauki i sovremennost'*, no. 4 (2001): 71-84
- _____, "A German Le Pen?" *Patterns of Prejudice* 35, no. 4 (2001): 91-93
- _____, "Zhirinovskii as a Fascist: Palingenetic Ultra-Nationalism in the Ideology of the Liberal-Democratic Party of Russia," Paper presented at the 97th Annual Meeting of the American Political Science Association, San Francisco, 30 August - 2 September 2001
- _____, "The Pseudo-Threat of Russian Neo-Nazism: Symbolical and Ideological Handicaps of the RNE," Paper presented at the 33rd Annual Convention of the American Association for the Advancement of Slavic Studies, Arlington, Virginia, 15-18 November 2001
- _____, "Toward an Uncivil Society? Contextualizing the Recent Decline of Parties of the Extreme Right Wing in Russia," *Demokratizatsiya: The Journal of Post-Soviet Democratization* 10, no. 3 (2002): 362-391
- _____, "Toward an Uncivil Society? Contextualizing the Recent Decline of Extremely Right-Wing Parties in Russia," *Weatherhead Center for International Affairs Working Paper Series*, no. 3 (2002), URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.ciaonet.org/wps/uma01/>
- _____, "Die rechtsextremistische APO im heutigen Russland: Ultrationalistische Denkfabriken als Bestandteil der postsowjetischen 'unzivilen Gesellschaft'," in: Vera Haney, Michael Wegner and Andrea Jahn, eds., *Russland: ein starker Staat?* Europäisches Denken 3 (Jena: Thüringer Forum für Bildung und Wissenschaft e.V., 2003), 123-143
- _____, "Formirovanie fashistskogo 'neoevraziiskogo' intellektual'nogo dvizheniya v Rossii:

- Put' Aleksandra Dugina ot marginal'nogo ekstremista do ideologa postsovetskoi akademicheskoi i politicheskoi elity, 1989-2001 gg.," *Ab Imperio*, no. 3 (2003): 289-304
- _____, „Die Rezeption der Entscheidung zur NATO-Osterweiterung in Rußland," *Neue Politische Literatur* 48, no. 3 (2003): 467-473
- _____, „Der Aufstieg Alexander Dugins," *Junges Forum*, no. 1 (2004): 43-44
- _____, „Dugin kein Faschist? Eine Erwiderung an Professor A. James Gregor," *Erwägen Wissen Ethik* 15, no. 3 (2004): 424-426
- _____, „Some Addenda on the Relevance of Extremely Right-Wing Ideas in Putin's New Russia," *Erwägen Wissen Ethik* 15, no. 4 (2004): 591-593
- _____, „Kulturhegemoniale Strategien der russischen extremen Rechten: Die Verbindung von faschistischer Ideologie und gramscistischer Taktik im ‚Neoeurasismus‘ des Aleksandr Dugin," *Österreichische Zeitschrift für Politikwissenschaft* 33, no. 4 (2004): 437-454
- _____, „Der russische Rechtsextremismus nach den Wahlen 2003-2004: Bestandsaufnahme und Perspektiven," *Russlandanalysen*, no. 23 (2004): 1-4, URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.russlandanalysen.de/content/media/Russlandanalysen23.pdf>
- _____, „State Duma Deputy Sergej Baburin: Portrait of a Russian Ultra-Nationalist," in: Bremer, Dörrenbächer and Dose, *ICCEES VII World Congress*, 441
- _____, „'Konservativnaya revolyutsiya:' imya sobstvennoe ili rodovoe ponyatie?" *Voprosy filosofii*, no. 2 (2006): 116-126
- _____, „Tri raznovidnosti postsovetskogo fashizma: Kontseptual'nye i kontekstual'nye problemy interpretatsii sovremennogo russkogo ul'tranatsionalizma," in: Verkhovskii, *Russkii natsionalizm*, 223-262
- _____, „Neue ideologische Fusionen im postsowjetischen russischen Antidemokratismus: Westliche Konzepte, antiwestliche Doktrinen und das postsowjetische politische Spektrum," in: Eckhard Jesse and Uwe Backes, eds., *Gefährdungen der Freiheit: Extremistische Ideologien im Vergleich*. Schriften des Hannah-Arendt-Instituts 29 (Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, 2006): 371-406
- _____, „Kontseptual'nye i kontekstual'nye problemy interpretatsii sovremennogo russkogo ul'tranatsionalizma," *Voprosy filosofii* 59, no. 12 (2006), forthcoming
- _____, „National Bolshevism," in: Blamires, *World Fascism*
- _____, „Bolshevism," in: Blamires, *World Fascism*
- Urban, Michael E., „Party Formation and Deformation on Russia's Political Left," in: R.T. Hubert and D.R. Kelley, eds., *Perestroika-Era Politics: The New Soviet Legislature and Gorbachev's Political Reforms* (Armonk, NY: M.E. Sharpe, 1991), 129-150
- Urban, Joan Barth and Valerii Solovei, *Russia's Communists at the Crossroads* (Boulder, CO: Westview Press, 1997)
- Van Ree, Erik, „Nationalist Elements in the Work of Marx and Engels: A Critical Survey," *MEGA-Studien*, no. 1 (2000): 25-49
- _____, „The Concept of 'National Bolshevism:' An Interpretative Essay," *Journal of Political Ideologies* 6, no. 3 (2001): 289-307
- _____, *The Political Thought of Joseph Stalin: A Study in Twentieth-century Revolutionary Patriotism* (London: RoutledgeCurzon 2002)
- _____, „On Whose Shoulders Did Stalin Stand? Radical Nationalism of the Radical Left, 1789-1917," Paper presented at the 5th European Social Science History Conference "Shared Histories: Transnational Dimensions of Social History," Berlin 24-27 March

2004

- Verindub, Artem, "Slantsy Putina," *Russkii Newsweek*, 7 June 2004, URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.compromat.ru/main/nauka/disser.htm>
- Verkhovskii, Aleksandr, "Sobach'ya starost'," *Novoe vremya*, no. 6 (2636) (1996): 10-12
- _____, "Religioznaya ksenofobiya," in: Aleksandr Verkhovskii, Vladimir Pribylovskii and Yekaterina Mikhaylovskaya, *Natsionalizm i ksenofobiya v rossiiskom obshchestve* (Moskva: Panorama, 1998), 168-89
- _____, "Tserkov' v politike i politika v Tserkvi," in: Aleksandr Verkhovskii, Yekaterina Mikhaylovskaya and Vladimir Pribylovskii, *Politicheskaya ksenofobiya: Radikal'nye gruppy. Predstavleniya liderov. Rol' tserkvi* (Moskva: Panorama, 1999), 60-122
- _____, "Ultra-Nationalists in Russia at the Onset of Putin's Rule," *Nationalities Papers* 28, no. 4 (2000): 707-726
- _____, "The Extremist Wing of Russian Nationalism. Spring 2001," in: Yuri Dzhibladze, Alexander Osipov and Catherine Fitzpatrick, eds., *Working Against Racism in Russia: Perspective of Russian NGOs* (Moskva: Center for the Development of Democracy and Human Rights, 2001), 93-98
- _____, "Russian Orthodoxy in the Russian Ultra-Nationalist Movement," Paper presented at the 33rd Annual Convention of the Association for the Advancement of Slavic Studies, 15-18 November 2001
- _____, *Politika gosudarstva po otnosheniyu k national-radikal'nym ob"edineniyam, 1991-2001 g.* (Moskva: Panorama, 2002)
- _____, *Politicheskoe pravoslavie: Russkie pravoslavnye natsionalisty i fundamentalisty, 1995-2001 gg.* (Moskva: Panorama, 2003)
- _____, "Serafimovskii klub: Romantika liberal'nogo konservatizma," *Neprikosnovennyi zapas*, no. 5(37) (2004): 26-35
- _____, "Ekstremisty i radikaly v russkoi seti," at: URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.panorama.ru:8101/works/patr/extr.html>
- _____, ed., *Russkii natsionalizm: ideologiya i nastroyenie* (Moskva: Sova, 2006)
- Verkhovskii, Aleksandr, Anatoly Papp and Vladimir Pribylovskii, *Politicheskii ekstremizm v Rossii* (Moskva: Panorama, 1996)
- Verkhovskii, Aleksandr, Ekaterina V. Mikhailovskaya and Vladimir V. Pribylovskii, *Politicheskaya ksenofobiya: Radikal'nye gruppy. Predstavleniya politikov. Rol' Tserkvi* (Moskva: Panorama, 1999),
- Vesselova, Natalia, "Edward Limonov: a French Writer?" in: Bremer, Dörrenbächer and Dose, *ICCEES VII World Congress*, 447-448
- Vinkovetsky, Ilya, "Eurasianism in Its Time: A Bibliography," in: Vinkovetsky and Schlacks, *Exodus to the East*, 143-174
- Vinkovetsky, Ilya and Charles Schlacks, Jr., eds., *Exodus to the East: Forebodings and Events. An Affirmation of the Eurasians* (Idyllwild, CA: Charles Schlacks, Jr., 1996)
- Volkov, Shulamit *Jüdisches Leben und Antisemitismus im 19. und 20. Jahrhundert: Zehn Essays* (München: C.H. Beck, 1990), 33
- Volodin, A.G., ed., *Grazhdanskoe obshchestvo: mirovoi opyt i problemy Rossii* (Moskva: URSS, 1998)
- Von Kreitor, Nikolai-Klaus, "Elements of the New Russian Nationalism," *Telos* 26, no. 2 (96) (1993): 61-64
- _____, "Dugin, Russian Geopolitics and the New World Order," in: *Dugin Aleksandr Gel'evich: Diskussiia*, at: URL (last accessed October 2006):

- <http://www.zhurnal.ru:8085/5/d.duginbio.htm> (25 September 1999): 3-8
- Voronov, Aleksandr, "Knizhnuyu yarmarku obvinili v antisemitizme," *Kommersant*, 9 September 2006, URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.kommersant.ru/doc.html?docId=703739>
- Vujačić, Veljko, "Gennady Zyuganov and the 'Third Road'," *Post-Soviet Affairs* 12, no. 2 (1996): 118-154
- , "Serving Mother Russia: The Communist Left and Nationalist Right in the Struggle for Power, 1991-1998," in: Victoria E. Bonnell and George W. Breslauer, eds., *Russia in the New Century: Stability and Disorder?* (Boulder, CO: Westview Press, 2001), 290-325
- Wagner, Bernd, *Rechtsextremismus und kulturelle Subversion in den neuen Ländern* (Berlin: Schriftenreihe des Zentrums Demokratische Kultur, 1998)
- Weber, Iris, *Nation, Staat und Elite: Die Ideologie der Neuen Rechten*. PapyRossa Hochschulschriften, 15th Vol. (Koeln: PapyRossa-Verlag 1997)
- Weigle, Marica A., *Russia's Liberal Project: State-Society Relations in the Transition from Communism* (University Park, PA: Pennsylvania State University Press, 2000)
- Wellhofer, E. Spencer, "Democracy and Fascism: Class, Civil Society, and Rational Choice in Italy," *American Political Science Review* 97 (2003): 91-106
- Wells, David, "War and National Memory: Nationalism in Contemporary Russian Literature," *Political Crossroads* 6, no. 1/2 (1998): 129-138
- White, Anne, *Democratization in Russia Under Gorbachev, 1985-91: The Birth of a Voluntary Sector* (New York: St. Martin's Press, 1999)
- Wiederkehr, Stefan, "'Kontinent Evraziia': Alexander Dugin's Reading of Classical Eurasianism and Geopolitics," Paper presented at the 33rd Annual Convention of the American Association for the Advancement of Slavic Studies, Arlington, Virginia, 15-18 November 2001
- , "'Kontinent Evrasija' – Klassischer Eurasismus und Geopolitik in der Lesart Alexander Dugins," in: Kaiser, *Auf der Suche nach Eurasien*, 125-138
- Wiesendahl, Elmar, "Verwirtschaftung und Verschleiss der Mitte: Zum Umgang des etablierten Politikbetriebs mit der rechtsextremistischen Herausforderung," in: Wilhelm Heitmeyer, ed., *Das Gewalt-Dilemma* (Frankfurt am Main: Suhrkamp, 1994), 115-137
- Williams, Christopher and Stephen E. Hanson, "National-Socialism, Left Patriotism, or Superimperialism? The Radical Right in Russia," in: Sabrina Ramet, ed., *The Radical Right in Central and Eastern Europe Since 1989. With an afterword by Roger Griffin* (University Park: The Pennsylvania State University Press, 1999), 257-278
- Wippermann, Wolfgang, *Faschismustheorien: Zum Stand der gegenwärtigen Diskussion* (Darmstadt: Wissenschaftliche Buchgesellschaft, 1972)
- , *Europäischer Faschismus im Vergleich (1922-1982)* (Frankfurt am Main: Suhrkamp, 1983)
- , *Faschismustheorien: Die Entwicklung der Diskussion von den Anfängen bis heute*. 7th edn (Darmstadt: Primus, 1997)
- Wippermann, Wolfgang and Hans-Ulrich Thamer, *Faschistische und neofaschistische Bewegungen: Probleme empirischer Faschismusforschung* (Darmstadt: Wissenschaftliche Buchgesellschaft, 1977)
- Wippermann, Wolfgang and Werner Loh, eds., *„Faschismus“ – kontrovers* (Stuttgart: Lucius & Lucius, 2002)
- Wishnevsky, Julia, "Multiparty System, Soviet Style," *Report on the USSR*, 23 November

- 1990, 3-6
- Yakovich, Elena, "Kontinent v Moskve [an interview with Igor Vinogradov]," *Literaturnaya gazeta*, 22 July 1992, 5
- Yanov, Alexander, *The Russian New Right: Right-Wing Ideologies in the Contemporary USSR* (Berkeley, CA: Institute of International Studies, 1978)
- _____, *The Russian Challenge and the Year 2000* (New York: Blackwell, 1987)
- _____, *Weimar Russia – And What We Can Do About It* (New York: Slovo-Word, n.d.)
- Yasmann, Victor, "Elite Think Tank Prepares 'Post-Perestroika' Strategy," *Report on the USSR*, 24 May 1991: 1-6
- _____, "Red Religion: An Ideology of Neo-Messianic Russian Fundamentalism," *Demokratizatsiya* 1, no. 2 (1993): 20-40
- _____, "The Rise of the Eurasians," *The Eurasian Politician*, no. 4 (August 2001): 1 (URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.cc.iyu.fi/~aphamala/pe/issue4/Yasmannn.htm>)
- _____, "Book on 1999 Apartment-block Bombings Wins National Award," *RFE/RL Newsline* 6, no. 107 (10 June 2002), at: URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.rferl.org/newsline/2002/06/100602.asp>
- _____, "Analysis: The Clandestine Soviet Union," URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.rferl.org/featuresarticle/2004/08/dd222d80-7963-4116-b079-b34810075822.html>
- _____, "Aleksandr Dugin – Eurasia party founder and chief ideologue of the Russian geopolitical school," at: URL (last accessed October 2006): <http://www.rferl.org/specials/russianelection/bio/dugin.asp>
- Zapovetov, Valentin, "Weimarer Verhältnisse?" *Der Tagesspiegel*, 19th December 1993
- Zhmakin, Yu.D., "Referativnyi obzor: Ignatov A. 'Evraziya' i poiski novoi russkoi kul'turnoi samobytnosti: Vozrozhdenie 'evraziiskogo' mifa," *Rossiia i sovremennyi mir*, no. 2 (1993): 147-154
- Zimmerman, William, "Synoptic Thinking and Political Culture in Post-Soviet Russia," *Slavic Review* 54, no. 3 (1995): 631-632
- _____, *The Russian People and Foreign Policy: Russian Elite and Mass Perspectives, 1993-2000* (Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 2002)
- Znamenski, Andrei A., "In Search of the Russian Idea: Igor Shafarevich's Traditional Orthodoxy," *European Studies Journal* 31, no. 1 (1996): 33-48
- Zolina, M.B., "Problema totalitarizma v politologii I.A. Il'ina," *Sotsial'no-politicheskii zhurnal*, no. 5 (1996): 183-191
- Zweerde, E.V.D., "'Civil Society' and 'Orthodox Christianity': A Double Test-Case," *Religion, State and Society* 17, no. 1 (1999): 23-45